Probab. Theory Relat. Fields (2011) 149:397–415
DOI 10.1007/s00440-009-0258-y

Random graph asymptotics on high-dimensional tori II: volume, diameter and mixing time

Markus Heydenreich·Remco van der Hofstad

Received: 20 April 2009 / Revised: 9 November 2009 / Published online: 11 December 2009

AbstractFor critical bond-percolation on high-dimensional torus, this paper proves sharp lower bounds on the size of the largest cluster, removing a logarithmic correction in the lower bound in Heydenreich and van der Hofstad (Comm Math Phys 270(2):335–358, 2007). This improvementfinally settles a conjecture by Aizenman (Nuclear Phys B 485(3):551–582, 1997) about the role of boundary conditions in critical high-dimensional percolation, and it is a key step in deriving further properties of critical percolation on the torus. Indeed, a criterion of Nachmias and Peres (Ann Probab 36(4):1267–1286, 2008) implies appropriate bounds on diameter and mixing time of the largest clusters. We further prove that the volume bounds apply also to anyfinite number of the largest clusters. Finally, we show that any weak limit of the largest connected component is non-degenerate, which can be viewed as a significant sign of critical behavior. The main conclusion of the paper is that the behavior of critical percolation on the high-dimensional torus is the same as for critical Erd˝os-Rényi random graphs.

KeywordsPercolation·Random graph asymptotics·Mean-field behavior·
Critical window

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)60K35·82B43

M. Heydenreich
Department of Mathematics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081a,
1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
e-mail: MO.Heydenreich@few.vu.nl

R. van der Hofstad (✉)
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology,
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands
e-mail: r.w.v.d.hofstad@tue.nl

1 Introduction

1.1 The model

For bond percolation on a graph $\mathbb{G}$ we make any edge (or 'bond') *occupied* with probability p, independently of each other, and otherwise leave it *vacant*. The connected components of the random subgraph of occupied edges are called *clusters*. For a vertex v we denote by $\mathcal{C}(v)$ the unique cluster containing v, and by $|\mathcal{C}(v)|$ the number of vertices in that cluster. For our purposes it is important to consider clusters as subgraphs (thus not only as a set of vertices). Our main interest is bond percolation on high-dimensional tori, but our techniques are based on a comparison with $\mathbb{Z}^d$ results. We describe the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-setting first.

1.1.1 Bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

For $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{Z}^d$, we consider two sets of edges. In the *nearest-neighbor model*, two vertices x and y are linked by an edge whenever $|x-y|=1$, whereas in the *spread-out model*, they are linked whenever $0<\|x-y\|_\infty \le L$. Here, and throughout the paper, we write $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ for the supremum norm, and $|\cdot|$ for the Euclidean norm. The integer parameter L is typically chosen large.

The resulting product measure for percolation with parameter $p\in[0,1]$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z},p}$, and the corresponding expectation $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Z},p}$. We write $\{0\leftrightarrow x\}$ for the event that there exists a path of occupied edges from the origin 0 to the lattice site x (alternatively, 0 and x are in the same cluster), and define

$$\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(x):=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z},p}(0\leftrightarrow x) \tag{1.1}$$

to be the *two-point* function. By

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p):=\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(x)=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Z},p}|\mathcal{C}(0)|$$

we denote the expected cluster size on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. The degree of the graph, which we denote by Ω, is $\Omega=2d$ in the nearest-neighbor case and $\Omega=(2L+1)^d-1$ in the spread-out case.

Percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ undergoes a phase transition as p varies, and it is well known that there exists a critical value

$$p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)=\inf\{p:\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z},p}(|\mathcal{C}(0)|=\infty)>0\}=\sup\{p:\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p)<\infty\}, \tag{1.2}$$

where the last equality is due to Aizenman and Barsky [2] and Menshikov [17].

1.1.2 Bond percolation on the torus

By $\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$ we denote a graph with vertex set $\{-\lfloor r/2\rfloor,\dots,\lceil r/2\rceil-1\}^d$ and two related sets of edges:

(i) The nearest-neighbor torus: an edge joins vertices that differ by 1 (modulo r) in exactly one component. For d fixed and r large, this is a periodic approximation to $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Here $\Omega = 2d$ for $r \geq 3$. We study the limit in which $r \to \infty$ with $d > 6$ fixed, but large.

(ii) The spread-out torus: an edge joins vertices $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d)$ if $0 < \max_{i=1,\ldots,d} |x_i - y_i|_r \leq L$ (with $|\cdot|_r$ the metric on $\mathbb{Z}_r$). We study the limit $r \to \infty$, with $d > 6$ fixed and L large (depending on d) and fixed. This gives a periodic approximation to range-L percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Here $\Omega = (2L+1)^d - 1$ provided that $r \geq 2L+1$, which we will always assume.

We write $V = r^d$ for the number of vertices in the torus. We consider bond percolation on these tori with edge occupation probability p and write $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T},p}$ for the product measure and corresponding expectation, respectively. We use notation analogously to $\mathbb{Z}^d$-quantities, e.g.

$$\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}_{r,d}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(0 \leftrightarrow x) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T},p} |\mathcal{C}(0)|$$

for the expected cluster size on the torus.

1.1.3 Mean-field behavior in high dimensions

In the past decades, there has been substantial progress in the understanding of percolation in high-dimensions (see e.g. [3,5,9–14,20] for detailed results on high-dimensional percolation), and the results show that percolation on high-dimensional infinite lattices is similar to percolation on infinite trees (see e.g., [8, Sect. 10.1] for a discussion of percolation on a tree). Thus, informally speaking, the mean-field model for percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is percolation on the tree.

More recently, the question has been addressed what the mean-field model is of percolation on *finite* subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^d$, such as the torus. Aizenman [1] conjectured that critical percolation on high-dimensional tori behaves similarly to critical Erd˝os-Rényi random graphs, thus suggesting that the mean-field model for percolation on a torus is the Erd˝os-Rényi random graph. In the past years, substantial progress was made in this direction, see in particular [6,7,15]. In this paper, we bring this discussion to the next level, by showing that large critical clusters on various high-dimensional tori share many features of the Erd˝os-Rényi random graph.

