Published in partnership with The Hormel Institute, University of Minnesota



https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-024-00662-2

The SARIFA biomarker in the context of basic research of lipid-driven cancers

Check for updates

Bruno Märkl (1,2,3,5), Nic G. Reitsam (1,2,3,5), Przemyslaw Grochowski^{1,2,3}, Johanna Waidhauser^{2,3,4} & Bianca Grosser^{1,2,3}

SARIFA was very recently introduced as a histomorphological biomarker with strong prognostic power for colorectal, gastric, prostate, and pancreatic cancer. It is characterized by the direct contact between tumor cells and adipocytes due to a lack of stromal reaction. This can be easily evaluated on routinely available H&E-slides with high interobserver agreement. SARIFA also reflects a specific tumor biology driven by metabolic reprogramming. Tumor cells in SARIFA-positive tumors benefit from direct interaction with adipocytes as an external source of lipids. Numerous studies have shown that lipid metabolism is crucial in carcinogenesis and cancer progression. We found that the interaction between tumor cells and adipocytes was not triggered by obesity, as previously assumed. Instead, we believe that this is due to an immunological mechanism. Knowledge about lipid metabolism in cancer from basic experiments can be transferred to develop strategies targeting this reprogramed metabolism.

We recently introduced SARIFA as a prognostic biomarker in cancer. SARIFA, an acronym for Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas, describes the histomorphological phenomenon of direct contact between tumor cells and adipocytes without intervening collagenous or inflammatory stroma. In gastric and colorectal cancer, SARIFA positivity is present when at least five tumor cells with direct contact with adipocytes are identified^{1,2} (Fig. 1). In contrast, in pancreatic and prostate cancer, a quantitative cut-off has to be defined to achieve better prognostic discrimination. The threshold of five tumor cells has been chosen to define a clear distinction from tumor budding, defined as single cells and clusters of up to four tumor cells. Because the evaluation is based on conventional light microscopy on H&E-stained routine slides, this marker is characterized by unlimited and fast availability, as well as virtual no additional costs. Wulczyn et al. published a very similar but not identical feature named tumor adipose feature (TAF) analyzed by a deep learning approach in the same year as we reported SARIFA^{3,4}. Briefly, the authors here aimed at predicting survival of CRC patients directly from routine H&E slides, then explored the human interpretability of important features and hereby identified TAF. In a subsequent pathologist validation study, they could prove that TAF as a machine learning-derived histopathologic feature could indeed be learned and scored by pathologists (3, 4). In similar approaches, Foersch et al. and Jiang et al. both also independently identified the proximity of adipocytes and tumor cells as an unfavorable factor in CRC by deploying DL-algorithms^{5,6}.

The distinct morphology of SARIFA-positive tumors suggests, from a sole histopathological point of view, a certain degree of 'defenselessness' of the host organism against the tumor cells and clusters, which can apparently penetrate almost unhindered through healthy tissue into deeper structures. In contrast, tumor growth in SARIFA-negative cases is accompanied by a histologically visible stromal reaction consisting of fibroblast proliferation and the production of collagen fibers (Fig. 2). However, an altered tumorhost response is likely only one aspect of this tumor biology, reflected by the SARIFA morphology. More importantly, it seems that the metabolic effects of the direct and intense interaction between tumor cells and adipocytes lead to a lipid-dominated metabolism of the tumor cells^{1,7-10}. This distinct and direct interplay between tumor cells and adipocytes, including various components of the tumor microenvironment (TME), has already been the subject of clinical and intensive experimental research¹¹. The fact that obese people are more frequently affected by cancer and have a more aggressive clinical course compared to lean people is the primary motivation for this intensive research^{12,13}. There is overwhelming evidence that direct interaction between adipocytes and tumor cells promotes aggressive features by leading to a metabolic switch, serving as an energy source, and producing cellular structure elements^{14,15}. Current anticancer therapy approaches include surgery, radiation, conventional chemotherapy, antihormonal therapy, induction of differentiation, antiangiogenic therapy, targeted therapy, and immune therapy. Targeting lipid metabolism might be a new

of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany. ⁵These authors contributed equally: Bruno Märkl, Nic G. Reitsam. @e-mail: bruno.maerkl@uka-science.de THE HORMEL INSTITUTE University of Minnesota

¹Pathology, Medical Faculty Augsburg, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany. ²Bavarian Cancer Research Center (BZKF), Augsburg, Germany. ³WERA Comprehensive Cancer Center, Augsburg, Germany. ⁴Hematology and Oncology, Medical Faculty Augsburg, University



Fig. 1 | **Histology examples of tumor-adipocyte interaction. a** H&E, Scale bar: 500 μm, SARIFA-negative colon cancer. Tumor cells do not touch any adipocytes but are separated by a continuous fibrous band. **b** High magnification of a. Scale bar: 20 μm, Tumor glands (arrowheads) are surrounded by collagen fibers (red stars). **c** H&E, Scale bar: 500 μm, SARIFA-positive colon cancer. Tiny areas of fat get into contact with tumor glands (orange circles). **d** High magnification of c (corresponding to circle with star). Scale bar: 20 μm, Tumor cells come into direct contact with adipocytes, which decrease in size. **e** Courtesy of S. Foersch et al.⁶. Crop from an IHC staining from a study using a deep learning algorithm analyzing immune cells in

colorectal cancer: adipocytes in proximity to tumor cells. **f** Heat map of prognostically relevant structures corresponding to **e**. This explainable artificial intelligence approach identified not only immune cells but also adipocytes (green stars in **e** and **f**) close to a tumor as prognostically relevant. **g** H&E, courtesy of E. Wulczyn³. The tumor adipose feature (TAF), identified by deep learning, has a significant overlap with SARIFA. **h** Courtesy of A. Mukherjee⁸⁸. FABP4 immunohistochemistry; Ovarian cancer metastasized to the omentum show contact with shrinking adipocytes and strong expression of FABP4.

evaluation that relies on assessing surgical resection specimens (Fig. 1 and

approach to attacking cancers. Moreover, SARIFA could potentially predict its effectivity by indicating direct adipocyte tumor cell interaction in the future^{16–18}.

As outlined in the following, SARIFA shows a broad overlap with many insights from the aforementioned experimental findings. However, up to now, our group has not identified an association between SARIFA and obesity. We, therefore, hypothesize that SARIFA is not driven by overweight but by other mechanisms that are very likely linked to immunology.

This review aims to summarize (i) the prognostic value of SARIFA and the translational findings of this phenomenon, (ii) the role of lipid metabolism in cancers in general, and (iii) the context of SARIFA as the main driver for its aggressiveness.

Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas – SARIFA SARIFA as a prognostic marker

SARIFA classification was confirmed as prognostic in colorectal (n = 2547), gastric (n = 2495), pancreatic (n = 174), and prostate cancers (n = 301). Especially in gastric and colorectal cancers, a strong prognostic effect could be seen in several independent retrospective cohorts, partially from clinical trials. SARIFA positivity is statistically independently associated with progression-free and overall survival in digestive cancers, as well as several other adverse features^{1,2,8,10,16,18}. Very recently, the first analysis of a different group has been published, confirming the independent prognostic effect of SARIFA in gastric cancer¹⁹. In prostate cancer, a correlation of SARIFA with other adverse factors such as extensive extraprostatic growth, number of affected lymph nodes, and higher Gleason score could be shown. The association with the occurrence of bone metastases and removed lymph node metastases just failed the significance level9. The SARIFA classification-related uni- and multivariate hazard ratios (SARIFA positive vs. SARIFA negative) for the various cancer entities are summarized in Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 1. Importantly, the H&E-based histopathological

Supplementary Figs. 2-5) is remarkably easy and fast. In colon cancer, the mean evaluation time per case analyzing one slide was only $21.6 s^2$. Therefore, even in a case with many tumor slides, the additional evaluation time will hardly exceed two minutes. No additional staining, immunohistochemistry, or advanced devices are necessary, resulting in virtual time and cost neutrality. SARIFA status is not influenced by typical demographic data, such as sex or age, or, importantly, by the body mass index (BMI) at diagnosis^{1,2,7-10,16,18}. The latter seems to be especially relevant because the interplay between adipocytes and tumor cells might be facilitated by obesity, although this does not seem to be the case, according to our current knowledge. Naturally, SARIFA can only occur where tumor cells can get into contact with adipocytes where fat anatomically is located which means that SARIFA is predominantly found in locally advanced cases. However, it has to be kept in mind that adipocytes can be found in the submucosal layer in the GI tract. In concordance, SARIFA-positive cases have been identified in pT1/2 cases of gastric and colorectal cancers^{1,7,8,16,18}. The extent of SARIFA can differ considerably between different entities

The extent of SARIFA can differ considerably between different entities and between cases of the same entity. As a consequence, a quantitative cutoff for SARIFA positivity has to be established for pancreatic ductal cancer because areas of SARIFAs can be identified in most cases¹⁰. As mentioned above, gastric and colorectal cancers show SARIFA in only 16–53% of cases^{1,2,8,16}, and SARIFA positivity is given from the moment it is identified, even if this is the case in only a single region of all available tumor-containing slides. Therefore, it is obviously necessary to investigate whether SARIFA has a quantitative effect on prognosis. We demonstrated this effect in a clinical trial cohort of gastric carcinomas in which the entire tumor material was embedded and evaluated with regard to SARIFA²⁰. The prognostic effect of SARIFA also correlated with the proportion of SARIFA-positive sections, but only to a relatively small extent. Surprisingly, the most significant difference between the survival curves occurred between negative



Fig. 2 | Prognostic effect and metabolic reprogramming of SARIFA-positive cancers. a Cox regression analyses in different cancer entities and cohorts: (A) overall survival (OS) – prostate cancer (Enke 2024); (B) OS – pancreatic cancer (Grochowski 2024); (C, D, E, F, G) OS – gastric cancer ST03 trial, OS – gastric cancer MAGIC trial, OS – gastric cancer TUM-cohort, OS – gastric cancer Augsburg validation set, OS – gastric cancer Augsburg test set (Grosser 2023 and 2022); (H, I, J) OS – colorectal Netherlands cohort study, progression-free survival – Düsseldorf colorectal cohort, OS – TCGA colorectal (Reitsam 2023 and 2024); (K, L) OS – colon cancer Augsburg validation set, and OS – colon

cancer test set, respectively. **b** SARIFA and illustration of lipid-driven tumor biology. CD36 = cluster of differentiation 36 (fatty acid translocase), FABP4 fatty acid binding protein 4, IL6 interleukin 6, TNF α tumor necrosis factor alpha. **c** Red Oil staining. Scale bar: 100 µm. Poorly cohesive gastric cancer. Lipid within adipocytes is identified by red staining. **d** Higher magnification of *c*, scale bar: 50 µ, showing lipid within the cytoplasm of tumor cells. **e** Red Oil staining. Scale bar: 50 µ. Same cancer as *c* with an area from the tumor center. No lipids can be appreciated.

and initially positive cases²⁰. An identical effect was shown by Wulczyn et al. for a phenomenon they named the tumor adipose feature (TAF)^{3,4}, which shows considerable overlap with SARIFA and is summarized in one of the following paragraphs ('SARIFA and Artificial Intelligence Technologies').

Differences in the prognostic value between TAF absent versus present and absent versus widespread could be seen, but this was to a minor extent. We consider this a strong indication that SARFIA discriminates between two substantially different tumor biologies relying on the tumor-adipocyte interaction.

SARIFA as a predictive marker

In addition to prognosis, the prediction of treatment response may be an even more important biomarker feature. No prospective studies have yet been performed, although they are necessary to adequately address the question of the predictive value of SARIFA evaluation. However, there have already been some clear hints from retrospective analyses of clinical trials in gastric cancer and the exploitation of TCGA RNA expression data in colorectal carcinomas^{8,16,17}. Based on the results of the MAGIC trial, which compared surgery alone versus perioperative chemotherapy with epirubicin, cisplatin, and fluorouracil (ECF), one can conclude that only SARIFA-positive patients benefited from this chemotherapy regimen, although it is no longer the standard of care¹⁶. Gene expression-based drug

response prediction revealed potentially reduced efficacy of oxaliplatin in SARIFA-positive colorectal cancers, although such cancers seemed to be more sensitive to dasatinib⁸.

Association with lipid metabolism, FABP4, and CD36

Bulk as well as spatial RNA-expression analysis in gastric and colorectal cancer using our own cases and publicly accessible TCGA data²¹⁻²³ revealed upregulation of lipid-associated genes in tumor cells and the TME of SARIFA-positive cancers. The top five upregulated genes in gastric cancer were all related to lipid metabolism. These findings provide a strong argument for the essential role of lipid metabolism in tumors characterized by direct contact with tumor cells and adipocytes. Especially striking is the upregulation of fatty acid binding protein (FABP) 4 in all tumor compartments, including the TME in SARIFA-positive tumors, on both RNA and protein levels. This important finding has been established in spatial RNA-expression analyses in gastric cancer^{1,7} and has been confirmed in bulk RNA analyses gastric cancer⁷ and colorectal cancer⁸. Immunohistochemically, FABP4 upregulation has been shown in gastric cancer¹ and pancreatic cancer¹⁰. Within the TME, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) also show distinct upregulation of FABP4. As described in the following section, FABP4 is one of the most essential proteins responsible for lipid metabolism-associated tumor-promoting effects. CD36, a protein that

Role of genetics

When exploiting the TCGA database and our own cases, there was no association identified between genetic aberrations and the SARIFA-status in either gastric or colorectal cancer. In colorectal cancer, this is especially true for typical driver mutations, such as the BRAF and KRAS genes, which are not enriched in SARIFA-positive CRCs. In line with this, no differences have been found regarding microsatellite status. Regarding the RNA expression-based molecular classification, CMS1 (MSI-immune) and CMS4 (mesenchymal) were found to be enriched among SARIFA-positive cases⁸²⁴. In concordance with this, genetic aberrations and TCGA molecular subtypes²¹ in gastric cancer have been seen to be randomly distributed within SARIFA-positive and negative cases⁷, indicating that SARIFA status is not influenced by genetics.

Influence of the plasminogen system and immunology on SARIFA

Because typical mutations can be widely excluded as responsible for the occurrence of SARIFAs^{7,8}, matrix degradation and immunoreaction could instead play an essential mechanistic role. Indeed, we found upregulation of the plasminogen system in SARIFA-positive colon cancers. Re-evaluating previously published studies^{25,26}, the proteases urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) and plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI1) were found to be significantly elevated²⁷. Both proteins are involved in matrix degradation in wound healing and neoplastic processes²⁸. Because at least a partial lack of enclosing fibrous tissue is a major feature of SARIFA, it seems plausible that the upregulation of uPA/PAI1 has a causal function for SARIFA. Using RNA in situ hybridization, we investigated the cytokines interleukin (IL)6, IL10, IL12, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)a in gastric cancer. While IL10 and IL12 showed no differences according to the SARIFA status, the proinflammatory cytokines IL6 and TNFa were downregulated in SARIFApositive cases⁷. In colon cancer, a strong reduction in natural killer cells in peripheral blood and tumor tissue could be found in SARIFA-positive cases²⁹. Although these hints need further confirmation to draw final conclusions, both studies showed a reduced immune-defensive reaction in SARIFA-positive cases, suggesting immunosuppressive status as an inhibitor of the local response against the tumor cells. An open question is whether this suggested reduced immune response plays a substantial role in SARIFA-related aggressivity in SARIFA development or even both.

