# Adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration to millikelvin temperatures with the distorted square lattice magnet NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub>

U. Arjun<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> K. M. Ranjith<sup>1</sup>,<sup>3</sup> A. Jesche<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup> F. Hirschberger,<sup>2</sup> D. D. Sarma<sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> and P. Gegenwart<sup>2</sup>,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru-560012, India

<sup>2</sup>Experimental Physics VI, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, Institute of Physics, University of Augsburg,

86135 Augsburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Intenses-EMFL, CNRS, Université Grenoble Alpes, 38042 Grenoble, France

(Received 28 September 2023; accepted 13 November 2023; published 11 December 2023)

We report the synthesis, characterization, low-temperature magnetic, and thermodynamic measurements of the millikelvin adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration (mK-ADR) candidate material NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> which exhibits a distorted square lattice arrangement of YbO<sub>6</sub> magnetic units. Magnetization and specific heat indicate weakly interacting effective spin-1/2 moments below 10 K, with a Curie-Weiss temperature of only 15 mK, that can be polarized by magnetic fields of order 1 T. For the ADR performance test, we start the demagnetization from 5 T at a temperature of ~2 K and reach a minimum temperature of 150 mK at zero field. The warming curve indicates a sharp magnetic transition in the heat capacity at 210 mK, implying only weak magnetic frustration. The entropy density of  $S_{GS} \simeq 101$  mJ K<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-3</sup> and hold time below 2 K of 220 min are competitive while the minimal temperature is higher compared to frustrated Ytterbium-oxide ADR materials studied under similar conditions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.224415

# I. INTRODUCTION

Ultralow temperatures below 1 K are often prerequisites for the occurrence of quantum phenomena in condensed matter and, respectively, key for modern quantum technologies. The global helium shortage [1-5] and the rising need for millikelvin (mK) refrigeration lead to a resurrection of adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration (ADR), a long-established helium-free cooling technique [6-8]. ADR cooling has the advantage of having less environmental impact and saving almost one-third of energy [9], and it can be used in various applications such as quantum computation [10], space technologies [11-15], etc. For mK-ADR applications, materials with a significant entropy change (in the temperature range of 20 mK to 5 K) and a strong magnetocaloric effect (MCE) [16] (in a minimal applied magnetic field) are preferred. In ADR, the coolant material is precooled in a magnetic field of a few teslas and then adiabatically demagnetized. Due to the low entropy of the material after precooling in the field, adiabatic demagnetization requires a further temperature reduction to mK. Recent advances in continuous mK-ADR address the ineffectiveness of ADR compared to <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He dilution refrigeration for continuous refrigeration [12,17]. Commercial continuous refrigerators based on ADR are already available on the market, suggesting their potential as a significant refrigeration advancement [18].

For cooling down to mK, hydrated paramagnetic salts such as  $CrK(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12H_2O$  (CPA), which orders at 30 mK, are

typically used in commercial ADR setups [19]. However, the necessary dilution of magnetic moments in hydrated paramagnetic salts drastically reduces the volumetric cooling power. Conversely, materials with higher concentrations of magnetic ions may exhibit enhanced exchange couplings, leading to magnetic order. The presence of magnetic ordering hinders further adiabatic refrigeration, as it reduces entropy toward zero and sets a temperature limit for ADR [20]. While an ideal refrigerant would be a perfect paramagnet with maximum entropy at zero field around 0 K, actual paramagnetic substances inevitably have weak interactions that affect their refrigeration performance by limiting the minimal ADR temperatures. In addition, hydrated organic paramagnetic salts need vacuumtight encapsulation due to high water vapor pressure and there is a limitation in properly baking them above 100 °C for UHV uses. Additionally, they have very poor intrinsic thermal conductivity, which requires the elaborate incorporation of metal wires into the encapsulated ADR pills [21].

To overcome the disadvantages of hydrated paramagnetic salts for mK-ADR, other different material classes were explored. These include frustrated [20,22–28], quantum critical [29,30], and molecular magnets [31,32], as well as metallic rare-earth compounds [33,34]. Among them, geometrically frustrated Ytterbium oxides like KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [20] and (Na/K)YbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [28], combine several advantages: minimal ADR temperatures well below 50 mK, in combination with larger volumetric entropy density compared to hydrated paramagnetic salts, and, most importantly, chemical stability. ADR pellets based on these materials can easily be prepared by pressing polycrystals with fine silver powder (to ensure optimal thermal contact at low temperatures) and upscaled to the kilogram range.

<sup>\*</sup>arjunu@iisc.ac.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>philipp.gegenwart@physik.uni-augsburg.de

As a result of spin orbit coupling and crystal electric field (CEF) splitting of the  $Yb^{3+}$  states in an octahedral environment, a Kramers doublet with effective spin 1/2 moments arises in these materials at low temperatures. The effect of geometrical frustration in triangular rare-earth magnets has recently been investigated in YbMgGaO<sub>4</sub> and AYbX<sub>2</sub> (A=alkali metal, X = Se, S, O [35–42]. Absence of long-range order together with broad excitation continua was related to the effect of geometrical frustration. In addition, the structural randomness of Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Ga<sup>3+</sup> in the former material gives rise to broad CEF splittings and broad distributions of the g factors and exchange interactions. The structural randomness is therefore detrimental to long-range order and could mimic spin liquid behavior [43]. KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, with 40% larger Yb-Yb distance compared to YbMgGaO4 and no direct Yb-O-Yb links, features similar structural randomness of K<sup>+</sup> and Ba<sup>2+</sup> atoms, occupying the same position in the crystal lattice. This randomness could, together with frustration, further enhance the ADR performance [20]. For isostructural KBaGd( $BO_3$ )<sub>2</sub>, which orders magnetically at 0.26 K, a minimal temperature of 0.12 K was obtained [27]. Indeed, the phase transition in this material is strongly broadened and of triangular instead of  $\lambda$ -type shape, implying that the entropy release has been shifted by structural randomness toward much lower temperatures. More generally, the previous results motivate the investigation of other than triangular lattices without structural randomness with respect to their mK-ADR performance.

