## CXCR4-directed PET/CT in 100 patients with Marginal Zone Lymphoma – Superior diagnostic performance, Predictive Potential and Eligibility for CXCR4-directed Endoradiotherapy

Johannes Duell, Simone Schneid, Aleksander Kosmala, Niklas Dreher, Takahiro Higuchi, Alexander Weich, Constantin Lapa, Philipp Hartrampf, Samuel Samnick, Markus Raderer, Leo Rasche, Hermann Einsele, Andreas Buck, Max Topp and Rudolf Werner Journal of Nuclear Medicine June 2023, 64 (supplement 1) P23;

## **Abstract**

## P23

Introduction: We aimed to determine the diagnostic performance of CXCR4-directed PET/CT when compared to guideline-compatible work-up in patients with marginal zone lymphoma (MZL; including gastrointestinal endoscopy [GIE], bone marrow-derived biopsy [BMB] and CT-based Ann Arbor classification). We also assessed predictive potential of CXCR4 PET signal and evaluated the portion of patients eligible for CXCR4-directed endoradiotherapy (ERT) in a theranostic approach.

Methods: 100 MZL patients underwent CXCR4-directed PET/CT. A visual and quantitative assessment was conducted (total number of volume of interests, 686), including mean/maximum/peak standardized uptake values [SUV<sub>mean/max/peak</sub>], tumor volume (TV) CXCR4 fractional tumor activity (CXCR4-FTA, defined as TV x SUV<sub>mean</sub>). We then evaluated the diagnostic performance of CXCR4-directed imaging relative to guideline-compatible work-up and evaluated the prognostic performance of CXCR4-PET for progression-free survival (PFS). We also determined the rate of patients which would be eligible for a CXCR4-directed ERT (based on intensity and widespread disease).

Results: On a patient-based level, CXCR4-directed PET was positive in 78/100 (78%). Quantitative results were as follows: SUV<sub>mean</sub>, 5.20 (range 0.68-22.09); SUV<sub>max</sub>, 9.35 (2.53-44.90); SUV<sub>peak</sub>, 5.07 (1.32-26.31); TV, 23.22 (0.60-934.0) and FTA, 142.2 (1.74-7522.0). Relative to CT, CXCR4-directed imaging led to an upstaging in 48/100 (48%, with Ann Arbor re-classification from I/II to III/IV in 14/48 [29%]), no change in 51/100 (51%) and downstaging in the remaining subject (1/100 [1%]). Moreover, in 59 cases in whom GIE was available, CXCR4 PET/CT yielded concordant findings (59/63 [94%]; discordant, 4/63 [6%]). Comparable results were recorded for comparing PET/CT with 60 available BMB (concordant, 46/60 [77%]; discordant, 14/60 [23%]). In CXCR4 PET/CT positive patients, increased CXCR4-FTA (median 165.6 ml) was linked to decreased PFS (716 days vs. median PFS not reached; HR of progress = 2.25 [95%CI = 0.96–5.30]; P= 0.07). CXCR4-directed ERT would have been feasible in 15/100 (15%).

Conclusions: Relative to CT, CXCR4-directed PET/CT led to an upstaging in 48%, in particular for the clinically relevant re-classification from Ann Arbor I/II to III/IV, thereby potentially triggering change in therapeutic management. Increased PET-derived FTA may also be linked to shorter PFS. In a theranostic approach, 15% of patients would have been eligible for CXCR4-directed ERT.