SARIFA and Artificial Intelligence Technologies

Simultaneous to our discovery of SARIFA on H&E light microscopy, Wulczyn et al. identified a very similar phenomenon through an artificial intelligence (AI) approach in colorectal cancer, which they named the tumor-adipose feature (TAF) (Fig. 1)^{3,4,30}. In a recent collaborative study, the comparison of both morphological features revealed a broad, but not complete, overlap. Out of 175 available patches classified as TAF, 117 have been assigned to be SARIFAs. Interestingly, the increase in RNA expression of the lipid metabolism-related genes CD36 and FABP4 was stronger in SARIFApos/TAFpos cases compared to SARIFA-negative/TAF-positive cases. Classification of TAF did not reveal survival differences in SARIFAnegative cases³¹. This underlines the importance of direct contact between tumor cells and adipocytes, an imperative feature of SARIFA but not necessarily given in TAF. Both approaches confirm each other suggesting a new way of biomarker development combining analogous and digital attempts. Foersch et al. also established a deep-learning algorithm to predict prognosis and therapy response using an immune score-based multilayer approach. Unexpectedly, the generation of guided gradient-weighted class activation map (guided Grad-CAM) markup images revealed an adipocytes-close-to-tumor-cells feature as an immune reaction-an independent pattern that was prognostically relevant (Fig. 1)⁶. Jiang et al. came to similar conclusions in their recently published multicenter end-to-end prognosis study, once again highlighting the importance of tumor-infiltrated fat/ tumor-adipocyte interaction for poor prognosis (see their manuscript in Supplementary Fig. 14)⁵. Therefore, the feature of tumor–adipocyte interaction has been identified in parallel and independently by conventional microscopy and by information technology using AI, underlining the significance of this biomarker.

Lipid metabolism in cancer

While little attention has been paid to this topic in the past, metabolic reprogramming has now been included in the hallmarks of cancer, and adipocytes have been recognized as relevant to neoplastic processes due to their potential to fuel tumor cells^{32,33}. Nevertheless, as early as the 1960s, fundamental research discovered an enhanced need for lipids, including in activated lipid uptake and synthesis^{34–36}. There is growing evidence that lipids play a critical role in cancer biology, and subsequently, in cancer progression. Notably, this is not restricted to one or a few entities, but it rather appears to be a general principle¹⁵. In addition to de novo lipogenesis, cancer cells can uptake exogenous lipids, especially in the form of fatty acids (FAs). The following section will touch upon the main topics of lipid metabolism in cancer, providing a general understanding of the subject. However, a thorough explanation would exceed the scope of this review article. There are several outstanding overviews available that delve deeper into each individual topic and are cited below, for reference.

Energy resource

Otto Warburg developed the concept of aerobic glycolysis as a preferential metabolic energy-providing mechanism^{37,38}. Since its introduction, this concept has become essential to our understanding of cancer biology. In addition, there is fundamental knowledge that ATP production by FA- β -oxidation (FAO) plays an important role in tumor cells^{39–42}. FAO appears to be especially enhanced if tumor cells come into direct contact with adipocytes^{14,43}. Carracedo et al. summarized the particular importance of FAO in cells under the condition of loss of intercellular adherence with inhibition of glucose uptake44. They also stressed that aerobic glycolysis and upregulation of lipid metabolism are key interdependent metabolic processes in cancer progression. Both the Warburg effect and lipid metabolism can be attacked by a small molecule⁴⁵. Interestingly, there are data supporting the particular importance of FAO for metastases formation. Detached melanoma cells upregulate FAO to supply their demand for NADPH. Knockdown of the FAO essential proteins TPB and NUR77 reduces circulating tumor cells^{46,47}. On the other hand, NUR77 inhibits the uptake of FAs and consecutive proliferation⁴⁸. However, the latter is of minor importance during the initial tumor cell dissemination and distant colonization phase.

Effects on cancer immunology

The tumor microenvironment (TME) reflects the interface between the tumor and the affected organism and hosts the defensive elements of the innate and adaptive immune systems. Lipids can modify the function of many kinds of immune cells, and they are, therefore, of crucial importance¹⁵. They can foster the function of immunosuppressive myeloid-derived stem cells (MDSCs), tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), T-helper 2 (Th2) cells, Treg cells (Tregs), and dendric cells (DCs) as well as tumor-associated neutrophils (TANs)⁴⁹⁻⁵⁴. MDSCs within a lipid-rich tumor environment switch from glycolysis to FAO to cover their energy requirements⁵⁵, generating a competitive advantage over antitumor immune cells⁵⁶. Blocking FAO in mouse models led to a decreased immunosuppressive function⁴⁹. An increased supply of FAs fosters the generations of protumoral TAMs with M2-phenotype with an immunosuppressive function due to activated JAK1-STAT6 signaling^{57,58}. Tregs also benefit from CD36-mediated FA intake⁵¹. DCs are a major component of the MHC-II-class antigenpresenting system and show an impaired function by an abnormal lipid intake^{59,60}. The cytotoxic features of CD8 T cells are impaired in cholesterolrich TME, which is associated with increased CD36 expression and poor survival⁶¹. Prostaglandin E2 inhibits the release of DC attracting chemokines by natural killer (NK) cells⁶². FAO facilitates the responses against infection and cancer of NK cells with the help of CPT1A expression⁶³. On the other hand, CPT1A increases the resistance of tumor cells against the cytotoxic

function of T-cells⁶⁴. In summary, lipids can effectively inhibit the immunologic system and contribute to cancer progression.

Specific FA-transporting proteins

Several proteins are involved in the trans- and intracellular transport of FAs. Together with CD36, the family of FABPs has been investigated most frequently in the context of cancer.

CD36. CD36 (alternatively, platelet glycoprotein 4; fatty acid translocase, FAT; or scavenger receptor class B member 3, SCARB3) is a membrane protein that is responsible for the uptake of FAs into the cell and is therefore, in addition to de-novo lipogenesis, of crucial importance for the supply of lipids to the cells from the outside in. Very recently, Xia et al. provided an excellent and comprehensive review of this topic⁶⁵. The upregulation and prognostic relevance of CD36 in cancers have been reported in several entities, such as gastric cancer, colorectal cancer, ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, clear cell renal cancer, and squamous cancer⁶⁶⁻⁷². The extent of the differential expression and prognostic effect, however, seems to be entity dependent⁷³. In addition to lipid-related ligands, CD36 has many other ligands, as underlined by Xia et al. Except for thrombospondin (TSP), most show a tumor-promoting effect⁶⁵. Of interest are data suggesting CD36's crucial role in metastatic homing. Driven by cancer-associated adipocytes (CAAs), the upregulation of CD36 in tumor cells facilitates the uptake of FAs in the omental metastasis of ovarian cancer. This mechanism is believed to contribute to the strong preference to metastasize into the neighboring omental fat^{70,74}. For the possible therapeutic exploitation of CD36 as a target protein, see below.