In the spin-1/2 2D frustrated square lattice (FSL) model or  $J_1$ - $J_2$  model, frustration may arise due to competing nearestneighbor  $J_1$  (along the edge) and next-nearest-neighbor  $J_2$ (along the diagonal) interactions [44–49]. If both  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ are antiferromagnetic (AF), frustration arises, leading to interesting phases. The spin-1/2 FSL exhibits a rich theoretical phase diagram with various ground states depending on  $J_1$ and  $J_2$  or the frustration parameter  $\alpha = J_1/J_2$  [49,50]. The model exhibits classical ground states characterized by ferromagnetic (FM) order, Néel AF order, and columnar AF order. At the boundary between the latter two, a QSL state has been predicted for  $J_2/J_1 \simeq 0.4$  to 0.6. Furthermore, a spin nematic phase is predicted between the FM and columnar AF phase [49,50]. Although there are several spin-1/2 FSL candidate systems based on transition metals that fit well within the theoretical phase diagram [49,50], there are yet no good examples of rare-earth-based spin-1/2 FSL candidate systems.

In this paper, we report a thorough investigation down to mK temperatures on NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub>, with effective 1/2 spins on a structurally ordered distorted square lattice. We do not find evidence for magnetic frustration. Instead the material displays a sharp  $\lambda$ -type phase transition in the heat capacity at 0.21 K. Indeed, the minimal ADR temperature after demagnetization to zero field under similar conditions to previous studies on KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [27] and (Na/K)YbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [28] is only 0.15 K, while the warm-up time is enhanced compared to that of the two latter systems, as could also be expected from the ~60% higher entropy density. Altogether, the comparison supports the previous conjecture, that magnetic frustration and randomness are beneficial for optimized ADR performance.

# **II. METHODS**

*Synthesis:* Polycrystalline samples of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> were synthesized by solid-state reaction, annealing the stoichiometric mixture of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (99.99%), Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (99.99%), and GeO<sub>2</sub> (99.99%) in an alumina boat at 1000 °C for NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> for a duration of 48 h with one intermediate grinding and pelletization.

*Powder x-ray diffraction:* The phase purity of the samples was confirmed by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical powder diffractometer with Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation,  $\lambda_{ave} = 1.54182$  Å) at room temperature. Rietveld refinement of the observed XRD patterns was performed using the FullProf package [51] (see Fig. 2), taking the initial parameters from [52].

*DC magnetization:* DC magnetization (M) was measured as a function of temperature T and applied magnetic field Husing the SQUID magnetometer with He-3 option [MPMS-3, Quantum Design].

Specific heat: Specific heat  $[C_p(T)]$ , was measured using the heat capacity option of the PPMS (Quantum Design). For the low temperature (0.4 K  $\leq T \leq 2.2$  K)  $C_p$  measurements, the <sup>3</sup>He probe (Quantum Design) was utilized in the PPMS. Furthermore, we calculated the heat capacity below 0.4 K from the warming curve in the ADR experiment (see below).

To achieve strong thermal coupling, pellets made with NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> (grain diameter  $10-50 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) and fine silver powders (grain diameter  $1 \mu m$ ) in a mass ratio of 1:1 were used for specific heat measurements. To obtain the specific heat of the sample, the contribution of silver was subtracted from the raw data. The specific heat  $C_p$  in a magnetic insulator has significant contributions from phonons  $(C_{ph})$  and the magnetic moments ( $C_m$ ). At high temperatures,  $C_p(T)$  is entirely dominated by  $C_{\rm ph}$ , while at low temperatures, it is dominated by  $C_{\rm m}$ . For estimating the  $C_{\rm ph}$ , the zero-field data were fitted by a polynomial function  $C_{\rm ph}(T) = aT^3 + bT^5 + cT^7$  above 10 K, where a, b, and c are appropriate constants [53]. Similar procedures have been adopted earlier and proven to be efficient in cases where heat capacity data of nonmagnetic analog compounds are unavailable [54-57]. The fit was extrapolated down to low temperatures, and  $C_{\rm m}$  was obtained by subtracting the fitted data from the experimental  $C_p$  data. The magnetic entropy was estimated as  $S_{\rm m}(T) = \int_{0.4K}^{T} \frac{C_{\rm m}(T')}{T'} dT'$ .

Adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration (ADR): A homemade ADR setup for the PPMS was utilized [20,27,28]. A cylindrical pellet made from equal weights of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> (grain diameter 10-50 µm) and silver powder (grain diameter  $1 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) was pressed weighing approximately 3.5 g, with 15 mm diameter and 6 mm thickness. The pellet was mounted on a plastic straw and thermally isolated from the heat bath. A RuO<sub>2</sub> thermometer was attached to the pellet and connected through thin resistive manganin wires to minimize the heat flow. The resistor was measured with a current of 1 nA utilizing a Lake-Shore 372 AC bridge. To minimize the effects of surrounding thermal radiation, a metallic cap was utilized as a shield. The sample was cooled to  $T \approx 2 \,\mathrm{K}$  at 5 T and then the high-vacuum mode (pressure  $<10^{-4}$  mbar) was applied to achieve thermal decoupling. Subsequently, the magnetic field was swept to zero at a rate of  $0.15 \text{ T min}^{-1}$ . The pellet reached



FIG. 1. Crystal structure of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub>. The YbO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are linked via  $GeO_4$  tetrahedra and span a distorted square lattice grid (left panel). Right panel shows the possible connections between the square lattice grids. Different Yb-Yb distances are color coded.

its lowest temperature and then slowly warmed back to 2 K via slow heat flow from the bath.

