



## Computation of Business-Cycle Models with the Generalized Schur Method

Alfred Maußner, Burkhard Heer

#### Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Maußner, Alfred, and Burkhard Heer. 2009. "Computation of Business-Cycle Models with the Generalized Schur Method." *Indian Growth and Development Review* 2: 173–82. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538250910992586.







#### **Indian Growth and Development Review**

Computation of business-cycle models with the Generalized Schur method Burkhard Heer, Alfred Maußner,

#### **Article information:**

To cite this document:

Burkhard Heer, Alfred Maußner, (2009) "Computation of business-cycle models with the Generalized Schur method", Indian Growth and Development Review, Vol. 2 Issue: 2, pp.173-182, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/17538250910992586">https://doi.org/10.1108/17538250910992586</a>

Permanent link to this document:

https://doi.org/10.1108/17538250910992586

Downloaded on: 16 January 2018, At: 04:20 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 11 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 134 times since 2009\*

#### Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2014), "Bribery in subsidized credit markets: evidence from Bangladesh", Indian Growth and Development Review, Vol. 7 Iss 1 pp. 61-72 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-11-2011-0042">https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-11-2011-0042">https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-11-2011-0042</a>

(2014), "Poverty by social, religious and economic groups in India and its largest states: 1993-1994 to 2011-2012", Indian Growth and Development Review, Vol. 7 lss 2 pp. 202-230 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-03-2014-0007">https://doi.org/10.1108/IGDR-03-2014-0007</a>

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:128305 []

#### For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

#### About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

\*Related content and download information correct at time of download.



#### **EDUCATION BRIEFING**

# Computation of business-cycle models with the Generalized Schur method

models

Computation of business-cycle

173

#### 1. Introduction

Downloaded by UNIVERSITAETSBIBLIOTHEK AUGSBURG At 04:20 16 January 2018 (PT)

Modern business cycle theory uses stochastic dynamic general equilibrium models in order to explain and forecast the behavior of economic variables such as income, employment, or inflation. In Heer and Maußner (2009), we provide a comprehensive review of both linear and non-linear computational methods in order to solve such models.

In most cases, business cycle models are solved with the help of log-linearization around the deterministic steady state. This method is very convenient for at least three reasons:

- (1) This method is simple, fast, and easy to implement.
- (2) As shown by Aruoba *et al.* (2006) and Heer and Maußner (2008), log-linearization often provides for a very accurate approximation, in particular if one is interested in the statistical properties of the economic variables.
- (3) The solution from this linear method can be used as an initial guess for the computation of a non-linear solution.

In general, the complex stochastic dynamic general equilibrium model of the business cycle can be log-linearized around the deterministic steady state resulting in the follow set of equations[1]:

$$C_{u}\mathbf{u}_{t} = C_{x\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t} \\ \mathbf{\lambda}_{t} \end{bmatrix} + C_{z}\mathbf{z}_{t}, \tag{1a}$$

$$D_{x\lambda}\mathbb{E}_{t}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}_{t+1}\\\mathbf{\lambda}_{t+1}\end{bmatrix} + F_{x\lambda}\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{x}_{t}\\\mathbf{\lambda}_{t}\end{bmatrix} = D_{u}\mathbb{E}_{t}\mathbf{u}_{t+1} + F_{u}\mathbf{u}_{t} + D_{z}\mathbb{E}_{t}\mathbf{z}_{t+1} + F_{z}\mathbf{z}_{t},$$
(1b)

$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} = \Pi \mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{\epsilon}_{t+1},\tag{1c}$$

$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma).$$
 (1d)

where  $\mathbf{x}_t$  denotes the state variables in period t,  $\mathbf{u}_t$  the control variables,  $\mathbf{\lambda}_t$  the costate variables, and  $\mathbf{z}_t$  the aggregate shocks. The variables in these vectors usually represent percentage deviations of the model's original variables from their respective stationary values. The matrices C and D are derived from the equilibrium conditions of the model. They are functions of the deep parameters of the model that, for example, describe the preferences of the households or the production function. The exogenous variables follow an autoregressive process characterized by the matrix  $\Pi$ . Expectations  $\mathbb{E}_t$  are conditional upon the information in period t.



