DOI: 10.1002/pamm.201900057



# Time discretization of nonlinear hyperbolic systems on networks

**Robert Altmann**<sup>1,\*</sup> and **Christoph Zimmer**<sup>2,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Augsburg, Universitätsstr. 14, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Mathematics MA 4-5, Technical University Berlin, Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

In view of gas networks, the simulation of hyperbolic systems on networks has recently caused large interest. We consider the case with a nonlinear damping term and a small parameter  $\varepsilon$  such that – in the linear case – the system turns parabolic for  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Based on this property and an expansion in  $\varepsilon$ , we derive a novel time integration scheme.

© 2019 The Authors Proceedings in Applied Mathematics & Mechanics published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA Weinheim

## 1 Introduction

We consider the propagation of pressure waves in a network of pipes which leads to a coupled system of hyperbolic partial differential equations. Each pipe is modeled by an edge in a graph on which we consider the one-dimensional wave system

$$\dot{p}(t,x) + \partial_x m(t,x) = 0, \tag{1a}$$

$$\varepsilon \dot{m}(t,x) + \partial_x p(t,x) + \delta \frac{m(t,x)|m(t,x)|}{p(t,x)} = 0.$$
(1b)

Here, p and m model the pressure and mass flux on a single edge e. For the parameter  $\varepsilon$  we assume in this paper that  $0 \le \varepsilon \ll 1$ . In the considered case of a gas network this equals the product of the adiabatic coefficient and the square of the Mach number and is of order  $10^{-3}$ , cf. [1]. Note that in the here considered model we neglect differences in temperature and kinetic energy, cf. [2].

In the corresponding linear model, the nonlinear damping term in (1b) is often replaced by  $\delta m(t, x)$ . In the network case, we need to add continuity conditions on the pressure and balance laws for the mass flow in each junction. In total, this then leads to a *partial differential-algebraic equation* (PDAE), cf. [3,4]. In this paper, we translate the expansion strategy from [4] to the nonlinear model given in (1). The expansion is motivated by the fact that – in the linear case – the system is hyperbolic for  $\varepsilon > 0$  but parabolic in the limit case  $\varepsilon = 0$ .

### 2 Expansion and discretization

Due to the assumption  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , we consider an expansion in  $\varepsilon$ , meaning that we write

$$p(t,x) = p_0(t,x) + \varepsilon p_1(t,x) + \dots, \qquad m(t,x) = m_0(t,x) + \varepsilon m_1(t,x) + \dots,$$

where  $p_0$ ,  $m_0$  serve as a first-order and  $\hat{p} := p_0 + \varepsilon p_1$ ,  $\hat{m} := m_0 + \varepsilon m_1$  as second-order approximations. Including the continuity and boundary conditions of the pressure in form of an explicit constraint  $\mathcal{B}p = g_{\text{dir}}$  and the flow condition for the mass flux implicitly by  $\mathcal{C}r$ , we obtain the PDAE systems

$$\begin{split} \dot{p}_0 - \mathcal{K}^* m_0 + \mathcal{B}^* \lambda_0 &= -\mathcal{C}r, & \dot{p}_1 - \mathcal{K}^* m_1 + \mathcal{B}^* \lambda_1 &= 0, \\ \mathcal{K} p_0 &= g(p_0, m_0), & \mathcal{K} p_1 &= \partial_p g(p_0, m_0) [p_1] + \partial_m g(p_0, m_0) [m_1] - \dot{m}_0, \\ \mathcal{B} p_0 &= g_{\text{dir}}, & \mathcal{B} p_1 &= 0. \end{split}$$

Therein,  $\mathcal{K}$  corresponds to the differential operator  $\partial_x$  and the nonlinear terms are given by

$$g(p_0, m_0) = -\delta \frac{m_0 |m_0|}{p_0}, \qquad \partial_p g(p_0, m_0)[p_1] + \partial_m g(p_0, m_0)[m_1] = \delta \frac{m_0 |m_0|}{p_0^2} p_1 - 2\delta \frac{|m_0|}{p_0} m_1.$$

Note that we have used the fact that  $f(x) = x|x| = \text{sign}(x)x^2$  has a (classic) derivative f'(x) = 2|x|. Further, we emphasize that the operator equations correspond to the weak formulation of the systems and that we consider p to be piecewise in  $H^1$  on the edges of the graph whereas m is piecewise in  $L^2$ .

