



Universality of local times of killed and reflected random walks

Denis Denisov, Vitali Wachtel

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Denisov, Denis, and Vitali Wachtel. 2016. "Universality of local times of killed and reflected random walks." *Electronic Communication in Probability* 21 (1): 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1214/15-ECP3995.





ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

Universality of local times of killed and reflected random walks

Denis Denisov* Vitali Wachtel[†]

Abstract

In this note we first consider local times of random walks killed at leaving positive half-axis. We prove that the distribution of the properly rescaled local time at point N conditioned on being positive converges towards an exponential distribution. The proof is based on known results for conditioned random walks, which allow to determine the asymptotic behaviour of moments of local times. Using this information we also show that the field of local times of a reflected random walk converges in the sense of finite dimensional distributions. This is in the spirit of the seminal result by Knight [10] who has shown that for the symmetric simple random walk local times converge weakly towards a squared Bessel process. Our result can be seen as an extension of the second Ray-Knight theorem to all asymptotically stable random walks.

Keywords: random walk; local time; second Ray-Knight theorem.

AMS MSC 2010: Primary 60G50, Secondary 60G40; 60F17.

Submitted to ECP on December 16, 2014, final version accepted on October 21, 2015.

Supersedes arXiv:1412.6184.

1 Introduction

Let $\{S_n\}$ be a random walk on \mathbb{Z} with increments $\{X_k\}$ which are independent copies of a random variable X. Let τ^- be the first weak descending ladder epoch of our random walk, that is,

$$\tau^- := \min\{n \ge 1 : S_n \le 0\}.$$

We shall always assume that X is integrable and $\mathbf{E}X = 0$. This implies that $\{S_n\}$ is recurrent and, in particular, τ^- is almost sure finite. Let

$$A := \{1 < \alpha < 2; |\beta| < 1\} \cup \{\alpha = 2, \beta = 0\}$$

be a subset in \mathbb{R}^2 . For $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A}$ and a random variable X write $X \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$ if the distribution of X belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law with characteristic function

$$G_{\alpha,\beta}(t) := \exp\left\{-|t|^{\alpha} \left(1 - i\beta \frac{t}{|t|} \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right)\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itu} g_{\alpha,\beta}(u) du. \tag{1.1}$$

This means that there exists an increasing, regularly varying with index $1/\alpha$ function c(x) such that $S_n/c(n)$ converges in distribution towards the stable law given by (1.1).

 $E\text{-}mail: \verb|vitali.wachtel@mathematik.uni-augsburg.de||}$

^{*}School of Mathematics, University of Manchester, UK. E-mail: denis.denisov@manchester.ac.uk

[†]Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany.

By $c^{-1}(x)$ we shall denote the inverse to c(x) function. Clearly, c^{-1} is regularly varying with index α .

Let

$$L(n,x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} I(S_j = x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

denote the local time of the process $\{S_n\}$. We first consider local times of $\{S_n\}$ killed at leaving positive half-axis.

In order to formulate our result we have to introduce some notation. Let τ^+ be the first strict ascending ladder epoch and let χ^\pm denote ladder heights corresponding to τ^\pm . Define

$$H^{\pm}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\chi_1^{\pm} + \chi_2^{\pm} + \dots + \chi_j^{\pm} \le x), \quad x \ge 1,$$

where $\{\chi_j^{\pm}\}$ are independent copies of χ^{\pm} . Finally, let h^{\pm} denote the mass functions of H^{\pm} , that is,

$$h^{\pm}(x) = H^{\pm}(x) - H^{\pm}(x-1), \quad x \ge 0.$$

Theorem 1.1. If $X \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$, then there exists $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in (0, \infty)$ such that, as $N \to \infty$, for every fixed $x \ge 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}_x\left(\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)}L(\tau^-,N) > u \middle| L(\tau^-,N) > 0\right) \to e^{-uc_{\alpha,\beta}}, \quad u > 0$$
(1.2)

and

$$\mathbf{P}_x(L(\tau^-, N) > 0) \sim c_{\alpha, \beta} U(x, N) \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)},$$
 (1.3)

where

$$U(x,N) := h^{+}(N-x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E} \left[h^{+} \left(N - x + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{i}^{-} \right); \sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{i}^{-} < x \right].$$

Remark 1.2. Constant $c_{\alpha,\beta} = 1/a_{\alpha,\beta}(1,1)$, where $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the so-called 0-potential density of a killed stable process. Using [12, Theorem 6] one can show that

$$a_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) = (const.) \int_0^{u \wedge v} dz (u-z)^{\alpha \rho - 1} (v-z)^{\alpha(1-\rho) - 1},$$

where $\rho = \mathbf{P}(X_1 > 0)$.