#### **III. RESULTS**

## A. Structure

The compound NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> crystallizes in the orthorhombic crystal structure with space group *Pnma* (No. 62). The crystal structure of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> contains a distorted diagonally connected square lattice arrangement of YbO<sub>6</sub> octahedra carrying the magnetic moments connected through GeO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra along the *bc* plane (see left panel of Fig. 1). The possible interaction pathways between these square lattice layers are shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. From the structure, the nearest neighbor exchange is through GeO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra. Both distances are smaller than 5.5 Å, beyond which typically dipolar coupling dominates in other Ytterbium oxides [27].

# B. Powder x-ray diffraction

Figure 2 shows the powder XRD pattern of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at room temperature, along with the Rietveld refinement. The refinement is done using the orthorhombic space group *Pnma* (No. 62), taking initial parameters from Ref. [52]. The goodness of the fit is  $\chi^2 = 4.19$ . The obtained lattice parameters are a = 11.2633(5) Å, b = 6.3756(3) Å, c = 5.2344(2) Å,



FIG. 2. Powder x-ray diffraction pattern (open red circles) for NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at room temperatures. The solid line represents the Rietveld refinement, with the vertical bars showing the expected Bragg peak positions and the lower solid blue line representing the difference between observed and calculated intensities.



FIG. 3. Inverse magnetic susceptibility  $1/\chi$  vs temperature of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at a field of 1 T. Dashed and solid lines represent the fits by Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively.

and  $V_{\text{cell}} \simeq 375.89 \text{ Å}^3$ . These values are in close agreement with the reported values [52].

# C. Magnetization

Figure 3 displays the inverse magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)^{-1} = H/M$  of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at 1 T field together with an extended Curie-Weiss (CW) fit according to

$$\chi(T) = \chi_0 + \frac{C}{T - \theta_{\rm CW}},\tag{1}$$

which describes very well the high-T region between 200 and 300 K. Here,  $\chi_0$  is the T-independent contribution consisting of the diamagnetic susceptibility ( $\chi_{core}$ ) of core electron shells and the Van Vleck paramagnetic susceptibility  $(\chi_{VV})$  of the open shells of the  $Yb^{3+}$  ions. The second term in Eq. (1) is the CW law with the CW temperature  $\theta_{CW}$  and Curie constant  $C = N_A \mu_{eff}^2 / 3k_B$ . Here,  $N_A$  is Avogadro's number,  $\mu_{\rm eff} = g \sqrt{J(J+1)} \mu_{\rm B}$  is the effective magnetic moment, g is the Landé g factor,  $\mu_{\rm B}$  is the Bohr magneton, and S is the spin quantum number. The fitting parameters are  $\chi_0^{\text{HT}} \simeq 4.34 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol}, C_{\text{HT}} \simeq 2.19 \text{ cm}^3\text{K/mol}, \text{ and } \theta_{\text{CW}}^{\text{HT}} \simeq -47.1 \text{ K}.$ From the value of  $C_{\rm HT}$ , the effective moment is obtained to  $\mu_{\rm eff}^{\rm HT} \simeq 4.19 \mu_{\rm B}$ , in good agreement with the expected spin-only value of 4.54  $\mu_{\rm B}$  for the Yb<sup>3+</sup> (J = 7/2, g = 8/7) ion in the  $4f^{13}$  configuration. The large value of the CW temperature arises from the CEF splitting. This is evident from the curvature in  $\chi^{-1}(T)$  below 200 K leading to a change in slope below 50 K, as excited CEF levels become thermally depopulated. Such behavior is typical for Yb<sup>3+</sup>-based spin systems where CEF splits the J = 7/2 multiplet into four Kramers doublets. Our entropy analysis below confirms the presence of a Kramers doublet ground state at temperatures below 10 K. Figure 3 also includes a two-level fit [58] (cf. the red solid line) according to

$$1/\chi(T) = 8(T - \theta_{\rm CW}) \left(\frac{\mu_{\rm eff,1}^2 + \mu_{\rm eff,2}^2 \cdot e^{(-\Delta/T)}}{1 + e^{(-\Delta/T)}}\right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu_{eff,1}$  and  $\mu_{eff,2}$  correspond to energy levels separated by an energy gap  $\Delta$ . The fit down to 25 K yields  $\Delta \simeq 282$  K,  $\mu_{eff,1} \simeq 3.57 \,\mu_B$ ,  $\mu_{eff,2} \simeq 5.11 \,\mu_B$ , and  $\theta_{CW} \simeq -6.1$  K. The deviation of the fit from the data below 30 K indicates that the



FIG. 4. Isothermal magnetization M(H) of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at a temperature of 0.4 K. Dashed line represents linear contribution (see text). The inset shows the low-temperature inverse magnetic susceptibility after subtracting  $\chi_0$  along with the CW fit.

obtained  $\mu_{eff,1}$  and  $\theta_{CW}$  do not represent the lowest Kramers doublet. Using a fitting approach that incorporates four CEF levels and their associated effective moments is challenging due to the excessive number of adjustable parameters. Hence, we analyzed the magnetic susceptibility below 2 K separately using Eq. (1) to determine the properties associated with the Kramers doublet ground state.