1.2 Random graph asymptotics on high-dimensional tori

We investigate the size of the maximal cluster on the torus $\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$, i.e.,

$$|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| := \max_{x \in \mathbb{T}_{r,d}} |\mathcal{C}(x)|, \tag{1.3}$$

at the critical percolation threshold $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. We start by improving the asymptotics of the largest connected component as proved in [15]:

Theorem 1.1(Random graph asymptotics of the largest cluster size)*Fix $d>6$and L sufficiently large in the spread-out case, or d sufficiently large for nearest-neighbor percolation. Then there exists a constant $b>0$, such that for all$\omega\geq 1$and all $r\geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(\omega^{-1}V^{2/3}\leq|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\leq\omega V^{2/3}\right)\geq 1-\frac{b}{\omega}. \tag{1.4}$$

The constant b can be chosen equal to b_6 in[6,*Theorem*1.3]. *Furthermore, there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|>\omega V^{2/3}\right)\leq\frac{c_1}{\omega^{3/2}}\,\mathrm{e}^{-c_2\omega}. \tag{1.5}$$

We recall that$r$is present in (1.4) in two ways: We consider the percolation measure on $\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$, and$V=r^d$ is the volume of the torus. The upper bound in (1.4) in Theorem 1.1 is already proved in [15, Theorem 1.1], whereas the lower bound in [15, Theorem 1.1] contains a logarithmic correction, which we remove here by a more careful analysis.

We next extend the above result to the other large clusters. For this, we write$\mathcal{C}_{(i)}$ for thei^{th} largest cluster for percolation on$\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$, so that$\mathcal{C}_{(1)}=\mathcal{C}_{\max}$ and$|\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|\leq|\mathcal{C}_{(1)}|$ is the size of the second largest component; etc.

Theorem 1.2(Random graph asymptotics of the ordered cluster sizes)*Fix $d>6$and L sufficiently large in the spread-out case, or d sufficiently large for nearest-neighbor percolation. For every $m=1,2,\ldots$there exist constants $b_1,\ldots,b_m>0$, such that for all$\omega\geq 1$, $r\geq 1$, and all $i=1,\ldots,m$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(\omega^{-1}V^{2/3}\leq|\mathcal{C}_{(i)}|\leq\omega V^{2/3}\right)\geq 1-\frac{b_i}{\omega}. \tag{1.6}$$

Consequently, the expected cluster sizes satisfy$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}|\mathcal{C}_{(i)}|\geq b_i' V^{2/3}$ for certain constants $b_i'>0$. Moreover,$|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is not concentrated.

By the tightness of$|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ proved in Theorem 1.1,$|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ not being concentrated is equivalent to the statement that any weak limit of$|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is non-degenerate.

Nachmias and Peres [19] proved a very handy criterion establishing bounds on *diameter*and*mixing time of lazy simple random walk*of the large critical clusters for random graphs obeying (1.4)/(1.6). The following corollary states the consequences of the criterion for the high-dimensional torus. To this end, we call a*lazy simple random walk*on afinite graph$\mathcal{G}=(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{E})$a Markov chain on the vertices$\mathcal{V}$with transition probabilities

$$\mathrm{p}(x,y)=\begin{cases}1/2 & \text{if}x=y;\\ \frac{1}{2\deg(x)} & \text{if}(x,y)\in\mathcal{E};\\ 0 & \text{otherwise},\end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\deg(x)$ denotes the degree of a vertex $x \in \mathcal{V}$. The stationary distribution of this Markov chain π is given by $\pi(x) = \deg(x)/(2|\mathcal{E}|)$. The *mixing time* of lazy simple random walk is defined as

$$T_{\text{mix}}(G) = \min \left\{ n : \| \mathrm{p}^n(x,\cdot) - \pi(\cdot) \|_{\text{TV}} \le 1/4 \text{ for all } x \in V \right\}, \tag{1.8}$$

with p^n being the distribution after n steps (i.e., the n-fold convolution of p), and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{TV}}$ denoting the total variation distance. We write $\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C})$ for the diameter of the cluster $\mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 1.3 (Diameter and mixing time of large critical clusters [19]) *Fix $d > 6$ and L sufficiently large in the spread-out case, or d sufficiently large for nearest-neighbor percolation. Then, for every $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, there exist constants $c_1, \ldots, c_m > 0$, such that for all $\omega \ge 1$, $r \ge 1$, and all $i = 1, \ldots, m$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T}, p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \left(\omega^{-1} V^{1/3} \le \operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{C}_{(i)}) \le \omega V^{1/3} \right) \ge 1 - \frac{c_i}{\omega^{1/3}}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T}, p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \left(\omega^{-1} V \le T_{\text{mix}}(\mathcal{C}_{(i)}) \le \omega V \right) \ge 1 - \frac{c_i}{\omega^{1/34}}. \tag{1.10}$$

1.3 Discussion and open problems

Here, and throughout the paper, we make use of the following notation: we write $f(x) = O(g(x))$ for functions $f, g \ge 0$ and x converging to some limit, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \le C g(x)$ in the limit, and $f(x) = o(g(x))$ if $g(x) \ne O(f(x))$. Furthermore, we write $f = \Theta(g)$ if $f = O(g)$ and $g = O(f)$.

The asymptotics of $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{max}}|$ in Theorem 1.1 is an improvement of our earlier result in [15], which itself relies in an essential way on the work of Borgs et al. [6,7]. The contribution of the present paper is the removal of the logarithmic correction in the lower bound of [15, (1.5)], and this improvement is crucial for our further results, as we discuss in more detail now. We give an easy proof that the largest m components obey the same volume asymptotic as the largest connected component, using only Theorem 1.1 and estimates on the moments of the random variable

$$Z_{\ge k} = \#\{ v \in \mathbb{T}_{r,d} : |\mathcal{C}(v)| \ge k \} \tag{1.11}$$

derived in [6,7]. Similar ingredients are used to derive that $|\mathcal{C}_{\text{max}}| V^{-2/3}$ is not concentrated. Given these earlier results, our proofs are remarkably simple and robust, and they can be expected to apply in various different settings. Thus, while our results substantially improve our understanding of the critical nature of percolation on high-dimensional tori, the proofs given here are surprisingly simple.