The exponential distribution in (1.2) is not surprising. Indeed, if $\{S_n\}$ hits N before it leaves $(0,\infty)$ then we may assume that $\{S_n\}$ starts at N. Thus the conditioned distribution of the local time is independent of the starting point. Let p_N denote the probability that S_n becomes negative before it returns to N. Clearly, p_N is positive. Then

$$\mathbf{P}_N(L(\tau^-, N) > k) = (1 - p_N)^k, \quad k \ge 0.$$

Consequently, (1.2) is equivalent to

$$p_N = c_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} (1 + o(1)).$$

It is immediate from the definition of U(x,N) that

$$\mathbf{P}_0(L(\tau^-, N) > 0) \sim c_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{Nh^+(N)}{c^{-1}(N)}.$$

But for positive start points x one needs additional restrictions.

 $^{^{1}}$ We are grateful to the anonymous referee for pointing out the reference and the above representation for $a_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v)$.

Corollary 1.3. If $h^+(x)$ is long-tailed then

$$\mathbf{P}_{x}(L(\tau^{-}, N) > 0) \sim c_{\alpha, \beta} H^{-}(x) \frac{Nh^{+}(N)}{c^{-1}(N)}.$$
 (1.4)

The assumption that h^+ is long-tailed is not easy to check. Furthermore, (1.4) can be used only if we know the asymptotic behaviour of $h^+(N)$. In other words, we need a strong renewal theorem for positive ladder heights. Some sufficient conditions for this theorem can be found in [2] and [13].

For random walks with finite second moments h^+ is asymptotically constant and, moreover, one can provide an exact expression for the constant $c_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Corollary 1.4. If $\sigma^2 := \mathbf{E} X^2 < \infty$ then

$$\mathbf{P}_x \left(\frac{L(\tau^-, N)}{N} > u \middle| L(\tau^-, N) > 0 \right) \to e^{-u\sigma^2/2}, \quad u > 0.$$
 (1.5)

and

$$\mathbf{P}_x(L(\tau^-, N) > 0) \sim \frac{\sigma^2 H^-(x)}{2\mathbf{E}\chi^+} N^{-1}.$$
 (1.6)

We now turn to reflected random walks. More precisely, we shall look at local times of the process

$$W_{n+1} = (W_n + X_{n+1})^+, \quad n \ge 0$$

which starts at zero, that is, $W_0 = 0$. Set $T_0 = 0$ and define recursively

$$T_{n+1} := \min\{k > T_n : W_k = 0\}, n \ge 0.$$

We are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of local times

$$L_W(n,x) := \sum_{i=1}^n I(W_n = x), x \ge 1.$$

Let M(N) be a sequence of natural numbers. For every N define a rescaled process

$$l^{(N)}(u) = \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} L_W(T_{M(N)}, uN), \quad u \ge 0.$$

Theorem 1.5. Assume that $X \in \mathcal{D}(\alpha, \beta)$. If h^+ is regularly varying then there exists a process $L_{\alpha,\beta} = \{L_{\alpha,\beta}(u), u \geq 0\}$ such that, for any sequence $M(N) \sim \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{Nh^+(N)}$, finite dimensional distributions of $l^{(N)}$ converge to that of $L_{\alpha,\beta}$. In addition, the marginals of $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ are compound Poisson distributions with exponentially distributed jumps. \diamond

The distribution of the limiting process is known for $\alpha=2$ only. Knight [10] has shown that if S_n is a simple random walk then $l^{(N)}$ converges weakly (and not only in the sense of finite dimensional distributions) towards the square of 0-dimensional Bessel process. Since the limit is the same for all random walks belonging to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution, we conclude that $L_{2,0}$ is the squared 0-dimensional Bessel process. More precisely, $L_{2,0}$ is the unique strong solution of the equation

$$L_{2,0}(t) - L_{2,0}(0) = c \int_0^t \sqrt{L_{2,0}(s)} dB(s), \quad c > 0,$$

where $\{B(t), t \geq 0\}$ is the Brownian motion. Unfortunately, we are not able to determine the limit for $\alpha < 2$. Using Corollary 3.5 from Eisenbaum and Kaspi [3] one can give a characterisation of $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ in terms of permanental processes. If, additionally, the limiting

stable process is symmetric, that is, $\beta=1$ then $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ can be described by a squared Gaussian process.

