

Gromov compactness for holomorphic discs with totally real boundary conditions

Urs Frauenfelder, Kai Zehmisch

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Frauenfelder, Urs, and Kai Zehmisch. 2015. "Gromov compactness for holomorphic discs with totally real boundary conditions." *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 17 (3): 521–40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-015-0229-0>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

licgercopyright

Dieses Dokument wird unter folgenden Bedingungen zur Verfügung gestellt: / This document is made available under these conditions:

Deutsches Urheberrecht

Weitere Informationen finden Sie unter: / For more information see:

<https://www.uni-augsburg.de/de/organisation/bibliothek/publizieren-zitieren-archivieren/publiz/>



GROMOV COMPACTNESS FOR HOLOMORPHIC DISCS WITH TOTALLY REAL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

URS FRAUENFELDER AND KAI ZEHMISCH

ABSTRACT. We prove that a sequence of holomorphic discs with totally real boundary conditions has a subsequence that Gromov converges to a stable holomorphic map of genus zero with connected boundary provided that the sequence is bounded and has bounded energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Lagrangian submanifolds in symplectic topology uses holomorphic discs in an essential way. A natural question is the convergence of sequences of parametrized holomorphic discs with boundary values in a given Lagrangian submanifold. By the elliptic nature of the Cauchy-Riemann equation a uniform bound with respect to the C^1 -topology implies convergence of a subsequence including all partial derivatives. A C^0 -bound usually is given by the geometric problem under consideration so that the actual question is about a bound on the gradient.

In general there is no gradient bound. This is because the group of holomorphic automorphisms of the unit disc, which acts on all holomorphic discs by reparametrization, is not compact. On the other hand, the symplectic energy, which is the integral of the symplectic form over the holomorphic disc, is preserved under this action. This implies uniform L^2 -bounds on the gradient provided the holomorphic discs represent the same relative homotopy class. In fact, as observed by Sacks-Uhlenbeck [25] and Gromov [13], locally up to reparametrisations one obtains C^∞ -convergence using the so-called bubbling off analysis. The limit object consists of finitely many holomorphic discs and spheres glued along finitely many points so that the relative homotopy class is preserved. The so-called Gromov convergence is described in [6] and content of the present article.

Holomorphic discs appear in contact geometry in conjunction with questions about fillability as in [2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 20, 23, 27, 28] or the strong Weinstein conjecture [1, 9, 15, 24]. One considers contact manifolds that are the boundary of a symplectic manifold. The boundary condition for the holomorphic discs is posed with respect to a totally real submanifold that is not Lagrangian. Because of the integrability of the characteristic distribution in the complement of the singular set uniform gradient bounds along the boundary curve of the holomorphic discs can be obtained by geometric arguments. In order to unify the argumentation and for a deeper understanding of the Reeb dynamics on a contact manifold Gromov convergence of holomorphic discs is required for totally real boundary conditions.

Date: 16.04.2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D12, 53D45, 58J05.

UF partially supported by the Basic Research fund 2013004879 of the Korean government. ZK is partially supported by DFG grant ZE 992/1-1.

A description how a sequence of holomorphic discs with uniform $W^{1,2}$ -bounds and totally real boundary conditions degenerates in the sense of Sacks-Uhlenbeck can be found in [26]. In view of the methods used in symplectic topology a description in the language of stable maps due to Kontsevich [19] as in [6] is required. Approaches that use nodal surfaces similar to Gromov's original description in [13] can be found in [7, 18]. An alternative concept makes use of the so-called bubble trees, see [6, 22]. To provide an extension of this to holomorphic discs with totally real boundary conditions is the aim of the article.

1.1. Formulation of the theorem. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. Let J be an almost complex structure on M that is tamed by ω . We consider a **totally real** submanifold L , which by definition is an n -dimensional submanifold L of M such that $TL \cap JTL$ is the zero section. All closed Lagrangian submanifolds and the boundaries in the filling problem are included. A **holomorphic disc**

$$u: (\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \longrightarrow (M, L)$$

is a smooth map $u: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ defined on the closed unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ that is a solution of the **Cauchy-Riemann equation**

$$u_x + J(u)u_y = 0,$$

where $z = x + iy$ are conformal coordinates on \mathbb{D} and u_x, u_y stand for $Tu(\partial_x), Tu(\partial_y)$. We assume that u maps the boundary $\partial\mathbb{D}$ into the totally real submanifold L of M .

A sequence u^ν of holomorphic discs is said to be **bounded** provided that there exists a compact subset of M that contains $u^\nu(\mathbb{D})$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and a compact subset of L that contains $u^\nu(\partial\mathbb{D})$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that u^ν has **bounded energy** if

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} E(u^\nu) < \infty,$$

where the **energy** of a holomorphic disc u is defined to be

$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^* \omega.$$

We remark that by the tameness condition the symmetrisation of $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is a metric on M . With respect to this metric the energy of a holomorphic disc u equals the **Dirichlet energy**

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla u|^2,$$

where the **energy density** is defined by

$$|\nabla u|^2 = |u_x|^2 + |u_y|^2.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Any bounded sequence of holomorphic discs with bounded energy has a subsequence that Gromov converges to a stable holomorphic map of genus zero with connected boundary. The relative homotopy class is preserved under Gromov convergence.*

The definitions of Gromov convergence and stable maps, which we took from [6], are repeated in Sections 1.3 and 1.5 below. The proof of the theorem is given in Section 3. The primary idea is to Lagrangeify the boundary condition and is described in Section 2. In Section 4 we will indicate several generalizations.

1.2. Holomorphic spheres. A holomorphic sphere is a smooth map $u: \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow M$ that solves the Cauchy-Riemann equation $J \circ Tu = Tu \circ i$, where i denotes the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ obtained by adding the point at infinity to \mathbb{C} . The energy of a holomorphic sphere is

$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} u^* \omega = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} |Tu|^2,$$

where the Dirichlet energy integral is taken with respect to the area form induced by the Fubini-Study metric.

