

Electrical control of interdot electron tunneling in a double InGaAs quantum-dot nanostructure

Kai Müller, Alexander Bechtold, Claudia Ruppert, Markus Zecherle, G. Reithmaier, Max Bichler, Hubert J. Krenner, Gerhard Abstreiter, A. W. Holleitner, José M. Villas-Bôas, Markus Betz, Jonathan J. Finley

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Müller, Kai, Alexander Bechtold, Claudia Ruppert, Markus Zecherle, G. Reithmaier, Max Bichler, Hubert J. Krenner, et al. 2012. "Electrical control of interdot electron tunneling in a double InGaAs quantum-dot nanostructure." *Physical Review Letters* 108 (19): 197402.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.108.197402>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

licgercopyright

Dieses Dokument wird unter folgenden Bedingungen zur Verfügung gestellt: / This document is made available under these conditions:

Deutsches Urheberrecht

Weitere Informationen finden Sie unter: / For more information see:

<https://www.uni-augsburg.de/de/organisation/bibliothek/publizieren-zitieren-archivieren/publiz/>



Electrical Control of Interdot Electron Tunneling in a Double InGaAs Quantum-Dot Nanostructure

K. Müller,¹ A. Bechtold,¹ C. Ruppert,² M. Zecherle,³ G. Reithmaier,¹ M. Bichler,¹ H. J. Krenner,⁴ G. Abstreiter,¹
A. W. Holleitner,¹ J. M. Villas-Boas,⁵ M. Betz,² and J. J. Finley^{1,*}

¹Walter Schottky Institut and Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, Am Coulombwall 4, 85748 Garching, Germany

²Experimentelle Physik 2, TU Dortmund, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

³Physik-Department E11, Technische Universität München, James-Frank-Str. 85748 Garching, Germany

⁴Lehrstuhl für Experimentalphysik 1 and Augsburg Centre for Innovative Technologies (ACIT), Universität Augsburg, Universitätsstr. 1, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

⁵Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, 38400-902 Uberlândia, MG, Brazil

(Received 11 November 2011; published 8 May 2012)

We employ ultrafast pump-probe spectroscopy to directly monitor electron tunneling between discrete orbital states in a pair of spatially separated quantum dots. Immediately after excitation, several peaks are observed in the pump-probe spectrum due to Coulomb interactions between the photogenerated charge carriers. By tuning the relative energy of the orbital states in the two dots and monitoring the temporal evolution of the pump-probe spectra the electron and hole tunneling times are separately measured and resonant tunneling between the two dots is shown to be mediated both by elastic and inelastic processes. Ultrafast (< 5 ps) interdot tunneling is shown to occur over a surprisingly wide bandwidth, up to ~ 8 meV, reflecting the spectrum of exciton-acoustic phonon coupling in the system.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.197402

PACS numbers: 78.67.Hc, 63.22.-m, 81.07.Ta, 85.35.Be

Tunneling is a widespread phenomenon in both low- and high-energy physics governing the dynamics of nuclear decay, tunnel ionization of atoms, and vertical electron transport in semiconductor heterostructures. Tunneling generally occurs to and/or from a *continuous* distribution of initial or final quantum states associated with particles having one or more motional degrees of freedom. However, tunneling between discrete quantum states in solids having a specific energy separation is of particular interest since additional quasiparticles may be required to ensure energy conservation. Semiconductor nanostructures are an ideal test bed for such processes since energy levels can be arbitrarily designed while coupling to the phonon bath can facilitate inelastic tunneling. So far, inelastic tunneling between fully localized states has been studied only indirectly by transport measurements in electrostatically defined double quantum dots (QDs) [1,2] and in locally gated carbon nanotubes [3]. In strong contrast, self-assembled QDs offer the advantage of strongly localized electron and hole states and the possibility to perform time-resolved *optical* measurements to directly monitor carrier populations in real time. Vertically stacking of optically active QDs produces quantum-dot molecules (QDMs) with strong, electrically tunable tunnel coupling giving rise to pronounced anticrossings in optical experiments [4–6]. Tunnel coupling can occur for either electrons or holes [7], depending on the relative dot size and couplings between different orbital states can occur due to symmetry breaking [8].