#### JEL classification - C68, E32

The authors would like to thank Chetan Ghate and two anonymous referees for helpful comments on preliminary versions of the paper. The usual disclaimer applies.

Indian Growth and Development Review Vol. 2 No. 2, 2009

© Emerald Group Publishing Limited 1753-8254 DOI 10.1108/17538250910992586 In general, the static equations (1a) and the exogenous progress (1c) can be substituted in (1b) in order to have dynamic equations in the state and costate variables and the exogenous shocks only:

$$B\mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{t+1} \\ \mathbf{\lambda}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_t \\ \mathbf{\lambda}_t \end{bmatrix} + C\mathbf{z}_t, \tag{2}$$

We will illustrate the derivation of theses matrices and the above equation in the following by means of a very simple example. In our example, however, the matrix B is not invertible[2]. This generates a problem for many of the existing algorithms that rely upon the decomposition of  $B^{-1}A$  with the help of either the Jordan or the Schur factorization so that the computation breaks down in these cases. As an alternative, we will suggest the use of the Generalized Schur factorization instead.

### 2. A simple example: a two period overlapping generations (OLG) model $2.1\ The\ Model$

There are two generations s=1, 2 alive at period t. Each generation consists of a representative member of measure one. The young household works n=1 hours and receives wage  $w_t$ . The old household consumes his savings. He earns interest r on the capital stock  $k_{t+1,2}$  at age s=2 in period t+1. Capital depreciates at the rate  $\delta$ . Thus, at t the young household solves

max 
$$\ln c_{t,1} + \beta \mathbb{E}_t \ln c_{t+1,2}$$
,  
subject to  
 $c_{t,1} = w_t - k_{t+1,2}$ ,  
 $c_{t+1,2} = (1 - \delta + r_{t+1})k_{t+1,2}$ , (3)

where  $c_{t,s}$  denotes consumption at age s in period t. Expectations  $\mathbb{E}_t$  are rational and conditional on information at the beginning of period t.

Aggregate capital equals  $K_t = k_{t,2}$  and aggregate labor amounts to N = n = 1. Output is produced with the help of labor N and capital K

$$Y_t = Z_t N^{1-\alpha} K_t^{\alpha}, \tag{4}$$

and is subject to a technology shock  $Z_t$ . We assume  $Z_t$  to follow a stationary stochastic process[3]. Specifically, we assume that the percentage deviation of  $Z_t$  from its unconditional mean of  $Z=1, \hat{Z}_t:=(Z_t-Z)/Z$ , is governed by a first-order autoregressive process

$$\hat{Z}_t = \rho \hat{Z}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \tag{5}$$

where the innovations  $\epsilon_t$  are normally distributed with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The factor market equilibrium conditions are:

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) Z_t k_{t,2}^{\alpha}, \tag{6a}$$

$$r_t = \alpha Z_t k_{t,2}^{\alpha - 1}.$$
(6b)

#### 2.2 Temporary equilibria

The first-order conditions to problem (3) can be reduced to the following equations:

Computation of business-cycle models

$$\frac{1}{c_{t,1}} = \lambda_{t,1},\tag{7a}$$

$$c_{t,1} = w_t - k_{t+1,2},$$
 (7b)

$$\lambda_{t,1} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \frac{(1 - \delta + r_{t+1})}{c_{t+1} 2},$$
(7c)

$$c_{t,2} = (1 - \delta + r_t)k_{t,2},$$
 (7d)

where  $\lambda_{t,1}$  denotes the Lagrangian multiplier of the budget constraint  $c_t - w_t - k_{t+1,2} = 0$ . Together with the factor market equilibrium conditions (6) they determine a temporary equilibrium. It is easy to see that the sequence of temporary equilibria is governed by

$$k_{t+1,2} = \frac{\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\beta} Z_t k_{t,2}^{\alpha}.$$
 (8)

In the following, however, it will be instructive to analyze the model obtained from log-linearizing equations (6) and (7) at the stationary equilibrium.