FABP4. FABPs bind to long-chain FAs and accomplish intracellular traffic. The role of the isoform-comprising family in cancer has been comprehensively summarized by McKillop and colleagues⁷⁵. Of the 10 members of this family, FABP4 is most frequently addressed in the context of cancer biology and therapy. Physiologically, FABP4 is expressed on the protein level mainly in white and brown adipocytic tissue. The human protein atlas additionally mentions respiratory tract and female tissues as regions of physiological protein expression^{76,77}. It binds and transports saturated and unsaturated lipids as well as FAs. FABP4 is, together with CD36, responsible for the transmembrane lipid uptake⁷⁶. Differential and aberrant gene expression of FABP4 is found in several cancer entities, such as breast cancer, non-small lung cancer, prostate cancer, colorectal and gastric cancer, and is associated with more aggressive clinical courses compared to low-level cases⁷⁸⁻⁸⁵. Of interest, FABP4 expression in ovarian tumor cells is restricted to metastases in which direct contact with adipocytes is realized^{86,87}, emphasizing the importance of a lipid-rich environment and lipd-metabolism-associated proteins such as CD36. Cell culture and animal experiments in ovarian cancer revealed upregulation of lipid-metabolism proteins. FABP4 has been identified as being of particular importance. Knockdown- and knockout experiments led to reduced metastatic burden. The same was true after implication of a FABP4-inhibitor⁸⁸. Regarding the possible therapeutic exploitation of FABP4 as a target protein, see below.

Cancer-associated adipocytes

Based on localization and function, adipose tissue is divided into white and brown adipose tissue (WAT and BAT, respectively). BAT serves as a thermoregulator and is localized in the paracervical and supraclavicular regions. Wholly underestimated in its importance in the past, WAT stores energy and is a source of hundreds of hormones and functional proteins. It is localized in the subcutaneous region and around visceral organs⁸⁹. Mature adipocytes interact with tumor cells when they come into direct contact with each other. This is the case if the regional anatomy of a tumor contains fat, which is especially the case in breast, gastrointestinal, pancreatic, and urothelial cancers and melanoma. Moreover, fat also occurs abundantly in some metastatic sites, such as the peritoneum and bone marrow. Mature adipocytes become delipidated and lose size, which is visible by light microscopy, and obviously provide lipids, including FAs, after lipolysis¹⁰. Moreover, adipocytes undergo a fundamental change in terms of phenotype and secretory function. In particular, proteinases such as MMP-11 and PAI-1 and inflammatory cytokines such as TNFa, IL-6, IL-1ß are upregulated and secreted more strongly. Furthermore, adipokines are regulated toward a tumor-promoting function^{90,91}. Finally, mature adipocytes become cancerassociated adipocytes (CAA) and can even transform into fibroblasts^{89,92}. Co-culturing tumor cells and adipocytes leads to a significantly increased aggressiveness of tumor cells, as shown in animal experiments where tumor cells have been injected into the tails of mice⁹⁰. This finding seems especially interesting because it supports the concept of a promoting effect that is not restricted to local tumor growth but also to dissemination and distant implantation. Importantly, cellular interaction is bidirectional and includes the regulatory influence of adipocytes through attached tumor cells. The activation of lipolysis within adipocytes induced by tumor cells is only one example of a wide range of reactions^{87,93-95}.

Lipid-induced anticancer therapy resistance

There is a large body of evidence that lipids are not only highly effective tumor promotors but are also able to induce resistance against anticancer therapy. Duong et al. provided a list of 12 references that document, based on cell culture and animal experiments, the resistance-inducing effects of adipocytes. The affected therapies range from classical chemotherapies with cisplatin over radiation to antibody treatment with trastuzumab⁸⁹. In an animal experiment, Iwamoto et al. demonstrated that resistance to antiangiogenic therapy (AAT) is lipid-driven and depends on the composition of the hosting tissue. An adipose environment mediates resistance, in contrast to non-adipose tissue⁹⁶. It is believed that FAO can help tumor cells within an adipose environment overcome the energy deficiency induced by AAT⁹⁷. Moreover, the adipose environment seems to maintain low-grade inflammation with the production of radical oxygen species (ROS). These species, however, are needed to establish the cell-damaging effect of radiation. Therefore, it can be assumed that this competitive ROS production decreases the radiogenic effect in lipid-driven cancers.

Lipid metabolism as a potential therapy target

Considering its high potential as a tumor-promoting factor and ability to induce therapy resistance, it seems obvious that attacking lipid metabolism, especially in cancers that can be assumed to be lipid driven is a promising treatment approach. There exists a plethora of different substances that interact at different sites and levels of lipid metabolism. Supplementary Table 1 gives an overview over a selection of lipid metabolism targets and substances that has been tested in cancers.

One major approach is to reduce the supply or availability of lipids. While previous anti-FASN compounds showed problematic side-effect profiles, TVB2640 (denifanstat), a newer substance, entered phase I studies of lung, ovarian, and breast cancers, among others98, and a phase II study of high-grade astrocytoma⁹⁹ and showed a favorable safety profile and promising response rates, especially in combination with other anti-cancer drugs. Attacking CD36 is a promising option for cutting off the FA uptake of tumor cells. Instead of CD36 expression inhibitors, more recent studies have used anti-CD36 antibodies and inhibiting small molecules¹⁰⁰. These have been shown to be effective preclinically in prostate and gastric cancers, among others^{101,102}. There is a long list of inhibitors of FABP4, which is another protein that can serve as a target in anti-cancer therapy. BMS309403 (an FABP4 inhibitor) has been developed for the treatment of metabolic syndrome. However, it has been shown to effectively inhibit ovarian cancer tumor growth in cell culture and animal experiments and has an additive effect in combination with chemotherapy^{88,103}. The tumor-promoting effect of a high-fat diet was abrogated by this substance in a mouse model of prostate cancer¹⁰⁴. Several compounds inhibit SREBPs. These include silibin, nelvinavir, and fatostatin, which have been shown in cell culture and animal experiments to have anticancer effects in breast, prostate, and hepatocellular cancers¹⁰⁵⁻¹¹¹. SREBPs can also be inhibited by pathway activation. Since 1982, metformin, an antidiabetic compound that has been available for a

long time, has been investigated in thousands of studies of its anticancer potential. Despite these huge efforts and the high number of available metaanalyses, the real anticancer potential of metformin is still unclear due to conflicting results. In the last two years, several meta-analyses have revealed an anticancer effect, especially in esophageal, gastric, colorectal, and pancreatic cancers¹¹²⁻¹¹⁵. However, there have been major points of criticism regarding observational studies. Suissa et al. argued that many of these studies were hampered by time-related biases, while prospective studies could not confirm the positive results of experimental and observational studies^{116,117}. Only a few phase III studies have been sufficiently powered.

Glycogen-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP1RAs) gained an important role in the treatment of type-2 diabetes and obesity. However, they also have favorable side effects on the function of the cardiovascular system, kidneys, the central nervous system, and cancer¹¹⁸. While a cancerogenic effect in thyroids has been suggested¹¹⁹ beneficial effects of the GLP1RAs have been reported regarding prostate, lung, and colon cancer¹¹⁹. Due to its metabolic approach a preferable effect on lipid-driven cancers is thinkable.

Another concept involves attacking the additional metabolism of intracellularly present lipids. Several lipases are required to build up FAs from neutral fat stores. Inhibitors of adipose triglyceride lipase and monoacylglycerol are available that have been successfully tested in colorectal cancer, among others^{36,120}. The inhibition of stearoyl-CoA desaturases-1 influences the saturation status of FAs and has been shown to be effective as an anticancer therapy¹²¹. Carnitine-palmitoyl-transferase-1 (CPT-1) inhibitors have also shown anticancer efficacy by attacking FAO with direct antiproliferative effects and increasing the efficacy of other therapies, such as chemo- or radiotherapy³⁶.