1.3. Stable maps. A **tree** is a finite 1-dimensional simply connected cell complex T . The set of 0-cells (or vertices) is denoted by V and the set of 1-cells (or edges) by E . An edge is uniquely described by the set of its vertices. To each vertex α we attach a holomorphic map

$$u_\alpha: (\Sigma_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha) \longrightarrow (M, L)$$

that is required to be either a holomorphic disc $u_\alpha: (\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (M, L)$, a holomorphic sphere $u_\alpha: \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow M$, or a holomorphic sphere $u_\alpha: (\mathbb{C}P^1, \infty) \rightarrow (M, L)$ that maps the point at infinity to L .

To each edge $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ we associate two points $z_{\alpha\beta} \in \Sigma_\alpha$ and $z_{\beta\alpha} \in \Sigma_\beta$ which we call **nodal points**. If $z_{\alpha\beta}$ is a boundary point on $\Sigma_\alpha = \mathbb{D}$ then $\Sigma_\beta = \mathbb{D}$ is a disc and $z_{\beta\alpha}$ is a boundary point too. In other words, the nodal points corresponding to an edge are either interior or boundary points; no interior point can correspond to a boundary point and vice versa. We require that for different edges $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\alpha, \gamma\}$ the points $z_{\alpha\beta}$ and $z_{\alpha\gamma}$ on Σ_α are different. If the surface $(\Sigma_\alpha, \Gamma_\alpha)$ is the pointed $(\mathbb{C}P^1, \infty)$ we require all nodal points on Σ_α to be different from ∞ .

The **boundary tree** ∂T of T is the subtree, whose vertices α correspond to surfaces Σ_α with $\Gamma_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. We require that ∂T is non-empty. The boundary tree consists of a single vertex if $\Gamma_\alpha = \infty$ for some $\alpha \in V$.

The collection of holomorphic maps u_α is required to satisfy $u_\alpha(z_{\alpha\beta}) = u_\beta(z_{\beta\alpha})$ for all nodal points. Passing to the quotient of the disjoint union of the Σ_α by the set of all nodal points we obtain a nodal surface of genus zero with connected boundary that eventually is collapsed to one point. The u_α pass to a holomorphic map \mathbf{u} on the quotient.

We denote the set of nodal points on Σ_α by Z_α including ∞ in the pointed case. The map \mathbf{u} is called **stable** if for all vertices α for which the map u_α is constant the number of nodal points is $\#Z_\alpha \geq 3$ (regardless Σ_α is a disc or a sphere) or Z_α consists of two points that do not both lie on the boundary $\Gamma_\alpha = \partial\mathbb{D}$ in the case that $\Sigma_\alpha = \mathbb{D}$ is a disc.

1.4. Energy of a bubble tree. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_\alpha)$ be a stable map with tree $T = (V, E)$. Removing (the interior of) an edge $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ decomposes T into two subtrees. Denote by $T_{\alpha\beta}$ the component that has β as a vertex. Observe that any subtree of T is of the form $T_{\alpha\beta}$. The **energy of the bubble tree** $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\gamma \text{ is vertex of } T_{\alpha\beta}} E(u_\gamma).$$

Similarly, the **total energy** is

$$E(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{\alpha \in V} E(u_\alpha).$$

1.5. Gromov convergence. We say that a sequence of holomorphic discs **Gromov converges** to a stable map \mathbf{u} (denoting the underlying tree by $T = (V, E)$) provided that for each $\alpha \in V$ there exists a sequence of Möbius transformations φ_α^ν in the automorphism group of Σ_α such that the following holds: If Σ_α is the disc \mathbb{D} or the unpointed $\mathbb{C}P^1$ we require:

- **(Rescaling):** For all $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$ the sequence $(\varphi_\alpha^\nu)^{-1} \circ \varphi_\beta^\nu$ converges to the constant map $z_{\alpha\beta}$ in C_{loc}^∞ on $\Sigma_\beta \setminus \{z_{\beta\alpha}\}$.
- **(Map):** For all $\alpha \in V$ the sequence

$$u_\alpha^\nu := u^\nu \circ \varphi_\alpha^\nu$$

converges to u_α in C_{loc}^∞ on $\Sigma_\alpha \setminus Z_\alpha$.

- **(Energy):** For all $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E$

$$E_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{u}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(u_\alpha^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z_{\alpha\beta})),$$

where $B_\varepsilon(z_{\alpha\beta})$ denotes set of points in Σ_α with distance less than ε from $z_{\alpha\beta}$ and

$$E(u_\alpha^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z_{\alpha\beta})) = \int_{B_\varepsilon(z_{\alpha\beta})} (u_\alpha^\nu)^* \omega.$$

If the **degenerate case** ($\Sigma_\alpha = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty, \Gamma_\alpha = \infty$) appears we require in addition that:

- **(Rescaling):** There exists for each compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ a natural number ν_0 such that $\varphi_\alpha^\nu(K) \subset B_1(0)$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$.
- **(Energy):** For $\infty \in \Sigma_\alpha$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(u_\alpha^\nu; B_\varepsilon(\infty) \cap (\varphi_\alpha^\nu)^{-1}(\mathbb{D})) = 0,$$

where $B_\varepsilon(\infty)$ denotes set of points in Σ_α with distance less than ε from ∞ .

2. LAGRANGIFY

The bubbling off phenomenon of holomorphic curves is similar to the one of minimal surfaces. A mean value inequality holds true provided the energy is sufficiently small. In the presence of totally real boundary conditions the mean value inequality can be obtained via Schwarz reflection of the energy density with respect to a suitable local metric, see [6, p. 265] and cf. Section 2.2. In order to obtain the removable singularity theorem it is necessary that certain boundary terms during partial integrations via Stokes's theorem vanish. One way to achieve this is a choice of a local symplectic form that turns the boundary condition into a Lagrangian submanifold as observed in [28], see Section 2.1. After all the convergence modulo bubbling theorem from [22] passes to the present situation, see Section 2.5.

Denote by $K_M \subset M$ and $K_L \subset L$ relative compact open subsets such that $u^\nu(\mathbb{D}) \subset K_M$ and $u^\nu(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset K_L$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.1. Local symplectic form. By [9, p. 544/545] there exists a relative compact open domain Ω_L in M and a symplectic form ω_L on a neighbourhood of the closure of Ω_L such that Ω_L contains K_L and ω_L

- tames the restriction of J to Ω_L ,
- has a primitive λ that vanishes on L , and
- turns $JT(L \cap \Omega_L)$ into a Lagrangian subbundle of $T\Omega_L$.