The magnetization isotherm M(H) of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> measured up to 7 T at T = 0.4 K shows a saturation at around 1.5 T (Fig. 4). The saturation value  $M_{\rm sat}$  is estimated by fitting the high-field region above 5 T with a straight line reflecting  $\chi_0 H$  and extrapolating the line back to zero field. This yields  $\chi_0 \simeq 5.58 \times 10^{-3}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/mol and  $M_{\rm sat} \simeq 1.92 \,\mu_{\rm B}$ , giving  $g_{\rm eff} \simeq 3.84$  from  $\mu_{\rm sat} = g_{\rm eff} S_{\rm eff} \,\mu_{\rm B}$ .

After subtracting  $\chi_0$ , the  $\chi(T)$  data below 2 K are fitted by the CW law  $\chi(T) = C/(T - \theta_{CW})$ . The fitting yields  $\theta_{CW}^{LT} \simeq$  $(15 \pm 2)$  mK and  $\mu_{eff}^{LT} \simeq 3.32 \,\mu_B$ . The obtained effective moment is in good agreement with  $\mu_{eff} = g_{eff} \sqrt{S_{eff}(S_{eff} + 1)} \,\mu_B$ for a pseudo-spin-1/2 ground state with  $g_{eff}$  value of ~3.84, consistent with the value estimated from  $M_{sat}$ . The CW temperature depends on the sum of all exchange interactions and thus the balance of AF and FM contributions could result in a very small value of  $\theta_{CW}^{LT}$ . Analysis of ADR curves, detailed later, evidences AF order at 210 mK, which proves that the extremely small value of  $\theta_{CW}$  must arise from the sum of AF and FM contributions. Note that a combination of nearest neighbor AF and next-nearest neighbor FM interactions on the square lattice excludes significant frustration.

#### D. Specific heat

Figure 5, upper panel, illustrates the magnetic specific heat for NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> under various applied fields, suggesting its potential for mK-ADR. The zero-field specific heat data show no indication of magnetic long-range ordering above 0.4 K. A small anomaly at 2.23 K, cf. the difference between the data and the solid blue line, arises from 0.6% Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> impurities [53]. The finite-field data show Schottky anomalies, resulting



FIG. 5. Low-temperature magnetic specific heat  $(C_m)$  of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> at several external magnetic fields (upper panel). Phonon contribution was subtracted from the raw data using a polynomial fit [53]. The lower panel displays the magnetic entropy  $S_m(T)$  obtained by integrating  $C_m/T$  over temperature. For the 0 and 1 T data the integration constant was adjusted such that a value of  $R \ln 2$  (thin black line) is reached above 10 K. Two arrows show the ADR process.

from the splitting of the lowest Kramers doublet in field. This is confirmed by the fact that the entropy approaches  $R \ln 2$ , as displayed in the lower panel. As indicated by the two arrows, starting the ADR at  $\sim 2 \text{ K}$  in a field of 5 T should reveal a minimal temperature well below 0.4 K in zero field.

#### E. Adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration (ADR)

The cooling performance of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> in our PPMS setup described above is shown in Fig. 6. Near 0.1 T the temperature trace passes a minimum at 135 mK. This sign change of the adiabatic magnetocaloric effect indicates the crossing of a magnetic phase boundary. Upon further reducing the field, the temperature increases toward 150 mK in zero field. The subsequent warming results from the finite heat input due to nonperfect adiabatic conditions. The time dependence of the temperature T(t) displays a clear anomaly near 100 minutes. To analyze this trace, we utilize the equation

$$Q = C_{\rm ADR} \cdot T, \tag{3}$$

where  $\dot{Q}$  represents a (constant) heat input per unit time,  $C_{ADR}$  denotes the (magnetic) heat capacity of the ADR pellet, and  $\dot{T}$  is the time derivative of temperature during warming. Taking  $\dot{Q} = 0.58 \ \mu$ W, a perfect agreement between the directly measured heat capacity and  $C_{ADR}$  is found down to 0.4 K (see Fig. 7). This heat input mainly arises from the residual He gas in our setup and here it was slightly lower than the 0.71  $\mu$ W determined previously for KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [27]. For



FIG. 6. Cooling of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> by ADR. The red and blue lines display the temporal evolution of temperature (left *y* axis) and magnetic field (right *y* axis). After precooling in a field of 5 T, the sample space was evacuated and subsequently the magnetic field was swept from 5 to 0 T at a rate of  $0.15 \text{ T min}^{-1}$ . The inset enlarges the regime close to zero field, where the temperature reaches a minimum of 135 mK at 0.1 T and then saturates at 150 mK in zero field. The warm-up time amounts to 220 min.

KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> we also confirmed that the calculated heat capacity exactly equals the measured heat capacity signature of the AF transition. This provides us strong confidence that the sharp transition in  $C_{ADR}$  for NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> distinctly signifies sharp AF order at  $T_N \simeq 210$  mK. Note, that the sign change of the magnetocaloric effect in the field of 0.1 T at 130 mK is compatible with the suppression of the AF order in field.

The warm-up time of  $\sim$ 220 min (3 hr 40 min) is approximately 4–6 times longer than that of KYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> ( $\sim$ 35 min),



FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of the total (magnetic) heat capacity of the NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub>-based ADR pellet determined from the warming curve shown in Fig. 6 by calculating  $C_{ADR} = \dot{Q}/\dot{T}$  (red points). The constant heat input  $\dot{Q} = 0.58\mu$ W was used to obtain agreement with the measured heat capacity (black points) above 0.4 K.

NaYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (~55 min), and KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (~40 min) [28] though much less than ~8 hr for KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [27]. This reflects that the entropy density 101 mJ/(K cm<sup>3</sup>) of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> is roughly 60% larger than that of the former materials but only half of that in KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. The latter material reaches a similar (even slightly lower) ADR end temperature of 122 mK [27] compared to 135 mK in NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> and is therefore even better suitable for applications in this target range.