Random graph asymptotics at criticality. Our results show that the largest percolation clusters on the high-dimensional torus behave as they do on the Erdős-Rényi random graph; this can be seen as the take-home message of this paper. Aldous [4] proved

that, for Erdős-Rényi random graphs, the vector

$$V^{-2/3}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{(1)}|,|\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|,\ldots,|\mathcal{C}_{(m)}|\right)$$

converges in distribution, as $V\to\infty$, to a random vector $(|\gamma_1|,\ldots,|\gamma_m|)$, where $|\gamma_j|$ are the excursion lengths (in decreasing order) of reflected Brownian motion. Nachmias and Peres [18, Theorem 5] prove the same limit (apart from a multiplication with an explicit constant) for random d-regular graphs (for which the critical value equals $(d-1)^{-1}$). In light of our Theorems 1.1–1.2, we conjecture that the same limit, multiplied by an appropriate constant as in [18, Theorem 5], arises for the ordered largest critical components for percolation on high-dimensional tori.

The role of boundary conditions. The combined results of Aizenman [1] and Hara et al. [10,11] show that a box of width r under *bulk* boundary conditions in high dimension satisfies $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\approx r^4$, which is much smaller than $V^{2/3}$. This immediately implies an upper bound on $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|$ under *free* boundary conditions. Aizenman [1] conjectures that, under periodic boundary conditions, $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\approx V^{2/3}$. This conjecture was proven in [15] with a logarithmic correction in the lower bound. The present paper (improving the lower bound) is the ultimate confirmation of the conjecture in [1].

The critical probability for percolation on the torus. An alternative definition for the critical percolation threshold on a general high-dimensional torus, denoted by $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$, was given in [6, (1.7)] as the solution to

$$\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d}))=\lambda V^{1/3}, \tag{1.12}$$

where λ is a sufficiently small constant. The definition of the critical value in (1.12) appears somewhat indirect, but the big advantage is that this definition exists for any torus (including d-cube, Hamming cube, complete graph), even if an externally defined critical value (such as $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ as in (1.2)) does not exist. It is a major result of Borgs et al. [6,7] that Theorem 1.1 holds with $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ replaced by $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ for the following tori:

(i) the d-cube $\mathbb{T}_{2,d}$ as $d\to\infty$,
(ii) the complete graph (Hamming torus with $d=1$ and $r\to\infty$),
(iii) nearest-neighbor percolation on $\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$ with $d\geq 7$ and $r^d\to\infty$ in any fashion, including d fixed and $r\to\infty$, r fixed and $d\to\infty$, or $r,d\to\infty$ simultaneously,
(iv) periodic approximations to range-L percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ for fixed $d\geq 7$ and fixed large L.

Remarkably, our results in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 hold also for all of the above listed tori when $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ is replaced by $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$. One way of formulating Theorem 1.1 is to say that $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ and $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, are asymptotically equivalent.

One particularly interesting feature of Theorem 1.2 is its implications for the critical value in (1.12). Indeed, the definition of the critical value in (1.12) is somewhat indirect, and it is not obvious that $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ really *is* the most appropriate definition.

In Theorem 1.2, however, we prove that any weak limit of $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is non-degenerate, which is the *hallmark of critical behavior*. Thus, Theorem 1.2 can be seen as yet another justification for the choice of $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ in (1.12).

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following relation between the two critical values $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ (which is 'inherited' from the infinite lattice) and $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ (as defined in (1.12)) is crucial for our proof.

Theorem 2.1 (The $\mathbb{Z}^d$ critical value is inside the $\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$ critical window) *Fix $d>6$ and L sufficiently large in the spread-out case, or d sufficiently large for nearest-neighbor percolation. Then there exists $C_{p_c}>0$ such that $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$ satisfy*

$$\left|p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})\right| \le C_{p_c} V^{-1/3}. \tag{2.1}$$

In other words, $p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ lies in a critical window of order $V^{-1/3}$ around $p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$. By the work of Borgs et al. [6,7], Theorem 2.1 has immediate consequences for the size of the largest cluster, and various other quantities:

Corollary 2.2 (Borgs et al. [6,7]) *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, there exists a constant $b>0$, such that for all $\omega \ge 1$,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(\omega^{-1}V^{2/3} \le |\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \le \omega V^{2/3}\right) \ge 1-\frac{b}{\omega}. \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore,

$$c\,V^{2/3} \le \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|) \le C\,V^{2/3} \quad \text{and} \quad c_\chi V^{1/3} \le \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}|) \le C_\chi V^{1/3} \tag{2.3}$$

for some $c,C,c_\chi,C_\chi>0$. Finally, there are positive constants b_c, $c_{\mathcal{C}}$, $C_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that for $k \le b_c V^{2/3}$,

$$\frac{c_{\mathcal{C}}}{\sqrt{k}} \le \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}| \ge k) \le \frac{C_{\mathcal{C}}}{\sqrt{k}}. \tag{2.4}$$

All of these statements hold uniformly as $r \to \infty$.

The reader may verify that Corollary 2.2 indeed follows from Theorem 2.1 by using [6, Theorem 1.3] in conjunction with [7, Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.3]. Note that (2.2) in particular proves (1.4) in Theorem 1.1.

We explicitly keep track of the origin of constants by adding an appropriate subscript. For first time reading the reader might wish to ignore these subscripts.

We are now turning towards the proof of Theorem 2.1. To this end, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3 *For percolation on* $\mathbb{Z}^d$ *with* $p = p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) - K\Omega^{-1}V^{-1/3}$, *there exists a positive constant* $\tilde{C}$ *(depending on* d *and* K, *but not on* V*), such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{u,v\in\mathbb{Z}^d,u\neq v\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_p(u)\tau_p(v) \le \tilde{C}V^{-1/3}. \tag{2.5}$$

The lemma makes use of a number of results on high-dimensional percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, to be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 ($\mathbb{Z}^d$-percolation in high dimension [9–12]) *Under the conditions in Theorem 1.1, there exist constants* $c_\tau, C_\tau, c_\xi, C_\xi, c_{\xi_2}, C_{\xi_2} > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{c_\tau}{(|x|+1)^{d-2}} \le \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(x) \le \frac{C_\tau}{(|x|+1)^{d-2}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, for any $p < p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$,

$$\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(x) \le \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\|x\|_\infty}{\xi(p)}}, \tag{2.7}$$

where the correlation length $\xi(p)$ *is defined by*

$$\xi(p)^{-1} = -\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z},p}\left((0,\dots,0)\leftrightarrow(n,0,\dots,0)\right), \tag{2.8}$$

and satisfies

$$c_\xi\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)^{-1/2} \le \xi(p) \le C_\xi\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } p\nearrow p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d). \tag{2.9}$$

