## Surface acoustic wave propagation in graphene

Peter Thalmeier,<sup>1</sup> Balázs Dóra,<sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Klaus Ziegler<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, Nöthnitzer Strasse 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Strasse 38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budafoki út 8, 1111 Budapest, Hungary

<sup>4</sup>Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany

(Received 26 October 2009; published 11 January 2010)

Surface acoustic wave (SAW) propagation is a powerful method to investigate two-dimensional (2D) electron systems. We show how SAW observables are influenced by coupling to the 2D massless Dirac electrons of graphene and argue that Landau oscillations in SAW propagation can be observed as function of gate voltage for constant field. Contrary to other transport measurements, the zero-field SAW propagation gives the wavevector dependence of graphene conductivity for small wave numbers. We predict a crossover from Schrödinger to Dirac-like behavior as a function of gate voltage, with no attenuation in the latter for clean samples.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041409

Sound waves in solids may be used as a diagnostic tool to investigate the low-frequency long-wavelength response of electrons. In metals they couple via the stress dependence of the Fermi energy. In semiconductors the piezoelectric mechanism which generates internal electric fields that accelerate the charge carriers is most effective provided the compound has a piezoelectrically active structure. Close to smooth surfaces the acoustic modes may become localized in the direction of the surface normal and propagate as surface acoustic waves (SAWs). The most prominent ones are Rayleigh waves<sup>1</sup> where the displacement vector  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  lies in the plane formed by the surface-normal vector  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  and the acoustic propagation vector  $\mathbf{q} \| \hat{\mathbf{x}}$  which is parallel to the surface (normal to  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , cf. Fig. 1). The Rayleigh SAW may be thought as consisting of the longitudinal and transverse components whose amplitudes depend on the normal direction. The Rayleigh wave is therefore an elliptically polarized wave in the xz plane which decays exponentially into the bulk (-z) with an acoustic decay length that is of the order of the ultrasound wavelength.

Because of these properties the Rayleigh SAW exhibit interesting effects due to the coupling to charge carriers, particularly in the presence of a magnetic field. Two of those are well known and have been explored experimentally. (i) When the field is within the surface plane and perpendicular to the xz plane then due to the breaking of time-reversal invariance the velocity of propagation of SAW with wave vectors  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $-\mathbf{q}$  is different. This nonreciprocal SAW effect has been investigated for metals in detail in Refs. 2 and 3. (ii) In semiconductor heterostructures the charge carriers are confined close to the surface (xy) and a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) forms. This may be nicely investigated by Rayleigh SAW which are localized on the surface sheet. For fields perpendicular to the surface they probe the longitudinal wave-vector-dependent conductivity of the 2DEG. Since the latter exhibits the typical Landau quantum oscillations under suitable conditions this may be directly seen in the SAW propagation velocity and attenuation as function of magnetic field. This idea was spectacularly confirmed for the 2DEG in GaAs heterojunctions.4,5 Extended investigations have shown that this method also allows uniquely to determine the wave-vector dependence of longitudinal conductivity.<sup>6</sup> Thereby the carrier concentration is fixed and PACS number(s): 73.20.-r, 81.05.U-, 43.35.+d

the field *B* or filling factor is varied. We mention that the carrier concentration can in principle be varied in a gated normal 2DEG.

The 2DEG in GaAs heterostructure contains electrons with nonrelativistic parabolic dispersion and hence a finite band mass. Recently graphene, a single sheet honeycomb carbon layer, has been identified as a genuine 2DEG system.<sup>7</sup> It contains quasirelativistic massless Dirac electrons with a linear dispersion around the Dirac point *K* where the slope is given by the Fermi velocity  $v_F = 10^6$  m/s, obeying to

$$H = v_F(\sigma_x p_x + \sigma_y p_y), \qquad (1)$$

where the pseudospin variables ( $\sigma$ ) arise from the twosublattice structure. Transport and thermodynamic properties of this system has been much discussed and investigated, in particular in an external field.<sup>8,9</sup> They are distinctly different from the nonrelativistic case which is mostly due to two effects: (i) because of the linear dispersion the density of states (DOS) at the Dirac point E=0 vanishes according to  $N(E)=|E|/D^2$  (per valley and spin;  $D^2=2\pi v_F^2/A_c$ , where  $A_c$ 



FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic setup for SAW propagation on monolayer graphene sandwiched between piezoelectric slabs with dielectric constant  $\epsilon$  as adapted from Ref. 12. SAW transducer and detector are attached to the top slab with thickness  $qd \ll 1$  (q=SAW wave number). The SAW displacement  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  at fixed position traces an ellipse in the xz plane and the decay length in the zdirection is  $\sim 2\pi/q \gg d$ . Direction of field **B** is normal to the surface.

is the area of the hexagonal cell). As a consequence the screening properties of Dirac electrons will be different from those of the nonrelativistic case.<sup>10,11</sup> (ii) The Landau quantization in an external magnetic field leads to relativistic Landau levels (LLs) at  $E_{\alpha}(n) = \alpha \omega_c \sqrt{n+1}$  with  $\alpha = \pm 1$ , n = 0, 1, 2, ... and the Landau scale  $\omega_c = v_F \sqrt{2e|B|}$ . In addition there is a field-independent level always at  $E^* = 0$ .

There has been considerable discussion whether the Dirac point and the linear DOS in single layer graphene is stable against perturbations such as buckling, disorder or excitonic gap formation. We ignore these subtle issues here and refer to the literature.<sup>9</sup> Furthermore we note that the chemical potential  $\mu$  may be easily moved away from the Dirac point by applying a gate voltage  $V_g$  via  $V_g \sim n \sim \mu^2$  (Ref. 13) (with n as the carrier density). Preliminary SAW experiments in zero field have been performed in Ref. 14. In this setup the aim was rather to detect surface adsorbates via their change of graphene electronic properties and ensuing SAW propagation anomalies. In the present work we want to look at intrinsic properties of graphene and investigate the field dependence, gate voltage (chemical potential) and wave-vector dependence of the SAW propagation velocity and attenuation. A possible experimental setup which we have in mind is shown schematically in Fig. 1. The graphene sheet is sandwiched between two insulating piezoelectric layers with the same background dielectric constant  $\epsilon$ , similar to Ref. 15. The SAW r.f. transducer and the detector are mounted on the top layer. Its thickness d is assumed to be much smaller than the penetration depth of the SAW along -z. There may also be alternatives where the coupling of SAW in the piezoelectric substrate to the Dirac electrons happens purely capacitively across an empty space.

The analysis of SAW propagation in this setup has two distinct steps. First the mechanical and electrodynamic boundary-value problem for the SAW has to be solved which gives the SAW velocity change in terms of the (magneto-)conductive properties of the graphene sheet. This problem has been analyzed and solved in Ref. 12. In a second step we calculate the magnetoconductivity of Dirac electrons as function of field and chemical potential which leads to the SAW velocity change and attenuation as function of field and gate voltage. In this part we follow the procedure described in Ref. 16. Alternatively we consider the zero-field case and investigate the wave-vector (or frequency) dependence of SAW properties, which is related to the wave-vector-dependent (B=0) polarization given in Refs. 10 and 11.

If one neglects the influence of the 2D relativistic electron gas the SAW propagation is a purely mechanical problem which is determined by the elastic constants. We don't make any specific assumptions about the bulk and top layer material but rather treat it as an isotropic piezoelectric medium characterized by longitudinal (*L*) and transverse (*T*) elastic constants or velocities  $c_{\beta}$  or  $v_{\beta}=\sqrt{(c_{\beta}/\rho)}$  ( $\beta=L,T$ ), respectively, where  $\rho$  is the mass density. The stress-free boundary condition for the geometry in Fig. 1 is  $\sigma_{iz}=0$  (i=x,y,z). The solution of the boundary problem<sup>17</sup> leads to the velocity of the surface Rayleigh waves  $v_s = \xi v_T$ . Here  $v_s$  is smaller than the transverse bulk velocity by a factor  $0.87 < \xi < 0.95$  depending on the ratio  $c_L/c_T$ . Due to the piezoelectric coupling an external potential is created which has an effect on the

## PHYSICAL REVIEW B 81, 041409(R) (2010)

2DEG via the density response function. The latter is completely determined by conservation laws and linear-response relations. The induced density in the 2DEG leads to an additional energy density which has to be added to the elastic energy density causing a renormalization of the elastic constants or velocity. Because of the dissipative component in the density response the SAW velocity change is accompanied by attenuation. It may be seen as Landau damping due to particle-hole excitations in the graphene sheet. By the above procedure<sup>12</sup> the SAW velocity change  $\Delta v_s$  and attenuation coefficient  $\kappa$  are obtained as

$$\frac{\Delta v_s(q,B,\mu)}{v_s} - \frac{i\kappa(q,B,\mu)}{q} = \frac{\alpha(qd)^2/2}{1 + i\sigma_{xx}(q,\omega,B,\mu)/\sigma_m}.$$
 (2)

