Ground state properties of $Ce(Pd_{1-x}Ni_x)_2Ge_2$ and $CeNi_2(Ge_{1-y}Si_y)_2$

G. Knebel*, M. Brando, J. Hemberger, M. Nicklas, W. Trinkl, A. Loidl

Experimentalphysik V, Elektronische Korrelationen und Magnetismus, Universität Augsburg, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

The ground state properties of heavy-fermion systems (HFS) can be described in the framework of Doniach's phase diagram [1]. In recent years the transition region from magnetic order to a non-magnetic Kondo-like groundstate has found renewed interest due to the appearance of non-Ferm-liquid (NFL) behavior in this regime [2]. The aim of this work is to change the hybridization strength via isoelectronic chemical substitutions and to pass continuously from a system with local-moment magnetism (LMM) to the intermediate-valence (IV) regime, paying special attention to the critical concentration where magnetism is completely suppressed. For these investigations we performed systematic electrical resistivity, magnetic susceptibility and specific heat experiments for temperatures 0.06 K < T < 400 K and in magnetic fields up to 14 T on the ternary alloys $Ce(Pd_{1-x}Ni_x)_2Ge_2$ and $CeNi_2(Ge_{1-y}Si_y)_2$ which crystallize in the ThCr₂Si₂ structure. CePd₂Ge₂ is a localmoment magnet with an antiferromagnetic (AFM) phase transtion temperature of $T_{\rm N} = 5.2$ K. The size of the ordered moment, $\mu_s = 1.79 \mu_B$, shows that moment

compensation plays a minor role [3]. CeNi₂Ge₂ is a non-magnetic HFS with a characteristic Kondo-lattice temperature $T^* = 40$ K [4]. Finally CeNi₂Si₂ is a IV system with $T^* \approx 600$ K [5].

Fig. 1 shows the dependence of the characteristic temperatures of the alloys under investigation on the hybridization strength between f moments and band states. Our systematic studies provide evidence that the distance r between the 4f ions and the transition metals is the relevant parameter. Accordingly we plotted T^* , T_N and the Curie–Weiss temperature θ_{p} as a function of r. T* was determined from the coherence maximum of the resistivity for low Kondo temperatures and from the peak in the magnetic susceptibility for the alloys with strong hybridization. Fig. 1 clearly shows that T_N and T^* are of the same order of magnitude on the Pd-rich side of the phase diagram. However, T* strongly increases at the borderline from AFM order to the pure Kondo ground state. In a similar way, θ_p is strongly enhanced when the Kondo interaction starts to dominate. At the phase boundary from magnetic order to Kondo behavior strong NFL effects were observed in the temperature dependence of heat capacity, resistivity and magnetic susceptibility (shaded area in Fig. 1).

The low temperature electrical resistivity has been analyzed using $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^n$. The coefficient $A \propto$

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: + 49-821-598-3614; fax: + 49-821-598-3649; e-mail: georg.knebel@physik.uni-augsburg.de.



Fig. 1. Dependence of the characteristic temperature T^* , the Néel temperature T_N and the paramagnetic Curie–Weiss temperature θ_p as a function of the cerium-transition metal distance r. The hatched area shows the regime where NFL behavior is observed.



Fig. 2. Prefactor A and temperature exponent n of the low-temperature resistivity $\rho = \rho_0 + AT^n$ versus the cerium ligand distance r. The lines at n = 2 and n = 1.5 are drawn to guide the eye.

 $(N(E_{\rm F}))^2$ is only well defined for a Fermi liquid (n = 2)due to scattering of heavy quasiparticles. Just at the critical concentration where magnetic order is suppressed the temperature range where the T^2 law holds becomes very small and A is expected to diverge. However, in this regime $\rho(T)$ can be described by a power law with $n \neq 2$ for more than one decade in temperature. A reveals a maximum for $Ce(Pd_{0.1}Ni_{0.9})_2Ge_2$ (see Fig. 2). We would like to state that this analysis is a mere parametrization of the data. However, the maximum in A is also recovered with n = 2, with fits which are significantly worse. In the Kondo regime n approaches 2 as is expected for pure Fermi liquids. It is significantly larger than 2 for the magnetically ordered compounds but it is approximatly 1.5 in a broad concentration regime close to the critical regime. This size of the exponent has been predicted by Ref. [6] for a AFM NFL.

References

- [1] S. Doniach, Physica B 91 (1977) 231.
- [2] H. v. Löhneysen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 3262.
- [3] M.J. Besnus et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 104–107 (1992) 1387.
- [4] G. Knopp et al., Z. Phys. B 77 (1989) 95.
- [5] E.V. Sampathkumaran, R. Vijiyaraghvan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2861.
- [6] T. Moriya, T. Takimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 64 (1995) 960.