The proof in [10] is based on a trick which works for simple random walks only. Let X_n be Rademacher random variables, that is, $\mathbf{P}(X_n=\pm 1)=1/2$. Fix some $m\geq 1$ and for every n>0 let $Q_n^{(m)}$ denote the number times $k< T_{m+1}$ such that $W_{k-1}=n$ and $W_k=n+1$. Set also $Q_0^{(m)}=m$. The key observation in [10] is that $\{Q_n^{(m)},\ n\geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain with transition kernel given by

$$p(i,j) = (-1)^j {\binom{-i}{j}} 2^{-i-j}, \quad i,j \ge 0.$$
 (1.7)

Now it is immediate that $Q_n^{(m)}$ is a martingale. The markovian structure and the martingale property allowed Knight to prove that

$$q^{(N)}(u) = \frac{1}{N}Q^{(N)}(uN), \quad u > 0$$

converges weakly towards the squared Bessel process. Noting that $L_W(T_{m+1},n) = Q_n^{(m)} + Q_{n-1}^{(m)}$, we then conclude that the limit for $l^{(N)}$ is equal to the limit for $q^{(N)}$ multiplied by 2. In other words, the limit for $l^{(N)}$ is again a squared Bessel process, but with a different scaling constant c.

Rogers [11] noticed that (1.7) corresponds to a critical Galton-Watson process Z_n with the geometric offspring distribution and $Z_0=m$. Then one has also $L_W(T_{m+1},n)=Z_n+Z_{n-1}$. As a consequence, convergence of local times follows from the corresponding results for branching processes. The idea of connecting local times and branching processes has been recently used by Hong and Yang [9], who have extended Knight's result to all left-continuous random walks with bounded jumps. This has been achieved via connecting local times to a 2-type critical branching process. Similar to Knight's paper, this embedding into a branching process ensures markovian and martingale properties, which help to prove weak convergence.

Our approach is based on the derivation of asymptotics for mixed moments of local times, which seems to go back at least to Darling and Kac [4]. But in order to apply this method to killed random walks one needs to know asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding Green (renewal) function. It has become possible due to the recent result by Caravenna and Chaumont [1] on bridges of random walks conditioned to stay positive. This method allows to prove convergence of finite dimensional distributions in a straightforward manner. But at the moment we do not know how to prove the tightness of the sequence $l^{(N)}$. This was not a problem in papers [10, 9], where the martingale structure of Galton–Watson processes can be used.

2 Asymptotic behaviour of moments of local times

The following renewal theorem is crucial for our proof.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that $x_N/N \to u > 0$ and $y_N/N \to v > 0$. Then, there exists $a_{\alpha,\beta}(u,v) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x_N}(S_n = y_N, \tau^- > n) \to a_{\alpha,\beta}(u, v), \quad N \to \infty.$$
 (2.1)

Proof. We split the sum in (2.1) into three parts

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x_N} \big(S_n = y_N, \tau^- > n \big) &= P_1 + P_2 + P_3 \\ &:= \left(\sum_{n < \varepsilon c^{-1}(N)} + \sum_{n \in [\varepsilon c^{-1}(N), c^{-1}(N)/\varepsilon]} + \sum_{n > c^{-1}(N)/\varepsilon} \right) \mathbf{P}_{x_N} \big(S_n = y_N, \tau^- > n \big). \end{split}$$

In view of the Gnedenko local limit theorem,

$$\mathbf{P}_x(S_n=z) \leq \frac{C}{c_n}$$
, for any $x,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$.