Here  $\omega = v_s q$  is the SAW frequency and  $\mathbf{q} \parallel x$  the wave number. The penetration depths  $\kappa_L^{-1}$ ,  $\kappa_T^{-1}$  of displacement components  $u_x, u_z$  into the bulk along the -z direction are on the order of the wavelength  $\lambda = 2\pi/q$ . The effective coupling  $\alpha(qd)$  of SAW to the graphene 2DEG is a complicated expression composed of phenomenological parameters<sup>12</sup> which will not be given here. It depends nonmonotonically on qd and in the limit  $qd \ll 1$  or  $\kappa_{(L,T)}q \ll 1$  assumed here is simply a constant to be determined by experiment.

The central quantity is the longitudinal electronic conductivity  $\sigma_{xx}(q,\omega;B,\mu)$  where  $\omega = v_s q$ . The normalization constant is  $\sigma_m = v_s \epsilon_{eff}/2\pi$  with  $\epsilon_{eff} = (\epsilon_0 + \epsilon)/2$  for  $qd \ll 1$ . The typical SAW frequency of  $\sim 0.1$  GHz is extremely small  $(\simeq 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K})$  compared to the typical electron-hole excitation energy [given by the chemical potential  $\mu$  so that one may use the static limit  $\sigma_{xx}(q;B,\mu) = \sigma_{xx}(q,\omega=0;B,\mu)$ ]. We will consider two different cases: (i) finite field case in the presence of scatterers, which allows to neglect the possible qdependence of the conductivity,<sup>18</sup> if the scattering rate exceeds  $v_F q$  (in which case  $\sigma_{xx}$  is real) and (ii) zero-field ultraclean case. For the former, due to Landau quantization, oscillations in  $\Delta v_s(B,\mu)$  will appear both as function of field and as function of the chemical potential  $\mu(V_g)$  or gate voltage  $V_{a}$ . One may keep the field constant and instead observe the SAW Landau oscillations as function of gate voltage. For the first case we need the field dependent conductivity  $\sigma_{xx}(B,\mu)$  which was derived for localized disorder with concentration  $n_i$  (vacancies representing the unitary limit<sup>19</sup>) in the self-consistent Born approximation (SCBA) in Ref. 16. The result is shown in the top panel of Fig. 2 as function of the chemical potential for a constant magnetic field and several values of  $\sigma_m / \sigma_{xx}(\mu=0) \approx v_s \epsilon_{eff} h / 8e^2$  with  $\omega_c$  $=D/\sqrt{N+1}$ , N=1000 and T=0.001D, corresponding roughly to a few tens of K. In this range, the LL spacing is much larger than the temperature. Clearly the Landau oscillations in the conductivity also influence the SAW properties. When the chemical potential crosses a LL, the conductivity peaks, and  $\Delta v_s$  develops a dip. In between LL's, the conductivity is suppressed, causing the enhancement of the SAW velocity. For large chemical potential when the graphene sheet becomes more metallic, both  $\Delta v_s$  and  $\kappa$  are suppressed.

The SAW velocity measurement in zero field presents a unique feature which cannot be realized by the conventional transport measurements, which usually probe the conductiv-



FIG. 2. (Color online) Landau oscillations for constant field (corresponding to N=1000 LL within the cutoff frequency *D*) as function of the chemical potential  $\mu$  tuned by the gate voltage for T=0.001D and concentration  $n_i=0.001$ , and  $v_Fq \ll 2\mu$ . Upper panel: longitudinal conductivity. Middle/lower panel: SAW velocity change/attenuation for  $\sigma_{m}/\sigma_{xx}(\mu=0)=10$  (black dashed-dotted line), 1 (blue solid line) and 1/10 (red dashed line).

ity at  $\omega \ge v_F q$ , i.e., in the dynamic limit. Due to the finite SAW frequency the density response of the Dirac electrons may be probed at finite wave vector  $q = \omega/v_s$  with  $\omega \ll v_F q$ , thus probing the static properties of the electric response. Measurement of  $\Delta v_s$  for various frequencies  $\omega$  can therefore determine the density response of Dirac electrons for finite wave vector  $\omega/v_s$ . This has actually been proposed and carried out for the nonrelativistic 2DEG in Ref. 6.

