

Improving rapeseed carbon footprint evaluation via the integration of remote sensing technology into an LCA approach

Xueqing Yang, Xiuchun Dong, Alberto Bezama, Yang Liu

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Yang, Xueqing, Xiuchun Dong, Alberto Bezama, and Yang Liu. 2024. "Improving rapeseed carbon footprint evaluation via the integration of remote sensing technology into an LCA approach." *Science of The Total Environment* 946: 174262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174262>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0



Improving rapeseed carbon footprint evaluation via the integration of remote sensing technology into an LCA approach

Xueqing Yang^{a,b,*}, Xiuchun Dong^a, Alberto Bezama^b, Yang Liu^{c,**}

^a Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Agriculture (Chengdu Agricultural Remote Sensing Sub-center), Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu, China


^b Department of Bioenergy, Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research (UFZ), Leipzig, Germany

^c Chair of Management, Innovation and Sustainable Business, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

HIGHLIGHTS

- A novel rapeseed carbon footprint assessment approach has been developed.
- Rapeseed carbon footprint at pixel level (10 m * 10 m) is available.
- Remote sensing integrated with life cycle assessment improves spatial-temporal heterogeneity.
- Soil organic carbon is recognized as good greenhouse gas emission offsets.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Climate change
Spatial-temporal heterogeneity
Life cycle assessment
Environmental effects
Soil organic carbon
Greenhouse gas emissions

ABSTRACT

Agricultural carbon footprint (CF) evaluation plays an important role in climate change mitigation and national food security. Many studies have been conducted worldwide to evaluate the CF of rapeseed and its byproducts; however, only a few of these studies have considered finer-scale spatial-temporal heterogeneity. Considering the advantages of using detailed crop information extracted by remote sensing (RS) techniques, we attempted to integrate RS into life cycle assessments to improve rapeseed CF evaluation. A case study was conducted from 2021 to 2023 in one of the most important grain- and rapeseed-producing areas in Southwest China, namely, the Chengdu Plain, covering an area of 18,810.00 km². The results of our study suggest that: (1) the proposed approach is applicable for high-resolution (10 m * 10 m) rapeseed distribution mapping; (2) the farm-based CFs of rapeseed in the studied region range from 3333.08 to 4572.82 kgCO₂-eq ha⁻¹, while the product-based CFs (PCFs) vary from 1316.23 to 2443.95 kgCO₂-eq t⁻¹. Nitrogen fertilizer processing and its application are identified as the dominant contributors to upstream and downstream greenhouse emissions (GHGs), respectively; (3) the significant role of soil properties and soil organic carbon in influencing crop PCFs indicates good GHG offsets. The method used in the current study has strong adaptability and universality in different areas with various

* Corresponding author at: Institute of Remote Sensing and Digital Agriculture (Chengdu Agricultural Remote Sensing Sub-center), Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Chengdu, China.

** Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xueqing.yang@ufz.de (X. Yang), yang1.liu@uni-a.de (Y. Liu).

1. Introduction

Climate change is, by far, the greatest challenge that human society has faced together (Rosenzweig et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2024). Among the different sectors, global food systems contribute approximately one-third of global anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions (Mene-gat et al., 2022). In the face of both climate change and food security issues caused by population expansion, increasing agricultural production efficiency from the perspective of decreasing GHG emissions is urgent (Zhang et al., 2021). To achieve this goal, the quantification of the GHG emissions of entire crop production systems plays a crucial role in identifying emission hotspots and further improving carbon emission performance (Tian et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022; Feng et al., 2023).

The carbon footprint (CF) is an effective indicator for calculating carbon emissions in a product system with a specific system boundary and is expressed as CO₂ equivalents (CO₂-eq) (ISO14067, 2013). The whole life cycle of agricultural production activities includes substance and agricultural input consumption, planting management, harvesting and transportation, and waste recycling (Boettcher et al., 2020). Depending on the system boundary, the life cycle assessment (LCA) approach is adopted to quantify the environmental impacts of products with various system boundaries ranging from “cradle to grave”, “cradle to gate” and “gate to grave”. However, the quality of classic LCA results highly depends on accessible inventories, which are normally aggregated or averaged conditions at a relatively high level and thus have poor spatial and temporal resolution (Reap et al., 2008). To cope with this, there is an emerging trend to improve the spatial and temporal heterogeneity of LCA by integrating geographic information systems (GISs) (Gasol et al., 2011; O’Keeffe et al., 2016b; Escobara et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2022) or coupling with process-based models for better simulation of the carbon nitrogen (N) cycle, such as DNDC (DeNitrification-DeComposition) (Tabatabaie et al., 2018; Medel-Jiménez et al., 2022; Medel-Jiménez et al., 2024), NUFER (Nutrient flows in Food chains, Environment and Resources use) (Guo et al., 2022), Candy (CArbon and Nitrogen DYnamics) (O’Keeffe et al., 2016b) and so forth. Due to the complex nature of crop growth, variations in regional management strategies and local economic development levels, the CF results are still regarded as highly uncertain (Finnveden, 2000; Hellweg and Milà, 2014).

Remote sensing (RS) technology has the obvious advantages of objectivity, efficiency, cost and large-scale synchronous observation. In particular, RS can obtain key biophysical and chemical parameters related to rapeseed production, providing reliable input data and spatial distribution patterns for crop growth models, data assimilation systems and yield estimations. There are already successful rapeseed mapping studies, which can be categorized as empirical index-based, machine learning-based and hybrid methods. Nevertheless, there are no guidelines for choosing the best method, as they inevitably have their respective advantages and limitations. For instance, the empirical index-based method is commonly based on the spectral features of the rapeseed flowering period, which is straightforward to implement. Representative examples include the Canola index (Ashourloo et al., 2019), normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) (Han et al., 2021a), enhanced vegetation index (Tao et al., 2020) and enhanced area yellow index (Zeng et al., 2020). However, these phenology-based rapeseed mapping methods rely heavily on images of flowering periods and are easily disturbed by cloud noise (Zeng et al., 2020). Machine learning-based approaches, such as artificial neural networks (Tao et al., 2019) and random forest regression (Meng et al., 2020), can overcome this problem by learning nonflowering features from training samples for rapeseed mapping. Unfortunately, machine learning-based approaches

require large training samples, and sample collection and validation are usually time-consuming and labour-intensive. The hybrid approach combines the advantages of both empirical index-based and machine-learning methods, such as seamless and automated rapeseed mapping (SARM) (Zhang et al., 2022), rule-based sample generation and a one-class classifier (RSG-OC) (Zang et al., 2023). Regrettably, the limitations of applying SARM to large-scale and long-term rapeseed mapping in complex cultivation systems are obvious due to the inability of the winter rapeseed index to distinguish rapeseed from other non-rapeseed classes. Additionally, the application of RSG-OC in regions of Southwest China displayed relatively poorer performance due to the difficulty of capturing the flowering stage from cloud noise.

Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) is widely cultivated as an important biomass energy source in Europe and is considered as the major edible oil crop and ornamental and economic crop in China (Yang et al., 2022). China has experienced significant leaps in rapeseed yield, with an average rapeseed production of 13 million tons and 690,000 ha of planting area in the past two decades. However, owing to the short supply of rapeseed in the domestic market, there is a high reliance on foreign imports. To improve the yield of rapeseed effectively, rapeseed varieties, meteorological conditions and local socioeconomic development play important roles (Liang et al., 2023). Chinese rapeseed can be divided into spring and winter rapeseed varieties, which have different phenological calendars both spatially and temporally. Winter rapeseed is dominant in Southwest China and is mainly distributed in the lower reaches of the Yangtze River (LYRB), including Yunnan, Guizhou, and Sichuan provinces and Chongqing municipality. This region is dominated by smallholder-owned agricultural land, which has complex agricultural planting structures, small-scale agricultural systems and diverse agricultural management methods, leading to additional difficulties in mitigating CO₂ emissions.

Referring to GHG emission evaluation, existing studies offer certain insights into the CF of rapeseed production at the national level. As documented in previous studies, the FCF of rapeseed during production ranges from 1450 to 2840 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹, and the PCF shifts from 1010 to 1320 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹ in various regions of China (Wang et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2022). Among the four rapeseed production subregions in China, the highest CF was observed in the LYRB, which also has the highest N application rate (Guo et al., 2022). Farm surveys, agricultural statistics or estimated data were adopted in these studies; however, the average value estimated for each province is obviously too coarse to discuss the spatial heterogeneity pattern of the CFs. Moreover, the comprehensiveness and objectiveness of farm surveys are easily influenced by the quality of farmers’ feedback, and large-scale surveys cannot always be guaranteed when considering workload. Thus, the existing studies failed to underpin a useful strategy that guarantees finer spatial-temporal information on rapeseed CF. Some studies have attempted to link GIS with LCA to explore spatial-temporal patterns of carbon footprints in bioenergy (O’Keeffe et al., 2016a; O’Keeffe et al., 2016b; Yang et al., 2022), biodiversity (Di Fulvio et al., 2019) and other relevant studies (Loiseau et al., 2018). However, studies using RS to extract crop information for improving LCA-based CF evaluation are still scarce. The novelty of our study is that it is the first to introduce RS together with GIS into rapeseed CF assessment. The motivation behind this approach is that the key information of crops used for CF evaluation, such as crop distribution, phenology and yield information, obtained from RS has the advantages of revealing fine spatial patterns and saving costs compared to traditional approaches (O’Keeffe et al., 2016b).

To address the abovementioned issues, in this study, we attempted to (1) generate finer-resolution rapeseed distribution maps via the RS technique; (2) assess the PCF and FCF of rapeseed production; and (3)

analyse the GHG emission hotspots in the studied region and their environmental and socioeconomic effects. To our knowledge, this is the first study in which RS has been integrated into LCA for improving rapeseed CF evaluation; we consider this a promising way to solve the common challenges faced by agricultural CF assessments and address the practical demand for more detailed crop information in this region. The method used in the current study has strong adaptability and universality in different areas with various climatic conditions and can provide a solid basis for policymakers to formulate differentiated agricultural carbon reduction policies.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General information on the study region

The Chengdu Plain is located in the centre of Sichuan Province and covers a total area of 18,810.00 km²; it consists of six cities: Meishan, Leshan, Chengdu, Mianyang, Yaan and Deyang, as shown in Fig. 1a. This plain is one of the most important grain- and rapeseed-producing areas

in China and has been known as the “Tianfu Granary” since ancient times. As the largest plain in Southwest China, the Chengdu Plain has diverse environmental and climatic conditions. The elevation ranges from 248.00 to 5694.00 m above sea level, as illustrated in Fig. 1b. The Chengdu Plain belongs to the warm and humid subtropical southeast monsoon climate zone of the Pacific Ocean, and the annual average temperature and precipitation are 16.10 °C and 929.40 mm, respectively. The soil types on the plain are mainly paddy soil and purple soil. The cultivation system, rather than animal husbandry, serves as the major income source for the local people. In the paddy rice-dryland crop rotation system, the dominant dryland crops are wheat, rapeseed, vegetables and orchards.

2.2. Agricultural data

We chose the city level as the primary spatial scale for collecting the main agricultural data; at this level, the finest and most complete data could be obtained. The main agricultural crop production data on sowing area, yield, fertilizer application, irrigation, and agronomic




Fig. 1. The geographic location of the study site: a) municipal units and b) elevations above sea level.

machinery application were collected for each studied city in 2021, namely, Meishan, Leshan, Chengdu, Mianyang, Yaan and Deyang, as displayed in Fig. 1a. Additional rapeseed fertilizer was obtained from the National Agricultural Products Input Summary (Appendix, Table S.1).

2.3. RS-based crop mapping information

2.3.1. Optical and SAR characteristics of rapeseed

We collected RS images of the Chengdu Plain from the Sentinel-2 (S-2) and Sentinel-1 (S-1) satellites launched by the European Space Agency. The S-1/2 imagers were obtained using the Google Earth Engine and were collected during the dominant flowering season of rapeseed from March 5th to 20th 2022. Due to differences in crop rotation (rice and rapeseed) and management practices, there are significant differences in the flowering period of rapeseed among different growth regions. Using 2022 as the baseline, we obtained red, green and blue spectral bands with 10 m spatial resolution top-of-atmosphere reflectance observations based on S-1/2 time-series satellite images. Pre-processing of the S-1 data included composition and mean filtering and preprocessing of the S-2 data included cloud masking, composition and Savitzky–Golay filtering. The pixels with cloud probabilities above 50 % were masked by using the ESA S-2 cloud probability product. Then, we followed the approach proposed by Han et al. (2021a) to use both optical and SAR features to identify rapeseed flowering and pod periods. The normalized difference yellow index (NDYI), which can capture increasing yellowness in a time series during the flowering period of rapeseed, is calculated as follows (Han et al., 2021b):

$$NDYI = \frac{\text{green} - \text{blue}}{\text{green} + \text{blue}} \quad (1)$$

Considering that high-polarization band (VH) values during the pod stage are another feature that can distinguish rapeseed from other crops, we calculated the maximum VH (VHmax) covering the flowering stage until the pod stage from March 15th to May 1st 2022. Due to factors such as planting habits and crop rotation systems, there are significant differences in the phenology of rapeseed in some regions of the Chengdu Plain. Therefore, all available image data collected during the rapeseed flowering period was used to determine the rapeseed distribution and then combined to obtain the total area of rapeseed. The poor image quality in 2022 due to local cloudy and foggy weather was supplemented by imagery from 2021.