Notice, that the metrics obtained by symmetrising $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ and $\omega_L(\cdot, J\cdot)$ restricted to Ω_L are equivalent.

The local symplectic form is constructed in the following way: Let g be a metric on M such that J is a orthogonal transformation and the subbundle TL of $TM|_L$ is orthogonal to JTL along a neighbourhood of K_L . Define a non-degenerate 2-form $\sigma := g(J\cdot, \cdot)$ and notice that σ tames J . The normal exponential map of g allows an identification of a neighbourhood Ω_L of K_L with a neighbourhood of K_L in T^*L , cf. the proof of [21, Theorem 3.33]. Under this identification the restriction of σ to $L \cap \Omega_L$ equals $d\lambda$, where λ denotes the Liouville form given by T^*L . The claim follows by setting $\omega_L = d\lambda$ and shrinking Ω_L if necessary.

2.2. Mean value inequality. We denote by $B_r(z)$ the set of complex numbers in the closed upper half-plane \mathbb{H} whose Euclidean distance to $z \in \mathbb{H}$ is smaller than r . Then there exist positive constants \hbar and C such that for all holomorphic maps

$$w: (\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow (K_M, K_L)$$

with

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r(z)} |\nabla w|^2 < \hbar$$

the mean value inequality

$$|\nabla w(z)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{r^2} \int_{B_r(z)} |\nabla w|^2$$

is satisfied. This follows from [6, Appendix A] or [22, Section 4.3] in the totally real case also even if the results are only stated for Lagrangian boundaries.

In particular, all non-constant holomorphic spheres $\mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow K_M$ and all non-constant holomorphic discs $(\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$ have energy greater or equal to \hbar . Indeed, because of the conformal invariance of the energy we can assume that w is defined on \mathbb{H} or \mathbb{C} , resp. Consider $B_r(z)$ for each point z in $B_r(0)$. If the energy of w on $B_{2r}(0)$ is smaller than \hbar then $\sup_{B_r(0)} |\nabla w|^2$ is bounded by the energy of w on $B_{2r}(0)$ times $2C/r^2$. The claim follows if this inference is conclusive for arbitrary large radii r .

2.3. Convention. The mean value inequality estimates the gradient of a holomorphic map in terms of its L^2 -norm provided the energy is less than \hbar . Because our applications will be geometric in nature the holomorphic maps to which we will apply the inequality will have even smaller energy. Therefore, we shrink \hbar such that:

- The quantity $\sqrt{8C\hbar} \pi$ is smaller than the distance of K_L and the boundary of Ω_L .
- There exists a positive constant c such that for any smooth curve γ with endpoints $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ on K_L and $\text{length}(\gamma)$ less than or equal to $\sqrt{8C\hbar} \pi$ the **isoperimetric inequality** holds true in the sense that

$$\left| \int_0^1 \gamma^* \lambda \right| \leq c \text{length}(\gamma)^2,$$

as well as the corresponding isoperimetric inequality for smooth loops, see [22, Theorem 4.4.1]. Notice, that the inequality is invariant under reparametrisations.

2.4. Removal of singularity. Let w be a punctured holomorphic half-plane

$$w: (\mathbb{H} \setminus 0, \mathbb{R} \setminus 0) \longrightarrow (K_M, K_L)$$

or a punctured holomorphic plane $w: \mathbb{C} \setminus 0 \rightarrow K_M$ of finite energy. Then w extends to a holomorphic map on \mathbb{H} , resp., \mathbb{C} .

To see this notice that

$$E(w; B_r(0)) = \int_{B_r(0)} w^* \omega$$

tends to zero for $r \searrow 0$. Using polar coordinates we consider arcs $\gamma_r(\theta) = w(re^{i\theta})$, for $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, that lie on the holomorphic half-plane w . Because of

$$|\dot{\gamma}_r(\theta)|^2 = \frac{r^2}{2} |\nabla w(re^{i\theta})|^2$$

and $B_r(re^{i\theta}) \subset B_{2r}(0)$ the mean value inequality implies

$$|\dot{\gamma}_r(\theta)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{2} \int_{B_{2r}(0)} |\nabla w|^2$$

provided that r is sufficiently small. Therefore, the length of γ_r tends to zero so that w maps a neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{H}$ into Ω_L .

Observe that up to this point the exact local symplectic form ω_L is not used. The removability of the singularity follows with [22, Theorem 4.1.2], which requires a Lagrangian boundary condition in the case of the half-plane. Using the symplectic form ω_L on Ω_L this requirement will be satisfied.

2.5. Convergence modulo bubbling. Let Ω^ν be exhausting sequence of open subsets of \mathbb{H} . Let $w^\nu: (\Omega^\nu, \Omega^\nu \cap \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$ be a sequence of holomorphic maps with bounded energy. With the results of the proceeding section one shows as in [22, Section 4.6]: There exists a holomorphic map $w: (\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$ and a finite set $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_\ell\}$ of points in \mathbb{H} , the so-called **bubble points**, such that

- w^ν converges to w in C_{loc}^∞ on $\mathbb{H} \setminus Z$.
- For all bubble points z_j and for all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon(z_j) \cap Z$ consists of precisely the bubble point z_j the limit

$$m_\varepsilon(z_j) := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z_j))$$

exists. Moreover the map $\varepsilon \mapsto m_\varepsilon(z_j)$ is continuous and the **mass** m_j of the bubble point z_j satisfies

$$m_j := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m_\varepsilon(z_j) \geq \hbar.$$

- For all relative compact subsets K of \mathbb{H} that contain the bubble set Z

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; K) = E(w; K) + m_1 + \dots + m_\ell.$$

The analog statement with \mathbb{H} replaced by \mathbb{C} holds true.

3. BUBBLES CONNECT

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.1. The argumentation is the same as in [6, Section 3.2.2] and the reader is referred to the cited source. Only modifications of the bubbling off analysis caused by the totally real boundary condition are required. The implementation of the modifications is the aim of this section.