Synthetic lethality. The concept of synthetic lethality follows a strategy to combine very different therapies to increase the efficacy of these by heightening the vulnerability of tumor cells or avoiding resistance. The above-mentioned combination of CPT-1 inhibitors with chemo- or radiation therapy is an example of this approach. In this context, it seems important that such strategies need a careful analysis of how a tumor is dependent on lipid metabolism and how a certain neoplasm realizes its need for lipids. A lipogenesis-driven tumor will not be responsive to lipid uptake–attacking approaches, such as anti-CD36³⁶.

Relationship between SARIFA and metabolic reprogramming in cancer

As mentioned above, the strong prognostic power of SARIFA has been demonstrated in several cancer types^{1,2,7–10,16}. Our quantitative analyses have shown that even a single tiny SARIFA area within a tumor is enough to indicate a high risk of an adverse clinical course, arguing for a certain biology behind it²⁰. Histomorphology strongly suggests the mechanistic relevance of the direct contact of tumor cells with fat cells. Tumor-associated adipocytes shrink (Fig. 1), which is very likely a consequence of the release of fatty acids into the environment, particularly into tumor cells, which uptake these lipids (Fig. 2c and d - lipid staining in SARIFA-positive tumor cells) with the help of FABP4 and or CD36. The morphology in SARIFA-positive cases is identical to that in animal experiments, elucidating the role of lipid metabolism in cancer^{88,122,123}. The results of bulk and spatial RNA-expression analyses in SARIFA-positive cases are striking, highlighting the role of upregulated lipid metabolism in concert with the activation of tissue proteases. In particular, the very pronounced increase of FABP4 at the RNA and protein levels in both tumor cells and tumor stroma is a strong indicator of the validity of the hypothesis that the prognostic effect of SARIFA is driven by metabolic reprogramming^{1,7,18}. As described in the previous section, numerous studies have demonstrated that FABP4 can increase the aggressiveness of cancers, including their ability to metastasize. It is worth noting that the upregulation of FABP4 is highly influenced by direct interactions between tumor cells and adipocytes. If FABP4 is experimentally downregulated on a genetic or pharmacological level, tumor progression is reduced⁷⁸⁻⁸⁵. Tumor cells are able to induce lipolysis in adipocytes by several factors (e.g. catecholamines and cytokines)⁹². The transfer of FAs from the adipocytes to the tumor cells is realized by CD36 and FABP4. The function as an energy source by FAO is only one mechanism that gives a tremendous advantage. Astonishingly, this advantage is maintained when those cells enter the circulation and implant at distanced sites^{47,90}. We believe that such lipid metabolism-derived mechanisms are indeed responsible for the aggressiveness of SARIFA-positive cancer, which we could demonstrate. Also, our first indications regarding an impaired immune response in SARIFA-positive cancers fit a lipid-metabolism-enforced carcinogenesis^{7,29}. Once this concept finds acceptance, a large amount of evidence from basic research in the field of cancer-related lipid metabolism can be applied to SARIFA-positive cancers. Importantly, SARIFA classification identifies lipid-driven cases within certain tumor entities. Therefore, future lipid metabolism-attacking therapy studies need to stratify between "lipid-hot" and "lipid-cold" tumors. SARIFA seems to be a perfect biomarker for this.

Limitations and future directions

A huge body of evidence has documented the enormous potential of lipids to promote cancer. Basic research regarding lipid metabolism in the context of cancer has often been performed, assuming that obesity is a causal or enforcing condition. Moreover, several cancer entities have been identified as preferentially promoted by lipids. SARIFA, as a new biomarker, has been shown to be highly prognostic in different cancers. Moreover, SARIFApositive tumors clearly show the features of lipid-driven cancers. However, our results indicate that SARIFA is not necessarily associated with obesity. Moreover, within a certain cancer entity, there is heterogeneity regarding the occurrence of SARIFAs. It, therefore, seems reasonable not to apply the results regarding lipid metabolism from basic research to all types of cancer or entire entities but to follow a personalized approach. We strongly believe that the SARIFA classification can discriminate between lipid-driven (lipid hot) and nonlipid-driven (lipid cold) cancers. Based on this classification, lipid metabolism-targeting therapies could be stratified.

A limitation of this review is the fact that up to now, SARIFA, except for a very recently published study¹⁹, has only been investigated by the group of the authors of this review article. Despite all efforts to be objective, a personally biased view cannot be ruled, entirely. It is also important to emphasize that the part regarding the basic research has a narrative nature and is not based on systematic literature research.

A major limitation of the SARIFA biomarker itself is the need for a surgical specimen to evaluate SARIFA status. Therefore, finding surrogate markers that can substitute for the histopathological evaluation of the tumor invasion front seems to be of utmost importance. By correlating SARIFAstatus and stromal morphometry¹⁸, we could already show that SARIFApositive CRCs are characterized by a significantly lower proportion of tumor (PoT), as defined by West et al.¹²⁴, and also a lower number of vessels (each p < 0.01) - both measured at the luminal endoscopically reachable tumor surface¹⁸. These morphologic differences suggest that SARIFA status may be assessable at biopsy specimens in the future if further studies build upon this. The TAF approach of Wulczyn et al. also underlines the power of digital pathology that potentially could help to transfer our concepts to biopsies. We have already proven that SARIFA-positive CRCs also show histological differences in their luminal, endoscopically reachable tumor components, which may serve as a proxy for SARIFA classification in biopsies if further studies build upon these findings. Appropriate serum or plasma markers or expression profiles of tissue biopsies from the tumor surface might be feasible approaches¹²⁵. The mechanisms yielding a neoplastic process with markedly reduced stromal reactions are currently not fully understood. Previous studies have indicated that typical driver mutations do not play a role, in contrast to the immune^{7,8} and wound healing systems²⁷. In this context, a possible influence on the efficacy of immunotherapy should be addressed. Therefore, further investigations should be performed in this direction. Moreover, next to the cancer entities already investigated, numerous other epithelial cancers, such as urothelial cancer, squamous cell cancers of various localizations, and breast and ovarian cancers, as well as melanomas, sarcomas, and hematologic neoplasms, should be evaluated

regarding the potential effects of SARIFA. Interestingly, currently, unpublished preliminary results from investigations regarding breast cancer indicate a correlation between the molecular type and SARIFA-positivity. The latter seems to occur predominantly in hormone-driven luminal-A cancers and might be associated with a favorable course. Large-scale investigations will be necessary to elucidate this contradictory phenomenon. In general, it seems likely that lipid-driven tumors follow a certain metastatic route that prefers lipid-rich metastatic niches such as bones or the omental site. The first preliminary data of our own investigation already point in this direction. As outlined above, attacking lipid metabolism is an attractive concept. SARIFA could serve as an effective biomarker for selecting those cases with the highest potential to benefit from such regimes. Newer drugs like GLP1RA should be evaluated at an experimental level while other existing substances can be considered where broad experimental evidence can be considered sufficient for phase-I and II trials.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed for this article. This word does not include novel data but only refers to original contributions.