For the mean-square displacement

$$\xi_2(p) := \left(\frac{\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|v|^2\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v)}{\sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v)}\right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.10}$$

we have

$$c_{\xi_2}\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)^{-1/2} \le \xi_2(p) \le C_{\xi_2}\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)^{-1/2} \quad \text{as } p\nearrow p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d). \tag{2.11}$$

Finally, there exists a positive constant $\tilde{C}_\chi$, *such that the* expected cluster size $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p)$ *obeys*

$$\frac{1}{\Omega\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)} \le \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) \le \frac{\tilde{C}_\chi}{\Omega\left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\right)} \quad \text{as } p\nearrow p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d). \tag{2.12}$$

Some of these bounds express that certain critical exponents exist and take on their mean-field value. For example, (2.6) means that theη=0, and similarly (2.12) can be rephrased asγ=1. The power-law bound (2.6) is due to Hara [10] for the nearest-neighbor case, and to Hara et al. [11] for the spread-out case. For the exponential bound (2.7), see e.g. Grimmett [8, Proposition 6.47]. Hara [9] proves the bound (2.9), and Hara and Slade [12] prove (2.11) and (2.12) (the latter in conjunction with Aizenman and Newman [3]). The proof of all of the above results uses the lace expansion.

Proof of Lemma 2.3 We split the sum on the left-hand side of (2.5) in parts, and treat each part separately with different methods:

$$\sum_{\substack{u,v\in\mathbb{Z}^d:\\ u\neq v\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v) \le 2\sum_{v}\sum_{\substack{u:u\neq v\\ |u|\le|v|\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v) = 2\,((A)+(B)+(C)+(D)\quad), \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(A)&= \sum_{v}\sum_{\substack{2r\le|u|\le|v|\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v),\\
(B)&= \sum_{|v|>MV^{1/6}\log V}\ \sum_{\substack{u:\ |u|\le 2r\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v)\\
(C)&= \sum_{2r<|v|\le MV^{1/6}\log V}\ \sum_{\substack{u:\ |u|\le 2r\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v),\\
(D)&= \sum_{|v|\le 2r}\ \sum_{\substack{u:\ |u|\le 2r\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and$M$is a (large) constant to befixed later in the proof. We proceed by showing that each of the four summands is bounded by a constant times$V^{-1/3}$, in that showing (2.5).

Consider(A)first. To this end, we prove forfixed$v\in\mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\sum_{\substack{2r\le|u|\le|v|\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u) \le C\,\tau\,\frac{|v|^2}{V}. \tag{2.15}$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{\substack{2r\le|u|\le|v|\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u) \le \sum_{\substack{2\le|u|\le\frac{|v|}{r}+1\\ u\in\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{p_c}(ru+(v\bmod r)\quad). \tag{2.16}$$

By (2.6), this is bounded above by

$$C_\tau \sum_{2\le|u|\le\frac{|v|}{r}+1} (r\,(|u|-1\ )+1\,)^{-(d-2)} \le \frac{C_\tau}{r^{d-2}} \sum_{1\le|u|\le\frac{|v|}{r}} |u|^{-(d-2)}. \tag{2.17}$$

The discrete sum is dominated by the integral

$$C_\tau\, r^{-(d-2)} \int_{0\le|u|\le\frac{|v|}{r}} |u|^{-(d-2)}\,\mathrm{d}u \le C_{\ \tau}\, C_\circ\, r^{-d}\, \frac{|v|^2}{2} \le C_{\ \tau}\, C_\circ\, \frac{|v|^2}{V}, \tag{2.18}$$

as desired (with $C_\circ$ denoting the surface of the $(d-1)$-dimensional hypersphere). Consequently, using (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}(A) &\le \frac{C_\tau\, C_\circ}{V} \sum_v |v|^2 \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v) \le \frac{C_\tau\, C_\circ}{V}\, \xi_2(p)^{\,2}\, \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) \\ &\le \frac{C_\tau\, C_\circ\, C_{\xi_2}^2\, \tilde{C}_\chi}{V} \left(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)-p\ \right)^{-2}\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

by the bounds in Theorem 2.4. Inserting $p=p_{\ c}(\mathbb{Z}^d)-K\Omega^{\ -1}V^{-1/3}$ yields the desired upper bound $(A)\le C\,V^{\ -1/3}$.

For the bound on (B) we start by calculating

$$\sum_{u:\ |u|\le 2r} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u) \le \sum_{u:\ |u|\le 2r} \tau_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(u) \le \sum_{u:\ |u|\le 2r} \frac{C_\tau}{(|u|+1)^{\ d-2}} \le O(r^{\ 2}). \tag{2.20}$$

For the sum over v we use the exponential bound of Theorem 2.4: From (2.8)–(2.9) and our choice of p it follows that $\tau_{\ \mathbb{Z},p}(v) \le \exp\left\{-C|v|V^{\ -1/6}\right\}$ for some constant $C>0$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{|v|>MV^{\,1/6}\log V \\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v) &\le \sum_{|v|>\frac{M}{r}V^{1/6}\log V} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}\,(rv+(u \bmod r)\quad) \\ &\le \sum_{|v|>\frac{M}{r}V^{1/6}\log V} \exp\left\{-r\,(|v|-1\ )\,CV^{-1/6}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

This sum is dominated by the integral

$$\int_{|v|>\frac{M}{r}V^{1/6}\log V} \exp\left\{-r|v|CV^{\ -1/6}\right\}\ \exp\left\{r\,C\,V^{-1/6}\right\}\,\mathrm{d}v, \tag{2.22}$$

which can be shown by partial integration as being less or equal to

$$\text{const}(C,M,d)\quad \frac{V^{d/6}}{V}\,(\log V\,)^d\exp\left\{-\frac{M}{C}\log V\right\}\ \exp\left\{r\,C\,V^{-1/6}\right\}.(2.23)$$