Therefore,

$$P_1 \le \sum_{n \le \varepsilon c^{-1}(N)} \frac{C}{c_n} \le C_1 \varepsilon^{1-1/\alpha} \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N}.$$
 (2.2)

Further, applying Corollary 13 from Doney [6], we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{x_N}(S_n = y_N, \tau^- > n) \le C \frac{H^+(x_N)H^-(y_N)}{nc_n} \le C(u, v) \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{nc_n}.$$

This yields

$$P_3 \le C(u, v)c^{-1}(N) \sum_{n > c^{-1}(N)/\varepsilon} \frac{1}{nc_n} \le C(u, v)\varepsilon^{1/\alpha} \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N}.$$
 (2.3)

Further, applying (4.2) from Caravenna and Chaumont [1] to every summand in the second sum, we obtain

$$\begin{split} P_2 &= (1 + o(1)) \sum_{n \in [\varepsilon c^{-1}(N), c^{-1}(N)/\varepsilon]} \frac{1}{c_n} g_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{y_N - x_N}{c_n} \right) \phi_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{x_N}{c_n}, \frac{y_N}{c_n} \right) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \sum_{n \in [\varepsilon c^{-1}(N), c^{-1}(N)/\varepsilon]} \left(\frac{n}{c^{-1}(N)} \right)^{-1/\alpha} \psi_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{x_N}{c_n}, \frac{y_N}{c_n} \right) \frac{1}{c^{-1}(N)} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1/\varepsilon} x^{-1/\alpha} \psi_{\alpha, \beta} \left(\frac{u}{x^{1/\alpha}}, \frac{v}{x^{1/\alpha}} \right) dx, \end{split}$$

where

$$\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(a,b) = g_{\alpha,\beta}(b-a)\phi_{\alpha,\beta}(a,b)$$

and

$$\phi_{\alpha,\beta}(a,b) = \mathbf{P}_a \left(\inf_{0 \le t \le 1} Y_t \ge 0 \mid Y_1 = b \right),$$

where Y_t is a stable process with Y_1 defined by (1.1).

Combining this with (2.2) and (2.3) and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x_N}(S_n = y_N, \tau^- > n) \sim \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \int_0^{\infty} x^{-1/\alpha} \psi_{\alpha,\beta}\left(\frac{u}{x^{1/\alpha}}, \frac{v}{x^{1/\alpha}}\right) dx.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

Remark 2.2. The limit $a_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the so-called 0-potential density of a killed stable process.

We next give an explicit expression for $a_{2,0}$. Using the reflection principle for the Brownian motion, one can easily obtain

$$g_{2,0}(a,b) = 1 - e^{-2ab}$$

Therefore,

$$a_{2,0}(u,v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty x^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{2x}} \left(1 - e^{-2uv/x}\right) dx. \tag{2.4}$$

Substituting $x = y^{-1}$ we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(u-v)^2}{2x}} \left(1 - e^{-2uv/x}\right) dz = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{y^{3/2}} \left(e^{-y\frac{(u-v)^2}{2}} - e^{-y\frac{(u+v)^2}{2}}\right) dy.$$

According to formula 3.434(1) from [8]

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\nu x} - e^{-\mu x}}{x^{\rho+1}} dx = \frac{\mu^{\rho} - \nu^{\rho}}{\rho} \Gamma(1 - \rho).$$

Consequently,

$$a_{2,0}(u,v) = \frac{2\Gamma(1/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[\frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{|u-v|}{\sqrt{2}} \right] = 2\min\{u,v\}.$$
 (2.5)

We now turn to higher moments of local times.

Corollary 2.3. For every $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_m) \in \mathbf{Q}_+^{m+1}$,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{-m} \mathbf{E}_{u_0 N} \left[\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m} I\left(S_{j_1} = u_1 N, \dots, S_{j_m} = u_m N, \tau^- > j_m \right) \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{\alpha, \beta}(u_i, u_{i+1})$$
(2.6)

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{-m} \mathbf{E}_{u_0 N} \left[\sum_{j_1 \le j_2 \le \dots \le j_m} I\left(S_{j_1} = u_1 N, \dots, S_{j_m} = u_m N, \tau^- > j_m \right) \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{\alpha, \beta}(u_i, u_{i+1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. It is immediate from the Markov property that

$$\mathbf{E}_{u_0N} \left[\sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m} I\left(S_{j_1} = u_1 N, \dots, S_{j_m} = u_m N, \tau^- > j_m \right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{u_0N} (S_{j_1} = u_1 N, \tau^- > j_1) \sum_{j_2=j_1+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{u_1N} (S_{j_2-j_1} = u_2 N, \tau^- > j_2 - j_1) \dots$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{u_iN} (S_j = u_{i+1} N, \tau^- > j). \tag{2.8}$$

Applying Proposition 2.1, we get (2.6). The proof of (2.7) is identical.