#### 2.3 Stationary equilibrium

In the stationary equilibrium, the technology level Z = 1 is constant. In addition,  $c_{t,1} = c_1, c_{t,2} = c_2$ , and  $k_{t,2} = k$ . Equations (7a), (7c), and (6b), then, yield:

$$\frac{c_2}{c_1} = \beta(1 - \delta + \alpha k^{\alpha - 1}). \tag{9}$$

Since

$$c_1 = w - k = (1 - \alpha)k^{\alpha} - k \tag{10}$$

and

$$c_2 = (1 - \delta + \alpha k^{\alpha - 1})k$$

the stationary stock of capital is given by:

$$k = \left[\frac{1+\beta}{\beta(1-\alpha)}\right]^{1/\alpha-1}.$$

Of course, this is the stationary solution implied by (8). For illustrative purposes, let us pick values for the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\rho$ . We set  $\alpha=0.36$  equal to the capital income share in total production. As we consider two periods in our lifetime model, we look at a period length approximately equal to 30 years. Therefore, it is a reasonable assumption that capital has depreciated completely after one period,  $\delta=100\%$ . From (7b),  $\beta=1/r$ . Assuming that the annual real interest rate is equal to 4 percent, we should choose  $r=(1.04)^{30}-1=2.24$  implying  $\beta=0.446$ . For the autocorrelation parameter of the

175

productivity shock (5), we employ  $\rho = 0.95$ . This choice of parameters implies  $k = 0.0792, c_1 = 0.178, c_2 = 0.145, w = 0.257, and <math>r = 1.82$ .

#### 2.4 The log-linear model

In the next step, we log-linearize all the equations (6) and (7) describing the temporary equilibrium of the model around the steady state. We will illustrate the procedure only for (7a)[4]. To this end, take the logarithms of both sides of equation (7a) and compute the total differential at the steady state:

$$-\frac{dc_{1,t}}{c_{1,t}} = \frac{d\lambda_{t,1}}{\lambda_{t,1}}.$$

Notice that  $dc_{t,1} = c_{t,1} - c_1$ . As a result,  $-\hat{c}_{t,1} = \hat{\lambda}_{t,1}$ . Similarly, equations (7) and (6) imply the following linear model:

$$-\hat{c}_{t,1} = \hat{\lambda}_{t,1},\tag{11a}$$

$$\hat{c}_{t,2} = \hat{k}_{t,2} + \frac{rk}{c_2}\hat{r}_t,\tag{11b}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_t = \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}_t + \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_t, \tag{11c}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}_t = (\alpha - 1)\hat{\mathbf{k}}_t + \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_t,\tag{11d}$$

$$\hat{k}_{t+1,2} = \frac{w}{k}\hat{w}_t - \frac{c_1}{k}\hat{c}_{t,1},\tag{11e}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_{t,1} = -\mathbb{E}_t \hat{c}_{t+1,2} + \beta \frac{c_1}{c_2} r \mathbb{E}_t \hat{r}_{t+1}. \tag{11f}$$

In the notation of (1), the variable  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is equal to the capital stock,  $\mathbf{u}_t$  consists of consumption  $c_{t,1}$  and  $c_{t,2}$ , the wage rate  $w_t$ , and the real interest rate  $r_t$ .  $\lambda_t$  is equal to  $\lambda_{t,1}$ , and  $\mathbf{z}_t$  is simply the technology level  $Z_t$ .

We eliminate the wage and the interest rate from these systems so that the following four equations result:

$$-\hat{c}_{t,1} = \hat{\lambda}_{t,1},\tag{12a}$$

$$\hat{c}_{t,2} = \underbrace{\left[1 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)r}{1 - \delta + r}\right]}_{=:\Delta_1} \hat{k}_{t,2} + \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{\alpha - 1} \hat{Z}_t, \tag{12b}$$

$$\hat{k}_{t+1,2} - \frac{\alpha w}{k} \hat{k}_{t,2} = -\frac{c_1}{k} \hat{c}_{t,1} + \frac{w}{k} \hat{Z}_t,$$
 (12c)

$$(1 - \Delta_1)\hat{k}_{t+1,2} + \hat{\lambda}_{t,1} = -\mathbb{E}_t\hat{c}_{t+1,2} + \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{\alpha - 1}\mathbb{E}_t\hat{Z}_{t+1}.$$
 (12d)