A sequence of holomorphic discs as described in the theorem converges modulo bubbling to a holomorphic disc, see Section 2.5. A suitable rescaling in a neighbourhood of any of the bubble points yields sequences of holomorphic maps that again converge modulo bubbling. The proof proceeds by induction, which terminates after finitely many steps due to the energy bound. Care has to be taken in view of the stability condition, see [6, Section 3.2.2].

The rescaling near the bubble points is done in a particular way, see Section 3.2. In order to obtain a stable map for the limiting object it is necessary to detect all the bubbles. The main tool for that is the concentration inequality of Section 3.1. It implies that the energy of the cut off annuli that have large ratio is concentrated near the boundary components. In order to show that the bubbles connect the distance-energy inequality is provided in Section 3.1 also. That the relative homotopy class is preserved under Gromov convergence will follow with the distance-energy inequality too, see [6, Section 3.3].

3.1. Long cylinders with small energy. We consider a sequence of holomorphic maps $w^\nu: (B_1(0), (-1, 1)) \rightarrow (M, L)$ that are defined on the open unit half-disc in \mathbb{H} . We assume that $w^\nu(B_1(0)) \subset K_M$ and $w^\nu((-1, 1)) \subset K_L$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we consider sequences ε^ν , δ^ν of positive real numbers and a sequence of complex numbers $z^\nu = x^\nu + iy^\nu$ on the upper half-plane such that

- $\delta^\nu \leq \varepsilon^\nu \searrow 0$,
- $\varepsilon^\nu / \delta^\nu \rightarrow \infty$,
- z^ν converges to 0 in \mathbb{H} , and
- there exists a positive constant η such that $0 \leq y^\nu / \delta^\nu \leq \eta$.

We assume that the energy

$$e(w^\nu) := E(w^\nu; A_{z^\nu}(\delta^\nu, \varepsilon^\nu)) < \hbar$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$A_z(\delta, \varepsilon) := B_\varepsilon(z) \setminus \overline{B_\delta(z)} \subset \mathbb{H}$$

is an open annulus cut off along the real line.

We remark that for all $T > \ln \eta$ we have $y^\nu < e^T \delta^\nu$ so that both circular boundary components of $A_{z^\nu}(e^T \delta^\nu, e^{-T} \varepsilon^\nu)$ intersect the real axis. Moreover, the annulus $A_{z^\nu}(e^T \delta^\nu, e^{-T} \varepsilon^\nu)$ is non-empty precisely if $T < \ln \sqrt{\varepsilon^\nu / \delta^\nu}$.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist positive constants c (only depending on the geometry of K_L and K_M), T_0 (only depending on η), and a natural number ν_0 such that for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ (we have $\ln \sqrt{\varepsilon^\nu / \delta^\nu} > T_0$) and for all $T \in [T_0, \ln \sqrt{\varepsilon^\nu / \delta^\nu}]$ the following inequalities hold true, where we abbreviate $w = w^\nu$, $z = z^\nu$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon^\nu$, and $\delta = \delta^\nu$:*

- **(Concentration inequality):**

$$E(w; A_z(e^T \delta, e^{-T} \varepsilon)) \leq c e^{-T/c} e(w).$$

- **(Distance-energy inequality):** For all points z_1, z_2 on the cut off annulus $A_z(e^T \delta, e^{-T} \varepsilon)$ we have that

$$\text{dist}(w(z_1), w(z_2)) \leq c e^{-T/c} \sqrt{e(w)}.$$

Remark 3.2. As the proof will show we can take $T_0 = \max\{7, 3 + 2 \ln \eta\}$.

Proof. In order to symmetrise the problem we rescale

$$v(\zeta) := w(\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon} \cdot \zeta)$$

so that the resulting holomorphic map v is defined on $B_{1/\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}}(0)$. Notice, that the inverse rescaling map sends $A_z(\delta, \varepsilon)$ to

$$A(1/R, R) := A_{z/\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}}(1/R, R),$$

where $R := \sqrt{\varepsilon/\delta}$. By conformal invariance and invariance of the length under reparametrisations it suffices to prove the symmetrised variant of the lemma. In order to simplify the notation we will write z instead of $z/\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}$.

The choice $T_0 > \ln \eta$ is understood so that for all $T \geq T_0$ both circular boundary components of the cut off annuli $A(e^T/R, R/e^T)$ intersect the real axis. We claim that for T sufficiently large v maps the annuli $A(e^T/R, R/e^T)$ into Ω_L . For that we consider the curves

$$\gamma_r(\theta) := v(z + re^{i\theta}).$$

In order to estimate its length we cover the arcs $\theta \mapsto z + re^{i\theta}$ by the $r/2$ -distance discs $B_{r/2}(z + re^{i\theta})$ of \mathbb{H} . We require that $r \in (2/R, 2R/3)$ so that the cut off discs $B_{r/2}(z + re^{i\theta})$ are contained in $A(1/R, R)$. Hence, the energy of v restricted to the $r/2$ -distance discs is bounded by \hbar . The mean value inequality implies

$$|\dot{\gamma}_r(\theta)|^2 \leq 2C \int_{A(1/R, R)} |\nabla v|^2.$$

Therefore, the length of γ_r is bounded by $2\pi\sqrt{2C\hbar}$. We choose $T_0 > \ln 2$ so that $A(e^T/R, R/e^T)$ is contained in $A(2/R, 2R/3)$ for all $T \geq T_0$. This implies that

$$\text{length}(\gamma_r) \leq \sqrt{8C\hbar} \pi$$

for all $r \in (e^T/R, R/e^T)$. The curves γ_r connect interior points of the holomorphic square

$$v\left(A(e^T/R, R/e^T)\right)$$

to the boundary in K_L provided $T \geq T_0$. By the assumptions on the quantity \hbar , see Section 2.3, the holomorphic square is contained in Ω_L as claimed.

The closure of Ω_L is compact. Hence, up to a change of constants in the formulation of the lemma it suffices to prove the inequalities with respect to the symplectic form $\omega_L = d\lambda$ and the metric given by the symmetrisation of $\omega_L(\cdot, J\cdot)$.