The q (||x) and  $\omega$  dependent longitudinal conductivity is calculated similarly to the polarization function<sup>10,11</sup> and is given in the limit of  $\omega = v_s q \ll (\mu, v_F q)$  as

$$\sigma_{xx}(q) = \frac{4v_s e^2}{\pi v_F} \left[ \frac{v_s}{v_F} f_1\left(\frac{v_F q}{2\mu}\right) + i f_2\left(\frac{v_F q}{2\mu}\right) \right], \tag{3}$$

where





FIG. 3. (Color online) Real (red dashed line) and imaginary (blue solid line) part of the conductivity for B=0 as function of chemical potential and wave number (inset) with  $|\sigma_0|=e^2v_s/v_F$ . The Dirac and Schrödinger-like regions are separated at  $v_Fq=2\mu$ .

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x} \Theta(1 - x),$$
 (4)

$$f_{2}(x) = -\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2x} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x^{2}}} - x \arccos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right] \Theta(x - 1),$$
(5)

and is shown in Fig. 3. It depends only on  $v_Fq/\mu$ , thus exhibits scaling behavior. For small frequencies,  $\sigma_{xx}$  vanishes with  $\omega$  as follows from Eq. (6) via  $\omega = v_s q$ , which explains the  $v_s$  term on the r.h.s of Eq. (3). The real part of the conductivity, which determines the attenuation, is suppressed by the additional  $v_s/v_F$  factor, thus the propagation velocity is more strongly influenced by the presence of graphene than the attenuation.

The density response or polarizability  $\Pi(q)$  is related to the longitudinal conductivity by

$$\Pi(q_x,\omega) = \frac{iq_x^2}{\omega}\sigma_{xx}(q_x,\omega), \qquad (6)$$

which follows from the charge continuity equation, and involves only the longitudinal component of the conductivity with  $\mathbf{q} = (q_x, 0), q_x = q$ . Using the equation for the SAW velocity change and neglecting the attenuation we may easily obtain

$$\Pi(q) \simeq q \sigma_m \left[ \frac{\alpha^2}{2\Delta v_s(q)} - \frac{1}{v_s} \right] \tag{7}$$

from the measured velocity change. The density response for monolayer graphene has also been calculated in Ref. 10, 11, and 20, and SAW measurements can yield to the static polarizability as well.

There are two limits to be considered depending on whether q is larger or smaller than twice the radius of the Fermi circle  $k_F = \mu/v_F$ . For  $2k_F > q$  what we refer to as



FIG. 4. (Color online) Schematic view of the SAW velocity change (blue solid line) and attenuation (red dashed line) as a function of the chemical potential for B=0 clean graphene. For small  $\mu$ , the attenuation is negligible in the Dirac regime.

Schrödinger-like behavior,  $\sigma_{xx} = 8v_s e^{2k_F} (\frac{v_s}{v_F} - i) / \pi v_F^2 q$ , which holds true in a normal metal as well, and reveals the important  $k_F/q$  dependence of the conductivity. On the other hand, the peculiarity of graphene is the tunability of its Fermi energy with a gate voltage, which provides access to the  $2k_F$ < q region, termed Dirac-like regime, in which case  $\sigma_0$ =  $-ie^2v_s/v_F$  exactly at the neutrality point ( $\mu=0$ ). Thus, there is practically no attenuation in this regime, only velocity renormalization. Typical SAW wavelengths range from 2-150  $\mu$ m,<sup>21</sup> which are translated to  $v_Fq \sim 2-200$  K using  $v_F$  for graphene. Graphene samples being ballistic over the micron-submicron scale imply a scattering rate around 50 K, thus, the above Dirac to Schrödinger-like crossover can in principle be observed in clean samples with a tiny residual scattering rate at the neutrality point. The resulting behavior of SAW observables are shown in Fig. 4, which resembles closely that of the bare conductivity. We also suppose that SAW at  $\mu=0$  can identify the role of inhomogeneities ex-