We can rewrite this system in the following way:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{c}_{t,1} \\ \hat{c}_{t,2} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \Delta_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:C_{t^{\lambda}}} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{t,2} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{t,1} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{\alpha - 1} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:C_t} \hat{Z}_t, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 - \Delta_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:D_{x\lambda}} & \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{t+1,2} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{t+1,1} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\alpha w}{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:F_{x\lambda}} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{t,2} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{t,1} \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:D_u} & \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \hat{c}_{t+1,1} \\ \hat{c}_{t+1,2} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{c_1}{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:F_u} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{c}_{t,1} \\ \hat{c}_{t,2} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\Delta_1 - 1}{\alpha - 1} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:D_z} & \mathbb{E}_t \hat{Z}_{t+1} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{w}{k} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=:F_z} \hat{Z}_t. \end{split}$$

Computation of business-cycle models

(14)

177

Substituting (13) in (14) results in the following dynamic equation:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{-:R} \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{t+1,2} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{t+1,1} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha w}{k} & \frac{c_1}{k} \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}}_{-:A} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{k}_{t,2} \\ \hat{\lambda}_{t,1} \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{w}{k} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{-:C} \hat{Z}_t. \tag{15}$$

(15) corresponds to equation (2). In particular, the matrix B is singular and we cannot solve for the policy functions in the usual way.

The two lines of the linear system are two different equations in  $k_{t+1,2}$ . For both equations to hold simultaneously the two right hand sides of (15) must be equal, implying (making use of (10))

$$\hat{\lambda}_{t,1} = -\alpha \hat{k}_{t,2} - \hat{Z}_t = -0.36 \hat{k}_{t,2} - \hat{Z}_t.$$

From this solution for  $\hat{\lambda}_{t,1}$ , we can compute the solution for  $\hat{k}_{t+1,2}$  as follows:

$$\hat{k}_{t+1,2} = -\hat{\lambda}_{t,1} = 0.36\hat{k}_{t,2} + \hat{Z}_t.$$

Similarly, we can also compute the policy functions for consumption, wages, and the interest rate as functions of  $\hat{k}_{t,2}$  and  $\hat{Z}_t$  with the help of (11) and (12).

As a typical exercise in the business-cycle literature, one may assess the validity of the model as follows:

- One estimates a time series for the (possibly autoregressive) process of the technology level Z<sub>t</sub>.
- One computes the time paths for the model variables such as consumption and investment with the help of the policy functions and the exogenous technology shocks.
- Compares the computed time series with the corresponding empirical ones.

As another typical exercise in the business-cycle literature, one may compute the second moments such as the standard deviation of the variables and their correlation with output that are implied by the theoretical model and compare them with those found in historical data. For a more detailed description see Heer and Maußner (2009), Section 1.5.

Of course, we do not use models with a period length of 20 or 30 years in a business-cycle analysis, but rather quarters or years. As one of the very few exceptions known

to us, Brooks (2002) considers a model with four OLG in order to study the effect of a demographic shock on stock and bond returns. A more complex business-cycle model with 60 OLG is described in Section 10.2.1 in Heer and Maußner (2009).

In the next section, we will solve such singular systems as follows:

- (1) We calculate the Generalized Schur factorization of the matrices *A* and *B* and use them to write (15) as a non-singular system.
- (2) We solve the transformed system in the two linear combinations of  $(k_{t,2}, \hat{\lambda}_{t,1})$ .
- (3) We reverse this transformation to get the decision rules for  $\hat{k}_{t+1,2}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_{t,1}$ [5].