In this Letter, we report the direct observation of ultrafast electron transfer between discrete quantum states in a

pair of spatially separated QDs with electrically tunable coupling. Picosecond pump-probe spectroscopy is employed to monitor the evolution of the optical response of this “molecular” QD system over the first few hundred picoseconds after an exciton has been created. Immediately after excitation, a number of pronounced features appear due to pump-induced absorption and the resulting few-fermion interactions in the system. By monitoring the temporal evolution of the pump-probe spectrum we independently track both electron and hole dynamics. As the electron quantum states in each dot are tuned through energetic resonance, we observe ultrafast interdot tunneling and, surprisingly, find that inelastic processes play a key role. Analysis of the results allows us to map out the spectral function of the exciton-phonon interaction, demonstrating that interdot tunneling occurs over a surprisingly wide bandwidth, and over time scales < 5 ps at resonance.

The sample investigated consisted of a vertically stacked pair of self-assembled InGaAs QDs separated by a 10 nm thick GaAs spacer and embedded within the intrinsic region of an *n*-type GaAs Schottky photodiode [9]. Complementary photocurrent (PC) absorption and photoluminescence (PL) emission measurements can be performed by varying the applied gate voltage. For the QDM investigated in this study we observe a strong anticrossing in PL [10]. This arises from tunnel coupling of the electrons between the two dots forming the molecule [4,9]. As shown in the field dependent PL spectra in Fig. 1, the *s*-orbital states in the two dots are tuned into resonance at an electric field (F) of $F_0 = 23.1$ kV/cm and couple with a




FIG. 1 (color online). Photoluminescence emission (left part) and photocurrent absorption spectra recorded at $T = 10$ K from the molecule. Anticrossings related to tunnel coupling of the electron in the ground state of the upper dot and the ground (or excited) state of the lower dot.

strength $2V_0 = 3.4$ meV. This coupling is manifested by an anticrossing in the PL data of direct and indirect neutral exciton transitions with the hole located in the upper QD and the electron occupying either the lowest energy orbital state in the upper dot (e_{ud}) or lower dot (e_{ld}), respectively (see Ref. [9]). As the electric field increases the PL quenches, due to tunneling escape of electrons and holes from the dot, and the direct exciton in the upper dot can continue to be monitored in PC experiments. As shown in the right part of Fig. 1, this direct exciton exhibits a second anticrossing at $F_1 = 33.1$ kV/cm with a much weaker coupling of $2V_1 = 0.8$ meV $\ll 2V_0$. We identify the second anticrossing as depicted schematically in the insets of Fig. 1; the lowest electron level in the upper dot (e_{ud} —left inset Fig. 1) is tuned into resonance with an excited orbital electron state in the lower dot (e_{ld}^* —right inset) [11]. The difference between the electric fields where the two anticrossings occur ($F_1 - F_0 = 10.0 \pm 0.1$ kV/cm) is a direct measure of the energy separation ΔE_{ld}^{2-1} of the two electron orbitals in the lower dot. Using the static dipole moment $ed = e \times 15.3 \pm 0.1$ nm [9], we obtain $\Delta E_{ld}^{2-1} = 15.3 \pm 0.25$ meV, fully consistent with the expected orbital energy spacings of the QD molecule. The results presented in Fig. 1 were found to be quite general, similar observations having been made for different samples grown under nominally identical conditions.

We continue by investigating the ultrafast dynamics of charge carriers in the QDM using pump-probe experiments with PC readout. The setup used (Ref. [12]) provides two, independently tunable 3.8 ± 1 ps duration laser pulses derived from a single femtosecond Ti:sapphire source. After identifying the discrete neutral exciton transition in the upper dot using cw spectroscopy [4,6,9], we selectively excite it with a resonant pump pulse. The photocurrent I induced by the time-delayed probe pulse is then measured with a lock-in amplifier. Recording the difference spectrum obtained with the pump beam blocked and unblocked, respectively, provides the *pump-induced* change of the PC signal, ΔI . The quantities I and ΔI can be interpreted




FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Pump-induced change of the probe induced photocurrent for various time delays elapsed since excitation. (b) Level scheme for the pump-probe experiments on the QDM.

as the absorption by the upper dot and its pump-induced change. Typical examples of pump-probe PC spectra recorded at $F = 32.4$ kV/cm, away from the anticrossings, and three different time delays $t_D = 10, 50$, and 100 ps are presented in Fig. 2(a). For these spectra the pump pulse was fixed to the direct neutral exciton in the upper dot $cgs \rightarrow X$ at 1310.3 meV while the probe pulse was scanned. The figure clearly exhibits two positive going resonances (pump-induced absorption) at 1308.3 meV and 1309.9 meV, respectively. In addition, a pronounced negative going dip (pump-induced bleaching) is observed at the energy of $cgs \rightarrow X$ at 1310.3 meV. The observed pump-probe spectra can be understood with the level scheme depicted schematically in Fig. 2(b) [12–14]. The pump pulse generates an exciton in the upper QD and, providing that the delay between pump and probe is less than the time scales for electron and hole tunneling, the probe pulse can further excite the system to generate the spatially direct biexciton. This explains the pronounced pump-induced absorption peak $X \rightarrow 2X$ at 1308.3 meV, redshifted by 2 meV from the exciton transition $cgs \rightarrow X$. As the time delay increases, carriers tunnel out of the upper dot with the tunneling time of the electron (t_e) with its smaller effective mass being much shorter than that of the hole (t_h). If the electron tunnels out of the upper dot, leaving it occupied by a single hole, the system exhibits an induced absorption peak at the $h \rightarrow X^+$ transition (peak at 1309.9 meV). The anticorrelated intensity of $X \rightarrow 2X$ and $h \rightarrow X^+$ can clearly be observed in Fig. 2 as t_D increases from 15 to 100 ps. If pump and probe pulse are both centered at the exciton transition $cgs \rightarrow X$, the probe pulse detects a bleaching of the exciton, resulting in the strong negative signal ΔI observed in Fig. 2(a). Multipeak Lorentzian fits to the transient photocurrent response (Fig. 2(a) full lines) yields linewidths of 670 ± 50 μ eV for the $X \rightarrow 2X$ transition, 360 ± 70 μ eV for $h^+ \rightarrow X^+$ and 340 ± 70 μ eV for $cgs \rightarrow X$. When deconvoluted with the laser (170 ± 40 μ eV), we obtain a ratio of the

two-electron ($X \rightarrow 2X$) and one-electron ($h^+ \rightarrow X^+$, $cgs \rightarrow X$) transition linewidths of 2.7 ± 1.3 , an observation that is likely to be related to higher electron tunneling probability for the biexciton final state that contains *two* electrons, each of which can tunnel. In this very simplistic picture, one would expect the tunneling lifetime of the biexciton to be approximately *half* of the lifetime of either X or X^+ and, hence, its linewidth to be $\sim 3 \times$ larger [15] consistent with the observed linewidths.

To quantitatively analyze electron and hole tunneling, the pump photon energy is fixed to the $cgs \rightarrow X$ transition, while the probe photon energy is tuned to the three resonances identified in Fig. 2(a). For each curve in Fig. 3(a) ΔI is presented as a function of t_D , for probe pulses tuned to the $cgs \rightarrow X$ (green squares), $h^+ \rightarrow X^+$ (blue triangles) and $X \rightarrow 2X$ (red circles) transitions, respectively. Fits to each set of data using a rate equation model that accounts for sequential electron and hole tunneling [12] [lines in Fig. 3(a)] show excellent global agreement with all of the measured data and permit the direct, and independent, determination of t_h and t_e . To investigate the influence of the coupling presented in Fig. 1, detailed studies were performed over the range of electric field $31 \text{ kV/cm} \leq F \leq -51 \text{ kV/cm}$ encompassing the anticrossing presented in Fig. 1. The obtained values of t_h obtained with the probe pulse tuned to the $cgs \rightarrow X$ (green squares) and the $h^+ \rightarrow X^+$ (blue triangles) transition are presented in Fig. 3(b). Similarly, the field dependence of t_e extracted by probing either the $cgs \rightarrow X$ (green squares), $h^+ \rightarrow X^+$ (blue triangles) and $X \rightarrow 2X$ (red circles) transition are plotted in Fig. 3(c). In all cases, the tunneling times obtained by probing the different transitions are in excellent agreement with each other supporting the overall validity of the interpretation and the level scheme used [Fig. 2(b)]




FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Temporal evolution of PC change ΔI probing the resonances identified in Fig. 2(a). (b) Hole and (c) electron tunneling times t_h and t_e as a function of the applied electric field F .

over the whole range of F analyzed here. The hole tunneling time monotonically decreases from $t_h = 450 \text{ ps}$ at $F = 32 \text{ kV/cm}$ to $t_h = 12.6 \text{ ps}$ at $F = 50.5 \text{ kV/cm}$ and exhibits a clear $\exp(-1/F)$ dependence. This behavior is fully explained using the WKB tunneling rate [16]

$$\Gamma = \frac{16V_s^2 L}{\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sqrt{\frac{m_s^*}{2|E_s|}} \exp\left[-\frac{4\sqrt{2m_s^*}}{3\hbar e F} |E_s|^{3/2}\right], \quad (1)$$

where m_s^* is the effective mass of the particle s (electron or hole), L and V_s are the width and effective depth of the potential well and E_s is the single particle quantization energy. A fit to the experimental data using Eq. (1) is presented as a dashed line in Fig. 3(b) and consistently reproduces the experimental data. While the hole tunneling time is well accounted for by WKB theory unaffected by the lower QD, the field dependence of t_e [Fig. 3(c)] exhibits significantly richer behavior. We observe a series of resonances in t_e marked with dashed lines on Fig. 3(c). Close to these resonances t_e becomes comparable to the duration of the probe laser pulse. The most pronounced resonance occurs at $F_1 = 33.1 \text{ kV/cm}$ precisely where the anticrossing presented in Fig. 1 occurs. Here, the electron wave function delocalizes across the two dots forming the molecule and the electron tunneling time is limited by the escape time from the excited orbital state in the lower dot (e_{ld}^*). A second, weaker, dip is observed at $F_2 = 46.5 \text{ kV/cm}$ where e_{ud} anticrosses with a further excited orbital state of the electron in the lower dot e_{ld}^{2*} (see Supplemental Material [18]).

We continue to present a detailed analysis of the ultrafast electron tunneling in the vicinity of the anticrossing at $F_1 = 33.1 \text{ kV/cm}$ and show that it results from tunneling between the two dots forming the molecule with and without the participation of phonons. The electron tunneling time t_e as a function of F is plotted in Fig. 4(a) on a linear scale, the position of the anticrossing is indicated by the vertical dashed line. In our theoretical analysis we consider tunnel processes according to Eq. (1) for the two electron levels involved in the anticrossing e_{ud} and e_{ld}^* , as schematically depicted in blue and red in Fig. 4(b). Since the two dots forming the QDM are similar in size and electronic structure, we assume identical confinement potentials for e_{ud} and e_{ld} . As discussed above, e_{ld}^* lies 15.3 meV to higher energy than e_{ld} , reducing the effective tunnel barrier. Thus, generically we expect electron tunneling out of the lower dot into the continuum to be faster from e_{ld}^* compared with e_{ld} . When the two dots are coupled by tunneling (e.g., for $F = F_1$ —Fig. 4(b)) the Hamiltonian is $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + \mathbf{V}_1$, where \mathbf{H}_0 is the Hamiltonian of the detuned system and the coupling term \mathbf{V}_1 is measured from the PC data shown in Fig. 1. The effective broadening of the coupled states is then given by the imaginary part of the eigenvalues $\epsilon_i = E_i - i\Gamma_i$