Received: 27 February 2024; Accepted: 19 July 2024; Published online: 31 July 2024

References

- Grosser, B. et al. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) a new prognostic biomarker in gastric cancer related to tumorpromoting adipocytes. J. Pathol. 256, 71–82 (2022).
- Martin, B. et al. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA)-a new easily to determine biomarker in colon cancer-results of a retrospective study. *Cancers (Basel)* 13, 4880 (2021).
- 3. Wulczyn, E. et al. Interpretable survival prediction for colorectal cancer using deep learning. *NPJ Digit. Med.* **4**, 71 (2021).
- L'Imperio, V. et al. Pathologist validation of a machine learningderived feature for colon cancer risk stratification. *JAMA Netw. Open* 6, e2254891 (2023).
- Jiang, X. et al. End-to-end prognostication in colorectal cancer by deep learning: a retrospective, multicentre study. *Lancet Digit. Health* 6, e33–e43 (2024).
- Foersch, S. et al. Multistain deep learning for prediction of prognosis and therapy response in colorectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* 29, 430–439 (2023).
- Grosser, B. et al. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) proves prognostic relevance in gastric carcinoma and is based on a tumor-adipocyte interaction indicating an altered immune response. *Gastric Cancer* 27, 72–85 (2023).
- Reitsam, N. G. et al. Novel biomarker SARIFA in colorectal cancer: highly prognostic, not genetically driven and histologic indicator of a distinct tumor biology. *Cancer Gene Ther* **31**, 207–216 (2023).
- Enke, J. S. et al. SARIFA as a new histopathological biomarker is associated with adverse clinicopathological characteristics, tumorpromoting fatty-acid metabolism, and might predict a metastatic pattern in pT3a prostate cancer. *BMC Cancer* 24, 65 (2024).
- Grochowski, P. et al. The Concept of Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) as a new prognostic biomarker for lipid-driven cancers holds true in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *BMC Cancer* 24, 768 (2024).
- Lengyel, E., Makowski, L., DiGiovanni, J. & Kolonin, M. G. Cancer as a matter of fat: the crosstalk between adipose tissue and tumors. *Trends Cancer* 4, 374–384 (2018).
- 12. Pati, S., Irfan, W., Jameel, A., Ahmed, S. & Shahid, R. K. Obesity and cancer: a current overview of epidemiology, pathogenesis, outcomes, and management. *Cancers (Basel)* **15**, 485 (2023).
- Renehan, A. G., Zwahlen, M. & Egger, M. Adiposity and cancer risk: new mechanistic insights from epidemiology. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 15, 484–498 (2015).

- 14. Beloribi-Djefaflia, S., Vasseur, S. & Guillaumond, F. Lipid metabolic reprogramming in cancer cells. *Oncogenesis* **5**, e189 (2016).
- 15. Vasseur, S. & Guillaumond, F. Lipids in cancer: a global view of the contribution of lipid pathways to metastatic formation and treatment resistance. *Oncogenesis* **11**, 46 (2022).
- 16. Grosser, B. et al. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) improves prognostic risk stratification of perioperative chemotherapy treated oesophagogastric cancer patients from the MAGIC and the ST03 trial. *Br. J. Cancer* **130**, 457–466 (2023).
- 17. Reitsam, N. G. O. et al. Presence of Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) - a potential novel histopathological tool for therapy response prediction in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* **84**, 6403 (2024).
- Reitsam, N. G. et al. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA): a novel histopathologic biomarker in colorectal cancer patients and its association with the luminal tumour proportion. *Transl. Oncol.* 44, 101913 (2024).
- Ulase, D., Behrens, H. M. & Röcken, C. Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA) predict poor survival in adenocarcinomas of the stomach and gastrooesophageal junction: a validation study. *Virchows Arch* https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-024-03826-4 (2024).
- 20. Grosser, B. et al. SARIFA, a new prognostic histomorphological biomarker in gastric cancer identifies cases with a distinct biology a post hoc analysis of the ST03 trial. *Oncol. Res. Treat.* **26**, 26 (2024).
- Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of gastric adenocarcinoma. *Nature* **513**, 202–209 (2014).
- 22. Cancer Genome Atlas Network Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. *Nature* **487**, 330–337 (2012).
- 23. Gao, J. et al. Integrative analysis of complex cancer genomics and clinical profiles using the cBioPortal. *Sci. Signal.* **6**, pl1 (2013).
- 24. Guinney, J. et al. The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* **21**, 1350–1356 (2015).
- 25. Märkl, B. et al. Tumour budding, uPA and PAI-1 are associated with aggressive behaviour in colon cancer. *J. Surg. Oncol.* **102**, 235–241 (2010).
- 26. Märkl, B. et al. Impact of uPA/PAI-1 and disseminated cytokeratinpositive cells in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* **19**, 692 (2019).
- Grosser, B., Reitsam, N. G., Sommer, F., Hardt, J. & B., M Is the formation of Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas in colorectal cancer driven by characteristic extracellular matrix reorganization processes based on the plasmin/plasminogen system. *Cancer Res.* 84, 5156 (2024).
- Märkl, B. et al. Tumor budding, uPA, and PAI-1 in colorectal cancer: update of a prospective study. *Gastroenterol. Res Pract.* 2017, 6504960 (2017).
- Reitsam, N. G. et al. Alterations in natural killer cells in colorectal cancer patients with Stroma AReactive Invasion Front Areas (SARIFA). *Cancers (Basel)* 15, 994(2023).
- Krogue, J. D. et al. Predicting lymph node metastasis from primary tumor histology and clinicopathologic factors in colorectal cancer using deep learning. *Commun. Med.* **3**, 59 (2023).
- 31. Reitsam, N. et al. Converging deep learning and human-observed tumor-adipocyte interaction as a biomarker in colorectal cancer. *Commun. Med.* (2024).
- Hanahan, D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. *Cancer Discov.* 12, 31–46 (2022).
- Hanahan, D. & Coussens, L. M. Accessories to the crime: functions of cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* 21, 309–322 (2012).
- Tator, C. H., Evans, J. R. & Olszewski, J. Tracers for the detection of brain tumors. Evaluation of radioiodinated human serum albumin and radioiodinated fatty acid. *Neurology* **16**, 650–661 (1966).