Proposition 2.4. Let S_m be the set of permutations of $\{1,\ldots,m\}$. Then,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{-m} \mathbf{E}_{u_0 N} \left[\prod_{i=1}^m L(\tau^-, u_i N) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} a_{\alpha, \beta}(u_0, u_{\sigma(1)}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{\alpha, \beta}(u_{\sigma(i)}, u_{\sigma(i+1)}). \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.5. The right hand side of (2.9) is a specialisation of Kac's moment formula (see [4]) for local times of a killed stable process.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) = \sum_{j_{1}, \dots, j_{m} \geq 1} I(S_{j_{1}} = u_{1}N, \dots, S_{j_{m}} = u_{m}N, \tau^{-} > \max_{k \leq m} j_{k})$$

$$\leq \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m}} \sum_{j_{1} < j_{2} < \dots < j_{m}} I(S_{j_{1}} = u_{\sigma(1)}N, \dots, S_{j_{m}} = u_{\sigma(m)}N, \tau^{-} > j_{m}).$$

Similarly,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \ge \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{m}} \sum_{j_{1} < j_{2} < \dots < j_{m}} I(S_{j_{1}} = u_{\sigma(1)}N, \dots, S_{j_{m}} = u_{\sigma(m)}N, \tau^{-} > j_{m}).$$

Taking expectations and applying (2.6) and (2.7) we get the desired result.

It remains to consider the case when the random walk starts at a fixed point x.

Proposition 2.6. As $N \to \infty$,

$$\mathbf{E}_{0}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m}L(\tau^{-},u_{i}N)\right] \sim \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N}\right)^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} h^{+}(u_{\sigma(1)}N) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{\alpha,\beta}(u_{\sigma(i)},u_{\sigma(i+1)}). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Let x be a fixed number. Replacing u_0N by x in the first equality of (2.8), we get

$$\mathbf{E}_{x} \left[\sum_{j_{1} < j_{2} < \dots < j_{m}} I\left(S_{j_{1}} = u_{1}N, \dots, S_{j_{m}} = u_{m}N, \tau^{-} > j_{m}\right) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x}(S_{j_{1}} = u_{1}N, \tau^{-} > j_{1}) \sum_{j_{2}=j_{1}+1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{u_{1}N}(S_{j_{2}-j_{1}} = u_{2}N, \tau^{-} > j_{2} - j_{1}) \dots$$

$$= \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x}(S_{j_{1}} = u_{1}N, \tau^{-} > j_{1}) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{u_{i}N}(S_{j} = u_{i+1}N, \tau^{-} > j). \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, additionally to Proposition 2.1, we have to determine the asymptotic behaviour of $\sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_x(S_{j_1} = u_1 N, \tau^- > j_1)$. First, by the duality lemma for random walks,

$$\mathbf{P}_0(S_j = l, \tau^- > j) = \mathbf{P}(S_j = l, j \text{ is a strict ascending ladder epoch}).$$

Consequently, for each $l \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_0(S_j = l, \tau^- > j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\chi_1^+ + \dots + \chi_k^+ = l) = h^+(l).$$
 (2.12)

Second, for every positive x we split τ^- into descending ladder epochs. Then, using the Markov property and (2.12), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}_{x}(S_{j} = l, \tau^{-} > j) = U(x, N).$$

Now, similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.4 we obtain, using (2.11) and Proposition 2.1

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right] \geq \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{m}} \mathbf{P}_{0} \left(S_{j_{1}} = u_{1}N, \dots, S_{j_{m}} = u_{m}N, \tau^{-} > j_{m} \right)$$

$$\sim \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} h^{+}(u_{\sigma(1)}N) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{\alpha,\beta}(u_{\sigma(i)}, u_{\sigma(i+1)}).$$

The bound from above can be obtained similarly.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall that the distribution of $L(\tau^-,N)$ conditioned on $L(\tau^-,N)>0$ does not depend on the starting point. Using Proposition 2.4 with $u_0=u_1=\ldots=u_m=1$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N}\right)^{-m} \mathbf{E}_N\left[L^m(\tau^-,N)\right] = m! \left(a_{\alpha,\beta}(1,1)\right)^m.$$

Thus, by the method of moments,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{P}_N \left(\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} L(\tau^-, N) > x \right) = e^{-xc_{\alpha,\beta}}, \quad x > 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{P}_x(L(\tau^-,N)\geq k|L(\tau^-,N)>0)=\mathbf{P}_N(L(\tau^-,N)\geq k)$ for all $k\geq 1$ and all x, we get (1.2).