The ground truth data were further collected for the same region in 2021 from the time of rapeseed flowering until the pod season. In total, 101 rapeseed ground truth points were obtained. According to the rapeseed sample data, we assigned different thresholds for regions to further conduct RS classification and extraction. The classification results were obtained by overlaying RS images to remove small patches, so we were able to construct a standardized distribution map of rapeseed plants on the Chengdu Plain.

2.3.2. Assessment of the accuracy of rapeseed classification

To ensure accuracy, the rapeseed areas derived from RS images were further compared with the data from statistical books, and the total area of rapeseed distribution in each city of the Chengdu Plain was compared with the 2021 regional statistics. We used the RMSE, MAE and coefficient of determination (R^2) to quantify the classification accuracy (Eqs. (2)–(3)). These three indicators are constructed as follows:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f_i)^2}{n}} \quad (2)$$

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - f_i| \quad (3)$$

where n is the total number of cities, y_i is the mapped rapeseed

cultivation area for city i , and f_i is the rapeseed cultivation area recorded by the regional agricultural statistics record for city i .

In addition, we used 416 ground truth points from the field sample to overlay with the created rapeseed distribution map to generate the confusion matrix and calculate the kappa index. By doing so, the classification consistency was evaluated. The main methodology framework is shown in Fig. 2.

2.4. Carbon footprint evaluation

2.4.1. System boundary and functional unit of an LCA

In the present study, we set the system boundary from the cradle to the farm gate. The system boundary included both the background system and foreground system, as illustrated in Fig. 3. Upstream emissions are released from the production of agronomic input materials, and downstream emissions are generated during field operations, such as sowing, irrigation, fertilization, harvesting and transportation. Other downstream processes, such as rapeseed oil production, transport, and consumption, were not considered in this study. We used yield-scaled GHG emissions, i.e., the PCF ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq t}^{-1}$), and area-scaled GHG emissions, namely, the FCF ($\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$), to evaluate the CF during rapeseed cultivation.

2.4.2. GHG emission calculation

The GHG emissions during rapeseed production include both indirect and direct emissions. The indirect carbon emissions from rapeseed production systems include carbon emitted from the use of electricity, diesel oil, crop protection chemicals and other materials. The indirect emissions were calculated by summing the emissions from each agricultural input and multiplying by its emission coefficient via Eq. (4). The agricultural inputs in each system are illustrated in Fig. 3, and their corresponding carbon emission coefficients are listed in the Appendix, Table S.2.

$$CE_{i,CO_2} = \sum_j (AM_{ij} \times EF_j) \quad (4)$$

where CE_{i,CO_2} represents the sum of the emissions induced by the annual input of the j^{th} AM in $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, where i and j are the respective crop and agricultural material amounts, respectively, and EF_j is the CO_2 emission factor of the corresponding AM_j .

The direct and indirect N_2O emissions from in-field N fertilizer application were estimated using the following equation (IPCC, 2019):

$$CE_{i,N_2O} = N_2O_{i,D} + N_2O_{i,IN} \\ = [C_N \times (EF_{C-N_2O} + EF_{C-NH_3} \times 0.01 + EF_{RL} \times 0.0075)] \times \frac{44}{28} \times 265 \quad (5)$$

where CE_{i,N_2O} represents the direct and indirect N_2O emissions from the application of chemical N fertilizer in $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$. C_N is the quantity of N in chemical fertilizer applied during the annual production season (kg ha^{-1}). EF_{C-N_2O} ($=0.01$), EF_{C-NH_3} ($=0.1$) and EF_{RL} ($=0.3$) represent the default emission factors of N_2O , NH_3 and NO_3^- runoff and leaching from chemical N fertilizer application, respectively; $44/28$ is the molecular conversion factor of N_2 to N_2O ; and 265 is the global warming potential of N_2O for the 100-year period (IPCC, 2014). The values 0.0100 and 0.0075 are the conversion coefficients of NH_3 volatilization and NO_3^- runoff and leaching in N_2O equivalents, respectively (IPCC, 2006).

Carbon sequestration by crop production systems includes carbon absorption by plants and soil organic carbon (SOC) due to straw and root residue return. Other sources, such as manure application and non-tillage management, were not considered in the present study. Normally, plant-absorbed carbon is harvested after growth. Thus, the change in SOC (ΔSOC , unit $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq yr}^{-1}$) during rice-rapeseed rotation was calculated as follows (Chen et al., 2021):




Fig. 2. Workflow of rapeseed mapping by applying the NDVI and its accuracy test methodology.




Fig. 3. The system boundary set in the current study.

$$\Delta SOC_i = (SOC_{i1} - SOC_{i2}) \times \frac{44}{12}$$

$$(6) \quad SOC_{i1} = \frac{SR_{i1,i} + RB_{i1,i}}{1000} \times 29.025 + 272.33$$

(7)

$$SOC_{t2} = \frac{SR_{t2,i} + RB_{t2,i}}{1000} \times 29.025 + 272.33 \quad (8)$$

$$SR_i = Y_i \times RSY_i \times PSR_i \quad (9)$$

$$RB_i = \frac{SR_i + Y_i}{RAR_i} \quad (10)$$

where SOC_{t1} and SOC_{t2} represent the soil organic carbon in the pre-rapeseed crop, namely, rice, and in rapeseed production, respectively. SR_i and RB_i represent the amount of crop straw and biomass of the root residue returned to the soil, respectively. Y_i represents the yield of the crop in dry weight (kg ha^{-1}). RSY_i represents the ratio of straw to yield (Zhang et al., 2010), and PSR_i is the proportion of straw return to the total biomass, which is calculated using the conversion of the harvest index of the crop, i.e., 0.3 (Peng et al., 2023). RAR_i refers to the ratio of aboveground biomass to root biomass (IPCC, 2019). The value 44/12 is the molecular conversion factor of C to CO_2 .

The net carbon emissions (NCE_i , in $\text{kg CO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) of rapeseed were calculated as the difference between the total carbon emissions (TCE) and ΔSOC using Eqs. (11)–(12). The yield-scaled GHG emissions of rapeseed (PCF) were calculated as the net carbon emissions divided by the yield (Y_i) of rapeseed relative to the dry weight (Eq. (13)). The farm/area-scaled GHG emissions of rapeseed (FCF) were computed by the net carbon emissions divided by the cultivation area (A) of rapeseed, as illustrated in Eq. (14).