#### 3. The Generalized Schur factorization

In this section, we describe the general method to solve the system (2) if the matrix B is singular. The Generalized Schur factorization of (A,B) representing the dynamic equations system (2) is given by

$$U^T B V = S,$$
  

$$U^T A V = T,$$
(16)

where U and V are unitary matrices and S and T are upper triangular matrixes[6]. The eigenvalues of the matrix pencil are given by  $\mu_i = t_{ii}/s_{ii}$  for  $s_{ii} \neq 0$ . Furthermore, the matrices S and T can be arranged so that the eigenvalues appear in ascending order with respect to their absolute value. We define new variables:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{xx} & V_{x\lambda} \\ V_{\lambda x} & V_{\lambda \lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_t \\ \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_t \\ \hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t \end{bmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

so that we can write (2) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} S_{xx} & S_{x\lambda} \\ 0 & S_{\lambda\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{E}_t \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{t+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{xx} & T_{x\lambda} \\ 0 & T_{\lambda\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{U^{-1}C}_{=:D} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t.$$
(18)

In the following we denote by n(x) the number of elements of the vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and assume that n(x) eigenvalues have modulus less than one so that  $S_{xx}$  is a  $n(x) \times n(x)$  upper triangular matrix,  $S_{\lambda\lambda}$  is a  $n(\lambda) \times n(\lambda)$  upper triangular matrix, and  $S_{x\lambda}$  is a  $n(x) \times n(\lambda)$  matrix. The matrices  $T_{xx}$ ,  $T_{\lambda\lambda}$ , and  $T_{x\lambda}$  have corresponding dimensions. In addition, we partition D into a  $n(x) \times n(x)$  matrix  $D_x$  and the  $n(\lambda) \times n(x)$  matrix n(x) matrix n(x

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_x \\ D_\lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given these assumptions and definitions the system

$$S_{\lambda\lambda}\mathbb{E}_t\tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_{t+1} = T_{\lambda\lambda}\tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t + D_{\lambda}\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t \tag{19}$$

is unstable and has a forward solution:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t = \Phi \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t. \tag{20}$$

We construct the matrix  $\Phi$  in a similar way as Heer and Maußner (2009), p. 109-111. Consider the last line of (19):

Computation of business-cycle models

179

$$s_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)} \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t+1} = t_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t} + \mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t, \tag{21}$$

and the last line of (20):

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t} = \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} \mathbf{z}_t, \tag{22}$$

where  $\mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)}$  and  $\phi'_{n(\lambda)}$  are the last row of  $D_{\lambda}$  and  $\Phi$ , respectively. Since (1c) and (22) imply

$$\mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t+1} = \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} \Pi \mathbf{z}_t,$$

equation (21) can be rewritten as:

$$\left[s_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)}\boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)}\Pi - t_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)}\boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} - \mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)}\right]\mathbf{z}_t = 0.$$

Therefore, the last row of  $\Phi$  is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} = \mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)} \left( s_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)} \Pi - t_{n(\lambda),n(\lambda)} I_{n(z)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Now, consider the next to last row of (19)

$$s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} \mathbb{E}_{t} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda)-1,t+1} + s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \mathbb{E}_{t} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t+1}$$

$$= t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda)-1,t} + t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t} + \mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)-1} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}. \tag{23}$$

Since

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t} = \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)}\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$

equation (1c) implies

$$\mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda),t+1} = \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} \Pi \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$

and equation (23) can be reduced to

$$\begin{split} s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda)-1,t+1} &= t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{n(\lambda)-1,t} \\ &+ \Big( t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n(\lambda)}' - s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n(\lambda)}' \Pi + \mathbf{d}_{n(\lambda)-1}' \Big) \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_t, \end{split}$$

with solution

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)-1} = \left[ t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} - s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)} \boldsymbol{\phi}'_{n(\lambda)} \Pi + \mathbf{d}'_{n(\lambda)-1} \right] \times \left( s_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} \Pi - t_{n(\lambda)-1,n(\lambda)-1} I_{n(z)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proceeding in this way from the last line  $i = n(\lambda)$  to the first line i = 1 of (19) we can compute the entire matrix  $\Phi$ , where the i-th row of this matrix is:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i}' = \left[\mathbf{d}_{i}' + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n(\lambda)} \left(t_{i,j}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}' - s_{i,j}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}'\Pi\right)\right] \left(s_{i,i}\Pi - t_{i,i}I_{n(z)}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (24)

To derive the solution for  $\hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t$ , consider the second line of equation (17):

$$V_{\lambda x}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + V_{\lambda \lambda}\tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t = \hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t.$$