For the numerical approximation of p and m we now consider the interplay of the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion and a time-discretization of the respective PDAE systems. In the linear case, one benefits here from the parabolic behavior of the limit equations [4].

distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

PAMM · Proc. Appl. Math. Mech. 2019;19:e201900057. www.gamm-proceedings.com

https://doi.org/10.1002/pamm.201900057 © 2019 The Authors Proceedings in Applied Mathematics & Mechanics published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA Weinheim

1 of 2

<sup>\*</sup> e-mail robert.altmann@math.uni-augsburg.de

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author: e-mail zimmer@math.tu-berlin.de

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits use,



Fig. 1: Convergence history for p and m in terms of  $\varepsilon$ .

1/h	10	20	40	80	160	320	640	1280	2560
$\alpha(h)$	0.7841	0.7719	0.7693	0.7682	0.7676	0.7674	0.7672	0.7672	0.7671

**Table 1:** Analysis of the fitted exponent  $\alpha$  as a function of the spatial grid size h.

For the spatial discretization we consider  $P_1$  finite elements for the pressure and  $P_0$  finite elements for the mass flux, both with mesh size h. This then leads to the semi-discrete system

$$M_{p}\dot{p}_{h} - K^{T}m_{h} - B^{T}\lambda_{h} = -C^{T}r,$$

$$\varepsilon M_{m}\dot{m}_{h} + Kp_{h} + D(p_{h}, m_{h}) = 0,$$

$$Bp_{h} = g_{\text{dir}},$$

$$D(p_{h}, m_{h})_{i} = h\,\delta\,|m_{h,i}|\,m_{h,i} \cdot \begin{cases} \frac{\log p_{h,i+1} - \log p_{h,i}}{p_{h,i+1} - p_{h,i}}, & p_{h,i} \neq p_{h,i+1} \\ \frac{1}{p_{h,i}}, & p_{h,i} = p_{h,i+1} \end{cases},$$

where  $M_p$ ,  $M_m$ , K, and B denote the standard mass, stiffness, and boundary matrices. The term  $C^T r$  includes the demand of the consumer on the right-hand side, whereas  $g_{dir}$  includes again the boundary data.

## **3** Numerical example

To illustrate the performance of the expansion-based time discretization, we consider the case of a single pipe of length 1 with  $\delta = 0.5$  and consistent initial data, i.e.,  $\dot{m}_h(0) = 0$ . As boundary conditions we set p = 1 on the left-hand side of the pipe and  $m = e^{-t}$  on the right. Further, we restrict ourselves to the implicit Euler discretization in time but emphasize that this may be replaced by Runge-Kutta schemes, cf. [5]. Figure 1 shows the convergence history of the errors of  $p_0$ ,  $\hat{p}$ ,  $m_0$ , and  $\hat{m}$ . As in the linear case, we can observe first-order convergence for  $p_0$ ,  $m_0$  and second-order convergence for  $\hat{p}$ ,  $\hat{m}$ .

In our second numerical experiment, we consider the convergence order of  $p - p_0$ , denoted by  $\alpha$ , as a function of the spatial mesh size h. We choose non-consistent initial data, i.e.,  $m(0) \neq m_0(0)$ , and Dirichlet boundary conditions for the pressure on both ends of the pipe. To compute  $\alpha$  we fitted the exponent by a least square problem for several values of  $\varepsilon$ . In Table 1 one can observe that the exponent is slowly decreasing to around 0.767. In particular, the asymptotic limit is far off one, which equals the exponent in the case of consistent initial data. This behavior was also observed in the linear case and transfers to the here considered nonlinear one.

#### References

- J. Brouwer, I. Gasser, and M. Herty, Gas pipeline models revisited: model hierarchies, nonisothermal models, and simulations of networks, Multiscale Model. Simul. 9(2), 601–623 (2011).
- [2] S. Grundel, L. Jansen, N. Hornung, T. Clees, C. Tischendorf, and P. Benner, Model order reduction of differential algebraic equations arising from the simulation of gas transport networks, in: Progress in Differential-Algebraic Equations, (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014), pp. 183–205.
- [3] H. Egger, T. Kugler, B. Liljegren-Sailer, N. Marheineke, and V. Mehrmann, On structure-preserving model reduction for damped wave propagation in transport networks, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 40, A331–A365 (2018).
- [4] R. Altmann and C. Zimmer, Time discretization schemes for hyperbolic systems on networks by  $\varepsilon$ -expansion, Preprint 1810.04278, ArXiv e-prints, 2018.
- [5] R. Altmann and C. Zimmer, Runge-Kutta methods for linear semi-explicit operator differential-algebraic equations, Math. Comp. 87(309), 149–174 (2018).