$$TCE_i = CE_{i,\text{CO}_2} + CE_{i,\text{N}_2\text{O}} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{NCE}_i = TCE_i - \Delta\text{SOC}_i \quad (12)$$

$$\text{PCF}_i = \text{NCE}_i / Y_i \quad (13)$$

$$\text{FCF}_i = \text{NCE}_i / A \quad (14)$$

2.5. Pixel-level rapeseed yield map for PCF estimation and its influencing environmental and socioeconomic factors

The rapeseed FCF and PCF values were upscaled to the per-pixel level ($10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$) via integration into the rapeseed map. We could only access published statistical records, which have relatively coarse resolutions up to the city level. Moreover, it is known that the importance of rapeseed yield for calculating PCF and yield could be a good indicator of rapeseed genotype, growth environment and management strategies with high spatial heterogeneity. Thus, we conducted a rapeseed yield survey at the township level in the Chengdu Plain from 2022 to 2023 by interviewing village leaders and farmers. In total, 980 points of specific yield information were collected and further used to interpolate the Chengdu Plain rapeseed yield map (Appendix, Fig. S.1). The inverse distance weight interpolation method of the “Interpolation Analysis” toolbox in GIS was applied. Then, the interpolated yield map was overlaid with the rapeseed distribution map to skip the non-rapeseed area. The new PCFs of rapeseed can be updated by dividing the FCFs by the surveyed grain yield data. To clarify the driving factors of GHG emissions during rapeseed production, principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to analyse the relationships of PCFs with environmental and socioeconomic factors. The environmental and socioeconomic influential factors were adopted and revised from Tian et al. (2021). All the factors considered were analysed by ArcMap 10.2 in raster format. Pearson's correlation test was employed to detect factors with high multicollinearity. Only one factor was retained for further PCA if two significantly correlated factors were identified. Detailed information on the influential factors is listed in the Appendix, Table S.3.

3. Results

3.1. Rapeseed mapping based on the RS approach

Based on the workflow of mapping rapeseed areas, as displayed in Fig. 2, in the first step, we determined the threshold of the feature indicators. The imagery showed that the majority of the rapeseed pixels had the following values: red > 0.08 , green > 0.1 , NDVI > 0.25 and VHmax $> -14 \text{ dB}$. In the second step, we identified all rapeseed pixels from different images during the flowering period and subsequently aggregated them into annual rapeseed planting areas. To avoid misclassification due to poor-quality observations, we aggregated all the results classified from available S-2 satellite images to create a multi-temporal dataset, which was assumed to have better performance. In the third stage, we combined the optical data with the SAR images to ensure the accuracy of the rapeseed maps. In the last step, we removed the “salt and pepper” noise by applying the threshold defined based on the number of connected objects and then filled the gaps inside the parcels. The output map is shown in Fig. 4.

3.2. Assessment of the mapping accuracy of the rapeseed

According to comparisons with regional agricultural statistics records, the identified cultivation area of rapeseed was smaller than the reported area. As illustrated in the Appendix, Fig. S.2, the RMSE, MAE and R^2 were 47,418.00 ha, 39,603.00 ha, and 0.8409, respectively. In addition, the RMSE and MAE accounted for $< 10\%$ of the statistically reported rapeseed area. As illustrated in the Appendix, Fig. S.3, rapeseed cultivated in mountainous and plain areas exhibited significantly different patterns. The complexity of topographic conditions together with local agricultural management strongly affected the classification accuracy. After testing the rapeseed map with 416 ground truth points, a confusion matrix was generated, as reported in the Appendix, Table S.4. The calculated kappa value was 0.7860, which represents good consistency with the classified rapeseed distribution map.

3.3. Spatial variation in GHG emissions from rapeseed production

We found large regional variations in GHG emissions from rapeseed production on the Chengdu Plain. As indicated in Fig. 5 and Table 1, the FCFs of rapeseed at the studied site ranged from 3333.08 to 4572.82 $\text{kgCO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$, with an average value of 3892.25 $\text{kgCO}_2\text{-eq ha}^{-1}$. The rapeseed PCF varied from 1316.23 to 2443.95 $\text{kgCO}_2\text{-eq t}^{-1}$, with an average value of 170.18 $\text{kgCO}_2\text{-eq t}^{-1}$. N fertilizer processing accounted for approximately 43.09–66.52 % of the upstream emissions, while the other main contributors were electricity usage (10.13–33.00 %), NPK fertilizer production (7.57–20.90 %) and diesel fuel production (1.11–20.37 %). The dominant emission within downstream carbon emissions was the direct N_2O emission caused by N fertilizer application, which accounted for approximately 75.47 %. A negative SOC change indicates soil carbon sequestration during rice-rapeseed rotation.

The spatial patterns of rapeseed FCFs and PCFs are displayed at the city level (Fig. 6a and b) and at the pixel level (Fig. 6c and d), respectively. Although the rapeseed cultivation area in Ya'an comprises only approximately 5.00–16.00 % of the areas in the other five cities, it had the highest FCF and PCF values among all the studied areas on the Chengdu Plain. The main reason is that, from our point of view, the highest net carbon emissions in Ya'an resulted from the field N_2O emissions caused by intensive N fertilizer input. According to regional agricultural statistics, the yields of rapeseed from the highest to the lowest are as follows: Deyang (2891.00 kg ha^{-1}), Mianyang (2572.26 kg ha^{-1}), Chengdu (2532.30 kg ha^{-1}), Meishan (2162.02 kg ha^{-1}), Ya'an (1871.08 kg ha^{-1}) and Leshan (1752.81 kg ha^{-1}). Thus, the PCF values indicated that carbon hotspots were distributed in Leshan and Ya'an, while other higher-yield cities had relatively lower PCF values.




Fig. 4. The rapeseed distribution map and three regions (a and c are mountainous areas, b is a plain area) demonstrating the spatial heterogeneity of the rapeseed field patches.




Fig. 5. GHG emissions and key components in different regions of the Chengdu Plain.

3.4. Main contributors to GHG emissions during rapeseed production

The rapeseed yield map generated by the GIS-based approach is displayed in the Appendix, Fig. S.4a. The surveyed grain yield ranged from 1.30 to 5.20 t ha⁻¹, with an average value of 2.30 t ha⁻¹, while the regional agricultural static yield ranged from 2.60 to 3.10 t ha⁻¹, with an average yield of 2.10 t ha⁻¹, on the Chengdu Plain. The geographic location of the PCF hotspots (Appendix, Fig. S.4b) was consistent with that of the low rapeseed yield area (Appendix, Fig. S.4a). Based on the Pearson correlation test results reported in the Appendix, Fig. S.5 and Table S.5, we excluded variables with high correlations, namely, those with correlation coefficients >0.65 with other variables, to avoid multicollinearity in our subsequent analysis. The remaining eight variables were per capita GDP (*CapGDP*), total agronomic machinery power (*Machinery*), topsoil silt fraction (*Sand*), topsoil clay fraction (*Clay*),

topsoil organic carbon (*SOC*), topsoil pH (*PH*), elevation above sea level (*Altitude*) and rapeseed yield (*Yield*) (Appendix, Table S.6). The PCA results shown in Fig. 7 revealed that the first and second principal components explained 52.70 % and 19.00 %, respectively, of the total variance in the rapeseed PCFs. Specifically, we observed positive relationships between PCF and SOC, soil clay proportion and altitude, while PCF was negatively correlated with per capita GDP, topsoil pH, rapeseed yield, machinery and the soil-sand proportion. In addition, the weighted average PCF values from various regions did not exhibit a scattered distribution pattern, indicating that the PCFs exhibited a high degree of heterogeneity on the Chengdu Plain.