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

The sharp AF phase transition at 210 mK indicates that NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> with a distorted square-lattice arrangement of Yb<sup>3+</sup> moments is much weaker magnetically frustrated compared to the triangular-lattices KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [20] and NaYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [28], as well as the distorted hyperhoneycomblattice KYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [28], which all show no magnetic order above 35 mK ( $T_N = 8$  mK in KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> has been deduced by comparison with KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [27]). The fact that the CW temperature in NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> is much smaller compared to the ordering temperature could be attributed to AF nearest neighbor and FM second neighbor exchange couplings. In this configuration, no frustration arises, as both first and second neighbor interactions are consistently satisfied simultaneously. If any frustration exists, it would likely arise from interactions perpendicular to the plane.

A comparison of the ADR performance of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> with that of other ADR materials, in particular geometrically frustrated Ytterbium borates and diphosphates is therefore interesting, as this may allow to draw conclusions on the importance of magnetic frustration in this respect. The crucial parameters that determine the efficiency of the various known ADR materials are compared in Table I. The full entropy of

TABLE I. Comparison of important parameters of different mK ADR materials:  $T_N$  is the magnetic ordering temperature,  $T_{min}$  is the minimum temperature attained,  $S_{GS}$  is the entropy of the ground-state multiplet, and R is the universal gas constant. The abbreviations are MAS = Mn(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O (manganese ammonium sulfate), FAA = NH<sub>4</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>) · 12H<sub>2</sub>O (ferric ammonium alum), CPA = KCr(SO<sub>4</sub>) · 12H<sub>2</sub>O (chromium potassium alum), and CMN = Mg<sub>3</sub>Ce<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>12</sub> · 24H<sub>2</sub>O (cerium magnesium nitrate).

| ADR materials  |                        |                          |                 |  |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Material   | T <sub>N</sub><br>(mK) | T <sub>min</sub><br>(mK) | S <sub>GS</sub> | $S_{\rm GS}/{\rm vol.}$<br>[mJ/(K cm <sup>3</sup> )] |
| MAS [59]   | 170                    | <300                     | <i>R</i> ln 6   | 70   |
| FAA [59]   | 30                     | <100                     | <i>R</i> ln 6   | 53   |
| CPA [19]   | 10                     | <100                     | $R \ln 4$       | 42   |
| CMN [60]   | 2                      | <40                      | $R \ln 2$       | 16   |
| $KBaGd(BO_3)_2$ [27]                                 | 263                    | 122                      | <i>R</i> ln 8   | 192  |
| $YbPt_2Sn_{12}$ [34]                                 | 250                    | <200                     | $R \ln 2$       | 124  |
| Yb <sub>3</sub> Ga <sub>5</sub> O <sub>12</sub> [24] | 54                     | <200                     | $R \ln 2$       | 124  |
| NaYbGeO <sub>4</sub> (this study)                    | 210                    | 135                      | <i>R</i> ln 2   | 101  |
| KBaYb(BO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> [20]            | 8                      | <20                      | $R \ln 2$       | 64   |
| $NaYbP_2O_7$ [28]                                    | <45                    | <45                      | $R \ln 2$       | 64   |
| KYbP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> [28]                | <37                    | <37                      | $R \ln 2$       | 57   |

the ground state is described as  $S_{GS} = R \ln(2J + 1)$ , and the entropy density  $S_{GS}/vol.$  is calculated by dividing  $S_{GS}$  by the unit cell volume. A large value of  $S_{GS}$  is always beneficial because the magnetic entropy changes ( $\Delta S_m$ ) of magnetic refrigerants act as the driving force of ADR. However, it is worth noting that for practical purposes it is not the molar entropy but the volumetric entropy density  $S_{GS}$  of the material that is relevant.

As can be seen from Table I, materials with high entropy density such as  $YbPt_2Sn$  or  $Yb_3Ga_5O_{12}$  exhibit magnetic orderings at 250 mK and 54 mK, respectively, which limit their lowest attainable temperatures as the entropy drops below the ordering temperatures. The high spin hydrated paramagnetic salts, such as MAS and FAA, which have a larger magnetic entropy *R* ln 6 are also affected by their much higher magnetic ordering temperatures. On the other hand, low transition temperature materials such as CPA and CMN have low magnetic moment density and hence low entropy density. A high entropy density usually contradicts a low magnetic ordering temperature.

In this context, it has been reported that the frustrated Yb-based quantum magnets AYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, exhibit these two mutually exclusive criteria, such as a high volumetric entropy density combined with a very low ordering [20,28], allowing ADR to well below 50 mK. In KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, both magnetic frustration and structural randomness contribute to suppress magnetic ordering. These oxides have been shown to be excellent anhydrous ADR refrigerants and under the same experimental conditions in PPMS, out of these three compounds, KYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> is attaining the lowest temperature of 37 mK [28]. Utilizing a better adiabatic setup in the <sup>3</sup>He-<sup>4</sup>He dilution refrigerator with feedback control of the bath temperature following the sample temperature, these materials can reach even lower temperatures well below 20 mK, which has been experimentally demonstrated for KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> [20].

NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> has a large magnetic ion density ( $\sim 10.6 \text{ nm}^{-3}$ ) and volumetric entropy density [ $\sim 101 \text{ mJ/(K cm}^3$ )]. These values are higher than that of AYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and KBaYb(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and this is reflected in the significantly longer warm up time under comparable conditions. However, the end temperature of 135 mK is much higher compared to the case of the frustrated materials, indicating the importance of frustration for obtaining low end temperatures.