In view of the first inequality we will use logarithmic polar coordinates. We reparametrise

$$u(s + it) = v(z + e^{s+it}).$$

The preimage D_T of $A(e^T/R, R/e^T)$ in the square

$$(T - \ln R, \ln R - T) \times \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\pi\right)$$

is bounded by the graphs of the functions $s \mapsto t_0(s), t_1(s)$, which are mapped into K_L by u . Therefore, we can express the energy

$$e(T) = \int_{D_T} u^* d\lambda$$

in terms of $\gamma_s(t) = u(s + it)$ as

$$e(T) = \int (\gamma_{\ln R - T})^* \lambda - \int (\gamma_{T - \ln R})^* \lambda,$$

where the first integral is taken over all t in $(t_0(\ln R - T), t_1(\ln R - T))$; the second over all t in $(t_0(T - \ln R), t_1(T - \ln R))$. With the isoperimetric inequality, see Section 2.3, this yields

$$e(T) \leq c_1 \left(\text{length}(\gamma_{\ln R - T})^2 + \text{length}(\gamma_{T - \ln R})^2 \right)$$

for a universal constant $c_1 > 0$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Cauchy-Riemann equation we obtain

$$e(T) \leq 2\pi c_1 \left(\int \left| u_s(\ln R - T + it) \right|^2 dt + \int \left| u_s(T - \ln R + it) \right|^2 dt \right),$$

where the first integral is taken over $(t_0(\ln R - T), t_1(\ln R - T))$; the second over $(t_0(T - \ln R), t_1(T - \ln R))$. Taking the derivative of

$$e(T) = \int_{T - \ln R}^{\ln R - T} \left(\int_{t_0(s)}^{t_1(s)} \left| u_s(s + it) \right|^2 dt \right) ds$$

with respect to T yields

$$\frac{d}{dT} e(T) \leq -\frac{1}{2\pi c_1} e(T)$$

for all $T \geq T_0$. Grönwall's inequality implies

$$e(T) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2\pi c_1}(T - T_0)} e(T_0).$$

Because $e(T_0)$ is bounded by $e(w)$ up to a universal constant this proves the concentration inequality; the first inequality of the lemma.

We prove the second. Observe that each two points

$$z_1 = z + r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \quad z_2 = z + r_2 e^{i\theta_2}$$

in $A(e^T/R, R/e^T)$ can be joint by a circular arc provided $r_1 = r_2$, or, if $r_1 \neq r_2$, by a path consisting of a circular arc connecting z_1 with $z + r_1 i$, a line segment connecting $z + r_1 i$ with $z + r_2 i$, and a circular arc connecting $z + r_2 i$ with z_2 . Therefore, it is possible to prove the second inequality with help of circular arcs and line segments in $z + \mathbb{R}i$ only.

We estimate the length of the path

$$I \ni \theta \mapsto v(z + r e^{i\theta}),$$

where I is a subinterval of $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$ and $r \in (e^T/R, R/e^T)$. By the mean value inequality

$$\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}(z + r e^{i\theta}) \right| = \frac{r}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \nabla v(z + r e^{i\theta}) \right|$$

is bounded by

$$\sqrt{2C} \left(\int_{B_{r/2}(z+re^{i\theta})} |\nabla v|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For all $T \geq \ln 2 + T_0$ we get with $S := T - \ln 2$ that $B_{r/2}(z + re^{i\theta})$ is contained in

$$A \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^T}{R}, \frac{3}{2} \frac{R}{e^T} \right) = A \left(\frac{e^S}{R}, \frac{3}{4} \frac{R}{e^S} \right)$$

the latter being contained in $A(e^{T_0}/R, R/e^{T_0})$. Hence, we can estimate the upper bound by

$$2\sqrt{C} \sqrt{E(v; A(e^S/R, R/e^S))},$$

which by the concentration inequality is bounded by

$$2\sqrt{C} \sqrt{ce^{-S/c}e(w)}.$$

Consequently, replacing T_0 by $T_0 + \ln 2$ we find a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta}(z + re^{i\theta}) \right| \leq c_2 e^{-T/c_2} \sqrt{e(w)}$$

for all $T \geq T_0$. Integrating θ over the subinterval I proves the distance-energy inequality for circular paths.

We prove the distance-energy inequality along line segments $r \mapsto z + ri$ with $r \in (e^T/R, R/e^T)$. Using logarithmic polar coordinates we write

$$u(s + it) = v(z + e^{s+it}),$$

where $|s| < \ln R - T$ and $t \in (0, \pi)$. We choose $T_0 > \pi/2$. The mean value inequality implies that

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s + i\pi/2) \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \nabla u(s + i\pi/2) \right|$$

is bounded by

$$\frac{\sqrt{2C}}{\pi} \left(\int_{B_{\pi/2}(s+i\pi/2)} |\nabla u|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Notice, that $B_{\pi/2}(s + i\pi/2)$ is contained in the square

$$(-|s| - \pi/2, |s| + \pi/2) \times (0, \pi).$$

The image under the inverse conformal coordinate transformation is contained in

$$A := A(e^{-|s|-\pi/2}, e^{|s|+\pi/2}) = A(e^{\ln R - |s| - \pi/2}/R, R/e^{\ln R - |s| - \pi/2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s + i\pi/2) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{C} \sqrt{E(v; A)}.$$

Further, we are allowed to apply the concentration inequality for all $T \geq T_0 + \pi/2$. Indeed, we get $|s| < \ln R - T_0 - \pi/2$ such that

$$A \subset A(e^{T_0}/R, R/e^{T_0}).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial s}(s + i\pi/2) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{C} \sqrt{c e^{(|s| + \pi/2 - \ln R)/c} e(w)}.$$

An integration of the inequality over all $s \in (T - \ln R, \ln R - T)$ using the symmetry of the integrand on the right hand side shows that the length of the path $s \mapsto u(s + i\pi/2)$ is bounded by

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{C} \sqrt{c e(w)} 4c e^{(\pi/2 - T)/2c}.$$

Replacing T_0 by $T_0 + \pi/2$ completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.2. Soft rescaling. Consider the open disc $B_r(z_0)$ of radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{H} with center equal to $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ and a sequence $w^\nu: (B_r(z_0), B_r(z_0) \cap \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$ of holomorphic maps with bounded energy. Assume that there exists a pointed limiting holomorphic map $w: (B_r(z_0), B_r(z_0) \cap \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$ in the sense that

- w^ν converges to w in C_{loc}^∞ on $B_r(z_0) \setminus \{z_0\}$ and
- the limit

$$m_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z_0)),$$

the so-called **mass** at z_0 , exists and is greater or equal to \hbar .