## PHYSICAL REVIEW B 81, 041409(R) (2010)

tending over several lattice constant (e.g., ripples, puddles) in graphene. When the SAW wavelength becomes comparable to their spatial extension, all waves passing through are scattered, and the sample is not transparent any more but acoustically opalescent. Typical ripple size is estimated to be on the 1-10 nm scale,<sup>22</sup> which would require a strong reduction of the SAW wavelength with respect to that in a normal 2DEG. Charge inhomogeneities due to puddles have a characteristic length scale of a few micrometers.<sup>23</sup> Measurement of the q-dependent conductivity via the SAW technique provides a comfortable tool to probe the charge distribution by tuning the wave vector q such that it is commensurate with the typical puddle size. In addition, the large wavelength of SAW enables us to study scattering close to the individual Dirac points without intervalley scattering by tuning the wave vector properly. This can be important to distinguish different scenarios, such as the question of long-range and short-range scattering.

In summary we have studied SAW propagation in monolayer graphene. By exploiting the chemical-potential dependence of its conductivity, Landau oscillations are predicted in both the SAW velocity change and attenuation by varying the gate voltage, a feature which is accessible due to the quasirelativistic excitation spectrum in graphene. Without magnetic field, the SAW frequency changes measure directly the wave-vector dependence of the longitudinal conductivity, which can reveal a Dirac to Schrödinger-like crossover, with no attenuation in the Dirac regime.

We are grateful to M. Polini, A. Hill, and J. Ebbecke for useful correspondences. This work was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Funds under Grant No. K72613 and by the Bolyai program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

\*dora@pks.mpg.de

- <sup>1</sup>R. Stoneley, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 232, 447 (1955).
- <sup>2</sup>J. Heil, I. Kouroudis, B. Lüthi, and P. Thalmeier, J. Phys. C 17, 2433 (1984).
- <sup>3</sup>B. Lüthi, *Physical Acoustics in the Solid State* (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
- <sup>4</sup>A. Wixforth, J. P. Kotthaus, and G. Weimann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 2104 (1986).
- <sup>5</sup>R. L. Willett, M. A. Paalanen, R. R. Ruel, K. W. West, L. N. Pfeiffer, and D. J. Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 112 (1990).
- <sup>6</sup>R. L. Willett, R. R. Ruel, M. A. Paalanen, K. W. West, and L. N. Pfeiffer, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7344 (1993).
- <sup>7</sup>K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Science **306**, 666 (2004).
- <sup>8</sup>A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nature Mater. 6, 183 (2007).
- <sup>9</sup>A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
- <sup>10</sup>B. Wunsch, T. Stauber, F. Sols, and F. Guinea, New J. Phys. 8, 318 (2006).
- <sup>11</sup>E. H. Hwang and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 205418 (2007).

- <sup>12</sup>S. H. Simon, Phys. Rev. B 54, 13878 (1996).
- <sup>13</sup>J. Fernández-Rossier, J. J. Palacios, and L. Brey, Phys. Rev. B 75, 205441 (2007).
- <sup>14</sup>R. Arsat, M. Breedon, M. Shafiei, Spizziri, S. Gilje, R. B. Kaner, K. Kalantar-zadeh, and W. Wlodarski, Chem. Phys. Lett. **467**, 344 (2009).
- <sup>15</sup>M. Friedemann, K. Pierz, R. Stosch, and F. Ahlers, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 102103 (2009).
- <sup>16</sup>B. Dóra and P. Thalmeier, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 035402 (2007).
- <sup>17</sup>L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity* (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 2005).
- <sup>18</sup>R. L. Willett, Surf. Sci. **305**, 76 (1994).
- <sup>19</sup>N. M. R. Peres, F. Guinea, and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B 73, 125411 (2006).
- <sup>20</sup>A. Hill, S. A. Mikhailov, and K. Ziegler, EPL **87**, 27005 (2009).
- <sup>21</sup>M. A. Paalanen, R. L. Willett, P. B. Littlewood, R. R. Ruel, K. W. West, L. N. Pfeiffer, and D. J. Bishop, Phys. Rev. B 45, 11342 (1992).
- <sup>22</sup> A. Fasolino, J. H. Los, and M. I. Katsnelson, Nature Mater. 6, 858 (2007).
- <sup>23</sup> J. Martin, N. Akerman, G. Ulbricht, T. Lohmann, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, and A. Yacoby, Nat. Phys. 4, 144 (2008).