Since the first line can be solved for  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t}$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t = V_{rr}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t - V_{rr}^{-1} V_{x\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t, \tag{25}$$

we find:

$$\hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t = V_{\lambda x} V_{xx}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t + \left[ V_{\lambda \lambda} - V_{\lambda x} V_{xx}^{-1} V_{x\lambda} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t.$$

Inserting (20) yields:

$$\hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_{t} = \underbrace{V_{\lambda x} V_{xx}^{-1}}_{=:L_{\lambda}^{\lambda}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + \underbrace{\left[V_{\lambda \lambda} - V_{\lambda x} V_{xx}^{-1} V_{x\lambda}\right] \Phi}_{=:L_{\lambda}^{\lambda}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}. \tag{26}$$

To determine the policy function for the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}$ , consider the first line of (18):

$$S_{xx}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = -\mathbb{E}_t S_{x\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_{t+1} + T_{xx}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + T_{x\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t + D_x \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$
  

$$= -S_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t + T_{xx} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + T_{x\lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t + D_x \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$
  

$$= T_{xx} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + (T_{x\lambda} \Phi - S_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi + D_x) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t.$$

Since  $S_{xx}$  is invertible (since n(x) of the eigenvalues of the pencil are within the unit circle), we can solve this equation for  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}$ :

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = S_{xx}^{-1} T_{xx} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + S_{xx}^{-1} (T_{x\lambda} \Phi - S_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi + D_x) \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t.$$

Since

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = V_{xx}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} + V_{x\lambda}\mathbb{E}_t\tilde{\mathbf{\lambda}}_{t+1} = V_{xx}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} + V_{x\lambda}\Phi\Pi\hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$

we get

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = V_{xx} S_{xx}^{-1} T_{xx} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + \left\{ V_{xx} S_{xx}^{-1} (T_{x\lambda} \Phi - S_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi + D_x) + V_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi \right\} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t,$$

Substituting for (25) provides the policy function for the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1}$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t+1} = \underbrace{V_{xx} S_{xx}^{-1} T_{xx} V_{xx}^{-1}}_{=:L_x^x} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t 
+ \underbrace{\left\{ V_{xx} S_{xx}^{-1} (T_{x\lambda} \Phi - S_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi + D_x) + V_{x\lambda} \Phi \Pi - V_{xx} S_{xx}^{-1} T_{xx} V_{xx}^{-1} V_{x\lambda} \Phi \right\}}_{=:L_x^x} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_t$$

Computation of business-cycle models

(27)

181

Given the policy functions for  $\hat{\mathbf{\lambda}}_t$ , equation (1a) can be solved for the vector  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_t[7]$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{t} = \underbrace{C_{u}^{-1} C_{x\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n(x)} \\ L_{x}^{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}}_{L_{u}^{u}} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t} + \underbrace{\left( C_{u}^{-1} C_{x\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n(x) \times n(z)} \\ L_{z}^{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} + C_{u}^{-1} C_{z} \right)}_{L_{u}^{u}} \hat{\mathbf{z}}_{t}. \tag{28}$$

#### 4. Implementation

We have implemented the algorithm of the previous section in the Gauss program SolveLA3, which can be downloaded from our web site[8]. The program takes the matrices C, D, F, and the matrix  $\Pi$  from (1) as input and returns the matrices of the policy functions  $L^i_j$  from the solution

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = L_x^x \mathbf{x}_t + L_z^x \mathbf{z}_t,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_t = L_x^u \mathbf{x}_t + L_z^u \mathbf{z}_t,$$

$$\mathbf{\lambda}_t = L_x^\lambda \mathbf{x}_t + L_z^\lambda \mathbf{z}_t.$$

Applying this program to our example correctly delivers  $L_x^x = 0.36$  and  $L_z^x = 1$  as well as the coefficients of the policy functions for  $\hat{\lambda}_{t,1}$ ,  $\hat{c}_{t,1}$ , and  $\hat{c}_{t,2}$ .

#### 5. Conclusion

In this article, we presented an algorithm for the computation of business cycle models that are described by singular linear (stochastic) difference systems. The method uses the Generalized Schur factorization and is easy to implement. It is also applicable to large-scale dynamic systems. For example in Heer and Maußner (2006), we used the algorithm to compute a monetary business-cycle model with more than 100 state variables.