The aim of this section is to describe the behavior of the sequence w^ν near the selected bubble point z_0 . We will use the so-called soft rescaling technique that was introduced in [16]. Denote by Σ the closed unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H} \cup \infty$ or $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ depending on whether z_0 is real or has positive imaginary part.

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a sequence φ^ν of Möbius transformations of Σ , a holomorphic map $v: (\mathbb{D}, \partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (K_M, K_L)$, resp., $v: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow K_M$, and a finite subset $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_\ell\}$ of bubble points on $\Sigma \setminus \infty$ such that*

- A subsequence of $v^\nu := w^\nu \circ \varphi^\nu$ converges to v in C_{loc}^∞ on $\Sigma \setminus (Z \cup \infty)$ and φ^ν converges to 0 in C_{loc}^∞ on $\Sigma \setminus \infty$.
- If v is constant, then the following **stability condition** is satisfied: Either $\#Z \geq 2$, regardless whether Σ is a disc or a sphere, or $\#Z < 2$, in which case Σ is the closed unit disc and there is precisely one bubble point in Z , which lies in the interior.
- For all bubble points z_j the limit

$$m_j := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z_j)),$$

the so-called **mass** at z_j , exists and is greater or equal to \hbar .

- Denote by

$$m_\infty := \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; \Sigma \setminus B_R(0))$$

the **mass at infinity**. Then

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu) = m_0 + m_\infty$$

and

$$m_0 = E(v) + m_1 + \dots + m_\ell$$

so that **no energy is lost**.

- The **bubbles connect**, i.e., $w(0) = v(\infty)$.

Proof. In the case the distinguished bubble point z_0 does not lie on the real axis the proof can be found in [22, Section 4.7]. In the alternative case we will translate the arguments from [6, Section 3.2.1] to the present situation. The modifications are caused by the totally real boundary condition.

We can assume that $z_0 = 0$ and $r = 2$. The sequence

$$z^\nu = x^\nu + iy^\nu$$

of points on which $|\nabla w^\nu|$ attains its maximum on $B_1(0)$ converges to the origin. For ν sufficiently large we find $\delta^\nu > 0$ such that

$$E(w^\nu; B_{\delta^\nu}(z^\nu)) = m_0 - \hbar/2.$$

By the definition of m_0 the sequence δ^ν must converge to 0. We will consider two cases. Either a subsequence of y^ν/δ^ν converges to a real number or tends to infinity.

We start with the first case. We can assume that y^ν/δ^ν converges to $\eta \geq 0$. Setting

$$\varphi^\nu(z) := x^\nu + \delta^\nu z$$

for all ν we obtain a sequence of Möbius transformations, which preserve the upper half-plane. The sequence φ^ν tends to 0 in C_{loc}^∞ . With Section 2.5 the sequence

$$v^\nu := w^\nu \circ \varphi^\nu$$

converges modulo bubbling in the complement $\mathbb{H} \setminus Z$ of finitely many bubble points $Z = \{z_1, \dots, z_\ell\}$ to v . The masses exists at all bubble points z_j . By Section 2.4 v extends to a holomorphic disc.

By construction

$$E(v^\nu; B_1(iy^\nu/\delta^\nu)) = m_0 - \hbar/2.$$

The definition of m_0 implies that for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large

$$E(v^\nu; B_{\varepsilon/\delta^\nu}(-x^\nu/\delta^\nu)) \leq m_0 + \hbar/4.$$

Because the masses are greater or equal than \hbar the bubble points z_1, \dots, z_ℓ are contained in the closure of $B_1(i\eta)$. Moreover, because the supremum of $|\nabla v^\nu|$ over $B_{1/\delta^\nu}(-x^\nu/\delta^\nu)$ is attained at iy^ν/δ^ν the point $i\eta$ is a bubble point provided Z is not empty.

We claim that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; B_R(iy^\nu/\delta^\nu)) = m_0.$$

Before we prove this we will draw its consequences. First of all it implies that for $s > 1$ the sum

$$E(v; \mathbb{H} \setminus B_s(i\eta)) + \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; B_s(iy^\nu/\delta^\nu))$$

is equal to m_0 . Cutting out small neighbourhoods of the bubble points z_1, \dots, z_ℓ the limit equals the sum of the masses. This shows that

$$E(v) + m_1 + \dots + m_\ell = m_0.$$

In particular, $i\eta$ is a bubble point if v is constant. A similar argument and conformal invariance of the energy imply

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu) = E(v) + m_1 + \dots + m_\ell + m_\infty.$$

In order to verify the stability condition observe that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; B_R(i\eta))$$

is independent of $R > 1$ provided that v is constant. Hence,

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; B_R(iy^\nu/\delta^\nu)) = m_0$$

for all $R > 1$. Because

$$E(v^\nu; B_1(iy^\nu/\delta^\nu)) = m_0 - \hbar/2$$

for all ν and in view of the above cutting argument for the bubble points, which are contained in the closure of $B_1(i\eta)$, there must be a bubble point on the round boundary arc of $B_1(i\eta)$. In other words, $\#Z \geq 2$ provided v is constant.

We prove the above claim, namely that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_{R\delta^\nu}(z^\nu)) = m_0.$$

Observe that for all $R \geq 1$

$$m_0 - \hbar/2 \leq \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_{R\delta^\nu}(z^\nu)) \leq m_0.$$

Arguing by contradiction we suppose that there exists $\mu > 0$ such that for all $R \geq 1$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_{R\delta^\nu}(z^\nu)) \leq m_0 - \mu.$$

In order to lead this to a contradiction we choose a sequence $\varepsilon^\nu \searrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_{\varepsilon^\nu}(z^\nu)) = m_0,$$

see [6, p. 234]. The rescaling argument from [6, p. 234] shows that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; A_{z^\nu}(e^{-T}\varepsilon^\nu, \varepsilon^\nu)) = 0$$

for all $T > 0$. Hence,

$$m_0 = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_{e^T\delta^\nu}(z^\nu)) + \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; A_{z^\nu}(e^T\delta^\nu, e^{-T}\varepsilon^\nu)).$$

Moreover, by the definitions of δ^ν and ε^ν we have

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; A_{z^\nu}(\delta^\nu, \varepsilon^\nu)) = \hbar/2,$$

as well as, using the contradiction assumption, that the ratio $\varepsilon^\nu/\delta^\nu$ tends to infinity. The concentration inequality of Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; A_{z^\nu}(e^T\delta^\nu, e^{-T}\varepsilon^\nu)) \leq ce^{-T/c}\hbar/2$$

for all T sufficiently large. Combining this with the above limit-decomposition of m_0 we obtain

$$m_0 \leq m_0 - \mu + ce^{-T/c}\hbar/2.$$

Letting T tend to infinity this yields the desired contradiction.