FIG. 4 (color online). (a) Tunneling time of the electron t_e . Measured data are shown as points. Theoretical analysis of the tunneling times of e_{ud} (e_{id}^*) is presented as a blue (red) line and for the coupled case as green lines. (b) Schematic illustration of the alignment and tunneling of e_{ud} and e_{id}^* . (c) Phonon rate extracted from (a).

$$2\epsilon_{\pm} = \epsilon_{id}^* + \epsilon_{ud} \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_{id}^* - \epsilon_{ud})^2 + 4V_1^2}. \quad (2)$$

Far from resonance the tunnel rates from e_{ud} can be fitted using WKB formalism. This results in the blue (red) curve for e_{ud} (e_{id}^*) presented in Fig. 4(a), taking only the measured energy difference between e_{id} and e_{id}^* of 15.3 meV into account. At resonance, the levels are mixed by tunneling and the decay rates of the coupled states exchange character with an intersection at F_1 . The corresponding calculated values of t_e are presented as green lines in Fig. 4(a). For all electric fields we pump the state which is more located in the upper QD (solid green line), resulting in a dip of t_e at F_1 . The theoretical model quantitatively explains the measured values of t_e for $F < F_1$ and $F \gg F_1$. However, pure resonant tunneling would give rise to a much narrower resonance (green curve—Fig. 4) than is observed experimentally. In experiment, the resonance is asymmetrically broadened for $F > F_1$. Closer inspection even shows that the shortest time t_e is not observed at F_1 as expected from the theory but shifted by $\Delta F = +1$ kV/cm to 34.1 kV/cm. These clear experimental observations show that an additional mechanism must be active to

shorten t_e for $F_1 < F < 41$ kV/cm and produce the asymmetric resonance observed [Fig. 4(a)].

We continue to present evidence that this mechanism is acoustic phonon mediated inelastic interdot tunneling into e_{id}^* . First, we compute the decay rate of an additional scattering mechanism from the difference between the measured tunneling times t_e [black curve—Fig. 4(a)] and the expected one from the model based on Eq. (2) (green curve). Taking into account the measured static dipole moment $ed = e \times 15.3 \pm 0.1$ nm of the indirect exciton, the additional tunneling rate can be obtained as a function of the energy detuning between the tunnel-coupled states. The result of this analysis is plotted in Fig. 4(c), revealing a clear maximum for a positive detuning of $+1.7 \pm 0.1$ meV from resonance and a rate that is below experimental error for negative detunings. Furthermore, the additional tunneling rate is appreciable for a wide range of detunings up to +6 meV, much larger than the intrinsic resonant tunneling rate that is determined by V_1 ($\sim +1.5$ meV).

This characteristic energy scale of a few millielectronvolts corresponds to the energy of acoustic phonons in GaAs with a wavelength comparable to characteristic dimensions in the QD molecule (width, dot-separation) [17]. Theoretical calculations of the coupling between molecular states and phonons in similar structures taking into account deformation and piezoelectric exciton-phonon coupling reported a spectral density which shows a maximum around +1.4 meV with a rate of 0.3 ps^{-1} [17]. We interpret the additional relaxation channel as reflecting phonon mediated inelastic tunneling between the two dots forming the molecule, as schematically depicted in the inset of Fig. 4(c). For positive detunings of e_{id}^* the electron tunnels into e_{id}^* while simultaneously emitting a phonon with an energy equal to the level separation. From there it most likely tunnels out of the excited orbital state of the QDM instead of relaxing to the ground state since the tunneling escape time from the excited state is < 5 ps [see red line in Fig. 4(a)]. In contrast, for negative detunings where phonon absorption would be required, such an inelastic tunneling is prohibited by the negligible phonon occupation at cryogenic temperatures (see Supplemental Material[18]).