This expression equals

$$\text{const}(C,M,d)V\quad ^{d/6-1-M/C+C(1/d-1/6)}\quad (\log V\,)^d\,.(2.24)$$

We now fix M large enough such that the exponent of V is less than $-(1/3+2/d)$. This finally yields

$$\begin{aligned}(B)\le&\sum_{u:\ |u|\le 2r}\ \sum_{\substack{|v|>MV^{1/6}\log V\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}}\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}\,(u)\tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}\,(v)\\ \le&\text{const}(C,M,d)r\quad ^2\,o\left(V^{-(1/3+2/d)}\right)=o\ \left(V^{-1/3}\right).(2.25)\end{aligned}$$

In order to bound (C) we proceed similarly by bounding

$$(C)\le C\ \ _\tau^2\sum_{u:\ |u|<2r}(|u|+1\,)^{-(d-2)}\sum_{\substack{2r\le|v|\le MV^{1/6}\log V\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}}(|v|+1\,)^{-(d-2)}\,.(2.26)$$

A domination by integrals as in (2.16)–(2.18) allows for the upper bound

$$C\ r^2\ \frac{M^2\,V^{1/3}\,(\log V)^{\ 2}}{V},(2.27)$$

and this is $o\ \left(V^{-1/3}\right)$ if $d>6$ for any $M>0$.

The final summand (D) is bounded as in (2.26) by

$$C_\tau^2\sum_{u:\ |u|<2r}(|u|+1\,)^{-(d-2)}\sum_{\substack{v:\ |v|\le 2r\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}}(|v|+1\,)^{-(d-2)}\,.(2.28)$$

The second sum can be bounded uniformly in u by

$$\sum_{\substack{v:\ |v|\le 2r\\ u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}^d}}(|v|+1\,)^{-(d-2)}\le(2r)^{\ -(d-2)}\ \#\{v:\ |v|\le 2r,u-v\in r\mathbb{Z}\quad\ ^d\}\le(2r)\quad ^{-(d-2)}\ 5^d,\tag{2.29}$$

while the first sum is bounded by $C\,r^{\ 2}$. Together, this yields the upper bound $C\,r^{\ -(d-4)}$, and this is $o\ \left(V^{-1/3}\right)$ for $d>6$.

Finally, we have proved that $(A)\le C\,V\quad ^{-1/3}$, and that $(B),(C),(D)$ are of order $o\left(V^{-1/3}\right)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Then by [15, Corollary 4.1] there exists a constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that, when $r \to \infty$,

$$p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) - p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d}) \le \frac{\Lambda}{\Omega} V^{-1/3}. \tag{2.30}$$

It therefore suffices to prove a matching lower bound.

We take $p = p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) - K\Omega^{-1} V^{-1/3}$. The following bound is proven in [15]:

$$\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p) \ge \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + p\Omega^2 \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) \right) \sum_{\substack{u,v \in \mathbb{Z}^d, u \ne v \\ u - v \in r\mathbb{Z}^d}} \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(u) \tau_{\mathbb{Z},p}(v) \right). \tag{2.31}$$

Indeed, this bound is obtained by substituting [15, (5.9)] and [15, (5.13)] into [15, (5.5)]. Furthermore, by our choice of p and (2.12), $K^{-1} V^{1/3} \le \chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(p) \le \tilde{C}_\chi K^{-1} V^{1/3}$. Together with (2.5),

$$\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p) \ge K^{-1} V^{1/3} \left(1 - \left(1/2 + p\Omega^2 K^{-1} \tilde{C}_\chi V^{1/3} \right) \tilde{C} V^{-1/3} \right) \ge \tilde{c}_K V^{1/3}, \tag{2.32}$$

where $\tilde{c}_K$ is a small (though positive) constant. Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, also the following bound holds by Borgs et al. [6]: For $q \ge 0$,

$$\chi_{\mathbb{T}}\left(p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d}) - \Omega^{-1} q \right) \le \frac{2}{q}; \tag{2.33}$$

cf. the upper bound in [6, (1.15)]. The upper bound (2.30) allows K be so large that $p < p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d})$. Consequently, the conjunction of (2.32) and (2.33) obtains

$$\frac{2}{\Omega(p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d}) - p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) + K V^{-1/3})} \ge \chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p) \ge \tilde{c}_K V^{1/3}. \tag{2.34}$$

This implies

$$p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d) \ge p_c(\mathbb{T}_{r,d}) + \left(K - \frac{2}{\tilde{c}_K \Omega} \right) V^{-1/3}, \tag{2.35}$$

as desired. □

The proof of Theorem 2.1 concludes the proof of (1.4) in Theorem 1.1, and it remains to prove (1.5).

Proof of (1.5) The proof uses the exponential bound proven by Aizenman and Newman [3, Proposition 5.1] that, for any $k \ge \chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^2$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}| \ge k) \le \left(\frac{\mathrm{e}}{k} \right)^{1/2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{k}{2\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^2} \right\}. \tag{2.36}$$

In order to apply this bound on the torus, we bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\geq k\right)\leq\frac{1}{k}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{V}}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\geq k,\ v\in\mathcal{C}_{\max}\right)\leq\frac{V}{k}\,\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}|\geq k\right). \tag{2.37}$$

Together with (2.36), we obtain for $\omega>\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^2V^{-2/3}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\geq\omega V^{2/3}\right)\leq\frac{\mathrm{e}^{1/2}}{\omega^{3/2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{\omega V^{2/3}}{2\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^2}\right\}. \tag{2.38}$$

We now choose $p=p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and use that $\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d))\leq C_\chi V^{1/3}$ to see that indeed, for $\omega>C_\chi^2$, by (2.12),

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\geq\omega V^{2/3}\right)\leq\frac{\mathrm{e}^{1/2}}{\omega^{3/2}}\exp\left\{-\frac{\omega}{2\tilde{C}_\chi^2}\right\}. \tag{2.39}$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of (1.6) The upper bounds on $|\mathcal{C}_{(i)}|$ in Theorem 1.2 follow immediately from the upper bound on $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|$ in Theorem 1.1. Thus, we only need to establish the lower bound.