Compared to conventional mK ADR coolants based on hydrated paramagnetic salts, NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> is stable at high

vacuum and can be heated up to  $1000 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  making it easy to handle and suitable for UHV applications. For our studies we prepared ADR pellets by mixing powder samples with silver powder in a 1 : 1 ratio for getting excellent thermal contact. The NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> pellets, sintered at  $1000 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ , are mechanically stronger compared to the diphosphate pellets which we sintered only at  $600 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  [28]. Thus, mechanically stable NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> ADR pills could also be made with much less or even without silver powder.

# V. CONCLUSION

We synthesized and studied NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> with effective spin-1/2 moments on a distorted square lattice with respect to its performance in millikelvin (mK) adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration (ADR). While the Curie-Weiss temperature of 15 mK would suggest the absence of significant magnetic interactions, the heat capacity, derived from the warming curve after ADR, shows a sharp AF phase transition at  $T_N \simeq 210$  mK. This suggests AF nearest neighbor and FM second neighbor exchange couplings and a nonfrustrated magnetic state. Compared to geometrically frustrated Ytterbium borates and diphosphates, the minimal ADR temperature of NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> is significantly larger. This supports the conjecture that magnetic frustration is beneficial for mK-ADR.

Even though NaYbGeO<sub>4</sub> has a limitation in the lowest attainable temperature (~135 mK) due to the presence of sharp AF ordering, it has a comparably high entropy density and respectively shows a much longer warm-up time than Ytterbium borates and diphosphates. Chemical stability upon heating up to 1000 °C, UHV compatibility, and the easy production of mechanically stable sintered pellets make this material an interesting alternative to hydrated paramagnetic salts for ADR applications above 200 mK.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Marvin Klinger and Yoshi Tokiwa for useful discussions. U.A. would like to acknowledge the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, for the financial support received through the DST Inspire Faculty Fellowship with reference number DST/INSPIRE/04/2019/001664. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation through Project 514162746 (GE 1640/11-1). D.D.S. thanks SERB, DST, and CSIR, Government of India, for financial support.

- L. M. Kelley, Challenging "helium scarcity", Chem. Eng. 110, 8 (2003).
- [2] A. H. Olafsdottir and H. U. Sverdrup, Assessing the past and future sustainability of global Helium resources, extraction, supply and use, using the integrated assessment model WORLD7, Biophys. Econ. Sustainability 5, 6 (2020).
- [3] R. T. Kouzes and J. H. Ely, Status summary of <sup>3</sup>He and neutron detection alternatives for homeland security, US Department of Energy, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, PNNL-19360 (2010), https://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/ external/technical\_reports/PNNL-19360.pdf.
- [4] D. A. Shea and D. Morgan, *The Helium-3 Shortage: Supply, Demand, and Options for Congress* (Congressional Research Service, Washington DC, 2011).
- [5] A. Cho, Helium-3 shortage could put freeze on low-temperature research, Science 326, 778 (2009).
- [6] P. Debye, Einige bemerkungen zur magnetisierung bei tiefer temperatur, Ann. der Phys. 386, 1154 (1926).
- [7] W. Giauque and D. MacDougall, Attainment of temperatures below 1° absolute by demagnetization of Gd<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> · 8H<sub>2</sub>O, Phys. Rev. 43, 768 (1933).

- [8] F. Pobell, Matter and Methods at Low Temperatures, 3rd ed. (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2007).
- [9] A. Alahmer, M. Al-Amayreh, A. O. Mostafa, M. Al-Dabbas, and H. Rezk, Magnetic refrigeration design technologies: State of the art and general perspectives, Energies 14, 4662 (2021).
- [10] A. E. Jahromi, P. J. Shirron, and M. J. DiPirro, Subkelvin cooling systems for quantum computers, in *Proceed*ings of the 2019 Cryogenic Engineering Conference and International Cryogenic Materials Conference (CEC/ICMC), GSFC-E-DAA-TN70637 (NASA NTRS, Hartford, CT, 2019).
- [11] P. J. Shirron, Applications of the magnetocaloric effect in single-stage, multi-stage and continuous adiabatic demagnetization refrigerators, Cryogenics 62, 130 (2014).
- [12] P. Shirron, E. Canavan, M. DiPirro, J. Francis, M. Jackson, J. Tuttle, T. King, and M. Grabowski, Development of a cryogen-free continuous ADR for the constellation-X mission, Cryogenics 44, 581 (2004).
- [13] P. J. Shirron, Cooling capabilities of adiabatic demagnetization refrigerators, J. Low Temp. Phys. 148, 915 (2007).
- [14] N. Luchier, J. Duval, L. Duband, P. Camus, G. Donnier-Valentin, and M. Linder, 50 mK cooling solution with an ADR precooled by a sorption cooler, Cryogenics 50, 591 (2010).
- [15] C. Hagmann and P. Richards, Adiabatic demagnetization refrigerators for small laboratory experiments and space astronomy, Cryogenics 35, 303 (1995).
- [16] P. Weiss and A. Piccard, Le phénomène magnétocalorique, J. Phys. Theor. Appl. 7, 103 (1917).
- [17] J. Bartlett, G. Hardy, I. Hepburn, C. Brockley-Blatt, P. Coker, E. Crofts, B. Winter, S. Milward, R. Stafford-Allen, M. Brownhill *et al.*, Improved performance of an engineering model cryogen free double adiabatic demagnetization refrigerator, Cryogenics 50, 582 (2010).
- [18] Kiutra, See e.g. Cooling Technology: Solid-state cryogen-free cooling, https://kiutra.com/technology/.
- [19] J. Daniels and N. Kurti, The thermal and magnetic properties of chromium potassium alum below 0.1 K, Proc. R. Soc. A 221, 243 (1954).
- [20] Y. Tokiwa, S. Bachus, K. Kavita, A. Jesche, A. A. Tsirlin, and P. Gegenwart, Frustrated magnet for adiabatic demagnetization cooling to millikelvin temperatures, Commun. Mater. 2, 42 (2021).
- [21] P. Wikus, E. Canavan, S. T. Heine, K. Matsumoto, and T. Numazawa, Magnetocaloric materials and the optimization of cooling power density, Cryogenics 62, 150 (2014).
- [22] M. E. Zhitomirsky, Enhanced magnetocaloric effect in frustrated magnets, Phys. Rev. B 67, 104421 (2003).
- [23] Y. Hu and A. Du, Magnetization behavior and magnetic entropy change of frustrated Ising antiferromagnets on two-and threedimensional lattices, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 20, 125225 (2008).
- [24] D. A. P. Brasiliano, J.-M. Duval, C. Marin, E. Bichaud, J.-P. Brison, M. Zhitomirsky, and N. Luchier, YbGG material for adiabatic demagnetization in the 100 mK-3 K range, Cryogenics 105, 103002 (2020).
- [25] X.-Y. Liu, Y. Gao, H. Li, W. Jin, J. Xiang, H. Jin, Z. Chen, W. Li, and G. Su, Quantum spin liquid candidate as superior refrigerant in cascade demagnetization cooling, Commun. Phys. 5, 1 (2022).