Table 1

The GHG emissions from agricultural inputs for various regions of the Chengdu Plain in 2020.

Upstream carbon emission								Downstream carbon emission			Downstream carbon sequestration	Carbon footprint	
Region	N fertilizer	P fertilizer	K fertilizer	NPK Fertilizer	Pesticide	Diesel fuel	Electricity	Direct N ₂ O emission	NH ₃ emission	Leaching and runoff	SOC change	FCF	PCF
	(kgCO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)												(kgCO ₂ -eq t ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)
MY	917.43	33.80	9.44	384.65	165.80	142.91	186.41	460.29	46.03	103.57	-1524.78	3975.09	1374.99
%	49.85	1.84	0.51	20.90	9.01	7.77	10.13	75.47	7.55	16.98			
DY	618.80	32.60	13.33	137.55	5.98	153.81	473.82	310.46	31.05	69.85	-1485.83	3333.08	1316.23
%	43.09	2.27	0.93	9.58	0.42	10.71	33.00	75.47	7.55	16.98			
CD	985.83	54.15	8.16	136.93	4.65	165.86	453.86	494.61	49.46	111.29	-1453.78	3918.59	1523.40
%	54.48	2.99	0.45	7.57	0.26	9.17	25.08	75.47	7.55	16.98			
YA	1466.42	36.02	24.64	210.43	16.36	24.46	426.00	735.73	73.57	165.54	-1393.64	4572.82	2443.95
%	66.52	1.63	1.12	9.55	0.74	1.11	19.33	75.47	7.55	16.98			
MS	838.92	22.28	5.39	146.54	7.62	347.43	337.52	420.91	42.09	94.70	-1495.08	3758.49	2144.27
%	49.18	1.31	0.32	8.59	0.45	20.37	19.79	75.47	7.55	16.98			
LS	844.75	33.17	22.68	276.74	7.92	312.46	226.67	423.83	42.38	95.36	-1515.46	3801.42	1758.27
%	48.99	1.92	1.32	16.05	0.46	18.12	13.14	75.47	7.55	16.98			
Mean	945.36	35.34	13.94	215.47	34.72	191.16	350.71	474.31	47.43	106.72	-1478.10	3893.25	1760.19
%	52.91	1.98	0.78	12.06	1.94	10.70	19.63	75.47	7.55	16.98			

4. Discussion

4.1. Spatial heterogeneity in rapeseed carbon footprints and its driving forces

The evaluation of rapeseed production and its byproducts, e.g., biodiesel and associated GHG emissions, currently attracts the attention of scholars worldwide. We observed large spatial heterogeneities in the rapeseed-associated CFs in the present study, as presented in Fig. 6 and the Appendix, Fig. S.4b, which are generally in line with the findings of other studies. Due to the differences in rapeseed types, cultivation modes, hydrothermal conditions and management practices, the FCF of rapeseed is approximately 308–6200 kg CO₂ eq ha⁻¹ (Brandao et al., 2010; Forleo et al., 2018; Kesieme et al., 2019), and that of PCF is approximately 794.00–5904.00 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹ worldwide (Iriarte et al., 2010; Palmieri et al., 2014; Bienkowski et al., 2015; Forleo et al., 2018), suggesting significant spatiotemporal heterogeneities. In addition, during the whole process of rapeseed cultivation, transportation, refining and biodiesel extraction, rapeseed cultivation has a global warming potential ranging from 53.30 to 79.00 % (González-García et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2022). During this process, the consumption of N fertilizer, pesticides and agricultural machinery fuel are the main sources of carbon emissions (Wu et al., 2021). As the second largest consumer of rapeseed oil in the world, China's energy utilization does not comply with the basic national policy of food security, resulting in a delay in research on the CF of rapeseed. However, in recent years, a growing body of literature has been published on this topic. Ji et al. (2021) analysed the spatial distribution pattern of rapeseed growth in China on a provincial basis and reported that the CF value of Chinese rapeseed plants was approximately 2117.05 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ (1021.63 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹). The direct carbon emission source is rapeseed cultivation, while indirect emissions mainly originate from fertilizer processing and manufacturing. Bai et al. (2021) conducted a life cycle-based CF analysis for major oil crops in China and reported that the CF of rapeseed oil was approximately 3354.55 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹, which is less than that of soybean oil (5670.15 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹) but greater than that of peanut oil (3146.03 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹). Chen et al. (2021) reported that rice had higher FCFs and PCFs than did other edible crops in China from 2001 to 2018, with values of approximately 9500.00 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ and 1.400.00 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹, respectively. In addition, rapeseed had lower CFs than major crops, such as wheat and potato. Guo et al. (2022) conducted province-

level analysis and reported that the rapeseed FCF and PCF in Sichuan Province were 2122 kg CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ and 1014 kg CO₂-eq t⁻¹, respectively.

With respect to the influencing factors, a positive relationship between PCF and agricultural materials was observed, while PCF was negatively related to rapeseed yield (Guo et al., 2022). In addition, a study of the main grains (rice, wheat and maize) in China reported that 11 variables had significant impacts on the PCF: longitude, topsoil conductivity, latitude, topsoil organic carbon, population per land area, topsoil silt fraction, proportion of mechanical operation, total fertilizer consumption, topsoil sand fraction, and per unit area yield. Each factor displays a positive or negative relationship with a specific crop type (Tian et al., 2021). Consistent with other studies, our study highlighted the importance of SOC in rapeseed PCF estimation (Fig. 7). SOC sequestration plays a fundamental role in mitigating CFs under long-term fertilization, and SOC sequestration can change over time and vary substantially among cropping systems (Gan et al., 2012; Saeed et al., 2022). The offset of GHG emissions from SOC change can decrease overall emissions in crop systems and provide a soil C sink in agricultural systems (Gan et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2021). Against this background, the third national soil condition census from 2022 to 2025 in China (PRC, 2022) can provide valuable inputs, such as comprehensive information on soil properties and geographic locations, for crop CF estimation.

4.2. The novelty of the current study and implications for crop carbon footprint evaluation

This study showed that RS can provide an acceptable for rapeseed distribution basemap, as illustrated in Fig. 4. We did not use RS-based yield estimation for improving the PCF of rapeseed, which is beyond the scope of the current study. Nevertheless, there are already successful RS-based case studies in which rapeseed yield prediction models were developed using linear (Sulik and Long, 2015; Fang et al., 2016; Kern et al., 2018) and nonlinear models (Fan et al., 2021; Rajković et al., 2021), which can make good use of rapeseed phenological patterns and vegetation indices, e.g., NDVI and NDYI, for yield estimation. This study can serve as a good foundation for future improvements in crop CF assessments. In summary, we encourage future studies to exploit RS and GIS to capture the spatial-temporal heterogeneity of rapeseed CFs at finer resolutions. This approach can also be applied to other crops or




Fig. 6. The spatial patterns of rapeseed FCFs (a, b) and PCFs (c, d) at the city and pixel levels, respectively.

different regions with updated local information. Although there are various spectral reflectance patterns between paddy rice fields and upland crops, the time series of RS data or sensors, such as NDVI, can distinguish between these two types of crops because of the differences in the near-infrared and red reflectance values caused by water and vegetation health (Gao et al., 2023). Using RS data from multiple sources and calibrated with ground truth data, larger crop distribution areas and cropping intensities can be detected with reasonable accuracy (Han et al., 2022; Qiu et al., 2024). Thus, this RS-integrated approach still displays promising potential in agricultural CF monitoring.

