CIHE Perspectives No. 22

Power and Equity in International Higher Education: Proceedings of the 2023 WES-CIHE Summer Institute Boston College

Marisa Lally

(Editor)





CIHE Perspectives

This series of studies focuses on aspects of research and analysis undertaken at the Boston College Center for International Higher Education.

The Center brings an international consciousness to the analysis of higher education. We believe that an international perspective will contribute to enlightened policy and practice. To serve this goal, the Center produces International Higher Education (a quarterly publication), books, and other publications; sponsors conferences; and welcomes visiting scholars. We have a special concern for academic institutions in the Jesuit tradition worldwide and, more broadly, with Catholic universities.

The Center promotes dialogue and cooperation among academic institutions throughout the world. We believe that the future depends on effective collaboration and the creation of an international community focused on the improvement of higher education in the public interest.

Center for International Higher Education Campion Hall Boston College Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 USA www.bc.edu/cihe

© 2023 Boston College Center for International Higher Education. All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

1 WES/CIHE Foreword Esther Benjamin, Rebecca Schendel & Gerardo Blanco

Student Experiences in International Higher Education

- 3 Racial Learning of International Students of Color in the U.S.: Re-examining the *Learning Race in a U.S. Context* Emergent Framework

 Mianmian Fei
- On the Politics of Access and Participation: The Everyday Work of Students with Disabilities in Nigerian Higher Education

 Abass B. Isiaka
- 8 Co-constructing Negotiated Internationalization: Chinese Students' Lived Engagement in an International Joint University
 Bowen Zhang
- The Effect of the Wide Use of Education Agents in International Student Recruitment on the International Higher Education Sector Ying Yang

Experiences of Academics in International Higher Education

- 13 Power and (In)Equity in the Mobility of International Academic Staff Tugay Durak
- 16 Traditions as "Tacit Knowledge" in Global Asymmetries: The Case of Chinese Humanities and Social Sciences Scholars Yanzhen Zhu

Issues in Internationalization

- 18 Power and Equity in International Scholarships Jessica Amarilla
- 20 Equity, Access, Merit and Justice in Aid-funded International Scholarship Programs Annabel Boud
- 23 Excellence Initiatives for the Internationalization of Higher Education: The Case of the Brazilian Capes-PrInt Program Isabela Beraldi Esperandio
- 25 Revealing Structural Inequalities under Taiwan's Internationalization Policies Yi-Hsuan Irene Huang
- 28 Exploring English and its Symbolic Violence in Internationalization at Home in China Ting Du
- 30 Structural Disadvantages and Limited Opportunities for International Mobility in Higher Education
 Hyejin Choi
- 33 Conceptions of Power & Equity as Moderating Forces in International Higher Education and International Relations Jonah Otto

Regional Higher Education Policies

- 36 The Political Economy of Employability: Framing Employability Policies in African Higher Education Paul Othusitse Dipitso
- 38 Examining Discourses of Power and Value in EU-ASEAN Higher Education Cooperation Policy and Programs Marisa Lally & Tessa DeLaquil
- 41 From the Regional to the Global: Why Higher Education Regionalization Matters for Universities?

 You Zhang

Understanding the "Glocal" in International Higher Education

- 43 "We are armed with education": Understanding the International Higher Education Community's Support for Ukraine Ielyzaveta Shchepetylnykova
- 46 Equity in Postgraduate Research in the UK: A Rapid Review of Select Literature Bukola Oyinloye

Conceptions of Power & Equity as Moderating Forces in International Higher Education and International Relations

Jonah Otto

Jonah Otto is a research and teaching fellow at Universität Augsburg and a research fellow at the Institute for Development Strategies at Indiana University. Email: jonah.otto@uni-a.de

Perceptions of the nature and functioning of the world are broadly influenced by societal paradigm shifts that impact nearly all fields of study, including political science, environmental science, educational psychology/pedagogy, economics, human/civil rights, etc. This paper refers to these elements as 'moderating forces' in the context of international higher education (IHE) because of their ability to question or alter not only the conceptions of theories and practices within the academic and professional field, but also the understanding of the realized outcomes of those theories and practices on different stakeholder groups. Changing understandings of power and equity in stakeholder relations fall under the umbrella of these moderating forces and bear consequences for practices and outcomes within both the field of IHE and the field of international relations (IR), among others. Since changing conceptions of power and equity serve as moderating forces on both fields individually, it stands to reason that they are also moderating forces over the area where these two fields overlap, particularly when considering the potential for IHE to be used as a conduit of soft power within IR, a topic which will be discussed later in this work. Understanding how this concept functions is then crucial for analyzing the interplay of these fields moving forward.