Recall the definition of $Z_{\geq k}$ in (1.11), and note that

$$\mathbb{E}_p(Z_{\geq k})=V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}|\geq k\right). \tag{3.1}$$

By construction, $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|\geq k$ if and only if $Z_{\geq k}\geq k$. We shall make essential use of properties of the sequence of random variables $\{Z_{\geq k}\}$ proved in [6]. Indeed, [6, Lemma 7.1] states that, for all p and all k, $\mathrm{Var}_p(Z_{\geq k})\leq V\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)$. When we take $p=p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, then, by (2.3) in Corollary 2.2 above, there exists a constant C_Z such that $\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d))\leq C_Z V^{1/3}$. Consequently,

$$\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{\geq k})\leq C_Z V^{4/3} \tag{3.2}$$

uniformly in k. Now, further, by (2.4) in Corollary 2.2, there exists $c_C>0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}|\geq k\right)\geq\frac{2c_C}{\sqrt{k}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Take $k=V^{2/3}/\omega$, for some $\omega\geq 1$ sufficiently large. Together with the identity in (3.1),

$$\mathbb{E}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{\geq k})\geq 2c_C\,\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus, by the Chebychev inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{\geq k} \leq c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(\left|Z_{\geq k} - \mathbb{E}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{\geq k})\right| \geq c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}\right)$$
$$\leq c_{\mathcal{C}}^{-2}\omega^{-1}V^{-4/3}\operatorname{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{\geq k}) \leq \frac{C_Z}{c_{\mathcal{C}}^2\omega}. \quad (3.5)$$

We take $\omega > 0$ large. Then, the event $Z_{\geq k} > c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}$ holds with high probability. On this event, there are two possibilities. Either $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \geq c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}/i$, or $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| < c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}/i$, in which case there are at least $c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}/|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \geq i$ distinct clusters of size at least $k = \omega^{-1}V^{2/3}$. We conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{(i)}| \leq \omega^{-1}V^{2/3}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{\geq k} \leq c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}\right)$$
$$+\mathbb{P}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}| \geq c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{1/2}V^{2/3}/i\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{C_Z}{c_{\mathcal{C}}^2\omega} + \frac{i\tilde{b}}{c_{\mathcal{C}}\omega}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\tilde{b}$ is chosen appropriately from the exponential bound in (1.5). This identifies b_i as $b_i = i\tilde{b}/c_{\mathcal{C}} + C_Z/c_{\mathcal{C}}^2$, and proves (1.6). □

We complete this section with the proof that any weak limit of $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is non-degenerate. Theorem 1.1 proves that the sequence $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is *tight*, and, therefore, any subsequence of $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ has a further subsequence that converges in distribution.

Proposition 3.1 ($|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is not concentrated) *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.1, $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is not concentrated.*

In order to prove Proposition 3.1, we start by establishing a *lower bound* on the variance of $Z_{\geq k}$. That is the content of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 (A lower bound on the variance of $Z_{\geq k}$) *For each $k \geq 1$,*

$$\operatorname{Var}_p(Z_{\geq k}) \geq V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}| \geq k)\left[k - V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}| \geq k)\right]. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof We have that

$$\operatorname{Var}_p(Z_{\geq k}) = \sum_{u,v}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}(u)| \geq k, |\mathcal{C}(v)| \geq k) - \left[V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}| \geq k)\right]^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Now, we trivially bound

$$\sum_{u,v}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}(u)| \geq k, |\mathcal{C}(v)| \geq k) \geq \sum_{u,v}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}(u)| \geq k, u \leftrightarrow v)$$
$$= V\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{C}|\mathbb{1}_{\{|\mathcal{C}| \geq k\}}] \geq Vk\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}(|\mathcal{C}| \geq k). \quad (3.9)$$

Rearranging terms proves Lemma 3.2. □

Lemma 3.3(An upper bound on the third moment of$Z_{\geq k}$)*For each* $k\geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}^3]\leq V\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^3+3\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}]V\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)+\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}]^3. \tag{3.10}$$

*Proof*We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}^3] &= \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,|\mathcal{C}(u_2)|\geq k,|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k\right)\\ &= \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,u_1\leftrightarrow u_2,u_3\right)\\ &\quad +3\sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,u_1\leftrightarrow u_2,|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k,u_1\not\leftrightarrow u_3\right)\\ &\quad +\sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,|\mathcal{C}(u_2)|\geq k,|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k,u_i\not\leftrightarrow u_j\forall i\neq j\right)\\ &=(I)+3(II)+(III).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We shall bound these terms one by one, starting with(I),

$$\begin{aligned}(I)&\leq \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,u_1\leftrightarrow u_2,u_3\right)=V\mathbb{E}_p[|\mathcal{C}|^2\mathbb{1}_{\{|\mathcal{C}|\geq k\}}]\\ &\leq V\mathbb{E}_p[|\mathcal{C}|^2]\leq V\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p)^3,\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

by the tree-graph inequality (see [3]). We proceed with(II), for which we use the BK-inequality, to bound

$$\begin{aligned}(II)&\leq \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(\{|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k,u_2\in\mathcal{C}(u_1)\}\circ\{|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k\}\right)\\ &\leq \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k|,u_2\in\mathcal{C}(u_1)\right)\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(\mathcal{C}(u_3)\right)|\geq k)\\ &=V\mathbb{E}_p[|\mathcal{C}|\mathbb{1}_{\{|\mathcal{C}|\geq k\}}]\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}]\leq\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}]V\chi_{\mathbb{T}}(p).\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We complete the proof by bounding(III), for which we again use the BK-inequality, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(III)&\leq \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(\{|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k\}\circ\{|\mathcal{C}(u_2)|\geq k\}\circ\{|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k\}\right)\\ &\leq \sum_{u_1,u_2,u_3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_1)|\geq k|\right)\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(\mathcal{C}(u_2)\right)|\geq k)\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p}\left(|\mathcal{C}(u_3)|\geq k\right)=\mathbb{E}_p[Z_{\geq k}]^3.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

This completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Proposition 3.1:

Proof of Proposition 3.1 By Theorem 1.1, we know that the sequence $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ is tight, and so is $V^{2/3}/|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|$. Thus, there exists a subsequence of $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ that converges in distribution, and the weak limit, which we shall denote by X^*, is strictly positive and finite with probability 1. Thus, we are left to prove that X^* is non-degenerate. For this, we shall show that there exists an $\omega>0$ such that $\mathbb{P}(X^*>\omega)\in(0,1)$.