4.3. Limitations and uncertainties of the current study

The present study on the estimation of rapeseed CF has several limitations. First, accurate detection of the flowering period is the foundation of RS-based rapeseed mapping. Therefore, the availability of

flowering images directly affects the effectiveness of rapeseed monitoring. Due to the monsoon climate characteristics of most rapeseed planting areas in China, images of flowering periods are not always available. In addition, small patches of rapeseed fields and complex agronomic management can cause uncertainty during image classification. Thus, sufficient ground truth points are needed to guarantee map classification accuracy. Apart from the abovementioned aspects, the rapeseed yield information varies by publication source, data collection time and collection approach. We believe that if the rapeseed yield can obtain a relatively finer spatial-temporal resolution, the PCF might be closer to real-world conditions. For example, we can clearly observe the improvement of rapeseed PCF estimation with support of various resolutions of rapeseed yield information. The classic agricultural-statistic-based approach is shown in Fig. 6b, the RS-mapping approach is shown in Fig. 6d, and the surveyed yield information is shown in the Appendix, Fig. S.4b. Certainly, depending on the orientation of different




Fig. 7. Principal component analysis of selected variables and PCF.

Note: PC1 and PC2 represent the first and second principal components, respectively. The explanatory variables are CapGDP, PH, yield, machinery, altitude, clay, SOC and sand. The response variable was the PCF of rapeseed.

studies, this is not a golden rule to suggest a finer resolution of crop yield collection. Here, we recommend this approach in crop-growing regions with large variations in site conditions, agronomic management strategies and socioeconomic status. In addition to the abovementioned uncertainties and limitations, the present study could still provide a useful reference for identifying GHG emission hotspots and guiding effective agricultural carbon reduction policies.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we developed a novel rapeseed carbon footprint evaluation approach by integrating a fine-resolution rapeseed map developed by RS techniques. This method shows its superiority over previous GIS-LCA integrated studies in taking rapeseed physiological growth and phenological stages into account. Large variations in the rapeseed FCFs and PCFs were observed in the studied area. Additionally, the surveyed regional rapeseed yield map plays an important role in improving PCF estimation both quantitatively and spatially. Furthermore, the current study revealed positive relationships between PCF and SOC, soil clay proportion and altitude, while PCF was negatively correlated with per capita GDP, soil conductivity, rapeseed yield, machinery and soil sand proportion. This implies the significant role of soil properties and SOC in influencing crop PCF. The findings of our study call attention to exploring the potential of remote sensing in crop yield estimation, which is considered a crucial parameter for PCF assessment. The integration of RS and GIS can capture spatial-temporal heterogeneity and thus provide dynamic monitoring of rapeseed carbon footprint evaluation. The method itself is transferable to other crops and regions with updated local information.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Xueqing Yang: Writing – original draft, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Xiuchun Dong:** Visualization, Investigation, Data curation. **Alberto Bezama:** Writing – review & editing. **Yang Liu:** Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the funding provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32201370), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (2023NSFSC0200), the funding provided by the Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences (2022ZZCX030, 1+9KJGG008).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.174262>.