- [26] M. Kleinhans, K. Eibensteiner, J. C. Leiner, C. Resch, L. Worch, M. A. Wilde, J. Spallek, A. Regnat, and C. Pfleiderer, Magnetocaloric properties of R<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>(R = Tb, Gd, Nd, Dy), Phys. Rev. Appl. **19**, 014038 (2023).
- [27] A. Jesche, N. Winterhalter-Stocker, F. Hirschberger, A. Bellon, S. Bachus, Y. Tokiwa, A. A. Tsirlin, and P. Gegenwart, Adiabatic demagnetization cooling well below the magnetic ordering temperature in the triangular antiferromagnet KBaGd(BO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **107**, 104402 (2023).
- [28] U. Arjun, K. M. Ranjith, A. Jesche, F. Hirschberger, D. D. Sarma, and P. Gegenwart, Efficient adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration to below 50 mk with ultrahigh-vacuum-compatible ytterbium diphosphates AYbP<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(A = Na, K), Phys. Rev. Appl. **20**, 014013 (2023).
- [29] B. Wolf, Y. Tsui, D. Jaiswal-Nagar, U. Tutsch, A. Honecker, K. Remović-Langer, G. Hofmann, A. Prokofiev, W. Assmus, G. Donath, and M. Lang, Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic cooling near a field-induced quantum-critical point, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 6862 (2011).
- [30] B. Wolf, U. Tutsch, S. Dörschug, C. Krellner, F. Ritter, W. Assmus, and M. Lang, Magnetic cooling close to a quantum phase transition—the case of Er<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, J. Appl. Phys. 120, 142112 (2016).
- [31] M. Evangelisti, O. Roubeau, E. Palacios, A. Camón, T. N. Hooper, E. K. Brechin, and J. J. Alonso, Cryogenic magnetocaloric effect in a ferromagnetic molecular dimer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50, 6606 (2011).
- [32] A. Baniodeh, N. Magnani, Y. Lan, G. Buth, C. E. Anson, J. Richter, M. Affronte, J. Schnack, and A. K. Powell, High spin cycles: Topping the spin record for a single molecule verging on quantum criticality, npj Quantum Mater. 3, 10 (2018).
- [33] Y. Tokiwa, B. Piening, H. S. Jeevan, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and P. Gegenwart, Super-heavy electron material as metallic refrigerant for adiabatic demagnetization cooling, Sci. Adv. 2, e1600835 (2016).
- [34] D. Jang, T. Gruner, A. Steppke, K. Mitsumoto, C. Geibel, and M. Brando, Large magnetocaloric effect and adiabatic demagnetization refrigeration with YbPt<sub>2</sub>Sn, Nat. Commun. 6, 8680 (2015).
- [35] I. Kimchi, A. Nahum, and T. Senthil, Valence bonds in random quantum magnets: Theory and application to YbMgGaO<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031028 (2018).
- [36] Y. Li, D. Adroja, P. K. Biswas, P. J. Baker, Q. Zhang, J. Liu, A. A. Tsirlin, P. Gegenwart, Q. Zhang *et al.*, Muon spin relaxation evidence for the u(1) quantum spin-liquid ground state in the triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 097201 (2016).
- [37] J. A. Paddison, M. Daum, Z. Dun, G. Ehlers, Y. Liu, M. B. Stone, H. Zhou, M. Mourigal *et al.*, Continuous excitations of the triangular-lattice quantum spin liquid YbMgGaO<sub>4</sub>, Nat. Phys. **13**, 117 (2017).
- [38] M. M. Bordelon, E. Kenney, C. Liu, T. Hogan, L. Posthuma, M. Kavand, Y. Lyu, M. Sherwin, N. P. Butch, C. Brown *et al.*, Field-tunable quantum disordered ground state in the triangularlattice antiferromagnet NaYbO<sub>2</sub>, Nat. Phys. **15**, 1058 (2019).
- [39] K. M. Ranjith, S. Luther, T. Reimann, B. Schmidt, P. Schlender, J. Sichelschmidt, H. Yasuoka, A. M. Strydom, Y. Skourski, J. Wosnitza, H. Kühne, T. Doert, and M. Baenitz, Anisotropic field-induced ordering in the triangular-lattice quantum spin liquid NaYbSe<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 224417 (2019).