To prove this, we choose an ω that is not a discontinuity point of the distribution function of X^* and note that

$$\mathbb{P}(X^*>\omega)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V_n^{-2/3}>\omega), \tag{3.15}$$

where the subsequence along which $|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V^{-2/3}$ converges is denoted by $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Now, using (1.11), we have that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V_n^{-2/3}>\omega)=\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V_n^{2/3}}>\omega V_n^{2/3}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

The probability $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}>\omega V^{2/3}\right)$ is monotone decreasing in ω. By the Markov inequality and (2.4), for $\omega\geq 1$ large enough and uniformly in V,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}>\omega V^{2/3}\right)\leq\omega^{-1}V^{-2/3}V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}|\geq\omega V^{2/3}\right)\leq\frac{C_{\mathcal{C}}}{\omega^{3/2}}<1. \tag{3.17}$$

In particular, the sequence $Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3}$ is tight, so we can extract a further subsequence $\{V_{n_l}\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ so that also $Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3}$ converges in distribution, say to Z_{ω}^*. Then, (3.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(Z_{\omega}^*=0)=1-\mathbb{P}(Z_{\omega}^*>0)&=1-\lim_{l\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V_{n_l}^{2/3}}>0\right)\\&=1-\lim_{l\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V_{n_l}^{2/3}}>\omega V_{n_l}^{2/3}\right)>0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Further, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3})&\geq V^{-1/3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}|>\omega V^{2/3})\\&\quad\times\left[\omega V^{2/3}-V\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}|>\omega V^{2/3})\right]\\&\geq V^{1/3}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}|>\omega V^{2/3})\left[\omega-C_{\mathcal{C}}\omega^{-1/2}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which remains uniformly positive for $\omega\geq 1$ sufficiently large, by (2.4). Since there is also an upper bound on $\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3})$ (this follows from (3.2)), it is possible

to take a further subsequence$\{V_{n_{l_k}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for which $\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3})$converges to$\sigma^2(\omega)>0$. Since, by Lemma 3.3, the third moment of$Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3}$ is bounded, the random variable$(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3})^2$ in uniformly integrable, and, thus, along the subsequence for which$Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3}$ weakly converges and $\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{>\omega V^{2/3}}V^{-2/3})$ converges in distribution toZ^*_ω, we have

$$\mathrm{Var}(Z^*_\omega)=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathrm{Var}_{p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(Z_{>\omega V_{n_{l_k}}^{2/3}}V_{n_{l_k}}^{-2/3})=\sigma^2(\omega)>0. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $\mathrm{Var}(Z^*_\omega)>0$, we must have that$\mathbb{P}(Z^*_\omega=0)<1$. Thus, by (3.18) and the above, we obtain that$\mathbb{P}(Z^*_\omega=0)\in(0,1)$,so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X^*>\omega)&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(|\mathcal{C}_{\max}|V_n^{-2/3}>\omega\right)\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}\left(Z_{>\omega V_{n_{l_k}}^{2/3}}V_{n_{l_k}}^{-2/3}>0\right)\\&=\mathbb{P}(Z^*_\omega>0)\in(0,1).\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

This proves Proposition 3.1. □

4 Diameter and mixing time

Let$d_{\mathcal{C}}$ denote the graph metric (or*intrinsic*metric) on the percolation cluster$\mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 4.1(Nachmias–Peres [19])*Consider bond percolation on the graph*$\mathbb{G}$*with vertex set*$\mathbb{V}$, $V=|\mathbb{V}|<\infty$, *with percolation parameter* $p\in(0,1)$. *Assume that for all subgraphs*$\mathbb{G}'\subset\mathbb{G}$*with vertex set*$\mathbb{V}'$,

(a)$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{G}',p}\left|\mathcal{E}\left(\{u\in\mathcal{C}(v):d_{\mathcal{C}(v)}(v,u)\le k\}\right)\right|\le d_1k,\quad v\in\mathbb{V}'$;
(b)$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G}',p}\left(\exists u\in\mathcal{C}(v):d_{\mathcal{C}(v)}(v,u)=k\right)\le d_2/k,\quad v\in\mathbb{V}'$,

where$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{C})$*denotes the number of open edges with both endpoints in*$\mathcal{C}$. *If for some cluster*$\mathcal{C}$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G},p}\left(\omega^{-1}V^{2/3}\le|\mathcal{C}|\right)\ge1-\frac{b}{\omega}, \tag{4.1}$$

then there exists $c>0$*such that for all*$\omega\ge1$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G},p}\left(\omega^{-1}V^{1/3}\le\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{C})\le\omega V^{1/3}\right)\ge1-\frac{c}{\omega^{1/3}}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G},p}\left(T_{\mathrm{mix}}(\mathcal{C})>\omega V\right)\le\frac{c}{\omega^{1/6}}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G},p}\left(\omega^{-1}V>T_{\mathrm{mix}}(\mathcal{C})\right)\le\frac{c}{\omega^{1/34}}. \tag{4.4}$$

We apply the theorem for $\mathbb{G}=\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$ and $p=p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Theorem 1.2 implies that (4.1) holds for the ith largest cluster $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{(i)}$, $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Hence Corollary 1.3 follows from Theorems 1.2 and 4.1 once we have verified conditions (a) and (b) in the above theorem. In fact, (4.3) is a slight improvement over (1.10).

Before proceeding with the verification, we shall comment on how to obtain Theorem 4.1 from the work of Nachmias and Peres [19]. Indeed, Theorem 4.1 is very much in the spirit of [19, Theorem 2.1], though the O-notation there depends on β. The bound (4.2) is nevertheless straightforward from [19, proof of Theorem 2.1(a)] and (4.1). For (4.3) we use (4.2) together with the bound $T_{\mathrm{mix}}(\mathcal{G})\le 8|\mathcal{E}|\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{G})$, valid for any finite (random or deterministic) graph $\mathcal{G}$ with edge set $\mathcal{E}$, cf. [19, Corollary 4.2].

Furthermore, subject to conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 4.1, there exist constants $C_1, C_2>0$ such that for any $\beta>0, D>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{G},p}\left(\exists v\in\mathbb{V}:\ |\mathcal{C}(v)|>\beta V^{2/3}, T_{\mathrm{mix}}(\mathcal{C}(v)) < \frac{\beta^{21}}{1000D^{13}}V\right)\\ \le D^{-1}\left(C_1+C_2\beta^3 D^{-2}\right);\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

which is obtained by combining [19, (5.4)] with the display thereafter. From this we can deduce (4.4) by choosing $D=1000^{-1/13}\omega$ and $\beta=\omega^{-1/34}$.