References

- Ashourloo, D., Shahrabi, H.S., Azadbakht, M., Aghighi, H., Nematollahi, H., Alimohammadi, A., Matkan, A.A., 2019. Automatic canola mapping using time series of sentinel 2 images ISPRS. J. Photogrammetry Remote Sensing 156, 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2019.08.007>.
- Bai, Y.Y., Zhai, Y.J., Ji, C.X., Zhang, T.Z., Chen, W., Shen, X.X., Hong, J.L., 2021. Environmental sustainability challenges of China's edible vegetable oil industry: from farm to factory. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 170, 105606 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105606>.
- Bienkowski, J.F., Dabrowicz, R., Holka, M., Jankowiak, J., 2015. Carbon footprint of rapeseed in conventional farming: case study of large-sized farms in Wielkopolskaregion (Poland). Asian J. Appl. Sci. Eng. 4, 191–200.
- Boettcher, R., Zappe, A.L., de Oliveira, P.F., Machado, É.L., Lawisch-Rodriguez, A.L., Rodriguez-Lopez, D.A., 2020. Carbon footprint of agricultural production and processing of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) in southern Brazil. Environ. Technol. Innov. 18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.100625>.
- Brandao, M., Clift, R., L. Mila i Canals, and L. Basson., 2010. A life-cycle approach to characterising environmental and economic impacts of multifunctional landuse systems: an integrated assessment in the UK. Sustainability 2, 3747–3776. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su2123747>.
- Chen, X.H., Ma, C.C., Zhou, H.M., Liu, Y., Huang, X.M., Wang, M.K., Cai, Y.Y., Su, D., Muner, M.A., Guo, M.C., Chen, X.J., Zhou, Y., Hou, Y., Cong, W.F., Guo, J.X., Ma, W.Q., Zhang, W.F., Cui, Z.L., Wu, L.Q., Zhou, S.G., Zhang, F.S., 2021. Identifying the main crops and key factors determining the carbon footprint of crop production in China, 2001–2018. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 172, 105661 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105661>.
- Di Fulvio, F., Forsell, N., Korosuo, A., Obersteiner, M., Hellweg, S., 2019. Spatially explicit LCA analysis of biodiversity losses due to different bioenergy policies in the European Union. Sci. Total Environ. 651, 1505–1516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.419>.
- Escobara, N., Tizado, E.J., zu Ermgassen, E.K.H.J., Löfgren, P., Börner, J., Giodar, J., 2020. Spatially-explicit footprints of agricultural commodities: mapping carbon emissions embodied in Brazil's soy exports. Glob. Environ. Chang. 62, 102067 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102067>.
- Fan, H., Liu, S., Li, J., Li, L., Dang, L., Ren, T., Lu, J., 2021. Early prediction of the seed yield in winter oilseed rape based on the near-infrared reflectance of vegetation (NIRv). Comput. Electron. Agric. 186, 106166 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2021.106166>.
- Fang, S., Tang, W., Peng, Y., Gong, Y., Liu, K., 2016. Remote estimation of vegetation fraction and flower fraction in oilseed rape with unmanned aerial vehicle data. Remote Sens. (Basel) 8, 416. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8050416>.
- Feng, X.M., Sun, T., Guo, J.R., Cai, H.G., Qian, C.R., Hao, Y.B., Yu, Y., Deng, A.X., Song, Z.W., Zhang, W.J., 2023. Climate-smart agriculture practice promotes sustainable maize production in northeastern China: higher grain yield while less carbon footprint. Field Crop Res 302, 109108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2023.109108>.
- Finnveden, G., 2000. On the limitations of life cycle assessment and environmental systems analysis tools in general. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 5, 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02979365>.
- Forleo, M.B., Palmieri, I.N., Suardi, A., Coaloa, D., Pari, L., 2018. The eco-efficiency of rapeseed and sunflower cultivation in Italy. Joining environmental and economic assessment. J. Clean. Prod. 172, 3138–3153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.094>.
- Gan, Y., Liang, C., Campbell, C.A., Zentner, R.P., Lemke, R.L., Wang, H., Yang, C., 2012. Carbon footprint of spring wheat in response to fallow frequency and soil carbon changes over 25 years on the semiarid Canadian prairie. Eur. J. Agron. 43, 175–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2012.07.004>.
- Gao, X.Y., Chi, H., Huang, J.L., Ling, F., Han, Y.F., Jia, X.F., Li, Y.F., Huang, D., Dong, J. W., 2023. A review of paddy rice mapping with remote sensing technology. Natl. Remote Sens. Bull. XX, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.11834/jrs.202303014>.
- Gasol, C.M., Gabarrell, X., Rigola, M., González-García, S., Rieradevall, J., 2011. Environmental assessment: (LCA) and spatial modelling (GIS) of energy crop implementation on local scale. Biomass Bioenergy 35, 2975–2985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.03.041>.
- González-García, S., García-Rey, D., Hospido, A., 2013. Environmental life cycle assessment for rapeseed-derived biodiesel. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 18, 61–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-012-0444-5>.
- Guo, C.Y., Bai, Z.H., Wang, X.Z., Zhang, W.S., Chen, X.J., Lakshmanan, P., Ma, L., Lu, J. W., Liu, B., Shi, X.J., Chen, X.P., 2022. Spatio-temporal assessment of greenhouse gas emission from rapeseed production in China by coupling nutrient flows model with LCA approach. Food Energy Secur. 11, e398 <https://doi.org/10.1002/fes3.398>.
- Han, J., Zhang, Z., Luo, Y., Cao, J., Li, Z., 2021a. The rapeseed Map10 database: annual maps of rapeseed at a spatial resolution of 10 m based on multi-source data. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 2857–2874. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-2857-2021>.
- Han, J.C., Zhang, Z., Luo, Y.C., Cao, J., Zhang, L.L., Zhang, J., Li, Z.Y., 2021b. The Rapeseed Map10 database: annual maps of rapeseed at a spatial resolution of 10 m based on multi-source data. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 13, 2857–2874. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-2857-2021>.
- Han, J.C., Zhang, Z., Luo, Y.C., Cao, J., Zhang, L.L., Zhuang, H.M., Cheng, F., Zhang, J., Lu, T.F., 2022. Annual paddy rice planting area and cropping intensity datasets and their dynamics in the Asian monsoon region from 2000 to 2020. Agr. Syst. 200, 103437 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agry.2022.103437>.
- Hellweg, S., Milà, I.C.L., 2014. Emerging approaches, challenges and opportunities in life cycle assessment. Science 344, 1109–1113. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1248361>.
- IPCC, 2006. In: Eggleston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., Tanabe, K. (Eds.), 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.
- IPCC, 2014. Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (United Kingdom and New York).
- IPCC, 2019. N2O Emissions from Managed Soils, and CO2 Emissions from Lime and Urea Application in: 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (Chapter 11) (United Kingdom and New York).
- Iriarte, A., Rieradevall, J., Gabarrell, X., 2010. Life cycle assessment of sunflower and rapeseed as energy crops under Chilean conditions. J. Clean. Prod. 18, 336–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.11.004>.
- ISO14067, 2013. ISO/TS 14067:2013 Greenhouse Gases - Carbon Footprint of Products - Requirements and Guidelines for Quantification and Communication.
- Ji, C., Zhai, Y., Zhang, T., Shen, X., Bai, Y., Hong, J., 2021. Carbon, energy and water footprints analysis of rapeseed oil production: a case study in China. J. Environ. Manage. 287, 112359 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112359>.
- Kern, A., Barcza, Z., Marjanović, H., Árendás, T., Fodor, N., Bónis, P., Bogár, P., Lichtenberger, J., 2018. Statistical modelling of crop yield in central Europe using climate data and remote sensing vegetation indices. Agric. For. Meteorol. 260–261, 300–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.06.009>.
- Kesime, U., Pazouki, K., Murphy, A., Chrysanthou, A., 2019. Attributional life cycle assessment of biofuels for shipping: addressing alternative geographical locations and cultivation systems. J. Environ. Manage. 235, 96–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.01.036>.
- Liang, J.P., Li, H., Li, N., Yang, Q.L., Li, L.C., 2023. Analysis and prediction of the impact of socio-economic and meteorological factors on rapeseed yield based on machine learning. Agronomy 13, 1867. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13071867>.
- Liu, W., Zhang, G., Wang, X., Lu, F., Ouyang, Z., 2018. Carbon footprint of main crop production in China: magnitude, spatial-temporal pattern and attribution. Sci. Total Environ. 645, 1296–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.104>.
- Liu, Y.Z., Gu, W.Y., Liu, B.B., Zhang, C., Wang, C., Yang, Y., Zhuang, M.H., 2022. Closing greenhouse gas emission gaps of staple crops in China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 56, 9302–9311. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c01978>.
- Loiseau, E., Aissani, L., Le Féon, S., Laurent, F., Cerceau, J., Sala, S., Roux, P., 2018. Territorial Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): What exactly is it about? A proposal towards using a common terminology and a research agenda. J. Clean. Prod. 176, 474–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.169>.
- Medel-Jiménez, F., Piringer, G., Gronauer, A., Barta, N., Neugschwandtner, R.W., Krexner, T., Kral, I., 2022. Modelling soil emissions and precision agriculture in fertilization life cycle assessment - a case study of wheat production in Austria. J. Clean. Prod. 380, 134841 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134841>.
- Medel-Jiménez, F., Krexner, T., Gronauer, A., Kral, I., 2024. Life cycle assessment of four different precision agriculture technologies and comparison with a conventional scheme. J. Clean. Prod. 434, 140198 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140198>.
- Menegat, S., Ledo, A., Tirado, R., 2022. Greenhouse gas emissions from global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilisers in agriculture. Sci. Report 12, 14490. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18773-w>.
- Meng, S., Zhong, Y., Luo, C., Hu, X., Huang, S., 2020. Optimal temporal window selection for winter wheat and rapeseed mapping with Sentinel-2 images: a case study of Zhongxiang in China. Remote Sens. (Basel) 12, 226. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12020226>.
- O'Keefe, S., Wochele-Marx, S., Thrän, D., 2016a. RELCA: a regional life cycle inventory for assessing bioenergy systems within a region. Energy Sustain. Soc. 6, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-016-0078-8>.
- O'Keefe, S., Wochele-Marx, S., Thrän, D., 2016b. RELCA: a regional life cycle inventory for assessing bioenergy systems within a region. Energy Sustain. Soc. 6, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13705-016-0078-8>.
- Palmieri, N., Forleo, M.B., Suardi, A., Coaloa, D., Pari, L., 2014. Rapeseed for energy production: environmental impacts and cultivation methods. Biomass Bioenergy 69, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2014.07.001>.
- Peng, W.L., Wang, R., Chen, X.L., Liu, A.H., Zheng, W.D., 2023. Effects of varied rapeseed varieties and cultivation measures on harvest index. Sci. Agric. Sin. 56, 3331–3346. <https://doi.org/10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2023.17.008>.
- PRC, 2022. Notice of the State Council on Carrying out the Third National Soil Census. State Council, PRC.
- Qiu, B.W., Yu, L.H., Yang, P., Wu, W.B., Chen, J.F., Zhu, X.L., Duan, M.J., 2024. Mapping upland crop-rice cropping systems for targeted sustainable intensification in South China. Crop J. 12, 614–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2023.12.010>.
- Rajković, D., Jeromela, A.M., Pezo, L., Lončar, B., Zanetti, F., Monti, A., Kondić-Špika, A., 2021. Yield and quality prediction of winter rapeseed—artificial neural network and random forest models. Agronomy 12, 58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12010058>.
- Reap, J., Roman, F., Duncan, S., Bras, B., 2008. A survey of unresolved problems in life cycle assessment. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 13, 374–388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-008-0008-x>.
- Rosenzweig, C., Mbou, C., Barioni, L.G., Benton, T.G., Herrero, M., Krishnapillai, M., Liwenga, E.T., Pradhan, P., Rivera-Ferre, M.G., Sapkota, T., Tubiello, F.N., Xu, Y., Mencos Contreras, E., Portugal-Pereira, J., 2020. Climate change responses benefit from a global food system approach. Nat. Food 1, 94–97. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0031-z>.
- Saeed, Q., Zhang, A., Mustafa, A., Sun, B.H., Zhang, S.L., Yang, X.Y., 2022. Effect of longterm fertilization on greenhouse gas emissions and carbon footprints in northwest China: a field scale investigation using wheat-maize-fallow rotation cycles. J. Clean. Prod. 332, 130075 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130075>.