As we have shown in the previous section,

$$\mathbf{E}_x L(\tau^-, N) = U(x, N).$$

Furthermore, by the Markov property,

$$\mathbf{E}_x L(\tau^-, N) = \mathbf{P}_x (L(\tau^-, N) > 0) \mathbf{E}_N L(\tau^-, N).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbf{P}_x(L(\tau^-, N) > 0) = \frac{U(x, N)}{\mathbf{E}_N L(\tau^-, N)}.$$

Applying Proposition 2.4 with m=1 and $u_0=u_1=1$ we obtain (1.3) with $c_{\alpha,\beta}:=1/a_{\alpha,\beta}(1,1)$. Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is finished.

If $\sigma^2 := \mathbf{E} X^2$ is finite then $c(n) = \sigma \sqrt{n}$ and $c^{-1}(N) = N^2/\sigma^2$. Furthermore, according to (2.5), $c_{2,0} = 1/2$. Finally, recalling that $\mathbf{E} \chi^+ < \infty$ for random walks with finite variance and applying the strong renewal theorem, we get $h^+(N) \sim \frac{1}{\mathbf{E} \chi^+}$ for random walks with finite variance. As a result we have the relations from Corollary 1.4.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.5

We start with the Laplace transform of the vector

$$\left(\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)}L(\tau^-, u_1N), \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)}L(\tau^-, u_2N), \dots, \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)}L(\tau^-, u_mN)\right).$$

Obviously, for every $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2r+1} (-1)^j \frac{x^j}{j!} \le e^{-x} \le \sum_{j=0}^{2r} (-1)^j \frac{x^j}{j!}, \ x \ge 0.$$

From this we infer that

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^{2r} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \left(\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} \right)^{j} \mathbf{E}_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right)^{j}$$

$$(4.1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right\} \right]$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=0}^{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{j!} \left(\frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} \right)^{j} \mathbf{E}_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right)^{j}.$$
(4.2)

Let $\{\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_m)\}$ be the set of m-dimensional multi-indices. Then, by the binomial formula,

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right)^{j} = \sum_{\mu: |\mu|=j} \frac{j!}{\mu!} \lambda^{\mu} \mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} L^{\mu_{i}}(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right]. \tag{4.3}$$

Recall that h^+ is assumed to be regularly varying. Thus, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that there exists $\phi_j(u,\mu)$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} L^{\mu_{i}}(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right] \sim h^{+}(N) \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{j-1} \phi_{j}(u, \mu). \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, this proposition gives also the following bound for ϕ_j

$$\phi_j(u,\mu) \le j! \left(\min u_j\right)^{\alpha\rho - 1} \left(\max_{k,l} a_{\alpha,\beta}(u_k, u_l)\right)^{j-1}.$$
(4.5)

Combining (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}_{0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right)^{j} \sim h^{+}(N) \left(\frac{c^{-1}(N)}{N} \right)^{j-1} \psi_{j}(u, \lambda)$$
 (4.6)

with some function ψ_i satisfying

$$\psi_j(u,\lambda) \le j! \left(\min u_j\right)^{\alpha \rho - 1} \left(\max_{k,l} a_{\alpha,\beta}(u_k, u_l)\right)^{j-1} \left(\sum_{l=1}^m \lambda_k\right)^j. \tag{4.7}$$

This estimate is immediate from (4.3) and (4.5).