In the IHE literature, increasing attention and focus has been placed on the internationalization of higher education and notions of diversity, equity, and inclusion, which has provided scholars and practitioners with an updated understanding of power and equity in the field (Özturgut, 2017; Jones et al., 2021). This heightened intensity of consideration is warranted, given how inequity creates, or is caused by, power imbalances between stakeholders, which lead to further inequities in these relational contexts, resulting in a cycle that is hard to break (Brandenburg et al., 2020; Buckner & Stein, 2019; Marginson, 2022). In the IHE space, the beneficiaries of power imbalances have largely been the higher education institutions (HEIs) of the West/Global North, where, intentionally or not, these institutions have exploited the advantage granted by systemic inequity. Examples of this exploitation of the dominant relational position include the prevalence of English as the preferred language among a majority of international scientific journals/publications (Valcke, 2020), colonial practices at international branch campuses (Clarke, 2021; Xu, 2021), one-sided dealing in global partnerships (Lanford, 2021), neglecting engagement with higher education institutions in the East (Altbach & de Wit, 2015) and Global South (Dutta, 2020), refusing to accept or recognize Indigenous knowledge (Huaman et al., 2019; Patel, 2017) and the pervasive use of university ranking systems (Hazelkorn, 2015; Marginson, 2007; Marope et al., 2013). Findings such as these have contributed to what has become an evolving paradigm shift in IHE as an academic field and as a professional practice, acknowledging a need to realign the internationalization of higher education to improve overall outcomes for all stakeholders in the global society, not just those that benefit from relational power imbalances (Jones et al., 2021). Thus, modern conceptions of power and equity can be understood as moderating forces which influence the theoretical underpinnings and practical outcomes of IHE.

While the aforementioned (and non-exhaustive) list of practices may be problematic and produce uneven outcomes within the context of IHE, one can understand how troubling this also is for countries at the lower end of power and equity imbalances, when considering the sizable role that IHE plays in the broader realm of IR. While the theory of soft power investigates the overall strategies countries employ in an effort to influence one another without use of direct military or financial force (Nye, 2004), scholars have identified higher education as a key soft power strategic area (Wojciuk et al., 2015), as HEIs/IHE can be used to proliferate a country's political ideals, instill sympathies for the country of the host institution in its international students/faculty members and to forge personal relationships with future leaders from abroad (Nye, 2005). It can then be argued that the influence of power imbalances in IHE occurs at multiple levels of analysis - not just at the institutional level, but also at the country level. As opposed to previous interpretations of IHE that postured the concept as a neutral process and neglected relational dynamics (de Wit, 2023), the updated conceptions of power and equity have enabled scholars to also recognize unequal outcomes in the IR space as it pertains to higher education, and recent studies have thus called for new approaches to realign and balance the dynamics in these relational contexts. These new approaches, including knowledge diplomacy (Knight, 2017, 2022) and cultural diplomacy (Canales, 2023), seek to remove power imbalances from the relational equation by focusing on equity between partners to produce mutual benefit and exchange instead of exploitation, coercion, and influence. HEIs and governing bodies are then encouraged by researchers and the broader public to engage with stakeholders across their campus, in their local constituencies and in their broader partnership networks to design their international missions and strategies in a way that accounts for potential externalities, that is, how programs/initiatives might cause unintended harm upon represented, unrepresented, known, or unknown stakeholder groups. Here it is again evident that changing understandings of power and equity serve as a moderating force on the interplay between IHE and IR.

Particularly as critiques of IHE (Brandenburg & de Wit, 2011) and calls for a realignment toward global social responsibility and the internationalization of higher education for society (Jones et al., 2021) continue to rise, and as the evolution of the role of IHE in IR and diplomacy persists (Canales, 2023; Knight, 2022), it is imperative to recognize updated conceptions of power, equity and other moderating forces influence fundamental changes of perspective in these fields. Further research should then work to build conceptual frameworks that map out the nature of this influence so that the relationship between moderating forces, theory, practice, and outcomes can be better understood. Consequently, the results of these studies could inform policymakers and practitioners so that the field may adjust more quickly to produce better outcomes for the global society, namely, increased value and quality of IHE for stakeholders, regardless of their national context or their relative power.