- Spector, A. A. & Steinberg, D. Relationship between fatty acid and glucose utilization in Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. *J. Lipid Res.* 7, 657–663 (1966).
- Butler, L. M. et al. Lipids and cancer: emerging roles in pathogenesis, diagnosis and therapeutic intervention. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 159, 245–293 (2020).
- Koppenol, W. H., Bounds, P. L. & Dang, C. V. Otto Warburg's contributions to current concepts of cancer metabolism. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 11, 325–337 (2011).
- Warburg, O. On respiratory impairment in cancer cells. Science 124, 269–270 (1956).
- Cui, M. Y., Yi, X., Zhu, D. X. & Wu, J. The role of lipid metabolism in gastric cancer. *Front. Oncol.* **12**, 916661 (2022).
- Corbet, C. & Feron, O. Emerging roles of lipid metabolism in cancer progression. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 20, 254–260 (2017).
- 41. Snaebjornsson, M. T., Janaki-Raman, S. & Schulze, A. Greasing the wheels of the cancer machine: the role of lipid metabolism in cancer. *Cell Metab.* **31**, 62–76 (2020).
- 42. Ma, Y. et al. Fatty acid oxidation: an emerging facet of metabolic transformation in cancer. *Cancer Lett.* **435**, 92–100 (2018).
- Wen, Y. A. et al. Adipocytes activate mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation and autophagy to promote tumor growth in colon cancer. *Cell Death Dis.* 8, e2593 (2017).
- Carracedo, A., Cantley, L. C. & Pandolfi, P. P. Cancer metabolism: fatty acid oxidation in the limelight. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 13, 227–232 (2013).
- Flaveny, C. A. et al. Broad anti-tumor activity of a small molecule that selectively targets the warburg effect and lipogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 28, 42–56 (2015).
- Lee, C. K. et al. Tumor metastasis to lymph nodes requires YAPdependent metabolic adaptation. *Science* **363**, 644–649 (2019).
- Li, X. X. et al. Nuclear receptor Nur77 facilitates melanoma cell survival under metabolic stress by protecting fatty acid oxidation. *Mol. Cell* 69, 480–492.e487 (2018).
- Yang, P. B. et al. Blocking PPARgamma interaction facilitates Nur77 interdiction of fatty acid uptake and suppresses breast cancer progression. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 27412–27422 (2020).
- Hossain, F. et al. Inhibition of fatty acid oxidation modulates immunosuppressive functions of myeloid-derived suppressor cells and enhances cancer therapies. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 3, 1236–1247 (2015).
- Liu, J. et al. Macrophages and metabolic reprograming in the tumor microenvironment. *Front. Oncol.* 12, 795159 (2022).
- Wang, H. et al. CD36-mediated metabolic adaptation supports regulatory T cell survival and function in tumors. *Nat. Immunol.* 21, 298–308 (2020).
- Jiang, L., Fang, X., Wang, H., Li, D. & Wang, X. Ovarian cancerintrinsic fatty acid synthase prevents anti-tumor immunity by disrupting tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells. *Front. Immunol.* 9, 2927 (2018).
- Raccosta, L. et al. The oxysterol-CXCR2 axis plays a key role in the recruitment of tumor-promoting neutrophils. *J. Exp. Med.* **210**, 1711–1728 (2013).
- Park, J., Wang, L. & Ho, P. C. Metabolic guidance and stress in tumors modulate antigen-presenting cells. *Oncogenesis* 11, 62 (2022).
- Yan, D. et al. Lipid metabolic pathways confer the immunosuppressive function of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in tumor. *Front. Immunol.* 10, 1399 (2019).
- Clements, V. K. et al. Frontline science: high fat diet and leptin promote tumor progression by inducing myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **103**, 395–407 (2018).
- Di Conza, G. et al. Tumor-induced reshuffling of lipid composition on the endoplasmic reticulum membrane sustains macrophage survival and pro-tumorigenic activity. *Nat. Immunol.* 22, 1403–1415 (2021).

- Su, P. et al. Enhanced lipid accumulation and metabolism are required for the differentiation and activation of tumor-associated macrophages. *Cancer Res.* 80, 1438–1450 (2020).
- 59. Dong, H. & Bullock, T. N. Metabolic influences that regulate dendritic cell function in tumors. *Front. Immunol.* **5**, 24 (2014).
- 60. Peng, X., He, Y., Huang, J., Tao, Y. & Liu, S. Metabolism of dendritic cells in tumor microenvironment: for immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* **12**, 613492 (2021).
- Ma, X. et al. CD36-mediated ferroptosis dampens intratumoral CD8(+) T cell effector function and impairs their antitumor ability. *Cell Metab.* 33, 1001–1012.e1005 (2021).
- Böttcher, J. P. et al. NK cells stimulate recruitment of cDC1 into the tumor microenvironment promoting cancer immune control. *Cell* 172, 1022–1037.e1014 (2018).
- Sheppard, S. et al. Fatty acid oxidation fuels natural killer cell responses against infection and cancer. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 121, e2319254121 (2024).
- Liu, Z. et al. CPT1A-mediated fatty acid oxidation confers cancer cell resistance to immune-mediated cytolytic killing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 120, e2302878120 (2023).
- 65. Xia, L. et al. Ligand-dependent CD36 functions in cancer progression, metastasis, immune response, and drug resistance. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **168**, 115834 (2023).
- Jiang, Q. et al. CD36-BATF2\MYB axis predicts anti-PD-1 immunotherapy response in gastric cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 19, 4476–4492 (2023).
- Jayawardhana, A. et al. Visible light-activatable platinum(IV) prodrugs harnessing CD36 for ovarian cancer therapy. *Dalton Trans.* 52, 10942–10950 (2023).
- Altuna-Coy, A. et al. The lipidomic profile of the tumoral periprostatic adipose tissue reveals alterations in tumor cell's metabolic crosstalk. *BMC Med.* 20, 255 (2022).
- Wang, J. et al. Single-cell and bulk transcriptomics identifies a tumor-specific CD36(+) cancer-associated fibroblast subpopulation in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Commun.* 44, 495–498 (2023).
- Ladanyi, A. et al. Adipocyte-induced CD36 expression drives ovarian cancer progression and metastasis. *Oncogene* 37, 2285–2301 (2018).
- 71. Haidari, S. et al. Fatty acid receptor CD36 functions as a surrogate parameter for lymph node metastasis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancers (Basel)* **13**, 4125 (2021).
- Xu, W. H. et al. Elevated CD36 expression correlates with increased visceral adipose tissue and predicts poor prognosis in ccRCC patients. *J. Cancer* 10, 4522–4531 (2019).
- 73. Chen, Y. J. et al. Prognostic and immunological role of CD36: a pancancer analysis. *J. Cancer* **12**, 4762–4773 (2021).
- 74. Dogra, S. et al. Adipokine apelin/APJ pathway promotes peritoneal dissemination of ovarian cancer cells by regulating lipid metabolism. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **19**, 1534–1545 (2021).
- McKillop, I. H., Girardi, C. A. & Thompson, K. J. Role of fatty acid binding proteins (FABPs) in cancer development and progression. *Cell Signal.* 62, 109336 (2019).
- Hotamisligil, G. S. & Bernlohr, D. A. Metabolic functions of FABPsmechanisms and therapeutic implications. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.* 11, 592–605 (2015).
- 77. Uhlén, M. et al. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* **347**, 1260419 (2015).
- Guaita-Esteruelas, S., Guma, J., Masana, L. & Borras, J. The peritumoural adipose tissue microenvironment and cancer. The roles of fatty acid binding protein 4 and fatty acid binding protein 5. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 462, 107–118 (2018).
- Gao, Y. et al. FABP4 regulates cell proliferation, stemness, apoptosis, and glycolysis in colorectal cancer via modulating ROS/ ERK/mTOR pathway. *Discov. Med.* **35**, 361–371 (2023).