- Sulik, J.J., Long, D.S., 2015. Spectral indices for yellow canola flowers. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 36, 2751–2765. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2015.1047994>.
- Tabatabaie, S.M.H., Tahami, H., Murthy, G.S., 2018. A regional life cycle assessment and economic analysis of camelina biodiesel production in the Pacific Northwestern US. *J. Clean. Prod.* 172, 2389–2400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.172>.
- Tao, J.B., Liu, W.B., Tan, W.X., Kong, X.B., Xu, M., 2019. Fusing multi-source data to map spatio-temporal dynamics of winter rape on the Jiangnan Plain and Dongting Lake Plain, China. *J. Integr. Agric.* 10, 2393–2407. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(19\)62577-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62577-3).
- Tao, J., Wu, W., Liu, W., Xu, M., 2020. Exploring the spatio-temporal dynamics of winter rape on the middle reaches of Yangtze River valley using time-series MODIS data. *Sustainability* 12, 466. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020466>.
- Tian, P.P., Li, D., Lu, H.W., Feng, S.S., Nie, Q.W., 2021. Trends, distribution, and impact factors of carbon footprints of main grains production in China. *J. Clean. Prod.* 278, 123347. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123347>.
- Wang, X.L., Chen, Y., Chen, X.W., He, R.R., Guan, Y.S., Gu, Y.W., Chen, Y., 2019. Crop production pushes up greenhouse gases emissions in China: evidence from carbon footprint analysis based on national statistics data. *Sustainability* 11, 4931. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11184931>.
- Wang, L.L., Li, L.L., Xie, J.H., Luo, Z.Z., Zhang, R.Z., Cai, L.Q., Coulter, J.A., Palta, J.A., 2021. Managing the trade-offs among yield, economic benefits and carbon and nitrogen footprints of wheat cropping in a semi-arid region of China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 768, 145280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145280>.
- Wu, H., MacDonald, G.K., Galloway, J.N., Zhang, L., Gao, L., Yang, L., Yang, J., Li, X., Li, H., Yang, T., 2021. The influence of crop and chemical fertilizer combinations on greenhouse gas emissions: a partial life cycle assessment of fertilizer production and use in China. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 168, 105303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105303>.
- Xue, J.F., Liu, S.L., Chen, Z.D., Chen, F., Lal, R., Tang, H.M., Zhang, H.L., 2014. Assessment of carbon sustainability under different tillage systems in a double rice cropping system in Southern China. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 19, 1581–1592. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-014-0768-4>.
- Yang, X.Q., Liu, Y., Bezama, A., Thrän, D., 2022. Two birds with one stone: a combined environmental and economic performance assessment of rapeseed-based biodiesel production. *GCB Bioenergy* 14, 215–241. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12913>.
- Yang, X.Q., Liu, Y., Bezama, A., Thrän, D., 2024. Agricultural carbon emission efficiency and agricultural practices: implications for balancing carbon emissions reduction and agricultural productivity increment. *Environ. Develop.* 50, 101004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2024.101004>.
- Zang, Y.Z., Qiu, Y.A., Chen, X.H., Chen, J., Yang, W., Liu, Y.F., Peng, L.K., Shen, M.G., Cao, X., 2023. Mapping rapeseed in China during 2017–2021 using Sentinel data: an automated approach integrating rule-based sample generation and a one-class classifier (RSG-OC). *GIScience Remote Sensing* 60, 2163576. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2022.2163576>.
- Zeng, L., Wardlaw, B.D., Xiang, D., Hu, S., Li, D., 2020. A review of vegetation phenological metrics extraction using time-series, multispectral satellite data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 237, 111511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111511>.
- Zhang, Q., Ju, X.T., Zhang, F.S., 2010. Re-estimation of direct nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soils of China via revised IPCC2006 guideline method. *Chin. J. Eco-Agric.* 18, 7–13. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1011.2010.00007>.
- Zhang, Y.J., Niu, H.S., Yu, Q., 2021. Impacts of climate change and increasing carbon dioxide levels on yield changes of major crops in suitable planting areas in China by the 2050s. *Ecol. Indic.* 125, 107588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107588>.
- Zhang, H.Y., Liu, W.B., Zhang, L.P., 2022. Seamless and automated rapeseed mapping for large cloudy regions using time-series optical satellite imagery. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 184, 45–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2021.12.001>.