In summary, we directly investigated electron tunneling dynamics in a QDM using ultrafast pump-probe spectroscopy with PC readout. We determined the electron and hole tunneling times as a function of F and showed that t_e exhibits resonances when resonant tunneling can occur between the two dots forming the molecule. In this regime, the tunnel-coupled energy level serves as an intermediate state facilitating electron escape within a few ps. When the orbital states are detuned by a few meV, inelastic tunneling involving the emission of acoustic phonons is found to dominate the charge-transfer dynamics. The results obtained demonstrate that optically generated excitons can

be spatially separated within the quantum-dot molecule over time scales faster than 5 ps, allowing the nanostructure to be used as an optically pumped ultrafast charge memory.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support of the DFG via SFB-631, Nanosystems Initiative Munich and the Emmy Noether Program (H.J.K.), the EU via SOLID and the TUM Graduate School. G.A. thanks the TUM Institute for Advanced Study for support. J.M.V.B. acknowledges the support of CNPq and FAPEMIG. We thank A. J. Ramsay, J. M. Daniels, T. Kuhn, and P. Machnikowski for discussions.

*finley@wsi.tum.de

- [1] S. De Franceschi, S. Sasaki, J. M. Elzerman, W. G. van der Wiel, S. Tarucha, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 878 (2001).
- [2] H. Qin, A. Holleitner, K. Eberl, and R. Blick, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 241302 (2001).
- [3] N. Mason, M. J. Biercuk, and C. M. Marcus, *Science* **303**, 655 (2004).
- [4] H. J. Krenner, M. Sabathil, E. C. Clark, A. Kress, D. Schuh, M. Bichler, G. Abstreiter, and J. J. Finley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 057402 (2005).
- [5] E. Stinaff, M. Scheibner, A. Bracker, I. Ponomarev, V. Korenev, M. Ware, M. Doty, T. Reinecke, and D. Gammon, *Science* **311**, 636 (2006).
- [6] H. J. Krenner, E. C. Clark, T. Nakaoka, M. Bichler, C. Scheurer, G. Abstreiter, and J. J. Finley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 076403 (2006).
- [7] A. S. Bracker, M. Scheibner, M. F. Doty, E. A. Stinaff, I. V. Ponomarev, J. C. Kim, L. J. Whitman, T. L. Reinecke, and D. Gammon, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **89**, 233110 (2006).
- [8] M. Scheibner, M. Yakes, A. S. Bracker, I. V. Ponomarev, M. F. Doty, C. S. Hellberg, L. J. Whitman, T. L. Reinecke, and D. Gammon, *Nature Phys.* **4**, 291 (2008).
- [9] K. Müller, G. Reithmaier, E. C. Clark, V. Jovanov, M. Bichler, H. J. Krenner, M. Betz, G. Abstreiter, and J. J. Finley, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 081302 (2011).
- [10] The additional transitions observed in Fig. 1 arise primarily from charged excitons (see, e.g., Ref. [6]) due to the statistically fluctuating occupancy of the QD-molecule by photogenerated charge carriers. Such transitions are not probed in PC-experiments since free carriers are not generated in the vicinity of the QD-molecule following strictly resonant excitation.
- [11] The resulting coupling is $V_1/V_0 = 4.25$ times weaker than the principle anticrossing at F_0 since the participating electronic orbitals have different parity; s -like for e_{ud} and p -like for e_{ld}^* .
- [12] M. Zecherle, C. Ruppert, E. C. Clark, G. Abstreiter, J. J. Finley, and M. Betz, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 125314 (2010).
- [13] A. J. Ramsay, S. J. Boyle, R. S. Kolodka, J. B. B. Oliveira, J. Skiba-Szymanska, H. Y. Liu, M. Hopkinson, A. M. Fox, and M. S. Skolnick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 197401 (2008).
- [14] S. J. Boyle, A. J. Ramsay, F. Bello, H. Y. Liu, M. Hopkinson, A. M. Fox, and M. S. Skolnick, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 075301 (2008).
- [15] S. Stuffer, P. Machnikowski, P. Ester, M. Bichler, V. M. Axt, T. Kuhn, and A. Zrenner, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 125304 (2006).
- [16] J. Villas-Bôas, S. Ulloa, and A. Govorov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 057404 (2005).
- [17] K. Gawarecki, M. Pochwała, A. Grodecki-Grad, and P. Machnikowski, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 245312 (2010).
- [18] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.197402> for PC measurements of the coupling at 46.5 kV/cm and measurements of the temporal evolution of ΔI for an elevated temperature of 50 K.