A similar argumentation to [6, Lemma 3.6] using the distance-energy inequality of Lemma 3.1 shows that the bubbles w and v connect. This finishes the proof of the first case.

For the second we assume that y^ν/δ^ν tends to infinity. We set

$$\varphi^\nu(z) := x^\nu + y^\nu z$$

and obtain a sequence of Möbius transformations preserving the upper half-plane that converges to zero in C_{loc}^∞ . We consider the sequence of holomorphic maps obtained by

$$v^\nu := w^\nu \circ \varphi^\nu.$$

We claim that v^ν converges modulo bubbling on $\mathbb{H} \setminus i$ to a constant map. Notice, that only i can be a bubble point. Indeed, we have

$$E(v^\nu; B_{\delta^\nu/y^\nu}(i)) = m_0 - \hbar/2$$

for all ν . By the definition of m_0 we find $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and ν sufficiently large such that

$$E(w^\nu; B_\varepsilon(0)) \leq m_0 + \hbar/4.$$

The term on the left equals the energy of v^ν on the distance disc

$$B_{\varepsilon/y^\nu}(-x^\nu/y^\nu).$$

Hence, for all ν sufficiently large the energy of v^ν on

$$D^\nu := B_{\varepsilon/y^\nu}(-x^\nu/y^\nu) \setminus B_{\delta^\nu/y^\nu}(i)$$

is less than or equal to $3\hbar/4$. Notice, that the real boundary points $-x^\nu/y^\nu \pm \varepsilon/y^\nu$ of $B_{\varepsilon/y^\nu}(-x^\nu/y^\nu)$ are of different sign and unbounded as x^ν and y^ν tend to zero. Hence, D^ν approaches all of $\mathbb{H} \setminus i$. Because the mass of each bubble point is greater or equal to \hbar there is no bubble point on $\mathbb{H} \setminus i$. Moreover, for all $R > 1$ we find ν such that $A_i(1/R, R)$ is contained in the domain D^ν . Hence, taking the limit for $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$E(v; A_i(1/R, R)) \leq 3\hbar/4$$

for all $R > 1$. Taking $R \rightarrow \infty$ we get that $E(v; \mathbb{H})$ is bounded by $3\hbar/4$. The mean value inequality as in Section 2.2 implies that v must be constant. Finally, suppose that v^ν converges in C_{loc}^∞ on the whole closed upper half-plane. Then the energy $E(v^\nu; B_1(i))$, which is bounded by

$$E(v^\nu; B_{\delta^\nu/y^\nu}(i)) = m_0 - \hbar/2$$

from below, would trend to zero. But this is not possible. Consequently, v^ν converges to a constant map on $\mathbb{H} \setminus i$ and i is a bubble point.

Denote the mass of v^ν at i by m_1 . Because

$$E(v^\nu; B_\varepsilon(i)) \geq E(v^\nu; B_{\delta^\nu/y^\nu}(i))$$

for sufficiently large ν we see taking the limits $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ successively that

$$m_1 \geq m_0 - \hbar/2.$$

We claim that $m_0 = m_1$ so that no energy gets lost. First of all observe that by the definition of m_0

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(w^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z^\nu)) = m_0.$$

With the decomposition

$$E(v^\nu; B_\varepsilon(i)) + E(v^\nu; A_i(\varepsilon, \varepsilon/y^\nu))$$

of

$$E(v^\nu; B_{\varepsilon/y^\nu}(i)) = E(w^\nu; B_\varepsilon(z^\nu))$$

this implies that

$$m_0 = m_1 + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; A_i(\varepsilon, \varepsilon/y^\nu)).$$

In particular, because $m_0 - m_1 \leq \hbar/2$ the double limes term is bounded by $\hbar/2$. Hence, there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1/4)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 2\varepsilon_0]$ there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ we have $4 \leq 1/y^\nu$ and

$$E(v^\nu; A_i(\varepsilon, \varepsilon/y^\nu)) \leq 2\hbar/3.$$

Notice, that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; A_i(\varepsilon, 1)) = 0$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, because v^ν converges uniformly on $A_i(\varepsilon, 1)$ to the constant map. This implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; A_i(\varepsilon, 1)) = 0.$$

In other words it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)) = 0.$$

In order to do so observe that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 2\varepsilon_0]$ the annuli $A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)$ support energy $E(v^\nu; \cdot) \leq 2\hbar/3$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and that the distance discs $B_{r/2}(i + re^{i\theta})$ are contained in $A_i(2\varepsilon_0, 2\varepsilon_0/y^\nu)$ for all $r \in [1, \varepsilon_0/y^\nu]$. Therefore, as in the first part of the proof of the concentration inequality of Lemma 3.1, again invoking the mean value inequality and the conventions about \hbar from Section 2.3, we get

$$v^\nu(A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)) \subset \Omega_L$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, because both boundary components of $A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)$ intersect the real axis. Hence, we are allowed to work with the symplectic form $\omega_L = d\lambda$ and can proceed as in the second part of the proof of the concentration inequality. Consider the rescaled maps

$$u^\nu := v^\nu \circ \sqrt{\varepsilon/y^\nu}$$

that support energy less than \hbar on

$$A_{i\sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon}}(\sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon}, \sqrt{\varepsilon/y^\nu}).$$

With $e^{-T} = \sqrt{\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0}$ and the concentration inequality we get

$$E(u^\nu; A(e^T \sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon_0}, e^{-T}/\sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon_0})) \leq c e^{-T/c} \hbar.$$

Notice, that the dependence of the center $e^T \sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon_0}$ of the annulus on T does not affect the inequality because the proof uses logarithmic polar coordinates around $e^T \sqrt{y^\nu/\varepsilon_0}$. Undoing the rescaling we get that there exists a positive constant c_2 such that

$$E(v^\nu; A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)) \leq c_2 (\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{1/c}$$

for all ν sufficiently large. Consequently,

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} E(v^\nu; A_i(1, \varepsilon/y^\nu)) \leq c_2 (\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{1/c}.$$

Hence, $m_0 = m_1$ follows letting ε tend to zero. This finishes the second part because the proof that the bubbles connect is the same as in [6, Lemma 3.6]. This is due to the distance-energy inequality, see Lemma 3.1. The proof is complete. \square

4. GENERALIZATIONS

In this section we collect some generalizations of Theorem 1.1.

4.1. Variation of almost complex structures. The theorem continues to hold if we allow the almost complex structure to vary. One can consider a sequence of J^ν -holomorphic discs as well, where J^ν is a sequence of almost complex structures that converges in C_{loc}^∞ to J .

4.2. Uniqueness. The limit stable map of a Gromov converging sequence of holomorphic maps is unique up to equivalence. A description can be found in [6, Theorem 3.4]. The images of the Gromov converging holomorphic maps converge in the sense of Hausdorff to the image of the limit stable map, see [6, Proposition 3.2] or [16, Theorem A.1].

4.3. Marked stable maps. For bounded sequences of stable maps with bounded energy the notion of Gromov convergence can be defined as in [6, Section 4]. The appearance of marked points and the variation of almost complex structures are allowed. The analog of Theorem 1.1 for marked stable maps of genus zero can be obtained as in [6, Section 4] with the modifications of the present work caused by the totally real boundary condition. In other words, all results from [6] carry over to the situation described in the introduction.

Acknowledgement. We thank Peter Albers, Frédéric Bourgeois, Hansjörg Geiges, and Klaus Niederkrüger who encouraged us to write this article. We thank Urs Fuchs who had explained us his approach to Gromov compactness of his thesis [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. ALBERS, H. HOFER, On the Weinstein conjecture in higher dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **84** (2009), 429–436.
- [2] F. BOURGEOIS, A survey of contact homology, in *New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory*, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **49**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2009), 45–71.
- [3] YA. ELIASBERG, Filling by holomorphic discs and its applications, in: *Geometry of Low-Dimensional Manifolds, Vol. 2* (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **151**, Cambridge University Press (1990), 45–67.
- [4] YA. ELIASBERG, H. HOFER, A Hamiltonian characterization of the three-ball, *Differential Integral Equations* **7** (1994), 1303–1324.
- [5] YA. ELIASBERG, L. POLTEROVICH, Local Lagrangian 2-knots are trivial, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **144** (1996), 61–76.
- [6] U. FRAUENFELDER, Gromov convergence of pseudoholomorphic disks, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **3** (2008), 215–271.
- [7] U. FUCHS, *Pseudoholomorphic Curves in Symplectic and Contact Geometry and their Application in Dynamics*, Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University (2014).
- [8] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, Eliashberg’s proof of Cerf’s theorem, *J. Topol. Anal.* **2** (2010), 543–579.
- [9] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, How to recognise a 4-ball when you see one, *Münster J. Math.* **6** (2013), 525–554.
- [10] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, Reeb dynamics detects odd balls, to appear in: *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, see: [arXiv: 1401.3598](#).
- [11] H. GEIGES, K. ZEHMISCH, The Weinstein conjecture for connected sums, to appear in: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, see: [arXiv: 1407.4307](#).
- [12] P. GHIGGINI, K. NIEDERKRÜGER, C. WENDL, Subcritical contact surgeries and the topology of symplectic fillings, preprint (2014), [arXiv: 1408.1051v2](#).
- [13] M. GROMOV, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), 307–347.
- [14] R. HIND, Filling by holomorphic disks with weakly pseudoconvex boundary conditions, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997), 462–495.

- [15] H. HOFER, Pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations with applications to the Weinstein conjecture in dimension three, *Invent. Math.* **114** (1993), 515–563.
- [16] H. HOFER, D. SALAMON, Floer homology and Novikov rings, in: *The Floer memorial volume*, Progr. Math. **133**, Birkhäuser, Basel (1995), 483–524.
- [17] H. HOFER, K. WYSOCKI, E. ZEHNDER, A characterisation of the tight three-sphere, *Duke Math. J.* **81** (1995), 159–226.
- [18] S. IVASHKOVICH, V. SHEVCHISHIN, Reflection principle and J -complex curves with boundary on totally real immersions, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **4** (2002), 65–106.
- [19] M. KONTSEVICH, Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions, in: *The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, Progr. Math. **129**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston (1995), 335–368.
- [20] P. MASSOT, K. NIEDERKRÜGER, C. WENDL, Weak and strong fillability of higher dimensional contact manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **192** (2013), 287–373.
- [21] D. MCDUFF, D. SALAMON, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Second, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, (1998), 1–486.
- [22] D. MCDUFF AND D. SALAMON, *J-holomorphic Curves and Symplectic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **52**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2004).
- [23] K. NIEDERKRÜGER, The plastikstufe—a generalization of the overtwisted disk to higher dimensions, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **6** (2006), 2473–2508.
- [24] K. NIEDERKRÜGER, A. RECHTMAN, The Weinstein conjecture in the presence of submanifolds having a Legendrian foliation, *J. Topol. Anal.* **3** (2011), 405–421.
- [25] J. SACKS, K. UHLENBECK, The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **113** (1981), 1–24.
- [26] R. YE, Gromov’s compactness theorem for pseudo holomorphic curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), 671–694.
- [27] R. YE, Filling by holomorphic curves in symplectic 4-manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **350** (1998), 213–250.
- [28] K. ZEHMISCH, *The Eliashberg-Gromov tightness theorem*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Leipzig (2003).

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT AUGSBURG
E-mail address: Urs.Frauenfelder@math.uni-augsburg.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER
E-mail address: Kai.Zehmisch@uni-muenster.de