We complete the proof of Corollary 1.3 by verifying that the conditions in Theorem 4.1(a) and (b) indeed hold for critical percolation on the high-dimensional torus.

Verification of Theorem 4.1(a). The cluster $\mathcal{C}(v)$ is a subgraph of the torus with degree Ω, therefore we can replace the number of edges on the left hand side by the number of vertices (and accommodate the factor Ω in the constant d_1). In [15, Proposition 2.1], a coupling between the cluster of v in the torus and the cluster of v in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ was presented, which proves that $\mathcal{C}(v)$ can be obtained by identifying points which agree modulo r in a subset of the cluster of v in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. A careful inspection of this construction shows that this coupling is such that it *preserves* graph distances. Since $\left|\{u\in\mathcal{C}(v): d_{\mathcal{C}(v)}(v,u)\le k\}\right|$ is monotone in the number of edges of the underlying graph, the result in Theorem 4.1(a) for the torus follows from the bound $\mathbb{E}_p\left|\{u\in\mathcal{C}(v): d_{\mathcal{C}(v)}(v,u)\le k\}\right| \le d_1 k$ for critical percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. This bound was proved in [16, Theorem 1.2(i)]. □

Verification of Theorem 4.1(b). For percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, this bound was proved in [16, Theorem 1.2(ii)]. However, the event $\left\{\exists u\in\mathcal{C}(v): d_{\mathcal{C}(v)}(v,u)=k\right\}$ is not monotone, and, therefore, this does not prove our claim. However, a close inspection of the proof of [16, Theorem 1.2(ii)] shows that it only relies on the bound that

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{T},p_c(\mathbb{Z}^d)}(|\mathcal{C}(v)|\ge k)\le C_1/k^{1/2} \tag{4.6}$$

(see in particular, [16, Section 3.2]). The bound (4.6) holds for $k\le b_1 V^{2/3}$ by [6, (1.19)] and Theorem 2.1 (where b_1 is a certain positive constant appearing in [6, (1.19)]). For $k>b_1 V^{2/3}$ we use instead (2.36). Alternatively, one obtains (4.6)

from the corresponding$\mathbb{Z}^d$-bound (proven by Barsky–Aizenman [5] and Hara–Slade [12]), together with the fact that$\mathbb{Z}^d$-clusters stochastically dominate$\mathbb{T}_{r,d}$-clusters by [15, Proposition 2.1]. This completes the verification of Theorem 4.1(b).□

AcknowledgementsThe work of RvdH was supported in part by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). We thank Asaf Nachmias for enlightening discussions concerning the results and methodology in [16,19]. MH is grateful to Institut Mittag-Leffler for the kind hospitality during his stay in February 2009, and in particular to Jeff Steif for inspiring discussions.

References

1. Aizenman, M.: On the number of incipient spanning clusters. Nuclear. Phys. B**485**(3), 551–582 (1997)
2. Aizenman, M., Barsky, D.J.: Sharpness of the phase transition in percolation models. Comm. Math. Phys.**108**(3), 489–526 (1987)
3. Aizenman, M., Newman, C.M.: Tree graph inequalities and critical behavior in percolation models. J. Stat. Phys.**36**(1–2), 107–143 (1984)
4. Aldous, D.: Brownian excursions, critical random graphs and the multiplicative coalescent. Ann. Probab.**25**(2), 812–854 (1997)
5. Barsky, D.J., Aizenman, M.: Percolation critical exponents under the triangle condition. Ann. Probab.**19**(4), 1520–1536 (1991)
6. Borgs, C., Chayes, J.T., van der Hofstad, R., Slade, G., Spencer, J.: Random subgraphs offinite graphs. I. The scaling window under the triangle condition. Random Struct. Algorithms**27**(2), 137–184 (2005)
7. Borgs, C., Chayes, J.T., van der Hofstad, R., Slade, G., Spencer, J.: Random subgraphs offinite graphs. II. The lace expansion and the triangle condition. Ann. Probab.**33**(5), 1886–1944 (2005)
8. Grimmett, G.: Percolation, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 321, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (1999)
9. Hara, T.: Mean-field critical behaviour for correlation length for percolation in high dimensions. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields**86**(3), 337–385 (1990)
10. Hara, T.: Decay of correlations in nearest-neighbour self-avoiding walk, percolation, lattice trees and animals. Ann. Probab.**36**(2), 530–593 (2008)
11. Hara, T., van der Hofstad, R., Slade, G.: Critical two-point functions and the lace expansion for spread-out high-dimensional percolation and related models. Ann. Probab.**31**(1), 349–408 (2003)
12. Hara, T., Slade, G.: Mean-field critical behaviour for percolation in high dimensions. Comm. Math. Phys.**128**(2), 333–391 (1990)
13. Hara, T., Slade, G.: The scaling limit of the incipient infinite cluster in high-dimensional percolation. I. Critical exponents. J. Stat. Phys.**99**(5–6), 1075–1168 (2000)
14. Hara, T., Slade, G.: The scaling limit of the incipient infinite cluster in high-dimensional percolation. II. Integrated super-Brownian excursion. J. Math. Phys.**41**(3), 1244–1293 (2000)
15. Heydenreich, M., van der Hofstad, R.: Random graph asymptotics on high-dimensional tori. Comm. Math. Phys.**270**(2), 335–358 (2007)
16. Kozma, G., Nachmias, A.: The Alexander-Orbach conjecture holds in high dimensions. Invent. Math. **178**(3), 635–654 (2009)
17. Menshikov, M.V.: Coincidence of critical points in percolation problems. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **288**(6), 1308–1311 (1986)
18. Nachmias, A., Peres, Y.: Critical percolation on random regular graphs. Preprint arXiv:0707.2839v2 [math.PR], 2007. To appear in Random Structures and Algorithms
19. Nachmias, A., Peres, Y.: Critical random graphs: diameter and mixing time. Ann. Probab.**36**(4), 1267–1286 (2008)
20. Slade, G.: The lace expansion and its applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1879, xiv+232 pp. Springer, Berlin (2006)