- [40] M. Baenitz, P. Schlender, J. Sichelschmidt, Y. A. Onykiienko, Z. Zangeneh, K. M. Ranjith, R. Sarkar, L. Hozoi, H. C. Walker, J.-C. Orain, H. Yasuoka, J. van den Brink, H. H. Klauss, D. S. Inosov, and T. Doert, NaYbS<sub>2</sub>: A planar spin-1/2 triangularlattice magnet and putative spin liquid, Phys. Rev. B 98, 220409(R) (2018).
- [41] B. Schmidt, J. Sichelschmidt, K. M. Ranjith, T. Doert, and M. Baenitz, Yb delafossites: Unique exchange frustration of 4f spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  moments on a perfect triangular lattice, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 214445 (2021).
- [42] K. M. Ranjith, D. Dmytriieva, S. Khim, J. Sichelschmidt, S. Luther, D. Ehlers, H. Yasuoka, J. Wosnitza, A. A. Tsirlin, H. Kühne, and M. Baenitz, Field-induced instability of the quantum spin liquid ground state in the  $J_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}$  triangular-lattice compound NaYbO<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 180401(R) (2019).
- [43] Z. Zhu, P. A. Maksimov, S. R. White, and A. L. Chernyshev, Disorder-induced mimicry of a spin liquid in YbMgGaO<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 157201 (2017).
- [44] E. Dagotto and A. Moreo, Phase diagram of the frustrated spin-1/2 heisenberg antiferromagnet in 2 dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2148 (1989).
- [45] R. Darradi, O. Derzhko, R. Zinke, J. Schulenburg, S. E. Krüger, and J. Richter, Ground state phases of the spin-1/2  $J_1 J_2$  heisenberg antiferromagnet on the square lattice: A high-order coupled cluster treatment, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 214415 (2008).
- [46] H.-C. Jiang, H. Yao, and L. Balents, Spin liquid ground state of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  square  $J_1 J_2$  heisenberg model, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 024424 (2012).
- [47] L. Wang and A. W. Sandvik, Critical level crossings and gapless spin liquid in the square-lattice spin- $1/2 J_1 J_2$  heisenberg antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 107202 (2018).
- [48] N. Shannon, T. Momoi, and P. Sindzingre, Nematic order in square lattice frustrated ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 027213 (2006).
- [49] N. Shannon, B. Schmidt, K. Penc, and P. Thalmeier, Finite temperature properties and frustrated ferromagnetism in a square lattice heisenberg model, Eur. Phys. J. B 38, 599 (2004).
- [50] O. Mustonen, S. Vasala, K. P. Schmidt, E. Sadrollahi, H. C. Walker, I. Terasaki, F. J. Litterst, E. Baggio-Saitovitch, and M. Karppinen, Tuning the s = 1/2 square-lattice antiferromagnet  $Sr_2Cu(Te_{1-x}W_x)O_6$  from Néel order to quantum disorder to columnar order, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 064411 (2018).

- [51] J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 192, 55 (1993).
- [52] M. Emirdag-Eanes, M. Krawiec, and J. W. Kolis, Hydrothermal synthesis and structural characterization of NaLnGeO<sub>4</sub>(Ln = Ho, Er, Tb, Tm, Yb, Lu) family of lanthanide germanates, J. Chem. Crystallogr. **31**, 281 (2001).
- [53] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.224415 for additional information on the specific heat data analysis.
- [54] S. Guchhait, U. Arjun, P. K. Anjana, M. Sahoo, A. Thirumurugan, A. Medhi, Y. Skourski, B. Koo, J. Sichelschmidt, B. Schmidt, M. Baenitz, and R. Nath, Case study of bilayered spin-1/2 square lattice compound VO(HCOO)<sub>2</sub> · (H<sub>2</sub>O), Phys. Rev. Mater. 3, 104409 (2019).
- [55] R. Nath, M. Padmanabhan, S. Baby, A. Thirumurugan, D. Ehlers, M. Hemmida, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, and A. A. Tsirlin, Quasi-two-dimensional S = 1/2 magnetism of Cu[C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>(COO)<sub>4</sub>][C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 054409 (2015).
- [56] T. Matsumoto, Y. Miyazaki, A. S. Albrecht, C. P. Landee, M. M. Turnbull, and M. Sorai, Heat capacities of the S = 1/2 two-dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnet bis(2-amino-5-chloropyridinium) tetrabromocuprate(ii) [(5CAP)<sub>2</sub>CuBr<sub>4</sub>] and its diamagnetic analogue [(5CAP)<sub>2</sub>ZnBr<sub>4</sub>], J. Phys. Chem. B **104**, 9993 (2000).
- [57] T. Lancaster, S. J. Blundell, M. L. Brooks, P. J. Baker, F. L. Pratt, J. L. Manson, M. M. Conner, F. Xiao, C. P. Landee, F. A. Chaves, S. Soriano, M. A. Novak, T. P. Papageorgiou, A. D. Bianchi, T. Herrmannsdörfer, J. Wosnitza, and J. A. Schlueter, Magnetic order in the s = 1/2 two-dimensional molecular antiferromagnet copper pyrazine perchlorate Cu(Pz)<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 094421 (2007).
- [58] S. Mugiraneza and A. M. Hallas, Tutorial: a beginner's guide to interpreting magnetic susceptibility data with the Curie-Weiss law, Commun. Phys. 5, 95 (2022).
- [59] O. E. Vilches and J. C. Wheatley, Measurements of the specific heats of three magnetic salts at low temperatures, Phys. Rev. 148, 509 (1966).
- [60] R. A. Fisher, E. W. Hornung, G. E. Brodale, and W. F. Giauque, Magnetothermodynamics of Ce<sub>2</sub>Mg<sub>3</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>12</sub> · 24H<sub>2</sub>O. II. The evaluation of absolute temperature and other thermodynamic properties of CMN to 0.6 mK, J. Chem. Phys. **58**, 5584 (1973).