References

Altbach, P. G., & de Wit, H. (2015). Internationalization and global tension: Lessons from history. Journal of Studies in International Education, 19(1), 4-10. https://doi. org/10.1177/1028315314564734

Brandenburg, U., & De Wit, H. (2011). The end of internationalization. International Higher Education, 15-17. https:// doi.org/10.6017/ihe.2011.62.8533

Brandenburg, U., De Wit, H., Jones, E., Leask, B., & Drobner, A. (2020). Internationalisation in higher education for society (IHES): Concept, current research and examples of good practice. German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD). Retrieved from https://static.daad.de/media/ daad_de/pdfs_nicht_barrierefrei/der-daad/analysen-studien/daad_s15_studien_ihes_web.pdf

Buckner, E., & Stein, S. (2019). What Counts as Internationalization? Deconstructing the Internationalization Imperative. Journal of Studies in International Education, 24:2, 151-166. https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315319829878

Canales, C. D. (2023). The Hard Facts about Soft Power: Lessons Learned from U.S. Cultural Diplomacy. In Soft Power and the Future of US Foreign Policy. https://doi. org/10.7765/9781526169136.00016

- Clarke, L. (2021). 'To Educate and Liberate?': Moving from Coloniality to Postcoloniality in the International Branch Campus Model. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*, 13(5), 15-35. https://doi.org/10.32674/jcihe. v13i5.3655
- Dutta, M. J. (2020). Whiteness, internationalization, and erasure: Decolonizing futures from the Global South. *Communication and Critical/Cultural Studies*, *17*(2), 228-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/14791420.2020.1770825
- de Wit, H. (2023). Internationalization in Higher Education: Critical Reflections on Its Conceptual Evolution. *International Higher Education*, (115), 14-16. https://doi.org/10.36197/IHE.2023.115.06
- Hazelkorn, E. (2015). Rankings and the reshaping of higher education: The battle for world class excellence. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137446671
- Huaman, E. A. S., Chiu, B., & Billy, C. (2019). Indigenous internationalization: Indigenous worldviews, higher education, and Tribal Colleges and Universities. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 27, 101-101. https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.27.4366
- Jones, E., Leask, B., Brandenburg, U., & de Wit, H. (2021). Global social responsibility and the internationalisation of higher education for society. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 25(4), 330-347. https://doi. org/10.1177/10283153211031679
- Knight, J. (2017). Global: Moving from soft power to knowledge diplomacy. In *Understanding Higher Education Internationalization* (pp. 381-382). SensePublishers, Rotterdam. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6351-161-2_82
- Knight, J. (2022). Knowledge Diplomacy: A Definition and Conceptual Framework. In Knowledge Diplomacy in International Relations and Higher Education (pp. 99-111). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14977-1
- Lanford, M. (2021). Critical perspectives on global partnerships in higher education: Strategies for inclusion, social impact, and effectiveness. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*, 13(5), 10-14. https://doi.org/10.32674/jci-he.v13i5.4449
- Marginson, S. (2007). Global University Rankings: Implications in general and for Australia. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 29(2): 131 -142. https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800701351660
- Marginson, S. (2022). Space and scale in higher education: the glonacal agency heuristic revisited. *Higher Education*, 84: 1365-1395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-022-00955-0
- Marope, P. T. M., Wells, P. J., & Hazelkorn, E. (Eds.). (2013). Rankings and accountability in higher education: Uses and misuses. UNESCO. Retrieved from http://repositorio.mine-du.gob.pe/bitstream/handle/20.500.12799/1546/Rankings%20and%20Accountability%20in%20Higher%20 Education.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- Nye, J. (2004). Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics. New York, NY: Public Affairs. https://doi. org/10.1002/j.1538-165X.2004.tb01291.x

- Nye, J. (2005). Soft power and higher education. In *Forum for the future of higher education (Archives)* (pp. 11-14). Harvard University. Retrieved from https://library.educause.edu/resources/2005/1/soft-power-and-higher-education
- Özturgut, O. (2017). Internationalization for Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. *Journal of Higher Education Theory & Practice*, 17(6): 83-91. Retrieved from https://articlegateway.com/index.php/JHETP/article/view/1529
- Patel, F. (2017). Deconstructing internationalization: Advocating glocalization in international higher education. *Journal of International and Global Studies*, 8(2), 4. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/jigs/vol8/iss2/4/
- Valcke, J. (2020). Beyond English-medium education: from internationalization to sustainable education. In *English-medium instruction and the internationalization of universities* (pp. 259-279). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47860-5_11
- Wojciuk, A., Michalek, M., & Stormowska, M. (2015). Education as a source and tool of soft power in international relations. *European Political Science*, 14: 298-317. https://doi. org/10.1057/eps.2015.25
- Xu, Z. (2021). Examining neocolonialism in international branch campuses in China: A case study of mimicry. *Journal of Comparative & International Higher Education*, 13(5), 72-85. https://doi.org/10.32674/jcihe.v13i5.2540