- Hancke, K., Grubeck, D., Hauser, N., Kreienberg, R. & Weiss, J. M. Adipocyte fatty acid-binding protein as a novel prognostic factor in obese breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* **119**, 367–367 (2010).
- Kim, S. H., Pyo, J. S., Son, B. K., Oh, I. H. & Min, K. W. Clinicopathological significance and prognostic implication of nuclear fatty acid-binding protein 4 expression in colorectal cancer. *Pathol. Res. Pract.* 249, 154722 (2023).
- Tang, Z. et al. Elevated expression of FABP3 and FABP4 cooperatively correlates with poor prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Oncotarget 7, 46253–46262 (2016).
- Tucker, S. L. et al. Molecular biomarkers of residual disease after surgical debulking of high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20, 3280–3288 (2014).
- Uehara, H., Takahashi, T., Oha, M., Ogawa, H. & Izumi, K. Exogenous fatty acid binding protein 4 promotes human prostate cancer cell progression. *Int. J. Cancer* **135**, 2558–2568 (2014).
- Cho, K. R. & Shih, I.-M. Ovarian cancer. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.: Mech. Dis.* 4, 287–313 (2009).
- Nieman, K. M. et al. Adipocytes promote ovarian cancer metastasis and provide energy for rapid tumor growth. *Nat. Med.* 17, 1498–1503 (2011).
- Mukherjee, A. et al. Adipocyte-induced FABP4 expression in ovarian cancer cells promotes metastasis and mediates carboplatin resistance. *Cancer Res.* 80, 1748–1761 (2020).
- Duong, M. N. et al. The fat and the bad: Mature adipocytes, key actors in tumor progression and resistance. *Oncotarget* 8, 57622–57641 (2017).
- Dirat, B. et al. Cancer-associated adipocytes exhibit an activated phenotype and contribute to breast cancer invasion. *Cancer Res.* 71, 2455–2465 (2011).
- Ribeiro, R. J. et al. Tumor cell-educated periprostatic adipose tissue acquires an aggressive cancer-promoting secretory profile. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* **29**, 233–240 (2012).
- Attané, C. & Muller, C. Drilling for oil: tumor-surrounding adipocytes fueling cancer. *Trends Cancer* 6, 593–604 (2020).
- Laurent, V. et al. Periprostatic adipocytes act as a driving force for prostate cancer progression in obesity. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10230 (2016).
- Sagar, G. et al. Pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer exosomeinduced lipolysis in adipose tissue. *Gut* 65, 1165–1174 (2016).
- Shafat, M. S. et al. Leukemic blasts program bone marrow adipocytes to generate a protumoral microenvironment. *Blood* 129, 1320–1332 (2017).
- 96. Iwamoto, H. et al. Cancer lipid metabolism confers antiangiogenic drug resistance. *Cell Metab.* **28**, 104–117.e105 (2018).
- 97. Cao, Y. Adipocyte and lipid metabolism in cancer drug resistance. *J. Clin. Invest.* **129**, 3006–3017 (2019).
- Falchook, G. et al. First-in-human study of the safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of first-in-class fatty acid synthase inhibitor TVB-2640 alone and with a taxane in advanced tumors. *EClinicalMedicine* **34**, 100797 (2021).
- Kelly, W. et al. Phase II Investigation of TVB-2640 (Denifanstat) with Bevacizumab in Patients with First Relapse High-Grade Astrocytoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 29, 2419–2425 (2023).
- Guerrero-Rodríguez, S. L., Mata-Cruz, C., Pérez-Tapia, S. M. & Velasco-Velázquez, M. A. Role of CD36 in cancer progression, stemness, and targeting. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **10**, 1079076 (2022).
- Watt, M. J. et al. Suppressing fatty acid uptake has therapeutic effects in preclinical models of prostate cancer. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 11, eaau5758 (2019).

- Jiang, M. et al. Fatty acid-induced CD36 expression via O-GlcNAcylation drives gastric cancer metastasis. *Theranostics* 9, 5359–5373 (2019).
- Lemberger, L., Wagner, R., Heller, G., Pils, D. & Grunt, T. W. Pharmacological inhibition of lipid import and transport proteins in ovarian cancer. *Cancers* 14, 6004 (2022).
- 104. Huang, M. et al. Fatty acid binding protein 4 enhances prostate cancer progression by upregulating matrix metalloproteinases and stromal cell cytokine production. *Oncotarget* **8**, 111780–111794 (2017).
- Li, X., Wu, J. B., Chung, L. W. & Huang, W. C. Anti-cancer efficacy of SREBP inhibitor, alone or in combination with docetaxel, in prostate cancer harboring p53 mutations. *Oncotarget* 6, 41018–41032 (2015).
- 106. Li, N. et al. Inhibition of the sterol regulatory element-binding protein pathway suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma by repressing inflammation in mice. *Hepatology* **65**, 1936–1947 (2017).
- Guan, M., Su, L., Yuan, Y. C., Li, H. & Chow, W. A. Nelfinavir and nelfinavir analogs block site-2 protease cleavage to inhibit castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 9698 (2015).
- Li, X., Chen, Y. T., Hu, P. & Huang, W. C. Fatostatin displays high antitumor activity in prostate cancer by blocking SREBP-regulated metabolic pathways and androgen receptor signaling. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **13**, 855–866 (2014).
- 109. Brovkovych, V. et al. Fatostatin induces pro- and anti-apoptotic lipid accumulation in breast cancer. *Oncogenesis* **7**, 66 (2018).
- 110. Kamisuki, S. et al. A small molecule that blocks fat synthesis by inhibiting the activation of SREBP. *Chem. Biol.* **16**, 882–892 (2009).
- 111. Wang, J. & Li, Y. CD36 tango in cancer: signaling pathways and functions. *Theranostics* **9**, 4893–4908 (2019).
- 112. Najafi, F., Rajati, F., Sarokhani, D., Bavandpour, M. & Moradinazar, M. The relationship between metformin consumption and cancer risk: an updated umbrella review of systematic reviews and metaanalyses. *Int J. Prev. Med.* **14**, 90 (2023).
- 113. Fu, Q. et al. Preventive effects of 13 different drugs on colorectal cancer: a network meta-analysis. *Arch. Med. Sci.* **19**, 1428–1445 (2023).
- 114. Hu, J., Fan, H. D., Gong, J. P. & Mao, Q. S. The relationship between the use of metformin and the risk of pancreatic cancer in patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Gastroenterol.* **23**, 50 (2023).
- 115. Bahardoust, M. et al. Effect of metformin use on survival and recurrence rate of gastric cancer after gastrectomy in diabetic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J. Gastrointest. Cancer* **55**, 1–12 (2023).
- Yu, O. H. Y. & Suissa, S. Metformin and cancer: solutions to a realworld evidence failure. *Diabetes Care* 46, 904–912 (2023).
- 117. Suissa, S. & Azoulay, L. Metformin and the risk of cancer: timerelated biases in observational studies. *Diabetes Care* **35**, 2665–2673 (2012).
- 118. Wilbon, S. S. & Kolonin, M. G. GLP1 receptor agonists-effects beyond obesity and diabetes. *Cells* **13**, 65 (2023).
- 119. Wang, J. & Kim, C. H. Differential risk of cancer associated with glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists: analysis of real-world databases. *Endocr. Res.* **47**, 18–25 (2022).
- 120. Nomura, D. K. et al. Monoacylglycerol lipase regulates a fatty acid network that promotes cancer pathogenesis. *Cell* **140**, 49–61 (2010).
- 121. Imamura, K. et al. Discovery of novel and potent stearoyl coenzyme A desaturase 1 (SCD1) inhibitors as anticancer agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **25**, 3768–3779 (2017).
- 122. Xiang, F. et al. Omental adipocytes enhance the invasiveness of gastric cancer cells by oleic acid-induced activation of the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* **84**, 14–21 (2017).
- Zhang, M. et al. Adipocyte-derived lipids mediate melanoma progression via FATP proteins. *Cancer Discov.* 8, 1006–1025 (2018).
- 124. West, N. P. et al. The proportion of tumour cells is an independent predictor for survival in colorectal cancer patients. *Br. J. Cancer* **102**, 1519–1523 (2010).

125. Tsakogiannis, D. et al. Determination of FABP4, RBP4 and the MMP-9/NGAL complex in the serum of women with breast cancer. *Oncol. Lett.* **21**, 85 (2021).

Acknowledgements

This work has been financed exclusively by the regular faculty budget of Pathology.

Author contributions

B.M., N.R., P.G., J.W., and B.G. conceptualized the study, drafted individual parts of the initial draft, reviewed, and revised the manuscript. B.M. carried out the visualization. All authors have read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript. B.M. and N.R. contributed equally to this work.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-024-00662-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Bruno Märkl.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2024