#### Notes

- The reader who is familiar with the method of log-linearization will notice the resemblance of equations (1) and (2) with equations (A40) and (A41) in King, Plosser and Rebelo (KPR) (2002). The algorithm of KPR, however, is not able to solve the kind of models that we consider in the following.
- 2. McCandless (2008), Section 6.8.4, illustrates the Generalized Schur factorization with the indivisible labor model of Hansen (1985). Since he does not distinguish between costate and control variables his setup of the model implies a non-invertible B matrix. Sims (1989) is an early application of the Generalized Schur factorization.
- 3. If  $Z_t$  follows a non-stationary process, e.g. a random walk, we need to transform the variables in the model so that the model is stationary in the transformed variables.
- A detailed introduction to log-linearization can be found in Heer and Maußner (2009), Section 2.4.

- 5. Another algorithm that is able to deal with a singular matrix *B* is described by King and Watson (2002). They apply singular value decompositions and QR factorizations to reduce the singular system to a non-singular one and then use the Schur (not the Generalized Schur!) factorization to solve the latter. Our algorithm uses the freely available Fortran routine ZGGES from the LAPACK package to get the Generalized Schur factorization. Thereby we defer the real cumbersome part of the system reduction to that program instead of having it to program ourselves as it is done by King and Watson (2002).
- 6. See, e.g., Golub and Van Loan (1996), Theorem 7.7.1, p. 377, who also describe the algorithm to compute the factorization of A and B. The set of all matrices of the form  $A \mu B$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  is called a pencil. The eigenvalues of the pencil are the solutions of  $|A \mu B| = 0$ . Unitary matrices U are complex-valued matrices whose conjugate transpose equals the inverse of U.
- 7. See equation (2.63) in Heer and Maußner (2009), p. 113.
- 8. The link is http://www.wiwi.uni-augsburg.de/vwl/maussner/lehrstuhl/pap/gsf\_prog.zip.

#### Burkhard Heer

School of Economics and Management, Free University of Bolzano-Bozen, Bolzano-Bozen, Italy, and CESifo, Munich, Germany, and

#### Alfred Maußner

Department of Economics, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

#### References

- Aruoba, S.B., Fernández-Villaverde, J. and Rubrio-Ramírez, J.F. (2006), "Comparing solution methods for dynamic equilibrium economies", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 30, pp. 2477-508.
- Brooks, R. (2002), "Asset-market effects of the baby boom and social-security reform", *American Economic Review*, Vol. 92, pp. 402-6.
- Golub, G.H. and Van Loan, C.F. (1996), *Matrix Computations*, 3rd ed., The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD and London.
- Hansen, G.D. (1985), "Indivisible labor and the business cycle", Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 16, pp. 309-27.
- Heer, B. and Maußner, A. (2006), "Business cycle dynamics of a new Keynesian overlapping generations model with progressive income taxation", CESifo Working Paper No. 1692.
- Heer, B. and Maußner, A. (2008), "Computation of business cycle models: a comparision of numerical methods", *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, Vol. 12, pp. 641-63.
- Heer, B. and Maußner, A. (2009), Dynamic General Equilibrium Modelling: Computational Methods and Applications, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin.
- King, R.G. and Watson, M.W. (2002), "System reduction and solution algorithms for singular linear difference systems under rational expectations", Computational Economics, Vol. 20, pp. 57-86.
- King, R.G., Plosser, C.I. and Rebelo, S.T. (2002), "Production, growth and business cycles: technical appendix", Computational Economics, Vol. 20, pp. 87-116.
- McCandless, G. (2008), The ABCs of RBCs, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Sims, C.A. (1989), "Solving non-linear stochastic optimization problems backwards", FRB Minneapolis, Institute for Empirical Macroeconomics, Discussion Paper No. 15.

#### Corresponding author

Burkhard Heer can be contacted at: Burkhard.Heer@unibz.it

#### This article has been cited by:

1. Christopher Heiberger, Torben Klarl, Alfred Maussner. 2017. ON THE NUMERICAL ACCURACY OF FIRST-ORDER APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS TO DSGE MODELS. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 21:07, 1811-1826. [Crossref]