Plugging (4.6) into (4.1) and (4.2), we obtain

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{nh^{+}(N)} \left(\mathbf{E}_0 \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{N}{c^{-}(N)} L(\tau^-, u_i N) \right\} \right] - 1 \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{2r} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \psi_j(u, \lambda).$$

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \inf \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{nh^{+}(N)} \left(\mathbf{E}_0 \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{N}{c^{-1}(N)} L(\tau^-, u_i N) \right\} \right] - 1 \right) \\
\geq \sum_{l=1}^{2r+1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \psi_j(u, \lambda)$$

respectively. Note that estimate (4.7) allows to let $r \to \infty$ for all λ_k small enough. As a result, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $\lambda_k \in [0, \delta)$ for all k then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{c^{-1}(N)}{nh^{+}(N)} \left(\mathbf{E}_{0} \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \frac{N}{c^{-}(N)} L(\tau^{-}, u_{i}N) \right\} \right] - 1 \right) = \Psi(u, \lambda), \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\Psi(u,\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \psi_j(u,\lambda).$$

Notice also that (4.3) implies the continuity of $\lambda \mapsto \Psi(u,\lambda)$ on $[0,\delta)^m$.

It is clear that $(L_W(T_M, x_1), L_W(T_M, x_2), \dots, L_W(T_M, x_m))$ is equal in distribution to the sum of M independent copies of $(L(\tau^-, x_1), L(\tau^-, x_2), \dots, L(\tau^-, x_m))$. Then, in view of (4.8),

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{E}_0 \left[\exp \left\{ -\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i l^{(N)}(u_i) \right\} \right] = e^{-\Psi(u,\lambda)}$$

for any sequence M(N) which is asymptotically equivalent to $nh^+(N)/c^-(N)$.

By the continuity theorem for the Laplace transformation, the distribution of the vector $(l^{(N)}(u_1), l^{(N)}(u_2), \dots, l^{(N)}(u_m))$ converges weakly to a law F_u , which is characterised by the Laplace transform $\lambda \mapsto e^{-\Psi(u,\lambda)}$. The continuity of functions $\Psi(u,\lambda)$ implies, in particular, the consistency of the family of finite dimensional distributions $\{F_u\}$. Thus, the proof is completed.

References

- [1] Caravenna, F. and Chaumont, L. An invariance principle for random walk bridges conditioned to stay positive. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 18(60):1–32, 2013. MR-3068391
- [2] Chi, Z. Strong renewal theorems with infinite mean beyond local large deviations. Ann. Appl. Prob., to appear. MR-3325280
- [3] Eisenbaum, N. and Kaspi, H. On permanental processes. Stoch. Proc. Appl., 119:1401–1415, 2009. MR-2513113
- [4] Darling, D.A. and Kac, M. On occupation times for Markoff processes. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 84:444–458, 1957. MR-0084222
- [5] Doney, R.A. A note on conditioned random walk. J. Appl. Prob., 20:409–412, 1983. MR-0698545
- [6] Doney, R.A. Local behaviour of first passage probabilities. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 152:559–588, 2012. MR-2892956
- [7] Feller, W. An introduction to probability theory and its applications, 2nd edition. Wiley, 1971.MR-0270403
- [8] Gradshteyn, I.S. and Ryzhik, I.M. Table of Integrals, Series, and Products. 7th Edition. Academic press, 2007. MR-2360010
- [9] Hong, W. and Yang, H. Scaling limit of the local time of the (1, L)-random walk. Arxiv Preprint: 1402.3949.
- [10] Knight, F.B. Random walks and a sojourn density process of Brownian motion. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 109:56–86, 1963. MR-0154337

Universality of local times

- [11] Rogers, L.C.G. Brownian local times and branching processes. *Seminar on probability, XVIII.* Lecture Notes in Math., **1059**:42–55, 1984. MR-0770947
- [12] Silverstein, M.L. Classification of Coharmonic and Coinvariant Functions for a Levy Process. *Ann. Probab.*, **8**(3):539–575, 1980. MR-0573292
- [13] Wachtel, V. Local limit theorem for the maximum of asymptotically stable random walks. *Probab. Theory Related Fields,* **152**(2012):407–424. MR-2892952

Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS¹)
- Easy interface (EJMS²)

Economical model of EJP-ECP

- Non profit, sponsored by IMS³, BS⁴ , ProjectEuclid⁵
- Purely electronic

Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund⁶ (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

¹LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

²EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html

³IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

⁴BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

⁵Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

 $^{^6\}mathrm{IMS}$ Open Access Fund: http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm