

Hamiltonian dynamics on convex symplectic manifolds

Urs Frauenfelder, Felix Schlenk

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Frauenfelder, Urs, and Felix Schlenk. 2007. "Hamiltonian dynamics on convex symplectic manifolds." *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 159 (1): 1–56.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11856-007-0037-3>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

licgercopyright

Dieses Dokument wird unter folgenden Bedingungen zur Verfügung gestellt: / This document is made available under these conditions:

Deutsches Urheberrecht

Weitere Informationen finden Sie unter: / For more information see:

<https://www.uni-augsburg.de/de/organisation/bibliothek/publizieren-zitieren-archivieren/publiz/>



HAMILTONIAN DYNAMICS ON CONVEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

URS FRAUENFELDER¹ AND FELIX SCHLENK²

ABSTRACT. We study the dynamics of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on convex symplectic manifolds. To this end we first establish the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphism between the Floer homology and the Morse homology of such a manifold, and then use this isomorphism to construct a biinvariant metric on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms analogous to the metrics constructed by Viterbo and Schwarz. These tools are then applied to prove and reprove results in Hamiltonian dynamics. Our applications comprise a uniform lower estimate for the slow entropy of a compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism, the existence of infinitely many nontrivial periodic points of a compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of a subcritical Stein manifold, old and new cases of the Weinstein conjecture, and, most noteworthy, new existence results for closed orbits of a charge in a magnetic field on almost all small energy levels. We shall also obtain some old and new Lagrangian intersection results. Applications to Hofer’s geometry on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms will be given in [19].

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	2
2. Convexity	10
3. Floer homology	13
4. The Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphism	17
5. The selector c	23
6. The action spectrum	28
7. The Schwarz metric	30
8. An energy-capacity inequality	33
9. Existence of a closed orbit with non-zero action	35

Date: February 1, 2008.

¹ Partially supported by the Swiss National Foundation.

² Supported by the Swiss National Foundation and the von Roll Research Foundation.

10. Infinitely many periodic points of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms	35
11. The Weinstein conjecture	37
12. Closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field	40
13. Lagrangian intersections	48
Appendix A. An extension to semi-positive convex symplectic manifolds	49
References	53

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider a $2n$ -dimensional compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with non-empty boundary ∂M . The boundary ∂M is said to be *convex* if there exists a Liouville vector field X (i.e., $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d\iota_X \omega = \omega$) which is defined near ∂M and is everywhere transverse to ∂M , pointing outwards; equivalently, there exists a 1-form α on ∂M such that $d\alpha = \omega|_{\partial M}$ and such that $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1}$ is a volume form inducing the boundary orientation of $\partial M \subset M$.

Definition (cf. [10]). (i) A compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *convex* if it has non-empty convex boundary.

(ii) A non-compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *convex* if there exists an increasing sequence of compact convex submanifolds $M_i \subset M$ exhausting M , that is,

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots \subset M_i \subset \cdots \subset M \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_i M_i = M.$$

A symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *exact* if $\omega = d\lambda$ and *weakly exact* if $[\omega]$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$.

Examples. 1. Cotangent bundles. Recall that every cotangent bundle T^*N over a smooth manifold N carries a canonical symplectic form $\omega_0 = -d\lambda$, where $\lambda = \sum p_i dq_i$ in canonical coordinates (q, p) . The R -disc bundles

$$T_R^*N = \{(q, p) \in T^*N \mid |p| \leq R\}$$

over a closed Riemannian manifold N and $T^*N = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} T_k^*N$ are examples of exact convex symplectic manifolds. A larger class of examples are

2. Stein manifolds. A *Stein manifold* is a triple (V, J, f) where (V, J) is an open complex manifold and $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function which

is exhausting and J -convex. “Exhausting” means that f is bounded from below and proper, and “ J -convex” means that the 2-form

$$\omega_f = -d(df \circ J)$$

is a J -positive symplectic form, i.e., $\omega_f(v, Jv) > 0$ for all $v \in TV \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by $g_f(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega_f(\cdot, J\cdot)$ the induced Kähler metric on V , and by X_f the gradient vector field of f with respect to g_f . We *do not* assume that X_f is complete; in particular, (V, ω_f) can have finite volume. In any case,

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_f} \omega_f = d\iota_{X_f} \omega_f = -d(g_f(X_f, J\cdot)) = -d(df \circ J) = \omega_f.$$

A *Stein domain* in (V, J, f) is a subset $V_R = \{x \in V \mid f(x) \leq R\}$ for a regular value $R \in \mathbb{R}$. In view of (1), every Stein domain is an exact compact convex symplectic manifold, and so every Stein manifold is an exact convex symplectic manifold. We refer the reader to [8, 9, 10] for foundations of the symplectic theory of Stein manifolds.

3. (i) Let N be a closed oriented surface equipped with a Riemannian metric of constant curvature -1 , and let σ be the area form on N . We endow the cotangent bundle $\pi: T^*N \rightarrow N$ with the twisted symplectic form $\omega_\sigma = \omega_0 - \pi^*\sigma$. It is shown in [41] that ω_σ is exact on $M = T^*N \setminus N$ and that M carries a vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \omega_\sigma = \omega_\sigma$ and such that X is a Liouville vector field on

$$M_i = \{(q, p) \in T^*N \mid \tfrac{1}{i} \leq |p| \leq i\}$$

whenever $i \geq 2$. Since $H_3(M_i) = \mathbb{Z}$, the manifolds M_i , $i \geq 2$, are exact compact convex symplectic manifolds which are not Stein domains, and $M = \bigcup_{i \geq 2} M_i$ is an exact convex symplectic manifold which is not Stein. Smoothing the boundaries of k -fold products $\times_k M_i$, $i \geq 2$, we obtain such examples in dimension $4k$ for all $k \geq 1$.

(ii) Symplectically blowing up a Stein manifold of dimension at least 4 at finitely many points we obtain a convex symplectic manifold which is not weakly exact.

4. A product of convex symplectic manifolds does not need to be convex. Let N be a closed orientable surface different from the torus, and let σ be a 2-form on N . As we shall see in Lemma 12.6, the cotangent bundle T^*N endowed with the symplectic form $\omega_\sigma = \omega_0 - \pi^*\sigma$ is convex. For homological reasons, the product of (T^*N, ω_σ) with the convex symplectic manifold (T^*S^1, ω_0) is, however, convex only if σ is exact. We shall be confronted with such non-convex manifolds in our search for closed trajectories of magnetic flows on surfaces. We shall therefore develop our tools for symplectic manifolds which away from

a compact subset look like a product of convex symplectic manifolds. \diamond

Throughout we identify $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Given any symplectic manifold (M, ω) , we denote by $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ the set of C^2 -smooth functions $S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose support is compact and contained in $S^1 \times (M \setminus \partial M)$. The Hamiltonian vector field of $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ defined by

$$\omega(X_{H_t}, \cdot) = dH_t(\cdot)$$

generates a flow φ_H^t . The set of time-1-maps φ_H form the group

$$\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) := \{\varphi_H \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)\}$$

of C^1 -smooth compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of (M, ω) . Many of our results will apply to those Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms whose support can be disjointed from itself. We thus make the

Definition. A compact subset A of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *displaceable* if there exists $\varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ such that $\varphi(A) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Example. Every compact subset of a symplectic manifold of the form $(M \times \mathbb{R}^2, \omega \times \omega_0)$ is displaceable.

Our main tools to study Hamiltonian systems on convex symplectic manifolds will be the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphism and the Schwarz metric. Before explaining these tools, we describe their applications. While some applications recover or generalize well-known results, many are new; all of them, however, are straightforward consequences of the main tools. In this introduction we give samples of our applications, and we refer to Sections 9 to 13 and to the appendix for stronger results.

1. A lower bound for the slow length growth

Consider a weakly exact symplectic manifold (M, ω) . For $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ the set of contractible 1-periodic orbits of φ_H^t is denoted by \mathcal{P}_H , and the symplectic action $\mathcal{A}_H(x)$ of $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ is defined as

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{A}_H(x) = - \int_{D^2} \bar{x}^* \omega - \int_0^1 H(t, x(t)) dt$$

where $\bar{x}: D^2 \rightarrow M$ is a smooth extension of x to the unit disc. Since $[\omega]|_{\pi_2(M)} = 0$, the integral $\int_{D^2} \bar{x}^* \omega$ does not depend on the choice of \bar{x} .

Theorem 1. *Assume that (M, ω) is a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold. Then for every Hamiltonian function $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ generating*

a non-identical Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ such that $A_H(x) \neq 0$.

Theorem 1 is used in [18] to give a uniform lower bound for the slow length growth of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of exact convex symplectic manifolds $(M, d\lambda)$. Fix a Riemannian metric g on such a manifold and denote by Σ the set of smooth embeddings $\sigma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$. We define the slow length growth $s(\varphi) \in [0, \infty]$ of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ by

$$s(\varphi) = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \text{length}_g(\varphi^n(\sigma))}{\log n}.$$

Notice that $s(\varphi)$ does not depend on the choice of g . We refer to [18] for motivations to consider this invariant. Following an idea of Polterovich, [55], we use Theorem 1 in [18] to show

Corollary 1. *Assume that $(M, d\lambda)$ is an exact convex symplectic manifold. Then $s(\varphi) \geq 1$ for any $\varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, d\lambda) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$.*

It in particular follows that the group $\text{Ham}_c(M, d\lambda)$ has no torsion.

2. Infinitely many periodic points of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms

We consider again a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . A *periodic point* of $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ is a point $x \in M$ such that $\varphi_H^k(x) = x$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a periodic point x is *trivial* if $\varphi_H^t(x) = x$ and $H_t(x) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$, φ_H has many trivial periodic points. The *support* $\text{supp } \varphi_H$ of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism φ_H is defined as $\bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} \text{supp } \varphi_H^t$. It has been proved by Schwarz, [59], in the context of closed weakly exact symplectic manifolds that if $\text{supp } \varphi_H$ is displaceable, then φ_H has infinitely many geometrically distinct periodic points. We shall prove an analogous result in our situation.

Theorem 2. *Consider a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . If the support of $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ is displaceable, then φ_H has infinitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits.*

Theorem 2 covers Proposition 4.13 (2) of [60] stating that *any* non-identical compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ has infinitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points,

see also Theorem 11 in Chapter 5 of [34]. In fact, this is true for all subcritical Stein manifolds.

Example (Subcritical Stein manifolds). Let (V, J, f) be a Stein manifold. If $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *Morse*-function, then $\text{index}_x(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ for all critical points x of f . A Stein manifold (V, J, f) is called *subcritical* if f is Morse and $\text{index}_x(f) < \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$ for all critical points x . The simplest example of a subcritical Stein manifold is \mathbb{C}^n endowed with its standard complex structure J and the J -convex function $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$. \diamond

It has been recently shown by Cieliebak, [3], that every subcritical Stein manifold is symplectomorphic to the product of a Stein manifold with (\mathbb{R}^2, ω_0) , and so every compact subset of a subcritical Stein manifold is displaceable. We shall not use this difficult result but will combine Theorem 2 with a result from [1] to conclude

Corollary 2. *Any compactly supported non-identical Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of a subcritical Stein manifold has infinitely many non-trivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits.*

3. The Weinstein conjecture

Another immediate application of our methods is a proof of the Weinstein conjecture for a large class of hypersurfaces of contact type. We recall the

Definition. A C^2 -smooth compact hypersurface S without boundary of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is called *of contact type* if there exists a Liouville vector field X which is defined in a neighbourhood of S and is everywhere transverse to S . A *characteristic* on S is an embedded circle in S all of whose tangent lines belong to the distinguished line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_S = \{(x, \xi) \in TS \mid \omega(\xi, \eta) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in T_x S\}.$$

Theorem 3. *Consider a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and let $S \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be a displaceable C^2 -smooth hypersurface of contact type. Then S carries a closed characteristic which is contractible in M .*

Theorem 3 implies a result first proved by Viterbo, [61].

Corollary 3. *Any C^2 -smooth hypersurface of contact type in a subcritical Stein manifold (V, J, f) carries a closed characteristic which is contractible in V .*

We shall also obtain new existence results for closed characteristics nearby a given hypersurface. Roughly speaking, our methods allow to generalize the results which can be derived from the Hofer–Zehnder capacity for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{2n} to displaceable hypersurfaces in weakly exact convex symplectic manifolds; in addition, the closed characteristics found are contractible, and their reduced actions are bounded by twice the displacement energy of the supporting hypersurface. We refer to Section 11 for the precise results.

4. Closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field

Consider a Riemannian manifold (N, g) of dimension at least 2. The motion of a unit charge on (N, g) subject to a magnetic field derived from a potential $A: N \rightarrow TN$ can be described as the Hamiltonian flow of the Hamiltonian $(p, q) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} |p - \alpha|^2$ on (T^*N, ω_0) where α is the 1-form g -dual to A and where again $\omega_0 = -d\lambda$ and $\lambda = \sum_i p_i dq_i$. The fiberwise shift $(q, p) \mapsto (q, p - \alpha(q))$ conjugates this Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian system

$$(3) \quad H: (T^*N, \omega_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} |p|^2,$$

where $\sigma = d\alpha$ and where the twisted symplectic form ω_σ is given by $\omega_\sigma = \omega_0 - \pi^*\sigma = -d(\lambda + \pi^*\alpha)$. The system (3) is a model for various other problems in classical mechanics and theoretical physics, see [45, 35].

A trajectory of a charge on (N, g) in the magnetic field σ has constant speed, and closed trajectories γ on N of speed $c > 0$ correspond to closed orbits of (3) on the energy level $E_c = \{H = c^2/2\}$. An old problem in Hamiltonian mechanics asks for closed orbits on a given energy level E_c , see [21]. We denote by $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c)$ the set of closed trajectories on E_c which are contractible in T^*N ; notice that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c)$ is the set of closed orbits on E_c which project to contractible closed trajectories on N , and that if $\dim N \geq 3$, the orbits in $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c)$ are contractible in E_c itself.

Theorem 4.A. *Consider a closed manifold N endowed with a C^2 -smooth Riemannian metric g and an exact 2-form σ which does not vanish identically. There exists $d > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c \in]0, d]$.*

“Almost all” refers to the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . The number $d > 0$ has a geometric meaning: If the Euler characteristic $\chi(N)$ vanishes, d is the supremum of the real numbers c for which the sublevel set

$$H^c = \{(q, p) \in T^*N \mid H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 \leq c\}$$

is displaceable in (T^*N, ω_σ) , and if $\chi(N)$ does not vanish, d is defined via stabilizing (3) by $(T^*S^1, dx \wedge dy) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}|y|^2$. Theorem 4.A generalizes a result of Polterovich [53] and Macarini [41] who proved $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a sequence $c \rightarrow 0$.

If the magnetic field on (N, g) cannot be derived from a potential, the motion of a unit charge in this field is still described by (3), where now σ is a closed but not exact 2-form on N , see [21] and again [45, 35] for further significance of such Hamiltonian systems. In this introduction we only consider the case that N is 2-dimensional. Since $H^2(N; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ if N is not orientable, we can assume that N is orientable.

Theorem 4.B. *Assume that N is a closed orientable surface endowed with a C^2 -smooth Riemannian metric g and a closed 2-form $\sigma \neq 0$.*

- (i) *If N is a 2-sphere, there exists $d > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a dense set of values $c \in]0, d]$.*
- (ii) *If $\text{genus}(N) \geq 2$, there exists $d > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c \in]0, d]$.*

Theorem 4.B is new in case that σ is not symplectic. We refer to Section 12.3 for a result containing Theorems 4.A and 4.B as special cases and to Section 12.4 for a comparison of ours with previous existence results for closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field.

5. Lagrangian intersections

Our methods will provide a concise proof of a Lagrangian intersection result covering some well known as well as some new cases.

Theorem 5. *Consider a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and let $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be a closed Lagrangian submanifold such that*

- (i) *the injection $L \subset M$ induces an injection $\pi_1(L) \subset \pi_1(M)$;*
- (ii) *L admits a Riemannian metric none of whose closed geodesics is contractible.*

Then L is not displaceable.

The Schwarz metric

We shall derive the above results from a biinvariant spectral metric on the group $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of a weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . We recall that a symplectomorphism ϑ of (M, ω) is a diffeomorphism of M such that $\vartheta^*\omega = \omega$. We denote by $\text{Symp}_c(M, \omega)$ the group of symplectomorphisms of (M, ω) whose support lies in $M \setminus \partial M$. We also recall that for any symplectic manifold (M, ω) , Hofer's biinvariant metric d_H on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ is defined by

$$d_H(\varphi, \psi) = d_H(\varphi\psi^{-1}, \text{id}), \quad d_H(\varphi, \text{id}) = \inf \{\|H\| \mid \varphi = \varphi_H\},$$

where

$$\|H\| = \int_0^1 \left(\sup_{x \in M} H(x, t) - \inf_{x \in M} H(x, t) \right) dt.$$

It is shown in [38] that d_H is indeed a metric.

Theorem 7. *Assume that (M, ω) is a weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifold. There exists a function $\gamma: \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ such that*

- (i) $\gamma(\varphi) = 0$ if and only if $\varphi = \text{id}$;
- (ii) $\gamma(\varphi\psi) \leq \gamma(\varphi) + \gamma(\psi)$;
- (iii) $\gamma(\vartheta\varphi\vartheta^{-1}) = \gamma(\varphi)$ for all $\vartheta \in \text{Symp}_c(M, \omega)$;
- (iv) $\gamma(\varphi) = \gamma(\varphi^{-1})$;
- (v) $\gamma(\varphi) \leq d_H(\varphi, \text{id})$.

In other words, γ is a symmetric invariant norm on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$. The Schwarz metric d_S defined by

$$d_S(\varphi, \psi) = \gamma(\varphi\psi^{-1})$$

is thus a biinvariant metric on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ such that $d_S \leq d_H$. While the Hofer metric is a Finsler metric, the Schwarz metric is a spectral metric in the sense that $\gamma(\varphi)$ is the difference of two action values of φ . This property and the property that $\gamma(\varphi_H) \leq 2\gamma(\psi)$ if ψ displaces the support of φ_H are crucial for our applications. Biinvariant metrics on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ with these properties have been constructed for $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ and for cotangent bundles over closed bases by Viterbo [60] and for closed symplectic manifolds by Schwarz [59] and Oh [48]. We shall compare d_S with Viterbo's and Hofer's metric in [19]. There, we shall also use the tools of this paper to study Hofer's geometry on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$.

The main ingredient in the construction of the Schwarz metric is the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphism (PSS isomorphism, for

short) between the Floer homology and the Morse homology of a weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifold. Floer homology for weakly exact *closed* symplectic manifolds (M, ω) has been defined in Floer's seminal work [11, 12, 13, 14]. It is already shown there that the Floer homology of (M, ω) is isomorphic to the Morse homology of M and thus to the ordinary homology of M by considering time independent Hamiltonian functions. An alternative construction of this isomorphism was described in [51]; it goes under the name PSS isomorphism. In the following three sections we establish the PSS isomorphism for weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifolds (M, ω) . In Sections 5 to 7 we follow [59] and use our PSS isomorphism to construct the Schwarz metric d_S on the group $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$. In Section 8 we show that the π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity is bounded from above by twice the displacement energy. The last five sections contain our applications. In the appendix our tools and their applications are extended to all convex symplectic manifolds (M, ω) for which the first Chern class $c_1(\omega)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$.

Acknowledgements. We cordially thank Viktor Ginzburg for introducing us to the symplectic geometry of magnetic flows. We also thank Yuri Chekanov, Kai Cieliebak, Urs Lang, Leonid Polterovich, Dietmar Salamon, Matthias Schwarz, Kris Wysocky and Edi Zehnder for valuable discussions. This work was done during the second authors stay at FIM of ETH Zürich in the winter term 2002/2003. He wishes to thank FIM for its kind hospitality.

2. CONVEXITY

We consider a weakly exact compact convex $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Choose a smooth vector field X on M which points outwards along ∂M and is such that $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d\iota_X \omega = \omega$ near ∂M . For the 1-form $\alpha := (\iota_X \omega)|_{\partial M}$ we then have $d\alpha = \omega|_{\partial M}$ and $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1}$ is a volume form inducing the boundary orientation of ∂M . Using X we can symplectically identify a neighbourhood of ∂M with

$$(\partial M \times (-2\epsilon, 0], d(e^r \alpha))$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Here, we used coordinates (x, r) on $\partial M \times (-2\epsilon, 0]$, and in these coordinates, $X(x, r) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ on $\partial M \times (-2\epsilon, 0]$. We can thus view M as a compact subset of the non-compact symplectic manifold

$(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega})$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{M} &= M \cup_{\partial M \times \{0\}} \partial M \times [0, \infty), \\ \widehat{\omega} &= \begin{cases} \omega & \text{on } M, \\ d(e^r \alpha) & \text{on } \partial M \times (-2\epsilon, \infty), \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

and X smoothly extends to \widehat{M} by

$$\widehat{X}(x, r) := \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad (x, r) \in \partial M \times (-2\epsilon, \infty).$$

We denote the open “proboscis” $\partial M \times (-\epsilon, \infty)$ by P_ϵ . Let φ_t be the flow of \widehat{X} . Then $\varphi_r(x, 0) = (x, r)$ for $(x, r) \in P_\epsilon$. We recall that an almost complex structure \widehat{J} on \widehat{M} is called $\widehat{\omega}$ -compatible if

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \equiv g_{\widehat{J}}(\cdot, \cdot) := \widehat{\omega}(\cdot, \widehat{J} \cdot)$$

defines a Riemannian metric on \widehat{M} . Following [2] we choose an $\widehat{\omega}$ -compatible almost complex structure \widehat{J} on \widehat{M} such that

$$(4) \quad \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)v) = 0, \quad x \in \partial M, v \in T_x \partial M,$$

$$(5) \quad \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)\widehat{X}(x)) = 1, \quad x \in \partial M,$$

$$(6) \quad d\varphi_r(x)\widehat{J}(x) = \widehat{J}(x, r)d\varphi_r(x), \quad (x, r) \in P_\epsilon,$$

We define $f \in C^\infty(P_\epsilon)$ by

$$(7) \quad f(x, r) := e^r, \quad (x, r) \in P_\epsilon.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}}\widehat{\omega} = \widehat{\omega}$ on $\partial M \times (-2\epsilon, \infty)$, we have $\varphi_r^*\widehat{\omega} = e^r\widehat{\omega}$ on P_ϵ for all $r > -\epsilon$. This, (5) and (6) imply that

$$(8) \quad \langle \widehat{X}(p), \widehat{X}(p) \rangle = f(p), \quad p \in P_\epsilon.$$

Together with (4) this implies that

$$(9) \quad \nabla f(p) = \widehat{X}(p), \quad p \in P_\epsilon,$$

where ∇ is the gradient with respect to the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We shall need the following theorem of Viterbo, [60].

Theorem 2.1. *For $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ define $H \in C^\infty(P_\epsilon)$ by*

$$H(p) = h(f(p)), \quad p \in P_\epsilon.$$

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C} and let $\widehat{J} \in \Gamma(\widehat{M} \times \Omega, \text{End}(T\widehat{M}))$ be a smooth section such that $\widehat{J}_z := \widehat{J}(\cdot, z)$ is an $\widehat{\omega}$ -compatible almost complex structure satisfying (4), (5) and (6). If $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_\epsilon)$ is a solution of

Floer's equation

$$(10) \quad \partial_s u(z) + \widehat{J}(u(z), z) \partial_t u(z) = \nabla H(u(z)), \quad z = s + it \in \Omega,$$

then

$$(11) \quad \Delta(f(u)) = \langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle + h''(f(u)) \cdot \partial_s(f(u)) \cdot f(u).$$

Proof. We abbreviate $d^c(f(u)) := d(f(u)) \circ i = \partial_t(f(u)) ds - \partial_s(f(u)) dt$. Then

$$(12) \quad -dd^c(f(u)) = \Delta(f(u)) ds \wedge dt.$$

In view of the identities (8), (9) and (10) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} -d^c(f(u)) &= -(df(u) \partial_t u) ds + (df(u) \partial_s u) dt \\ &= -\left(df(u) (\widehat{J}(u, z) \partial_t u)\right) dt - \left(df(u) (\widehat{J}(u, z) \partial_s u)\right) ds \\ &\quad + \left(df(u) (\partial_s u + \widehat{J}(u, z) \partial_t u)\right) dt + \left(df(u) (\widehat{J}(u, z) \partial_s u - \partial_t u)\right) ds \\ &= \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}(u), \partial_t u) dt + \widehat{\omega}(\widehat{X}(u), \partial_s u) ds \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla f(u), \nabla H(u) \rangle dt + \langle \nabla f(u), \widehat{J}(u, z) \nabla H(u) \rangle ds \\ &= u^* \iota_{\widehat{X}} \widehat{\omega} + \langle \widehat{X}(u), h'(f(u)) \widehat{X}(u) \rangle dt + 0 \\ &= u^* \iota_{\widehat{X}} \widehat{\omega} + h'(f(u)) f(u) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using $du^* \iota_{\widehat{X}} \widehat{\omega} = \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}} \widehat{\omega} = \widehat{\omega}$ and again (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} du^* \iota_{\widehat{X}} \widehat{\omega} = u^* \widehat{\omega} &= \widehat{\omega} \left(\partial_s u, \widehat{J}(u, z) \partial_s u - \widehat{J}(u, z) \nabla H(u) \right) ds \wedge dt \\ &= (\langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle - dH(u) \partial_s u) ds \wedge dt \\ &= (\langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle - \partial_s(h(f(u))) ds \wedge dt. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -dd^c(f(u)) &= (\langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle - \partial_s(h(f(u))) + \partial_s(h'(f(u))f(u))) ds \wedge dt \\ &= (\langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle + h''(f(u)) \cdot \partial_s f(u) \cdot f(u)) ds \wedge dt, \end{aligned}$$

and so Theorem 2.1 follows in view of (12). \square

Remark 2.2 (Time-dependent Hamiltonian). Repeating the calculations in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one shows the following more general result. Let $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and define $H \in C^\infty(P_\epsilon \times \mathbb{R})$ by

$$H(p, s) = h(f(p), s), \quad p \in P_\epsilon, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C} and if $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_\epsilon)$ is a solution of the time-dependent Floer equation

$$(14) \quad \partial_s u(z) + \widehat{J}(u(z), z) \partial_t u(z) = \nabla H(u(z), s), \quad z = s + it \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\Delta(f(u)) = \langle \partial_s u, \partial_s u \rangle + \partial_1^2 h(f(u), s) \cdot \partial_s f(u) \cdot f(u) + \partial_1 \partial_2 h(f(u), s) \cdot f(u).$$

In the following corollary we continue the notation of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3 (Maximum Principle). *Assume that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_\epsilon)$ and that one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i) *u is a solution of Floer's equation (10);*
- (ii) *u is a solution of the time-dependent Floer equation (14) and $\partial_1 \partial_2 h \geq 0$.*

If $f \circ u$ attains its maximum on Ω , then $f \circ u$ is constant.

Proof. Assume that u solves (10). We set

$$b(z) = -h''(f(u(z))) \cdot f(u(z)).$$

The operator L on $C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $L(v) = \Delta v + b(z) \partial_s v$ is uniformly elliptic on relatively compact domains in Ω , and according to Theorem 2.1, $L(f \circ u) \geq 0$. If $f \circ u$ attains its maximum on Ω , the strong Maximum Principle [20, Theorem 3.5] thus implies that $f \circ u$ is constant. The other claim follows similarly from Remark 2.2 and the second part of [20, Theorem 3.5]. \square

3. FLOER HOMOLOGY

The Floer chain complex of a Hamiltonian function is generated by the 1-periodic orbits of its Hamiltonian flow, and the boundary operator is defined by counting perturbed pseudo-holomorphic cylinders which converge at both ends to generators of the chain complex. In the presence of a contact-type boundary the Hamiltonian has to be chosen appropriately near the boundary in order to insure that the Floer cylinders stay in the interior of the manifold.

The Reeb vector field R of α on ∂M is defined by

$$(15) \quad \omega_x(v, R) = 0 \text{ and } \omega_x(X, R) = 1, \quad x \in \partial M, \ v \in T_x \partial M.$$

By (4) and (5) we have $R = \widehat{J}X|_{\partial M}$. This and (9) imply that for $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the Hamilton equation $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ of $H = h \circ f: P_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\omega(X_H(x), \cdot) = dH(x)$ restricts on ∂M to

$$(16) \quad \dot{x}(t) = h'(1) R(x(t)).$$

Define $\kappa \in (0, \infty]$ by

$$\kappa := \inf \{c > 0 \mid \dot{x}(t) = c R(x(t)) \text{ has a 1-periodic orbit}\}.$$

We denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ the set of smooth functions $\widehat{H} \in C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M})$ for which there exists $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq h'(\rho) < \kappa$ for all $\rho \geq 1$ and $\widehat{H}|_{S^1 \times \partial M \times [0, \infty)} = h \circ f$; with this choice of h the restriction of the flow $\varphi_{\widehat{H}}^t$ of $\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ to $\partial M \times [0, \infty)$ has no 1-periodic solutions. We introduce the set

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ H \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M) \mid H = \widehat{H}|_{S^1 \times M} \text{ for some } \widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}} \right\}$$

of *admissible Hamiltonian functions* on M . Moreover, we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ the set of smooth sections $\widehat{J} \in \Gamma(S^1 \times \widehat{M}, \text{End}(T\widehat{M}))$ such that for every $t \in S^1$ the section $\widehat{J}_t := \widehat{J}(t, \cdot)$ is an $\widehat{\omega}$ -compatible almost complex structure which on $\partial M \times [0, \infty)$ is independent of the t -variable and satisfies (4), (5) and (6); and we introduce the set

$$\mathcal{J} := \left\{ J \in \Gamma(S^1 \times M, \text{End}(TM)) \mid J = \widehat{J}|_{S^1 \times M} \text{ for some } \widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}} \right\}$$

of *admissible almost complex structures* on TM . A well-known argument shows that the space $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ is connected, see [2, Remark 4.1.2]. Since the restriction map $\widehat{\mathcal{J}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is continuous, \mathcal{J} is also connected.

For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ let \mathcal{P}_H be the set of contractible 1-periodic orbits of the Hamiltonian flow of H . By “generic” we shall mean “belonging to a countable intersection of sets which are open and dense in the C^∞ -topology”. For generic $H \in \mathcal{H}$ for no $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ the value 1 is a Floquet multiplier of x , i.e.,

$$(17) \quad \det(\text{id} - d\varphi_H^1(x(0))) \neq 0.$$

Since M is compact, \mathcal{P}_H is then a finite set. An admissible H satisfying (17) for all $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ is called *regular*, and the set of regular admissible Hamiltonians is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{H}$. For $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ we define $CF(M; H)$ to be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space consisting of formal sums

$$\xi = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}_H} \xi_x x, \quad \xi_x \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

We assume first that the first Chern class $c_1 = c_1(\omega) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ of the bundle (TM, J) vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$. In this case, the Conley–Zehnder index $\mu(x)$ of $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ is well-defined, see [57]. We normalize μ in such a way that for C^2 -small time-independent Hamiltonians,

$$\mu(x) = 2n - \text{ind}_H(x)$$

for each critical point $x \in \text{Crit}(H)$; here, $\text{ind}_H(x)$ is the Morse index of H at x . The Conley–Zehnder index turns $CF(M; H)$ into the graded \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $CF_*(M; H)$. For $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_H$ let $\mathcal{M}(x, y)$ be the moduli

space of Floer connecting orbits from x to y , i.e., $\mathcal{M}(x, y)$ is the set of solutions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$ of the problem

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_s u + J_t(u)(\partial_t u - X_{H_t}(u)) = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) = x(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = y(t). \end{cases}$$

For later use we notice that by a standard computation,

$$(19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} |\partial_s u|^2 ds dt = \mathcal{A}_H(x) - \mathcal{A}_H(y) \geq 0, \quad u \in \mathcal{M}(x, y).$$

For generic $J \in \mathcal{J}$ the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(x, y)$ are smooth manifolds of dimension $\mu(x) - \mu(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_H$, see [57]. Such a J is called *H-regular*, and a pair (H, J) is called regular if H is regular and J is *H-regular*. The group \mathbb{R} acts on $\mathcal{M}(x, y)$ by translation, $u(s, t) \mapsto u(s + \tau, t)$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $[\omega]$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$, there is no bubbling off of pseudo holomorphic spheres. It thus follows from Corollary 2.3 that if $\mu(x) - \mu(y) = 1$, then the quotient $\mathcal{M}(x, y)/\mathbb{R}$ is a compact zero-dimensional manifold and hence a finite set. Set

$$n(x, y) := \# \{ \mathcal{M}(x, y)/\mathbb{R} \} \pmod{2}.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the Floer boundary operator $\partial_k: CF_k(M; H) \rightarrow CF_{k-1}(M; H)$ as the linear extension of

$$\partial_k x = \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathcal{P}_H \\ \mu(y) = k-1}} n(x, y) y$$

where $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ and $\mu(x) = k$. Proceeding as in [12, 58] one shows that $\partial^2 = 0$. The complex $(CF_*(M; H), \partial_*)$ is called the Floer chain complex. Its homology

$$HF_k(M; H, J) := \frac{\ker \partial_k}{\text{im } \partial_{k+1}}$$

is a graded \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space which does not depend on the choice of a regular pair (H, J) , see again [12, 58], and so we can define the Floer homology $HF_*(M)$ by

$$HF_*(M) := HF_*(M; H, J)$$

for any regular pair (H, J) .

In case that $c_1(\omega)$ does not vanish, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_H$ are still smooth manifolds for generic $J \in \mathcal{J}$, but now may contain connected components of different dimensions. We denote by $\mathcal{M}^1(x, y)$ the union of the 1-dimensional connected components of

$\mathcal{M}(x, y)$. Since $[\omega]$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$, the space $\mathcal{M}^1(x, y)/\mathbb{R}$ is still compact, and we can define

$$n(x, y) := \#(\mathcal{M}^1(x, y)/\mathbb{R}) \mod 2.$$

Proceeding as above we define an ungraded Floer homology whose chain complex is generated again by the set \mathcal{P}_H and whose boundary operator is the linear extension of

$$\partial x = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_H} n(x, y) y$$

where $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$. We shall explain in the appendix how Novikov rings can be used to define a graded Floer homology even if c_1 does not vanish on $\pi_2(M)$.

Products. As we pointed out in Example 4 of the introduction, the product of convex manifolds does not need to be convex. Nevertheless, the Floer homology of a product of weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifolds can still be defined. In fact, Floer homology can be defined for a yet larger class of compact symplectic manifolds with corners.

Definition 3.1. A compact symplectic manifold with corners (M, ω) is *split-convex* if there exist compact convex symplectic manifolds (M_j, ω_j) , $j = 1, \dots, k$, and a compact subset $K \subset M \setminus \partial M$ such that $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ and

$$(M \setminus K, \omega) = ((M_1 \times \dots \times M_k) \setminus K, \omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_k).$$

Consider a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and let (M_j, ω_j) , $j = 1, \dots, k$, be as in Definition 3.1. For notational convenience, we assume $k = 2$. We specify the set of admissible Hamiltonian functions $\mathcal{H} \subset C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ and the set of admissible almost complex structures $\mathcal{J} \subset \Gamma(S^1 \times M, \text{End}(TM))$ as follows. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\widehat{M}_i = M_i \cup_{\partial M_i \times \{0\}} \partial M_i \times [0, \infty)$ be the completion of M_i endowed with the symplectic form $\widehat{\omega}_i$ as in Section 2, and let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_i \subset C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M}_i)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i \subset \Gamma(S^1 \times \widehat{M}_i, \text{End}(T\widehat{M}_i))$ be the set of admissible functions and admissible almost complex structures on \widehat{M}_i . We define the completion $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega})$ of (M, ω) as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M} &= \widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2, \\ \widehat{\omega} &= \begin{cases} \omega & \text{on } M, \\ \widehat{\omega}_1 \oplus \widehat{\omega}_2 & \text{on } (\widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2) \setminus (M_1 \times M_2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We first define the set of admissible functions $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \subset C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M})$ as the set of functions $\widehat{H} \in C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M})$ for which there exist $\widehat{H}_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\widehat{H}|_{(\widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2) \setminus (M_1 \times M_2)} = (\widehat{H}_1 + \widehat{H}_2)|_{(\widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2) \setminus (M_1 \times M_2)};$$

and we then define the set \mathcal{H} of admissible functions on M as the set of functions $H \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ for which there exists $\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$H = \widehat{H}|_M.$$

Similarly, we first define the set of admissible almost complex structures $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ as the set of $\widehat{J} \in \Gamma(S^1 \times \widehat{M}, \text{End}(T\widehat{M}))$ for which there exist admissible almost complex structures $\widehat{J}_i \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\widehat{J}|_{(\widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2) \setminus (M_1 \times M_2)} = (\widehat{J}_1 \times \widehat{J}_2)|_{(\widehat{M}_1 \times \widehat{M}_2) \setminus (M_1 \times M_2)};$$

and we then define the set \mathcal{J} of admissible almost complex structures on M as the set of almost complex structures $J \in \Gamma(S^1 \times M, \text{End}(TM))$ for which there exists $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ such that

$$J = \widehat{J}|_M.$$

Using the maximum principle Corollary 2.3 factorwise we define the Floer homology $HF(M)$ as above. If $c_1(\omega)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$, then $HF(M)$ is graded by the Conley–Zehnder index.

4. THE PIUNIKHIN–SALAMON–SCHWARZ ISOMORPHISM

We assume again that (M, ω) is a weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifold. We first assume that $c_1(\omega)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$. Let $F \in C^\infty(M)$ be an *admissible Morse function*, i.e., F is a smooth Morse function for which there exists $\widehat{F} \in C^\infty(\widehat{M})$ such that

$$\widehat{F}|_M = F \text{ and } \widehat{F}(x, r) = e^{-r}, \quad x \in \partial M, \quad r \in [0, \infty).$$

The Morse chain complex $CM_*(M; F)$ of F is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space generated by the critical points of F and graded by the Morse index, and the boundary operator on $CM_*(M; F)$ is defined by counting flow lines of the negative gradient flow of F with respect to a generic Riemannian metric between critical points of index difference 1. The homology

$$HM_*(M) = HM_*(M; F)$$

of $CM_*(M; F)$ does not depend on the choice of F , cf. [58]. The Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz maps will give us an explicit isomorphism

between the Floer homology $HF_*(M)$ of (M, ω) and the Morse homology $HM_*(M)$ of M .

Choose $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ and an admissible Morse function F . We first construct the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz map

$$\phi: CM_*(M; F) \rightarrow CF_*(M; H).$$

By definition of \mathcal{H} , there exists $\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ such that $H = \widehat{H}|_{S^1 \times M}$ and $\widehat{H}|_{S^1 \times \partial M \times [0, \infty)} = h \circ f$ for some $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0 \leq h'(1) < \kappa$. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ choose a smooth family $h_s \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(h1)} \quad & h_s = 0, \quad s \leq 0, \\ \text{(h2)} \quad & \partial_s h'_s \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \text{(h3)} \quad & h_s = h, \quad s \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and then choose a smooth family $\widehat{H}_s \in C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(H1)} \quad & \widehat{H}_s = 0, \quad s \leq 0, \\ \text{(H2)} \quad & \widehat{H}_s|_{S^1 \times \partial M \times [0, \infty)} = h_s \circ f, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1, \\ \text{(H3)} \quad & \widehat{H}_s = \widehat{H}, \quad s \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We finally define the smooth family $H_s \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ by

$$H_s := \widehat{H}_s|_{S^1 \times M}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let J^- be an H -regular admissible almost complex structure and J^+ be an arbitrary admissible almost complex structure. Consider the space $\mathcal{J}(J^-, J^+)$ of families of admissible almost complex structures $J_s \in \Gamma(S^1 \times M, \text{End}(TM))$ for which there exists $s_0 = s_0(\widehat{J}_s) > 0$ such that $J_s = J^-$ for $s \leq -s_0$ and $J_s = J^+$ for $s \geq s_0$. For a generic element $J_s \in \mathcal{J}(J^-, J^+)$ the moduli space of the problem*

$$(20) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M), \\ \partial_s u + J_{s,t}(u) (\partial_t u - X_{H_{s,t}}(u)) = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} |\partial_s u|^2 < \infty, \end{cases}$$

is a smooth finite dimensional manifold. Here, $J_{s,t} = J_s(\cdot, t)$ and $H_{s,t} = H_s(\cdot, t)$.

Proof. We denote the moduli space of solutions of problem (20) by \mathcal{M}_0 . Choose $\widehat{H}_s \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\widehat{J}_s \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ satisfying $\widehat{H}_s|_M = H_s$ and $\widehat{J}_s|_M = J_s$. Instead of \mathcal{M}_0 we first consider the moduli space \mathcal{M} of solutions of the problem

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, \widehat{M}), \\ \partial_s u + \widehat{J}_{s,t}(u) (\partial_t u - X_{\widehat{H}_{s,t}}(u)) = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} |\partial_s u|^2 < \infty. \end{cases}$$

The moduli space \mathcal{M}_0 consists of those $u \in \mathcal{M}$ whose image is entirely contained in M . We shall first prove that for generic choice of \widehat{J}_s , the moduli space \mathcal{M} is a smooth finite dimensional manifold. We shall then use convexity to prove that the image of each $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is entirely contained in M and hence $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}$ is a smooth finite dimensional manifold.

We interpret solutions of (21) as the zero set of a smooth section from a Banach manifold \mathcal{B} to a Banach bundle \mathcal{E} over \mathcal{B} . To define \mathcal{B} we first introduce certain weighted Sobolev norms. Choose a smooth cutoff function $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\beta(s) = 0$ for $s < 0$ and $\beta(s) = 1$ for $s > 1$. Choose $\delta > 0$ and define $\gamma_\delta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\gamma_\delta(s) := e^{\delta\beta(s)s}.$$

Let Ω be a domain in the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we define the $\|\cdot\|_{k,p,\delta}$ -norm for $v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ by

$$\|v\|_{k,p,\delta} := \sum_{i+j \leq k} \|\gamma_\delta \cdot \partial_s^i \partial_t^j v\|_p.$$

We introduce weighted Sobolev spaces

$$\begin{aligned} W_\delta^{k,p}(\Omega) &:= \left\{ v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \mid \|v\|_{k,p,\delta} < \infty \right\} \\ &= \left\{ v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \mid \gamma_\delta v \in W^{k,p}(\Omega) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we abbreviate

$$L_\delta^p(\Omega) := W_\delta^{0,p}(\Omega).$$

Let $p > 2$ and fix a metric g on $T\widehat{M}$. The Banach manifold $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_\delta^{1,p}(\widehat{M})$ consists of $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ -maps u from the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S^1$ to \widehat{M} which satisfy the conditions

(B1) There exists a point $m \in \widehat{M}$, a real number $T_1 < 0$, and $v_1 \in W_\delta^{1,p}((-\infty, T_1) \times S^1, T_p \widehat{M})$ such that

$$u(s, t) = \exp_m(v_1(s, t)), \quad s < T_1.$$

(B2) There exists $x \in \mathcal{P}_H \subset C^\infty(S^1, \widehat{M})$, a real number $T_2 > 0$, and $v_2 \in W_\delta^{1,p}((T_2, \infty) \times S^1, x^*TM)$ such that

$$u(s, t) = \exp_{x(t)}(v_2(s, t)), \quad s > T_2.$$

Here, the exponential map is taken with respect to g_J . Since \widehat{M} has no boundary, \mathcal{B} is a Banach manifold without boundary. Note that every solution of (21) lies in \mathcal{B} . Indeed, the finite energy assumption in (21) guarantees that solutions of (21) converge exponentially fast at both

ends, see [17, Section 3.7]. Let \mathcal{E} be the Banach bundle over \mathcal{B} whose fiber over $u \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_u := L_\delta^p(u^*TM).$$

We choose $\widehat{J}^-, \widehat{J}^+ \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}$ such that $J^- = \widehat{J}^-|_M$ and $J^+ = \widehat{J}^+|_M$. For each smooth family \widehat{J}_s for which there exists an $s_0 > 0$ such that $\widehat{J}_s = \widehat{J}^-$ for $s \leq -s_0$ and $\widehat{J}_s = \widehat{J}^+$ for $s \geq s_0$ we define the section $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{J_s}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(u) := \partial_s u + \widehat{J}_{s,t}(u)(\partial_t u - X_{\widehat{H}_{s,t}}(u)).$$

If δ is chosen small enough, then the vertical differential $D\mathcal{F}$ is a Fredholm operator, see for example [17, Section 4.3]. One can prove that for generic choice of J_s the section \mathcal{F}_{J_s} intersects the zero section transversally, see [16, Section 5] and [17, Section 4.5]. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{M}_{J_s} := \mathcal{F}_{J_s}^{-1}(0)$$

is a smooth finite dimensional manifold for generic J_s .

It remains to show that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$, i.e., the image of every $u \in \mathcal{M}$ is contained in M . We first claim that $m := \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) \in M$. To see this, assume that $m \in \widehat{M} \setminus M$. Define $v: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ by the conditions

$$v(e^{2\pi(s+it)}) = u(s, t), \quad v(0) = m.$$

Since every admissible almost complex structure J restricted to $\widehat{M} \setminus M$ is independent of the t -variable, v is a pseudo holomorphic map in a neighbourhood of 0. It follows from assertion (i) in Corollary 2.3 that $f \circ v$ cannot have a local maximum at 0, unless v is constant. In view of condition (h2) it follows from assertion (ii) in Corollary 2.3 that for every $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ for which $u(s, t) \in \widehat{M} \setminus M$, the function $f \circ u$, which is well-defined in a neighbourhood of (s, t) , cannot have a local maximum at (s, t) . But this contradicts the fact that $u(s, t)$ converges as $s \rightarrow \infty$ to a periodic orbit which is entirely contained in M . Hence $m = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) \in M$. Now a similar reasoning as above, which uses again Corollary 2.3, shows that the whole image of u lies in M . We have shown that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0$, and so Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

Define the evaluation map $\text{ev}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M$ by

$$\text{ev}(u) := \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t).$$

Combining the techniques in [56, Section 2.7] and [17, Appendix C.2] one sees that the limit on the right-hand side exists and that for generic J_s the evaluation map ev is transverse to every unstable manifold of the Morse function $F \in C^\infty(M)$. Denote by $\text{Crit}(F)$ the set of critical

points of F and by $\text{ind}(c)$ the Morse index of $c \in \text{Crit}(F)$. Morse flow lines $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ are solutions of the ordinary differential equation

$$(22) \quad \dot{\gamma}(s) = -\nabla F(\gamma(s))$$

where the gradient is taken with respect to a generic metric g on M . For generators $c \in \text{Crit}(F) \subset M$ of the Morse chain complex and $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ of the Floer chain complex, let $\mathcal{M}(c, x)$ be the moduli space of pairs (γ, u) such that $\gamma: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow M$ solves (22), u solves (20), and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \gamma(s) = c, \quad \gamma(0) = \text{ev}(u), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = x(t).$$

If $\text{ind}(c) = \mu(x)$, then $\mathcal{M}(c, x)$ is a compact zero-dimensional manifold, see [51]. We can thus set

$$n(c, x) := \#\mathcal{M}(c, x) \pmod{2}.$$

The Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz map $\phi: CM_*(M; F) \rightarrow CF_*(M; H)$ is defined as the linear extension of

$$\phi(c) = \sum_{\substack{c \in \mathcal{P}_H \\ \text{ind}(c) = \mu(x)}} n(c, x) x, \quad c \in \text{Crit}(F).$$

By the usual gluing and compactness arguments one proves that ϕ intertwines the boundary operators of the Morse complex and the Floer complex and hence induces a homomorphism

$$\Phi: HM_*(M) \rightarrow HF_*(M).$$

To prove that Φ is an isomorphism we construct its inverse. We first define the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz map $\psi: CF_*(M; H) \rightarrow CM_*(M; F)$. Let

$$U := \bigcup_{c \in \text{Crit}(F)} W_F^s(c)$$

be the union of the stable manifolds of F . Since F is admissible, the stable manifolds of the critical points of F are entirely contained in the interior of M , i.e.,

$$\overline{U} \subset M \setminus \partial M.$$

For an open neighbourhood V of \overline{U} in $M \setminus \partial M$ choose a smooth family of admissible Hamiltonian functions H_s for which there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$H_s = H \text{ if } s \leq -s_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_s|_V = 0 \text{ if } s \geq s_0.$$

Moreover, we assume that the Hamiltonian functions H_s are the restrictions of Hamiltonian functions $\widehat{H}_s \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$, for which there exists

$h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ independent of the s -variable which satisfies

$$h'(1) > 0$$

such that

$$\widehat{H}_s|_{S^1 \times \partial M \times [0, \infty)} = h \circ f.$$

Choose a smooth family $J_s \in \mathcal{J}(J^+, J^-)$ of admissible almost complex structures. For $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ and $c \in \text{Crit}(F)$ let $\mathcal{M}(x, c)$ be the moduli space of pairs (u, γ) such that u solves (20), $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ solves (22), and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) = x(t), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = \gamma(0), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(s) = c.$$

By our assumption on \widehat{H}_s it follows from assertion (i) in Corollary 2.3 that every solution of problem (21) is entirely contained in M and hence solves problem (20). Hence we can show as above that for generic choice of J_s the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(x, c)$ is a finite dimensional manifold of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(x, c) = \mu(x) - \text{ind}(c).$$

In case that $\mu(x) = \text{ind}(c)$, the moduli space is compact, and we define

$$n(x, c) := \# \{ \mathcal{M}(x, c) \} \mod 2.$$

The Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz map $\psi: CF_*(M; H) \rightarrow CM_*(M; F)$ is defined as the linear extension of

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{\substack{c \in \text{Crit}(F) \\ \mu(x) = \text{ind}(c)}} n(x, c) x, \quad x \in \mathcal{P}_H.$$

Again, ψ intertwines the boundary operators in the Floer complex and the Morse complex and hence induces a homomorphism

$$\Psi: HF_*(M) \rightarrow HM_*(M).$$

One can prove that

$$\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id},$$

cf. [51], and so Φ and Ψ are isomorphisms, called the PSS isomorphisms.

If $c_1(\omega)$ does not vanish on $\pi_2(M)$, we proceed in the same way and obtain the PSS isomorphisms between the ungraded homologies $HM(M)$ and $HF(M)$. We refer to the appendix for a version of these isomorphisms preserving a grading even if c_1 does not vanish on $\pi_2(M)$.

Products. Proceeding as above and applying the maximum principle Corollary 2.3 factorwise we construct PSS isomorphisms also for weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifolds.

5. THE SELECTOR c

Let (M, ω) be a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold. We do not assume that $c_1(\omega)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$ and shall work with ungraded chain complexes and homologies. For a regular admissible Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ let $CF^a(M; H)$ be the subvector space of $CF(M; H)$ consisting of those formal sums

$$\xi = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}_H} \xi_x x, \quad \xi_x \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

for which $\xi_x = 0$ if $\mathcal{A}_H(x) > a$. In view of (19), the Floer boundary operator ∂ preserves $CF^a(M; H)$ and thus induces a boundary operator ∂^a on the quotient $CF(M; H)/CF^a(M; H)$. We denote the homology of the resulting complex by $HF^a(M; H)$. Since the projection $CF(M; H) \rightarrow CF(M; H)/CF^a(M; H)$ intertwines ∂ and ∂^a , it induces a map $j^a: HF(M; H) \rightarrow HF^a(M; H)$. Choose a generic admissible Morse function $F \in C^\infty(M)$ which attains its maximum in only one point, say m . Let $[\max] \in HM(M)$ be the homology class represented by m . Following [59] we define

$$(23) \quad c(H) := \inf \{a \in \mathbb{R} \mid j^a(\Phi([\max])) = 0\}$$

where $\Phi: HM(M) \rightarrow HF(M; H)$ is the PSS isomorphism. Using the natural isomorphism $HF(M; H) \cong HF(M; K)$ for $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ one can show that

$$(24) \quad |c(H) - c(K)| \leq \|H - K\| \quad \text{for all } H, K \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}},$$

see [59, Section 2]. In particular, c is C^0 -continuous on \mathcal{H}_{reg} . Let $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ be the set of C^2 -smooth functions $S^1 \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose support is contained in $S^1 \times (M \setminus \partial M)$, and let $\mathcal{H}_c^\infty(M)$ be the set of C^∞ -smooth functions in $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$. Since \mathcal{H}_{reg} is C^∞ -dense in \mathcal{H} and since $\mathcal{H}_c^\infty(M)$ is C^2 -dense in $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$, we can first C^∞ -continuously extend c to a map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and can then C^2 -continuously extend its restriction to $\mathcal{H}_c^\infty(M)$ to a map $\mathcal{H}_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which we still denote by c . By (24),

$$(25) \quad |c(H) - c(K)| \leq \|H - K\| \quad \text{for all } H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M).$$

For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ or $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ we denote by \mathcal{P}_H the set of contractible 1-periodic orbits of φ_H^t and by Σ_H the action spectrum

$$\Sigma_H = \{\mathcal{A}_H(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}_H\}.$$

The following property of c is basic for everything to come.

Proposition 5.1. *For every $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ it holds that $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$.*

Proof. For $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ it follows from definition (23) that $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$. For $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ we choose a sequence H_n , $n \geq 1$, in \mathcal{H}_{reg} converging to H in C^2 and choose $x_n \in \mathcal{P}_{H_n}$ such that $c(H_n) = \mathcal{A}_{H_n}(x_n)$. Using that M is compact we find a subsequence n_j , $j \geq 1$, such that $x_{n_j}(0) \rightarrow x_0 \in M$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since the Hamiltonians H_{n_j} converge to H in C^2 , it follows that $x(t) := \varphi_H^t(x_0)$ belongs to \mathcal{P}_H , and together with (25),

$$c(H) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} c(H_{n_j}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_{H_{n_j}}(x_{n_j}) = \mathcal{A}_H(x).$$

Therefore, $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$. \square

The set $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ forms a group with multiplication and inverse given by

$$H_t \diamond K_t = H_t + K_t \left((\varphi_{H_t}^t)^- \right), \quad H_t^- = -H_t \circ \varphi_{H_t}^t, \quad H_t, K_t \in \mathcal{H}_c(M).$$

It is shown in [59] that c satisfies the triangle inequality

$$(26) \quad c(H \diamond K) \leq c(H) + c(K), \quad H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M).$$

The proof of (26) uses the product structure on Floer homology given by the pair of pants product and a sharp energy estimate for the pair of pants.

In the remainder of this section we give an upper bound for $c(H)$ and compute $c(H)$ for simple Hamiltonians.

5.1. An upper bound for $c(H)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let (M, ω) be a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold, and let $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$. Then*

$$(27) \quad c(H) \leq - \int_0^1 \inf_{x \in M} H_t(x) dt.$$

In particular, $c(H) \leq \|H\|$.

Proof. Since c is C^2 -continuous, it suffices to prove (27) for $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$. Let $\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ be such that $H = \widehat{H}|_{S^1 \times M}$. We can choose the family $\widehat{H}_s \in C^\infty(S^1 \times \widehat{M})$ used in the construction of the PSS map $\phi: CM(M; F) \rightarrow CF(M; H)$ of the form $\widehat{H}_s = \beta(s) \widehat{H}$ where $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a smooth cut off function such that

$$(28) \quad \beta(s) = 0, \quad s \leq 0; \quad \beta'(s) \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}; \quad \beta(s) = 1, \quad s \geq 1.$$

In view of the construction of ϕ and the definition (23) of $c(H)$ we find $x^+ \in \mathcal{P}_H$ such that $\mathcal{A}_H(x^+) = c(H)$ and a solution $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$ of the problem (20) such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = x^+(t)$. Since the energy

of u is finite, there exists $p \in M$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) = p$. Using the Floer equation in (20) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\partial_s u|^2 ds dt \\
&= - \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \partial_s u, J_{s,t}(u) (\partial_t u - X_{H_{s,t}}(u)) \rangle ds dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} u^* \omega + \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega(X_{H_{s,t}}(u), \partial_s u) ds dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} u^* \omega + \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(H_{s,t}(u)) \partial_s u ds dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} u^* \omega + \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{ds} (H_{s,t}(u)) ds dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta'(s) H_t(u) ds dt \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R} \times S^1} u^* \omega + \int_0^1 H_t(x^+(t)) dt \\
&\quad - \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta'(s) ds \right) \left(\int_0^1 \inf_{x \in M} H_t(x) dt \right) \\
&= -\mathcal{A}_H(x^+) - \int_0^1 \inf_{x \in M} H_t(x) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Proposition 5.2 is complete. \square

5.2. A formula for $c(H)$. For a class of simple Hamiltonians the distinguished action value $c(H)$ can be explicitly computed. The following theorem will be the main ingredient in the proof of the energy-capacity inequality for the π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity given in Section 8.

Theorem 5.3. *Consider a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and assume that $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ has the following properties.*

- (H1) *There exists $p \in \text{Int}(M)$ such that $H_t(p) = \min_{x \in M} H_t(x)$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$.*
- (H2) *The Hessian $\text{Hess}(H)(p)$ of H at p with respect to an ω -compatible Riemannian metric satisfies*

$$\|\text{Hess}(H_t)(p)\| < 2\pi \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1].$$

(H3) *Every nonconstant periodic orbit of the flow φ_H^t has period greater than 1.*

Then

$$(29) \quad c(H) = - \int_0^1 H_t(p) dt.$$

Proof. It follows from assumptions (H1) and (H3) that the constant orbit p is a critical point of the action functional $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda H}$ for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and that for any other critical point y of $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda H}$,

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\lambda H}(y) \leq \mathcal{A}_{\lambda H}(p) = -\lambda \int_0^1 H_t(p) dt, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

We choose a sequence of regular admissible Hamiltonians $H_n \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ such that $H_n \rightarrow H$ in C^2 and such that each H_n satisfies (H1), (H2) and (30) for the same point p . Since c is C^2 -continuous, it suffices to prove (29) for each H_n . We fix n and from now on suppress n in the notation. We choose an admissible Morse function $F \in C^\infty(M)$ whose single maximum is attained at p , and as in the previous paragraph we choose the family $H_s \in C^\infty(S^1 \times M)$ of the form $H_s = \beta(s)H$ where $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfies (28). Let c_p be the generator in $CM(M; F)$ represented by the maximum p of F , and let x_p be the generator of $CF(M; H)$ represented by p . In view of the definition (23) of $c(H)$ and the construction of the PSS map $\phi: CM(M; F) \rightarrow CF(M; H)$, the formula (29) follows if we can show that for generic choice of a smooth family J_s of admissible almost complex structures which are independent of s for $|s| \geq s_0$ large enough, the matrix coefficient

$$n(c_p, x_p) = \#\mathcal{M}(c_p, x_p) \pmod{2}$$

is odd. Equivalently, we are left with showing

Lemma 5.4. *For generic choice of the smooth family J_s of admissible almost complex structures independent of s for $|s| \geq s_0$ large enough, the number of solutions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$ of the problem*

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_s u + J_{s,t}(u) (\partial_t u - X_{H_{s,t}}(u)) = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) \in W_F^u(p), \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) = p, \\ c_1(u) = 0, \end{cases}$$

is odd.

Proof. We choose a smooth family of smooth families of admissible almost complex structures J_s^λ , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, such that $J_s^\lambda = J^{\lambda, \pm}$

is independent of s if $|s| \geq s_0$ is large enough, and consider for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ the problem

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_s u + J_{s,t}^\lambda(u) (\partial_t u - \lambda X_{H_{s,t}}(u)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u(s, t) &\in W_F^u(p), \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u(s, t) &= p, \\ c_1(u) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Assumption (H2) guarantees that for each $\lambda \in]0, 1]$ the fixed point p of $\varphi_{\lambda H}^1$ is regular in the sense of (17), and hence for generic choice of J_s^λ the space \mathcal{M}_{tot} of pairs (u, λ) solving (32) for some $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is a smooth 1-dimensional manifold. The boundary $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{tot}}$ of its compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{tot}}$ contains an even number of elements,

$$(33) \quad \# \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{tot}} = 0 \pmod{2}.$$

For generic choice of the family J_s^λ transversality theory implies that $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{tot}}$ consists of three types of points, namely the solutions of (32) for $\lambda = 0$, the solutions of (32) for $\lambda = 1$, and broken trajectories.

1. Since $[\omega]$ vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$, the only solution of (32) for $\lambda = 0$ is the constant map $u \equiv p$.

2. The solutions of (32) for $\lambda = 1$ are the solutions of (31) which we want to count.

3. Solutions of (32) are in bijection with solutions consisting of half a Morse flow line followed by a Floer disc. For generic choice of the family J_s^λ , these solutions break off only once, either along the Morse flow line or along the Floer disc. More precisely, for generic choice of J_s^λ , there are finitely many values $0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < 1$ for which there are broken trajectories consisting either of pairs $u_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, M)$, $u_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$ which satisfy, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_s u_1 &= -\nabla F(u_1), \\ \partial_s u_2 + J_{s,t}^{\lambda_i} (\partial_t u_2 - \lambda_i X_{H_{s,t}}(u_2)) &= 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u_1(s, t) &= p, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_1(s, t) &\in \text{Crit}(F), \\ \text{ind}_F \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_1(s, t) \right) &= 2n - 1, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u_2(s, t) &\in W_F^u \left(\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_1(s) \right), \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_2(s) &= p, \\ c_1(u_2) &= 0, \end{cases}$$

or pairs $u_1, u_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times S^1, M)$ which satisfy, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(35) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_s u_1 + J_{s,t}^{\lambda_i} (\partial_t u_1 - \lambda_i X_{H_{s,t}}(u_1)) = 0, \\ \partial_s u_2 + J_t^{\lambda_i, +} (\partial_t u_2 - \lambda_i X_{H_t}(u_2)) = 0, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u_1(s, t) \in W_F^u(p), \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_1(s, t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} u_2(s, t) \in \text{Crit}(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_i H}) \setminus \{p\}, \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} u_2(s, t) = p, \\ c_1(u_1 \# u_2) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where the sphere $u_1 \# u_2$ is the connected sum of the oriented discs u_1 and u_2 . Since p is the only maximum of F , for each critical point of F of index $2n - 1$ there is an even number of Morse flow lines u_1 emanating from that point and ending in p . This shows that there is an even number of solutions of (34). Moreover, it follows from formula (19) and from assumption (30) that solutions u_2 of problem (35) have non-positive energy and hence cannot exist. We conclude that there is an even number of broken trajectories.

In view of (33) and 1. and 3. we conclude that for generic choice of J_s the number of solutions of (31) is odd. This proves Lemma 5.4, and so Theorem 5.3 is also proved. \square

6. THE ACTION SPECTRUM

Recall that the action spectrum Σ_H of $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ is the set

$$\Sigma_H = \{\mathcal{A}_H(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}_H\}.$$

For a *closed* symplectic manifold, the dependence of the action spectrum on the Hamiltonian H is a subtle problem, see [47, 59]. As we shall see in this section, for an open (i.e., not closed) weakly exact symplectic manifold, $\Sigma_H = \Sigma_K$ whenever $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ generate the same Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$.

Let (M, ω) be an open weakly exact symplectic manifold, and let $G \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ be such that $\varphi_G = \text{id}$. To $q \in M$ we associate the loop

$$x_q(t) := \varphi_G^t(q), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

If $q \in M \setminus \text{supp } \varphi_G$, then x_q is the constant loop. This and the continuity of the map $q \mapsto x_q$ from M to the free loop space of M show that $x_q \in \mathcal{P}_G$ for all $q \in M$. We define the function $I_G: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_G(q) \equiv \mathcal{A}_G(x_q) = - \int_{D^2} \bar{x}_q^* \omega - \int_0^1 G(t, x_q(t)) dt$$

where \bar{x}_q is a smooth extension of x_q to the unit disc D^2 .

Proposition 6.1. *The function I_G vanishes identically.*

Proof. If $q \in M \setminus \text{supp } \varphi_G$, then $I_G(q) = 0$. It remains to show that I_G is constant. To this end we choose a path $r \mapsto q(r)$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} I_G(q(r)) &= - \int_0^1 \omega(d\varphi_G^t(q)q'(r), X_{G_t}(\varphi_G^t(q))) dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 dG_t(\varphi_G^t(q))(d\varphi_G^t(q)q'(r)) dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Consider $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ such that $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$. We choose a smooth function $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$(36) \quad \alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 1/6, \\ 1, & t \geq 1/3. \end{cases}$$

The Hamiltonian $G \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ defined by

$$(37) \quad G(t, x) = \begin{cases} \alpha'(t) H(\alpha(t), x), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ -\alpha'(1-t) K(\alpha(1-t), x), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

then generates the loop

$$\varphi_G^t = \begin{cases} \varphi_H^{\alpha(t)}, & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \varphi_K^{\alpha(1-t)}, & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

in $\text{Ham}^c(M, \omega)$. Since all loops $x_q(t) = \varphi_G^t(q)$, $q \in M$, $t \in [0, 1]$, are contractible, the sets \mathcal{P}_H and \mathcal{P}_K can be canonically identified, and the set

$$\text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_H) = \{x(0) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}_H\}$$

of “contractible fixed points” of φ_H does not depend on H . The action of a fixed point $x \in \text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_H)$ is defined as the action of the loop $\varphi_H^t(x)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_H(x) := \mathcal{A}_H(\varphi_H^t(x)).$$

Corollary 6.2. *Assume that $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ are such that $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$. Then $\mathcal{A}_H(x) = \mathcal{A}_K(x)$ for all $x \in \text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_H)$. In particular, $\Sigma_H = \Sigma_K$.*

Proof. Define $G \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ as in (37). Then

$$\mathcal{A}_G(\varphi_G^t(x)) = \mathcal{A}_H(\varphi_H^t(x)) - \mathcal{A}_K(\varphi_K^t(x))$$

for all $x \in \text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_H) = \text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_K)$, and so Corollary 6.2 follows from Proposition 6.1. \square

Recall that the inverse of $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ is defined as

$$H_t^-(x) := -H_t(\varphi_H^t(x)).$$

To $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ we associate the loop x^- defined as

$$x^-(t) := \varphi_{H^-}^t(x(0)).$$

Corollary 6.3. *If $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$, then $x^- \in \mathcal{P}_{H^-}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{H^-}(x^-) = -\mathcal{A}_H(x)$. In particular, $\Sigma_{H^-} = -\Sigma_H$.*

Proof. Choose $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in (36) and define $G \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ by

$$G(t, x) = \begin{cases} \alpha'(t) H(\alpha(t), x), & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \alpha'(t - 1/2) H^-(\alpha(t - 1/2), x), & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi_G = \text{id}$. For $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ the loop x^- therefore belongs to \mathcal{P}_{H^-} . Moreover,

$$I_G(x(0)) = \mathcal{A}_H(x) + \mathcal{A}_{H^-}(x^-),$$

and so Proposition 6.1 yields $\mathcal{A}_{H^-}(x^-) = -\mathcal{A}_H(x)$. Since the map $x \mapsto x^-$ is a bijection between \mathcal{P}_H and \mathcal{P}_{H^-} , we conclude $\Sigma_{H^-} = -\Sigma_H$. \square

7. THE SCHWARZ METRIC

We consider a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . For $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ let $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$ be the distinguished critical value of \mathcal{A}_H defined in Section 5.

Proposition 7.1. *Assume that $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ satisfy $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$. Then*

$$c(H) = c(K).$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{H \diamond K^-} = \varphi_0 = \text{id}$, Corollary 6.2 shows that $\Sigma_{H \diamond K^-} = \Sigma_0 = \{0\}$. This and Proposition 5.1 yield $c(H \diamond K^-) = 0$. Together with the triangle inequality (26) we conclude

$$c(H) = c(H \diamond K^- \diamond K) \leq c(H \diamond K^-) + c(K) = c(K).$$

Interchanging the roles of H and K we obtain $c(K) \leq c(H)$. Proposition 7.1 follows. \square

In view of Proposition 7.1 we can define $c: \text{Ham}_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$c(\varphi) = c(H) \quad \text{if } \varphi = \varphi_H.$$

We define the *Schwarz norm* $\gamma: \text{Ham}_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(38) \quad \gamma(\varphi) = c(\varphi) + c(\varphi^{-1}).$$

We shall often write $\gamma(H)$ instead of $\gamma(\varphi_H)$. By Proposition 5.1 and Corollary 6.3, $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$ and $-c(H^-) \in \Sigma_H$, and so $\gamma(\varphi_H) = \gamma(H) = c(H) + c(H^-)$ is the difference of two distinguished actions of φ_H .

Proposition 7.2. *For every C^2 -small time-independent $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ we have $\gamma(H) = \|H\|$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 5.3 we have $c(H) = -\min H$ and $c(H^-) = c(-H) = \max H$, and so $\gamma(H) = c(H) + c(H^-) = \|H\|$. \square

We recall that $\text{Symp}_c(M)$ denotes the group of symplectomorphisms of (M, ω) whose support is contained in $M \setminus \partial M$. The following theorem justifies that γ is called a norm.

Theorem 7.3. *The Schwarz norm γ on $\text{Ham}_c(M)$ has the following properties.*

- (S1) $\gamma(\text{id}) = 0$ and $\gamma(\varphi) > 0$ if $\varphi \neq \text{id}$;
- (S2) $\gamma(\varphi\psi) \leq \gamma(\varphi) + \gamma(\psi)$;
- (S3) $\gamma(\vartheta\varphi\vartheta^{-1}) = \gamma(\varphi)$ for all $\vartheta \in \text{Symp}_c(M)$;
- (S4) $\gamma(\varphi) = \gamma(\varphi^{-1})$;
- (S5) $\gamma(\varphi) \leq d_H(\varphi, \text{id})$.

Proof. The triangle inequality (S2) follows from the triangle inequality (26) for c . For $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M)$ and $\vartheta \in \text{Symp}_c(M)$ we have

$$\vartheta \circ \varphi_H^t \circ \vartheta^{-1} = \varphi_{H_\vartheta}^t \quad \text{for all } t$$

where $H_\vartheta(t, x) = H(t, \vartheta^{-1}(x))$. This and the invariance of the Floer equation imply the invariance property (S3). The symmetry property (S4) follows from definition (38). In order to prove the estimate (S5) we need to show that $c(H) + c(H^-) \leq \|H\|$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$. In view of the continuity of c , it suffices to show this for $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$. According to Proposition 5.2 we have

$$(39) \quad c(H) \leq - \int_0^1 \inf_{x \in M} H_t(x) dt,$$

and combining Proposition 5.2 with

$$\inf_{x \in M} H_t^-(x) = \inf_{x \in M} (-H_t(\varphi_H^t(x))) = \inf_{x \in M} (-H_t(x)) = - \sup_{x \in M} H_t(x)$$

we find

$$(40) \quad c(H^-) \leq - \int_0^1 \inf_{x \in M} H_t^-(x) dt = \int_0^1 \sup_{x \in M} H_t(x) dt.$$

Adding (39) and (40) we obtain $c(H) + c(H^-) \leq \|H\|$, as desired. \square

We are left with proving (S1). If $\varphi = \varphi_0 = \text{id}$, then $c(\varphi) = c(\varphi^{-1}) = c(0) = 0$ and so $\gamma(\varphi) = 0$. In order to verify that γ is non-degenerate, we shall need the following proposition, which will be crucial for most of our applications.

Proposition 7.4. *Assume that $\varphi_H, \psi \in \text{Ham}_c(M)$ are such that ψ displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_H$. Then $\gamma(\varphi_H^n) \leq 2\gamma(\psi)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. We closely follow the proof of Proposition 5.1 in [59]. Since $\text{supp } \varphi_H^n = \text{supp } \varphi_H$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is enough to prove the claim for $n = 1$. Assume that $\psi = \varphi_K$. After reparametrizing in t we can assume that $H_t = 0$ for $t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $K_t = 0$ for $t \in [1/2, 1]$. With this choice of H and K and since φ_K displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_{\epsilon H} = \text{supp } \varphi_H$ for each $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ it is clear that

$$\text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_{\epsilon H \diamond K}) = \text{Fix}^\circ(\varphi_K) \subset M \setminus \text{supp } \varphi_{\epsilon H},$$

and so $\mathcal{P}_{\epsilon H \diamond K} = \mathcal{P}_K$ and $\Sigma_{\epsilon H \diamond K} = \Sigma_K$ for each $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. The set $\Sigma_K = \Sigma_{\epsilon H \diamond K}$ is nowhere dense, see [59, Proposition 3.7]. This and the continuity of c imply that the map

$$[0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma_K, \quad \epsilon \mapsto c(\epsilon H \diamond K),$$

is constant. In particular, $c(H \diamond K) = c(K)$. Since φ_K displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_H$, its inverse φ_{K^-} displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_{H^-} = \text{supp } \varphi_H$. An argument analogous to the above then yields $c((H \diamond K)^-) = c(K^- \diamond H^-) = c(K^-)$. Summarizing we find $\gamma(H \diamond K) = \gamma(K)$. Together with (S2) and (S4) we can thus conclude

$$\gamma(H) = \gamma(H \diamond K \diamond K^-) \leq \gamma(H \diamond K) + \gamma(K^-) = 2\gamma(K),$$

as desired. \square

Assume now that $\varphi \neq \text{id}$. We then find a non-empty open subset $U \subset M$ such that φ displaces U . According to Proposition 7.2 we can choose $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ such that $\gamma(H) > 0$. Applying Proposition 7.4 with $\psi = \varphi$ we get $0 < \gamma(H) \leq 2\gamma(\varphi)$. The proof of Theorem 7.3 is complete. \square

Corollary 7.5. *If $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$, then the spectrum Σ_H contains not only 0.*

Proof. Recall that $\gamma(H)$ is the difference of two elements of Σ_H . The corollary thus follows from (S1) of Theorem 7.3. \square

The Schwarz metric on $\text{Ham}_c(M)$ is defined as

$$d_S(\varphi, \psi) := \gamma(\varphi \circ \psi^{-1}) \quad \varphi, \psi \in \text{Ham}_c(M).$$

Theorem 7.3 says that d_S is a biinvariant metric on $\text{Ham}_c(M)$ such that

$$d_S(\varphi, \psi) \leq d_H(\varphi, \psi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in \text{Ham}_c(M).$$

8. AN ENERGY-CAPACITY INEQUALITY

In this section we shall compare the π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity $c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A)$ of a subset $A \subset (M, \omega)$ with the Schwarz-diameter of $\text{Ham}_c(\text{Int } A, \omega)$. This will lead to an energy-capacity inequality for c_{HZ}° , which will be a crucial tool in the proofs of Theorems 4.A and 4.B (ii).

8.1. The π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity. Let (M, ω) be an arbitrary symplectic manifold. Given a subset $A \subset M$ we consider the function space

$$\mathcal{H}_c(A) = \{H \in C_c^\infty(\text{Int } A) \mid H \geq 0, H|_U = \max H \text{ for some open } U \subset A\}.$$

We say that $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(A)$ is *HZ-admissible* if the flow φ_H^t has no non-constant T -periodic orbit with period $T \leq 1$, and we say that $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(A)$ is *HZ $^\circ$ -admissible* if the flow φ_H^t has no non-constant T -periodic orbit with period $T \leq 1$ which is contractible in M . Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}(A, M, \omega) &= \{H \in \mathcal{H}_c(A) \mid H \text{ is HZ-admissible}\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M, \omega) &= \{H \in \mathcal{H}_c(A) \mid H \text{ is HZ}^\circ\text{-admissible}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hofer–Zehnder capacity and the π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity of $A \subset (M, \omega)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{HZ}}(A, M, \omega) &= \sup \{\|H\| \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}(A, M, \omega)\}, \\ c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M, \omega) &= \sup \{\|H\| \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M, \omega)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From now on we suppress ω from the notation. Of course, $c_{\text{HZ}}(A, M) \leq c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M)$. Example 8.1 below shows that this inequality can be strict. It also shows that in contrast to c_{HZ} , the π_1 -sensitive Hofer–Zehnder capacity c_{HZ}° is not an intrinsic symplectic capacity as defined in [34]; it is, however, a relative symplectic capacity and in particular satisfies the relative monotonicity axiom

$$(41) \quad c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M) \leq c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(B, M) \quad \text{whenever } A \subset B \subset M.$$

Example 8.1. Consider the annulus $A = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$ in (\mathbb{R}^2, ω_0) . Then $c_{\text{HZ}}(A, A) = c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, \mathbb{R}^2) = \pi$ and $c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, A) = \infty$.

Corollary 8.2. *For any subset A of a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) ,*

$$c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M) = \sup \{ \gamma_M(\varphi_H) \mid H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M) \}.$$

Proof. Fix $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M)$. Then both H and $H^- = -H$ meet the assumptions of Theorem 5.3, and so $c(H) = 0$ and $c(H^-) = \|H\|$. Therefore, $\gamma_M(H) = c(H) + c(H^-) = \|H\|$. \square

8.2. An energy-capacity inequality for c_{HZ}° . Following [59] we define for any subset A of a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) the relative capacity $c_\gamma(A, M) = c_\gamma(A, M, \omega) \in [0, \infty]$ as

$$c_\gamma(A, M) = \sup \{ \gamma_M(\varphi) \mid \varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega), \text{supp } \varphi \subset S^1 \times A \}.$$

Notice that $c_\gamma(A, M)$ is the diameter of $\text{Ham}_c(\text{Int } A)$. We recall that the displacement energy $e(A, M) = e(A, M, \omega)$ is defined as

$$e(A, M) = \inf \{ d_H(\varphi, \text{id}) \mid \varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega), \varphi(A) \cap A = \emptyset \}.$$

Corollary 8.2, Proposition 7.4 and (S5) of Theorem 7.3 yield

Corollary 8.3. *For any subset A of a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) ,*

$$c_{\text{HZ}}(A, M) \leq c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(A, M) \leq c_\gamma(A, M) \leq 2e(A, M).$$

This concludes the construction of our tools. In the next five sections we shall use them to study Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms on weakly exact symplectic manifolds which away from a compact subset look like a product of convex symplectic manifolds. To be precise, we recall from Definition 3.1 that a compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is split-convex if there exist compact convex symplectic manifolds (M_j, ω_j) , $j = 1, \dots, k$, and a compact subset $K \subset M \setminus \partial M$ such that $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_k$ and

$$(M \setminus K, \omega) = ((M_1 \times \dots \times M_k) \setminus K, \omega_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_k).$$

We say that a non-compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *split-convex* if there exists an increasing sequence of compact split-convex submanifolds (with corners) $M_i \subset M$ exhausting M , that is,

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \dots \subset M_i \subset \dots \subset M \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_i M_i = M.$$

9. EXISTENCE OF A CLOSED ORBIT WITH NON-ZERO ACTION

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 9.1. *Assume that (M, ω) is a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold. Then for every Hamiltonian function $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ generating $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ such that $\mathcal{A}_H(x) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} M_i$ is an exhaustion of M by compact split-convex submanifolds. Given $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ generating $\varphi_H \neq \text{id}$ we choose i so large that $\text{supp } \varphi_H \subset M_i$. Since $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M_i) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$, Corollary 7.5 guarantees the existence of $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ with $\mathcal{A}_H(x) \neq 0$, and so Theorem 9.1 follows. \square

10. INFINITELY MANY PERIODIC POINTS OF HAMILTONIAN DIFFEOMORPHISMS

We first consider a weakly exact compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and we let γ be the Schwarz norm on $\text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ constructed in Section 7.

Theorem 10.1. *Assume that $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ is such that*

$$\gamma(\varphi_H^n) \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and some } C < \infty.$$

Then φ_H has infinitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits.

Proof. We closely follow [59].

Case 1. $\varphi_H^n = \text{id}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then every $x \in M$ is a periodic point of φ_H , and since the support of φ_H is not all of M and since M is connected, every $x \in M$ is a periodic point of φ_H corresponding to a contractible periodic orbit. Since $\varphi_H \neq \text{id}$, infinitely many among these periodic points are non-trivial.

Case 2. $\varphi_H^n \neq \text{id}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to Corollary 7.5, φ_H has at least 1 nontrivial periodic point corresponding to a contractible periodic orbit. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that φ_H has only finitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits, say x_1, \dots, x_N . The period of x_i is defined as the minimal $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_H^{k_i}(x_i) = x_i$. Set $k = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_N$ and $G(t, x) = kH(kt, x)$. Then $\varphi_G = \varphi_H^k$, and x_1, \dots, x_N are the nontrivial periodic points of φ_G corresponding to contractible periodic orbits. Their period is 1. By assumption,

$$(42) \quad \gamma(\varphi_G^n) = \gamma(\varphi_H^{nk}) \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The spectrum Σ_G consists of 0 (coming from trivial periodic points) and $\mathcal{A}_G(x_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Set $G^{(n)}(t, x) = nG(nt, x)$. Since φ_G has no other nontrivial periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits than x_1, \dots, x_N ,

$$(43) \quad \Sigma_{G^{(n)}} = n\Sigma_G = \{0, n\mathcal{A}_G(x_1), \dots, n\mathcal{A}_G(x_N)\}.$$

By assumption, $\varphi_G^n = \varphi_H^{nk} \neq \text{id}$ for all n , and so

$$\gamma(\varphi_G^n) = \gamma(G^{(n)}) = c(G^{(n)}) + c((G^{(n)})^-) > 0 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall now that $c(G^{(n)}) + c((G^{(n)})^-)$ is the difference of two action values in $\Sigma_{G^{(n)}}$. We thus infer from (43) that $\gamma(\varphi_G^n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting (42). \square

Theorem 2 is a special case of

Corollary 10.2. *Assume that (M, ω) is a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold. If the support of $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ is displaceable, then φ_H has infinitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic orbits.*

Proof. Choose $\psi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ which displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_H$, and choose i so large that $\text{supp } \psi \subset M_i$. According to Proposition 7.4, $\gamma_{M_i}(\varphi_H^n) \leq 2\gamma_{M_i}(\psi)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so the corollary follows from Theorem 10.1. \square

Proof of Corollary 2: Consider a subcritical Stein manifold (V, J, f) and $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(V, \omega_f) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$. Since f is proper, we find a regular value R such that $S = \text{supp } \varphi_H$ is contained in $V_R = \{x \in V \mid f(x) \leq R\}$. After composing f with an appropriate smooth function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h(r) = r$ for $r \leq R$ we obtain a subcritical Stein manifold $(V, J, h \circ f)$ such that the gradient vector field $X_{h \circ f}$ of $h \circ f$ with respect to the Riemannian metric $g_{h \circ f}$ is complete, see [1, Lemma 3.1]. Since $S \subset V_R$ and $\omega_f|_{V_R} = \omega_{h \circ f}|_{V_R}$, we have $\varphi_H \in \text{Ham}_c(V, \omega_{h \circ f}) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$. Let $\text{Crit}_R(h \circ f)$ be the set of critical points of $h \circ f$ in V_R , and consider the union

$$\Delta_R = \bigcup_{x \in \text{Crit}_R(h \circ f)} W_x^s(X_{h \circ f})$$

of those stable submanifolds of $X_{h \circ f}$ which are contained in V_R . Applying the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [1] to S and Δ_R we find a compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy of $(V, \omega_{h \circ f})$ disjoining S from itself. Theorem 2 now shows that φ_H has infinitely many nontrivial geometrically distinct periodic points corresponding to contractible periodic

orbits. □

11. THE WEINSTEIN CONJECTURE

Consider a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . A *hypersurface* S in M is by definition a C^2 -smooth compact connected orientable codimension 1 submanifold of M without boundary. We recall that a characteristic on S is an embedded circle in S all of whose tangent lines belong to the distinguished line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_S = \{(x, \xi) \in TS \mid \omega(\xi, \eta) = 0 \text{ for all } \eta \in T_x S\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{P}^\circ(S)$ the set of closed characteristics on S which are contractible in M . Given $x \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S)$ we define the *reduced action* of x by

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \left| \int_{D^2} \bar{x}^* \omega \right|$$

where $\bar{x}: D^2 \rightarrow M$ is a smooth disc in M bounding x . The *action spectrum* of S is the subset $\sigma(S) = \{\mathcal{A}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S)\}$ of \mathbb{R} . If $\sigma(S)$ is non-empty, we define $\lambda_1(S) \in [0, \infty[$ as

$$\lambda_1(S) = \inf \{\lambda \in \sigma(S)\}.$$

Examples show that $\sigma(S)$ can be empty, see [22, 23]. We therefore follow [32] and consider parametrized neighbourhoods of S . Since S is orientable, there exists (after adding a collar $\partial M_j \times]0, \epsilon]$ to each M_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, in case S touches ∂M) an open neighbourhood I of 0 and a C^2 -smooth diffeomorphism

$$\psi: S \times I \rightarrow U \subset M$$

such that $\psi(x, 0) = x$ for $x \in S$. We call ψ a *thickening* of S , and we abbreviate $S_\epsilon = \psi(S \times \{\epsilon\})$ and shall often write (S_ϵ) instead of $\psi: S \times I \rightarrow U$.

Theorem 11.1. *Assume that S is a displaceable hypersurface of a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) , and let (S_ϵ) be a thickening of S . For every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon \in [-\delta, \delta]$ such that*

$$\mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(S_\epsilon) \leq 2e(S, M) + \delta.$$

Proof. Fix $\delta > 0$. We choose $K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ such that φ_K displaces S and $\|K\| < e(S, M) + \delta/2$. Let $\rho \in]0, \delta]$ be so small that φ_K displaces the whole neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}_\rho := \psi(S \times [-\rho, \rho])$ of S . If $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} M_i$ is an exhaustion of M , we choose i so large that $\text{supp } \varphi_K \subset \bar{M}_i$. We

abbreviate $E = 2e(S, M) + \delta$ and choose a C^∞ -function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, E]$ such that

$$f(t) = 0 \text{ if } t \notin [-\rho, \rho], \quad f(0) = E, \quad f'(t) \neq 0 \text{ if } t \in]-\rho, \rho[\setminus \{0\}.$$

We define the time-independent Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M_i)$ by

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } x \in S_t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\varphi_H \neq \text{id}$ and since φ_H is supported in \mathcal{N}_ρ , we read off from (S1) of Theorem 7.3 and from Corollary 8.3 that

$$(44) \quad 0 < \gamma_{M_i}(H) \leq 2\|K\| < E.$$

Let $x^+ \in \mathcal{P}_H$ and $x^- \in \mathcal{P}_{H^-}$ be closed orbits for which

$$c(H) = \mathcal{A}_H(x^+) \quad \text{and} \quad c(H^-) = \mathcal{A}_{H^-}(x^-).$$

Proposition 5.2 applied to H and $H^- = -H$ yields

$$(45) \quad c(H) = \mathcal{A}_H(x^+) = - \int_{D^2} (\overline{x^+})^* \omega - \int_0^1 H(x^+(t)) dt \leq 0,$$

$$(46) \quad c(H^-) = \mathcal{A}_{H^-}(x^-) = - \int_{D^2} (\overline{x^-})^* \omega + \int_0^1 H(x^-(t)) dt \leq E.$$

Notice that not both x^+ and x^- are constant orbits. Indeed, if they were, our choice of H would yield $c(H) \in \{0, -E\}$ and $c(H^-) \in \{0, E\}$, and so $\gamma(H) = c(H) + c(H^-) \in \{-E, 0, E\}$, contradicting (44).

Case 1. The orbit x^+ is not constant. By construction of H there exists $\epsilon \in [-\rho, \rho] \subset [-\delta, \delta]$ such that $x^+ \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon)$. The choice of H and (45) yield $-\int_{D^2} (\overline{x^+})^* \omega \leq E$. Assume that $-\int_{D^2} (\overline{x^+})^* \omega < -E$. Then (45) yields $c(H) < -E$, and so, together with (46), $\gamma(H) = c(H) + c(H^-) < 0$, contradicting (44). We conclude that $\mathcal{A}(x^+) = \left| \int_{D^2} (\overline{x^+})^* \omega \right| \leq E$.

Case 2. The orbit x^- is not constant. Again we find $\epsilon \in [-\delta, \delta]$ such that $x^- \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon)$, and arguing similarly as in Case 1 we find that $\mathcal{A}(x^-) \leq E$. The proof of Theorem 11.1 is complete. \square

A hypersurface S is *stable* if there exists a thickening (S_ϵ) of S such that the local flow ψ_t around S induced by $\psi: S \times I \rightarrow U$ induces bundle isomorphisms

$$T\psi_\epsilon: \mathcal{L}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{S_\epsilon}$$

for every $\epsilon \in I$. It then follows that $\psi_{-\epsilon}(x) \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon)$. Since $\psi_\epsilon \rightarrow \text{id}$ in the C^1 -topology as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and since $\sigma(S)$ is compact, we conclude from Theorem 11.1 the

Corollary 11.2. *Assume that S is a displaceable stable hypersurface of a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(S) \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda_1(S) \leq 2e(S)$.*

It is well known that every hypersurface of contact type is stable, see [34], and so Theorem 3 follows from Corollary 11.2. Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 3 by using Cieliebak's result in [3] or by arguing as in the proof of Corollary 2 given in the previous section.

Example 11.3. We consider a stable hypersurface S in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$. If S has diameter $\text{diam}(S)$, then S is contained in a ball of radius $\text{diam}(S)$. Since $e(B^{2n}(r)) = \pi r^2$, we find $e(S) \leq \pi \text{diam}(S)^2$, and so

$$\lambda_1(S) \leq 2\pi \text{diam}(S)^2,$$

improving the estimate in [32].

Remarks 11.4. **1.** Let S be a stable hypersurface as in Corollary 11.2. It is conceivable that the factor 2 in the estimate $\lambda_1(S) \leq 2e(S)$ can be omitted. This is so if S is a hypersurface of restricted contact type in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$, see [28]. If S bounds a convex domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, then $\lambda_1(S) = c_{\text{HZ}}(U) \leq e(U) = e(S)$ where c_{HZ} is the Hofer-Zehnder capacity, [33].

2. Assume that $S \subset (M, \omega)$ is a hypersurface of contact type and that one of the following conditions is met.

- S is simply connected.
- $\omega = d\lambda$ is exact and $H^1(S; \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Then $0 \notin \sigma(S)$ and $\sigma(S)$ is closed, cf. [32]. Therefore, $\lambda_1(S) > 0$. \diamond

Assume now that the hypersurface S bounds, i.e., S is the boundary of a compact submanifold B of M . If M is simply connected, then any hypersurface $S \subset M$ bounds, [37], and the same holds true if $H_{2n-1}(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$; in particular, any hypersurface of a Stein manifold of dimension at least 4 bounds. In the following theorem, μ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 11.5. *Assume that (M, ω) is a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold and that $S \subset M$ is a displaceable C^2 -hypersurface which bounds. If (S_ϵ) with $\epsilon \in I$ is a displaceable thickening of S , then*

$$\mu \{ \epsilon \in I \mid \mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon) \neq \emptyset \} = \mu(I).$$

Proof. We can assume that M is compact. We can also assume the thickening (S_ϵ) to be chosen such that for the sets B_ϵ bounded by S_ϵ ,

$$B_\epsilon \subset B_{\epsilon'} \quad \text{if } \epsilon \leq \epsilon'.$$

In view of the relative monotonicity property (41) of c_{HZ}° the function $\epsilon \mapsto c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(B_\epsilon, M)$ is then monotone increasing. Since S_ϵ is displaceable, B_ϵ is also displaceable, and so, according to Corollary 8.3,

$$c_{\text{HZ}}^\circ(B_\epsilon, M) \leq 2e(B_\epsilon, M) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \epsilon \in I.$$

Theorem 11.5 now follows from repeating the proof of Theorem 4 in [34, Chapter 4] with C^2 -smooth instead of C^∞ -smooth Hamiltonians and with c_{HZ} replaced by c_{HZ}° . \square

12. CLOSED TRAJECTORIES OF A CHARGE IN A MAGNETIC FIELD

12.1. Proof of Theorem 4.A. Let (N, g) and (T^*N, ω_σ) be as in Theorem 4.A. Since $\sigma = d\alpha$ is exact, $\omega_\sigma = -d(\lambda + \pi^*\alpha)$ is exact, and so (T^*N, ω_σ) is exact, and since σ does not vanish, $\dim N \geq 2$, and so every energy level $E_c = \{H = c^2/2\}$, $c > 0$, is a C^2 -hypersurface which bounds. We denote the sublevel set of H by

$$H^c = \{(q, p) \in T^*N \mid H(q, p) = \tfrac{1}{2}|p|^2 \leq c\}$$

and we define the norm of σ as

$$\|\sigma\| = \inf \{\|\alpha\| \mid \sigma = d\alpha\}$$

where $\|\alpha\| = \max_{x \in N} |\alpha(x)|$. In order to apply Theorem 11.5 we need

Lemma 12.1. *The symplectic manifold (T^*N, ω_σ) is convex. Indeed, H^c is of convex whenever $c > \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$.*

Proof. We choose a 1-form α on N such that $d\alpha = \sigma$. Under the symplectomorphism

$$\Phi: (T^*N, \omega_\sigma) \rightarrow (T^*N, \omega_0), \quad (q, p) \mapsto (q, p + \alpha(q))$$

the Hamiltonian $H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2$ on (T^*N, ω_σ) corresponds to the Hamiltonian $H_\alpha(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}|p - \alpha|^2$ on (T^*N, ω_0) . If $c > \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha\|^2$, then the sublevel set $H_\alpha^c = \{(q, p) \mid H_\alpha(q, p) \leq c\}$ contains N , and so the Liouville vector field $\sum_i p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$ for ω_0 intersects the boundary of H_α^c transversally. Therefore, H_α^c is convex. It follows that $H_c = \Phi^{-1}(H_\alpha^c)$ is convex whenever $c > \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha\|^2$. Since this is true for any α with $d\alpha = \sigma$, the lemma follows. \square

Remark 12.2. Combining the identity (50) below with arguments from [6] one can show that if N is orientable and different from the 2-torus, then E_c is not of contact type if $c \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$, and so H^c is not convex if $c \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$.

Let $\chi(N)$ be the Euler characteristic of N .

Case 1. $\chi(N) = 0$. We set

$$(47) \quad d = d(g, \sigma) = \sup \{c \geq 0 \mid H^c \text{ is displaceable in } (T^*N, \omega_\sigma)\}.$$

Notice that since $\dim N \geq 2$,

$$d = \sup \{c \geq 0 \mid E_c \text{ is displaceable in } (T^*N, \omega_\sigma)\}.$$

Since $\sigma \neq 0$, the zero section N of T^*N is not Lagrangian, and so a remarkable theorem of Polterovich [52, 36] implies that $d > 0$. We shall see below that $d < \infty$. Theorem 4.A follows from applying Theorem 11.5 to $S = E_{d/2}$ and a thickening

$$\psi: S \times]-d/2, d/2[\rightarrow \bigcup_{0 < c < d} E_c$$

such that $\psi(S \times \{\epsilon\}) = E_{\epsilon+d/2}$.

Case 2. $\chi(N) \neq 0$. In this case the zero section N is not displaceable for topological reasons. We use a stabilization trick used before by Macarini [41]. Let S^1 be the unit circle, and denote canonical coordinates on T^*S^1 by (x, y) . We consider the manifold $T^*(N \times S^1) = T^*N \times T^*S^1$ endowed with the split symplectic form $\omega = \omega_\sigma \oplus \omega_{S^1}$, where $\omega_{S^1} = dx \wedge dy$. In view of Lemma 12.1, $(T^*N \times T^*S^1, \omega)$ is a weakly exact convex symplectic manifold. Moreover, $N \times S^1$ is not Lagrangian, and $\chi(N \times S^1) = 0$. Let

$$H_1(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2, \quad H_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}|y|^2, \quad H(q, p, x, y) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|y|^2$$

be the metric Hamiltonians on T^*N , T^*S^1 and $T^*N \times T^*S^1$. In order to avoid confusion, we denote their energy levels by $E_c(H_1)$, $E_c(H_2)$ and $E_c(H)$. Repeating the argument given in Case 1 for the Hamiltonian system

$$(48) \quad H: (T^*N \times T^*S^1, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$d = d(g, \sigma) = \sup \{c \geq 0 \mid H^c \text{ is displaceable in } (T^*N \times T^*S^1, \omega)\}$$

we find that

$$\mu \{ \epsilon \in]0, d[\mid \mathcal{P}^\circ(E_\epsilon(H)) \neq \emptyset \} = d.$$

Fix $\epsilon \in]0, d[$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_\epsilon(H)) \neq \emptyset$. Since the Hamiltonian system (48) splits, a contractible closed orbit $x(t)$ on $E_\epsilon(H)$ is of the form $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$, where x_1 is a contractible closed orbit on $E_{\epsilon_1}(H_1)$ and x_2 is a contractible closed orbit on $E_{\epsilon_2}(H_2)$ and $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon$. Since the only contractible orbits of $H_2: T^*S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are the constant orbits on

$E_0(H_2)$, we conclude that $\epsilon_2 = 0$ and $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon$, and so $x_1 \in \mathcal{P}^\circ(E_\epsilon(H_1))$. It follows that

$$\mu \{ \epsilon \in]0, d[\mid \mathcal{P}^\circ(E_\epsilon(H_1)) \neq \emptyset \} = d.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.A is complete. \square

12.2. Comparison of $d(g, \sigma)$ and $\frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2$. It would be important to know a computable lower bound of $d(g, \sigma)$. An upper bound can be described in a variety of ways.

Proposition 12.3. *We have $d(g, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2$.*

Proof. We assume first that $\chi(N) = 0$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that $d = d(g, \sigma) > \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2$. We then find a 1-form α on N such that $d\alpha = \sigma$ and $d > \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|^2$. By definition of d , the graph $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ of $-\alpha$, which is contained in $H^{\frac{1}{2}\|\alpha\|^2}$, is then a displaceable subset of (T^*N, ω_σ) , and so the zero section $\Phi(\Gamma_{-\alpha})$ of T^*N is a displaceable subset of $(T^*N, d\lambda)$. This contradicts a Lagrangian intersection result of Gromov [27].

Assume now that $\chi(N) \neq 0$. We denote by g_{S^1} the Riemannian metric of the unit circle. By definition of $d(g, \sigma)$ and by the already proved case,

$$d(g, \sigma) = d(g \oplus g_{S^1}, \sigma \oplus 0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma \oplus 0\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2.$$

The proof of Proposition 12.3 is complete. \square

An important number associated with the Hamiltonian system (3) is *Mañé's strict critical value* $c_0(g, \sigma)$ for whose definition and relevance we refer to [49, 4, 50]. Let α be such that $d\alpha = \sigma$. According to Corollary 1 in [4], $c_0(g, \sigma)$ is given by

$$(49) \quad c_0(g, \sigma) = \inf \max_{x \in N} \frac{1}{2} |\beta - \alpha|^2$$

where the infimum is taken over all closed 1-forms β on N . It follows that

$$(50) \quad c_0(g, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2.$$

We denote by $\Lambda_{-\alpha}$ the set of Lagrangian submanifolds in (T^*N, ω_σ) which are Lagrangian isotopic to the graph $\Gamma_{-\alpha}$ of $-\alpha$. Combining (49) with a result in [50], we find

$$c_0(g, \sigma) = \inf \{ c \in \mathbb{R} \mid H^c \text{ contains a Lagrangian submanifold in } \Lambda_{-\alpha} \}.$$

This is a purely symplectic characterization of $c_0(g, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma\|^2$.

We recall from Theorem 4.A that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c \in]0, d(g, \sigma)]$. It follows from Lemma 12.1 and a theorem of Hofer and Viterbo [31] that E_c carries a closed orbit whenever $c > \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$. More precisely, for every non-trivial homotopy class $h \in \pi_1(N)$ and every $c > c_0(g, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$ there exists a closed orbit on E_c whose projection to N lies in h , see [5, Theorem 27]. The following example shows that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c)$ can be empty for all $c \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$. It also shows that there can be a gap between $d(g, \sigma)$ and $\frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2$.

Example 12.4. Let N be a closed orientable surface of genus 2. It has been shown in [49] that there exists a Riemannian metric g and an exact 2-form σ on N such that

- (i) $c_0(g, \sigma) > \frac{1}{2}$;
- (ii) the restriction of the flow of (3) to E_c is Anosov for all $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Property (ii) implies that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) = \emptyset$ for all $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and so, by Theorem 4.A, Property (i) and (50),

$$d(g, \sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2} < c_0(g, \sigma) = \frac{1}{2}\|\sigma\|^2.$$

12.3. Proof of Theorem 4.B. We say that a closed 2-form σ on a manifold N is *rational* of

$$\hbar := \inf_{[S] \in \pi_2(N)} \left\{ \int_S \sigma \mid \int_S \sigma > 0 \right\} > 0.$$

Our most general result about the existence of closed orbits of magnetic flows is

Theorem 12.5. *Assume that $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$ is a closed manifold, where N_1 is any closed manifold, $N_2 = \times_i S^2$ is a product of 2-spheres, and $N_3 = \times_j \Sigma_j$ is a product of closed orientable surfaces of genus at least 2, and assume that N is endowed with a C^2 -smooth Riemannian metric g and a non-vanishing closed 2-form σ such that*

$$[\sigma] = 0 \oplus [\sigma_2] \oplus [\sigma_3] \in H^2(N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3),$$

such that $[\sigma_2]$ is rational, and such that $[\sigma_3] \in H^2(N_3)$ is cohomologically split in the sense that

$$[\sigma_3] \in \oplus_i \mathbb{R} [\Sigma_i] = \oplus_i H^2(\Sigma_i) \subset H^2(\times_i \Sigma_i).$$

- (i) *If $[\sigma_2] \neq 0$, there exists $d > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a dense set of values $c \in]0, d]$.*
- (ii) *If $[\sigma_2] = 0$, there exists $d > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c \in]0, d]$.*

For N_2 and N_3 a point, Theorem 12.5 is Theorem 4.A, and for N_1 a point and N_3 or N_2 a point, Theorem 12.5 is a generalization of Theorem 4.B (i) or (ii).

Proof of Theorem 12.5: We first consider a closed orientable surface Σ different from the torus, and we endow Σ with a Riemannian metric g of constant curvature k . We fix an orientation of Σ , denote the area form on Σ by τ , and consider the 2-form $\sigma = s\tau$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $\omega_\sigma = \omega_0 - \pi^*\sigma$. The following lemma was explained to us by Viktor Ginzburg.

Lemma 12.6. *The symplectic manifold $(T^*\Sigma, \omega_\sigma)$ is convex. Indeed, if $\Sigma = S^2$, then H^c is convex for all $c > 0$, and if $\text{genus}(\Sigma) \geq 2$, then H^c is convex for all $c > -\frac{s^2}{2k}$.*

Proof. We fix $c > 0$ and consider E_c as an oriented S^1 -bundle

$$(51) \quad S^1 \longrightarrow E_c \xrightarrow{\pi_c} N.$$

Let X_c be the geodesic spray on E_c , let Y_c be the vector field on E_c generating the S^1 -action, and let α_c be the connection 1-form of the bundle (51). Then

$$(52) \quad \alpha_c(X_c) = 0, \quad \alpha_c(Y_c) = 1, \quad d\alpha_c = -\pi_c^*(k\tau).$$

Varying over $c > 0$ we obtain vector fields X, Y and a 1-form α on $T^*N \setminus N$ such that $\alpha|_{E_c} = \alpha_c$ and $d\alpha = -\pi^*(k\tau)$. Since N is not the torus, $k \neq 0$, and so we can set $\beta = -\frac{s}{k}\alpha$. Then

$$d\beta = -\frac{s}{k}d\alpha = \pi^*(s\tau) = \pi^*\sigma \quad \text{on } T^*N \setminus N.$$

Therefore,

$$(53) \quad d(-\lambda - \beta) = \omega_\sigma.$$

The vector field $X_H = X - sY$ on $T^*N \setminus N$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of $H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2$ with respect to ω_σ . In particular, $X_H|_{E_c}$ is a section of the distinguished line bundle \mathcal{L}_{E_c} for every $c > 0$. Notice that $\lambda(X)|_{E_c} = 2c$ and $\lambda(Y) = 0$. Moreover, $\beta = -\frac{s}{k}\alpha$ and (52) yield $\beta(X) = 0$ and $\beta(Y) = -\frac{s}{k}$. Therefore,

$$(54) \quad (-\lambda - \beta)(X_H) = -2c - \frac{s^2}{k}.$$

Equation (53) and (54) show that if $N = S^2$, then E_c is of contact type for every $c > 0$, and if $\text{genus}(N) \geq 2$, then E_c is of contact type if $c \neq \frac{s^2}{2k}$. If $s = 0$, all these hypersurfaces are convex, and so the claim follows. \square

Let now N , g and σ be as in Theorem 12.5. We denote the area form τ considered in Lemma 12.6 by τ_{S^2} or τ_Σ . By assumption on the form σ_3 there are real numbers s_i and s_j such that

$$[\sigma_2] = \oplus_i s_i [\tau_{S^2}] \in H^2(\times_i S^2), \quad [\sigma_3] = \oplus_j s_j [\tau_{\Sigma_j}] \in H^2(\times_j \Sigma_j).$$

Define the closed 2-form σ_0 on $N = N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3$ as

$$\sigma_0 = 0 \oplus_i s_i \tau_{S^2} \oplus_j s_j \tau_{\Sigma_j}.$$

According to Lemma 12.6 the symplectic manifold

$$(T^*N, \omega_{\sigma_0}) = (T^*N_1, \omega_0) \times_i (T^*S^2, s_i \tau_{S^2}) \times_j (T^*\Sigma_j, s_j \tau_{\Sigma_j})$$

is a product of convex symplectic manifolds. By assumption on σ there exists a 1-form α on N such that $\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\alpha$. The next lemma will allow us to interpolate between the forms ω_σ and ω_{σ_0} .

Lemma 12.7. *For every $r > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ and a smooth function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that*

$$(55) \quad f(t) = 1, \quad s \leq r; \quad f(t) = 0, \quad s \geq R,$$

and such that the closed 2-form ω_f defined as

$$\omega_f(q, p) := \omega_{\sigma_0}(q, p) - d(f(|p|) \pi^* \alpha(q))$$

*is nondegenerate and hence symplectic on T^*N .*

Proof. Fix $(q, p) \in T^*N$. For convenience we choose local coordinates q_i around q on N such that for the coefficients g_{ij} of g we have $g_{ij}(q) = \delta_{ij}$ and $g_{ij,k}(q) = 0$ for all i, j, k . Let σ_0 and α be given by $\sigma_0(q) = \sum_{i,j} S_{ij}(q) dq_i \wedge dq_j$ and $\alpha(q) = \sum_i A_i(q) dq_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_f(q, p) &= \sum_{i,j} \left(\delta_{ij} + A_i(q) f'(|p|) \frac{p_i}{|p|} \right) dq_i \wedge dp_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} (A_{i,j}(q) f(|p|) - S_{ij}(q)) dq_i \wedge dq_j. \end{aligned}$$

The square root of the determinant of the matrix of $\omega_f(q, p)$, which we want to be non-zero, is therefore

$$(56) \quad \det \left(\delta_{ij} + A_i(q) f'(|p|) \frac{p_i}{|p|} \right).$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $\det(\delta_{ij} + c_{ij}) > 0$ whenever $|c_{ij}| \leq \epsilon$ for all i, j . Since N is compact, we find $a < \infty$ such that for every $q \in N$ there exists a Riemannian metric as above such that $|A_i(q)| \leq a$ for all i . Choose now $R > r + a/\epsilon$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying (55) and $|f'(r)| \leq \epsilon/a$. Then $\left| A_i(q) f'(|p|) \frac{p_i}{|p|} \right| \leq \epsilon$, and so the determinant (56)

does not vanish. \square

Case 1. $\chi(N) = 0$. Since $\sigma \neq 0$, the full result of [52, 36] implies that the displacement energy of N in (T^*N, ω_σ) vanishes. We therefore find $d > 0$ such that $e(H^d, T^*N) \leq \hbar/2$. Fix $d' \in]0, d[$ and choose $\varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(T^*N, \omega_\sigma)$ displacing $H^{d'}$. Choose $r > 0$ so large that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset T_r^*N$, and then choose R and f as in Lemma 12.7. With these choices, $\varphi \in \text{Ham}_c(T^*N, \omega_f)$, and φ displaces $H^{d'}$ in (T^*N, ω_f) . Moreover, $\omega_f = \omega_{\sigma_0}$ on $T^*N \setminus T_R^*N$, and so (T^*N, ω_f) is split-convex.

(i) If $[\sigma_2] \neq 0$, then (T^*N, ω_f) is not weakly exact. However, we have

Lemma 12.8. *The first Chern class $c_1(T^*N, \omega_f)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(T^*N)$.*

Proof. We abbreviate $M = T^*N$. The tangent bundle of M at a point $(q, 0) \in N$ naturally splits as $T_{(q,0)}M \cong T_q^*N \oplus T_qN$. Notice that the summand T^*N is a Lagrangian subbundle of the restriction of TM to N for the symplectic structure $\omega_f = \omega_\sigma = -d\lambda - \pi^*\sigma$. Therefore, $c_1(M, \omega_f)$ vanishes on $\pi_2(N) = \pi_2(M)$. \square

Notice that $\hbar(\omega_f) = \hbar(\omega_\sigma) = \hbar(\sigma_2)$. According to Theorem A.3, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a dense set of $c \in]0, d']$. Since $H^{d'} \subset \text{supp } \varphi \subset T_r^*N$, we have $\omega_f = \omega_\sigma$ on $H^{d'}$, and so these closed characteristics are characteristics with respect to the original symplectic structure ω_σ . Since $d' \in]0, d[$ was arbitrary, Theorem 12.5 (i) for $\chi(N) = 0$ follows.

(ii) If $[\sigma_2] = 0$, then (T^*N, ω_f) is a weakly exact split-convex symplectic manifold. Applying Theorem 11.5 and using that $d' \in]0, d[$ was arbitrary, Theorem 12.5 (ii) for $\chi(N) = 0$ follows.

Case 2. $\chi(N) \neq 0$. We can now take $d = d(g, \sigma)$ as in (47). We stabilize (T^*N, ω_σ) by (T^*S^1, ω_{S^1}) as in Case 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.A and combine the arguments there with the arguments in Case 1 above. The proof of Theorem 12.5 is complete. \square

Remarks 12.9. In view of Example 12.4, the number $d > 0$ in Theorem 4.B (ii) cannot be chosen arbitrarily large in general. Here is a simpler example illustrating this fact: Let N be a closed oriented surface equipped with a metric of constant curvature -1 , and let σ be the area form on N . If $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) = \emptyset$, see [21, Example 3.7].

12.4. The state of the art. We shall only consider the existence problem of closed orbits on small energy levels and refer to the review [21] for results concerned with closed orbits on intermediate and large energy levels.

1. σ is exact. Theorem 4.A improves a result of Polterovich and Macarini [53, 41] who proved $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a sequence $c \rightarrow 0$.

2. σ is neither exact nor symplectic. The only previous results for such magnetic fields are the Polterovich–Macarini result stating that if $[\sigma]|_{\pi_2(N)} = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a sequence $c \rightarrow 0$, and a result of Lu [40] stating that for the torus T^n endowed with any Riemannian metric, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c > 0$. Theorem 12.5 is thus new.

3. σ is symplectic. Most previous results were obtained for symplectic forms. We refer to [21, 25, 23] for the best known results and only mention two of them.

(i) If N is a surface, then for *all* sufficiently small $c > 0$ the energy level E_c carries a closed orbit, and if N is a sphere or a torus, these orbits can be chosen in $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c)$, see [21].

(ii) If $[\sigma]|_{\pi_2(N)} = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all sufficiently small $c > 0$, see [23].

Theorem 12.5 is new if $[\sigma_2] \neq 0$ and $\dim N \geq 4$.

The 2-sphere and the 2-torus are of particular interest, see [45, 35].

Corollary 12.10. *Assume that g is a C^2 -smooth Riemannian metric on S^2 and that $\sigma \neq 0$ is a closed 2-form on S^2 . Then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for a dense subset of small $c > 0$ and for all sufficiently small $c > 0$ if σ is symplectic.*

Corollary 12.11. *Assume that g is a C^2 -smooth Riemannian metric on T^2 and that $\sigma \neq 0$ is a closed 2-form on T^2 . Then $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all sufficiently small $c > 0$ and for all sufficiently small $c > 0$ if σ is symplectic.*

Example 12.12. We consider a non-vanishing magnetic potential A on Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 which is parallel to the z -axis and is 2π -periodic in x and y . The induced closed 2-form $\sigma = A(x, y) dx \wedge dy$ on the flat torus $T^2 = \{x, y \bmod 2\pi\}$ is exact if and only if

$$\int_{T^2} A(x, y) dx dy = 0$$

and symplectic if and only if $A(x, y) \neq 0$ for all $(x, y) \in T^2$. If σ is exact, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c \in]0, d(T^2, \sigma)]$ by Theorem 4.A, if σ is neither exact nor symplectic, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for almost all $c > 0$ by a result of Lu [40], and if σ is symplectic, $\mathcal{P}^\circ(E_c) \neq \emptyset$ for all $c > 0$ by a result of Arnold applying the Conley–Zehnder theorem, see [21, Theorem 3.1 (i)]. The projections of all these closed trajectories lift to

closed trajectories of speed c in the x - y -plane of a charge subject to the magnetic potential A .

13. LAGRANGIAN INTERSECTIONS

Theorem 5 is a special case of

Theorem 13.1. *Assume that (M, ω) is a product of weakly exact convex symplectic manifolds, and let $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$ be a closed Lagrangian submanifold such that*

- (i) *the injection $L \subset M$ induces an injection $\pi_1(L) \subset \pi_1(M)$;*
- (ii) *L admits a Riemannian metric none of whose closed geodesics is contractible.*

Then L is not displaceable.

Proof. Arguing by contradiction we assume that $\psi \in \text{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ displaces L . We can assume that M is compact. By Weinstein's Theorem we find $\epsilon > 0$ such that a neighbourhood U_ϵ of L in M can be symplectically identified with $T_{3\epsilon}^*L$. Choose a smooth function $f: [0, 3\epsilon] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$f(r) = -1 \text{ if } r \leq \epsilon, \quad f(r) = 0 \text{ if } r \geq 2\epsilon, \quad f'(r) > 0 \text{ if } r \in]\epsilon, 2\epsilon[.$$

We choose canonical coordinates (q, p) on $T_{3\epsilon}^*L \equiv U_\epsilon$ and define the autonomous Hamiltonian $H: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$H(x) = H(p) = f(|p|) \text{ if } x = (q, p) \in U_\epsilon, \quad H(x) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Set again $H^{(n)}(t, x) = nH(nt, x)$ so that $\varphi_{H^{(n)}} = \varphi_H^n$. By assumptions (i) and (ii) and by our choice of H , the only contractible periodic orbits of φ_H^t are fixed points, and so $\Sigma_{H^{(n)}} = \{0, n\}$. Since $\varphi_H^n \neq \text{id}$, $\gamma(\varphi_H^n) > 0$, and so we conclude that

$$(57) \quad \gamma(\varphi_H^n) = n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now choose $\epsilon > 0$ above so small that $\psi(U_\epsilon) \cap U_\epsilon = \emptyset$. Since φ_H^n is supported in U_ϵ for all n , we conclude from Proposition 7.4 that $\gamma(\varphi_H^n) \leq 2\gamma(\psi)$, which by (57) is a contradiction. \square

Remarks 13.2. 1. The conclusion of Theorem 13.1 does not hold for a small circle L in a disc D^2 , showing that condition (i) cannot be omitted.

2. According to a theorem of Gromov, [27, 2.3.B'_3], the conclusion of Theorem 13.1 holds for any closed Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$ for which $[\omega]|_{\pi_2(M, L)} = 0$.

3. In [39], Lalonde and Polterovich used the general energy-capacity inequality to prove the conclusion of Theorem 13.1 for *any* symplectic manifold (M, ω) and any closed Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset M \setminus \partial M$ satisfying (i) and

(ii') L admits a Riemannian metric of non-positive curvature.

Of course, (ii') implies (ii). We show by an example that (ii) is a weaker condition than (ii'). Let H be the $(2k + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group endowed with any left invariant Riemannian metric, and choose a discrete cocompact subgroup $\Gamma \subset H$. The Riemannian exponential map from the Lie algebra of H to H is not injective, but there are no closed geodesics, see e.g. [7]. Therefore, $\Gamma \setminus H$ satisfies condition (ii). On the other hand, $\pi_1(\Gamma \setminus H) = \Gamma$ is nilpotent, and so $\Gamma \setminus H$ cannot satisfy (ii'), see [26, 62].

APPENDIX A. AN EXTENSION TO SEMI-POSITIVE CONVEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

In this appendix we extend parts of the main body of this paper to more general convex symplectic manifolds than weakly exact ones. We shall not aim at outermost generality but shall focus on those additional results needed for the proof of Theorem 12.5.

For any symplectic manifold (M, ω) with first Chern class $c_1 = c_1(\omega)$ we denote the homomorphisms $\pi_2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by integration of ω and a representative of c_1 over a sphere also by ω and c_1 . Following [42, 30] we say that a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *semi-positive* if one of the following conditions is satisfied.

- (SP1) $\omega(A) = \lambda c_1(A)$ for every $A \in \pi_2(M)$ where $\lambda \geq 0$;
- (SP2) $c_1(A) = 0$ for every $A \in \pi_2(M)$;
- (SP3) The minimal Chern number $N \geq 0$ defined by $c_1(\pi_2(M)) = N\mathbb{Z}$ is at least $n - 2$.

The semi-positivity condition will exclude bubbling off of pseudo holomorphic spheres in the compactifications of the moduli spaces relevant for defining Floer homology.

Consider a semi-positive compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) . We denote by \mathcal{L} the space of smooth contractible loops $x: S^1 \rightarrow M$. If (M, ω) is not weakly exact, then the action functional (2) is not well-defined on \mathcal{L} . The action functional is, however, well-defined on a suitable cover $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of \mathcal{L} . The elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are equivalence classes $[x, \bar{x}]$

of pairs (x, \bar{x}) where $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{x}: D^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\} \rightarrow M$ satisfies $\bar{x}(e^{it}) = x(t)$, and where (x_1, \bar{x}_1) and (x_2, \bar{x}_2) are equivalent if

$$x_1 = x_2, \quad \omega(\bar{x}_1 \# \bar{x}_2) = 0, \quad c_1(\bar{x}_1 \# \bar{x}_2) = 0.$$

The group

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi_2(M)}{\ker(c_1) \cap \ker(\omega)}$$

acts on pairs $[x, \bar{x}]$ by

$$[x, \bar{x}] \mapsto [x, \bar{x} \# A], \quad A \in \Gamma,$$

and $\mathcal{L} = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}/\Gamma$. The action functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_H([x, \bar{x}]) := - \int \bar{x}^* \omega - \int_0^1 H_t(x(t)) dt$$

is well-defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. For an admissible Hamiltonian function $H \in \mathcal{H}$ the set of its critical points $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H$ consists of those $[x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ for which $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ is a 1-periodic orbit of the flow φ_H^t . Even for regular admissible Hamiltonians $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ the action functional $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_H$ will have infinitely many critical points, and so we need to define the Floer chain complex over a Novikov ring. The Novikov ring Λ_Γ consists of finite formal sums

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} r_\gamma \gamma, \quad r_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

which satisfy the finiteness condition

$$\#\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid r_\gamma \neq 0, \omega(\gamma) \geq \kappa\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \kappa \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Novikov ring Λ_Γ is naturally graded by $-2c_1$. Since its coefficients are taken in the field \mathbb{Z}_2 , the Novikov ring Λ_Γ is actually a field. For $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ we define $CF(M; H)$ to be the Λ_Γ -vector space consisting of formal sums

$$\sum_{[x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H} r_{[x, \bar{x}]} [x, \bar{x}], \quad r_{[x, \bar{x}]} \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

which meet

$$\#\left\{[x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H \mid r_{[x, \bar{x}]} \neq 0, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_H([x, \bar{x}]) \leq \kappa\right\} < \infty \quad \text{for all } \kappa \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For $[x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H$ there is a well defined Conley–Zehnder index μ , which satisfies

$$\mu([x, \bar{x}] \# A) = \mu([x, \bar{x}]) - 2c_1(A), \quad A \in \Gamma.$$

It turns $CF(M; H)$ into a graded Λ_Γ -vector space. For an admissible almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}$ define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}([x, \bar{x}], [y, \bar{y}])$ as the set of solutions u of the Floer equation (18) for which $\bar{x} \# u \# \bar{y}$ represents the trivial class in Γ . For generic choice of J this moduli

space is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mu([x, \bar{x}]) - \mu([y, \bar{y}])$. Using Corollary 2.3, the semi-positivity assumption and the Floer–Gromov’s compactness theorem one can prove that for generic choice of J the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}([x, \bar{x}], [y, \bar{y}])$ for $\mu([x, \bar{x}]) - \mu([y, \bar{y}]) = 1$ are compact, see [30]. We can thus set

$$n([x, \bar{x}], [y, \bar{y}]) := \# \mathcal{M}([x, \bar{x}], [y, \bar{y}]) \mod 2.$$

Define the Floer boundary operator $\partial_k: CF_k(M; H) \rightarrow CF_{k-1}(M; H)$ as the linear extension of

$$\partial_k([x, \bar{x}]) = \sum_{\substack{[y, \bar{y}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H \\ \mu([y, \bar{y}]) = k-1}} n([x, \bar{x}], [y, \bar{y}]) [y, \bar{y}]$$

where $[x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H$ and $\mu([x, \bar{x}]) = k$. Using again convexity, semi-positivity and Floer–Gromov compactness one can prove that the right-hand side lies in $CF_{k-1}(M; H)$, i.e., satisfies the required finiteness conditions. The boundary operator satisfies $\partial^2 = 0$, and its homology does not depend on the regular pair (H, J) . The resulting graded homology $HF_*(M)$ is a module over the Novikov ring Λ_Γ . Proceeding as in Section 4 one constructs the PSS isomorphism

$$\Phi: HM_*(M, \Lambda_\Gamma) := HM_*(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Lambda_\Gamma \rightarrow HF_*(M).$$

We are now going to explain how the Schwarz norm γ can be defined on the level of functions. For $H \in \mathcal{H}$ the action spectrum Σ_H is the set

$$\Sigma_H = \left\{ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_H([x, \bar{x}]) \mid [x, \bar{x}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_H \right\}.$$

For $H \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{reg}}$ we define $c(H) \in \Sigma_H$ as is (23). One shows as in the weakly exact case that c is continuous with respect to the Hofer norm, and so we can define c on $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$. In order to see that c satisfies the triangle inequality (26), we notice that the pair of pants product still defines a ring structure on Floer homology. This ring structure is isomorphic to the ring structure on quantum homology given by the quantum cup product. It is proved in [44, Proposition 8.1.4] that the image under the PSS isomorphism Φ of a point at which an admissible Morse function attains its single maximum is still the identity element in Floer homology endowed with the pair of pants ring structure. Using this one shows as in [59, Section 4] that c indeed satisfies the triangle inequality.

Lemma A.1. *Consider a compact split-convex symplectic manifold (M, ω) with $c_1(\omega) = 0$. Assume that the time-independent and C^2 -small Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ attains its maximum only at one point*

p , and that p is a nondegenerate critical point. Then

$$c(H) = \max H.$$

Proof. Since c_1 vanishes on $\pi_2(M)$ and H is C^2 -small, the Conley–Zehnder indices of the critical points of H agree with their Morse indices. Since H attains its maximum only at p , the point p is the only critical point of index $2n$ and hence must be the image of the PSS isomorphism Φ applied to the single point at which an admissible Morse function attains its maximum. It follows that $c(H) = \max H$. \square

We define the Schwarz norm $\gamma: \mathcal{H}_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\gamma(H) = c(H) + c(H^-).$$

We do not study the relation between $\gamma(H)$ and $\gamma(K)$ if $\varphi_H = \varphi_K$. We do notice, however, that the continuity of c and the fact that $\Sigma_H \subset \mathbb{R}$ has measure zero, [46, Lemma 2.2], imply that $\gamma(H) = \gamma(K)$ if K is a time reparametrization of H .

Theorem A.2. *Consider a compact split-convex symplectic manifold with $c_1(\omega) = 0$. The Schwarz norm γ on $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ has the following properties.*

- (S1) $\gamma(0) = 0$ and $\gamma(H) > 0$ if $\varphi_H \neq \text{id}$;
- (S2) $\gamma(H \diamond K) \leq \gamma(H) + \gamma(K)$;
- (S3) $\gamma(H_\vartheta) = \gamma(H)$ for all $\vartheta \in \text{Symp}_c(M)$;
- (S4) $\gamma(H) = \gamma(H^-)$;
- (S5) $\gamma(H) \leq \|H\|$.

Moreover, $\gamma(H) \leq 2\gamma(K)$ if φ_K displaces $\text{supp } \varphi_H$.

Proof. Properties (S2), (S3), (S4) and (S5) are derived as in the proof of Theorem 7.3. Since $\gamma(H)$ does not depend on the time parametrization of H , since c is continuous and since Σ_H has measure zero, we can prove the last statement by arguing as in the proof of Proposition 7.4. The identity $\gamma(0) = 0$ follows from (S2) and (S5) with $H = K = 0$. The nondegeneracy of γ follows from Lemma A.1 and the last statement. \square

Theorem A.2 is strong enough to obtain the following version of Theorem 11.1 for rational split-convex symplectic manifolds with $c_1 = 0$.

Theorem A.3. *Assume that (M, ω) is a rational split-convex symplectic manifold with $c_1(\omega) = 0$ and index of rationality \hbar , and consider a displaceable hypersurface S of M with displacement energy $e(S, M) < \hbar/2$. Then for every thickening (S_ϵ) of S and every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon \in [-\delta, \delta]$ such that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. We choose $K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ such that $\|K\| < \hbar/2$, choose i so large that $\text{supp } \varphi_K \subset M_i$, and define H (with $E = \hbar$) as in the proof of Theorem 11.1. Properties (S1) and (S5) and the last statement in Theorem A.2 yield

$$0 < \gamma_{M_i}(H) \leq 2 \|K\| < \hbar.$$

Since H does not depend on time, it is obvious that $\Sigma_{H-} = -\Sigma_H$. Notice that the contribution of a constant orbit $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ to Σ_H is $\hbar\mathbb{Z}$. Together with $\gamma_{M_i}(H) = c(H) + c(-H)$ we conclude as in the proof of Theorem 11.1 that $\mathcal{P}^\circ(S_\epsilon) \neq \emptyset$ for some $\epsilon \in]-\delta, \delta[$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Biran and K. Cieliebak. Lagrangian embeddings into subcritical Stein manifolds. *Israel J. Math.* **127** (2002) 221–244.
- [2] P. Biran, L. Polterovich and D. Salamon. Propagation in Hamiltonian dynamics and relative symplectic homology. *math.SG/0108134*
- [3] K. Cieliebak. Subcritical Stein manifolds are split. *math.DG/0204351*
- [4] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain and M. Paternain. Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé’s critical values. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **8** (1998) 788–809.
- [5] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain and M. Paternain. The Palais-Smale condition and Mañé’s critical values. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **1** (2000) 655–684.
- [6] G. Contreras, L. Macarini and G. P. Paternain. Periodic orbits for magnetic flows on surfaces. Preprint 2002. <http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~gpp24/clor2.pdf>
- [7] P. Eberlein. Geometry of 2-step nilpotent groups with a left invariant metric. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **27** (1994) 611–660.
- [8] Ya. Eliashberg. Topological characterization of Stein manifolds of dimension > 2 . *Internat. J. Math.* **1** (1990) 29–46.
- [9] Ya. Eliashberg. Symplectic geometry of plurisubharmonic functions. With notes by Miguel Abreu. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 488, Gauge theory and symplectic geometry (Montreal, 1995), 49–67, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
- [10] Ya. Eliashberg and M. Gromov. Convex symplectic manifolds. In *Several Complex Variables and Complex Geometry, Proceedings, Summer Research Institute, Santa Cruz, 1989, Part 2*. Ed. by E. Bedford et al.. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **52**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991, 135–162.
- [11] A. Floer. A relative Morse index for the symplectic action. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1988) 393–407.
- [12] A. Floer. The unregularized gradient flow of the symplectic action. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1988) 775–813.
- [13] A. Floer. Morse theory for Lagrangian intersections. *J. Diff. Geom.* **28** (1988) 513–547.

- [14] A. Floer. Witten's complex and infinite-dimensional Morse theory. *J. Diff. Geom.* **30** (1989) 207–221.
- [15] A. Floer. Symplectic fixed points and holomorphic spheres. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **120** (1989) 575–611.
- [16] A. Floer, H. Hofer and D. Salamon. Transversality in elliptic Morse theory for the symplectic action. *Duke Math. J.* **80** (1995) 251–292.
- [17] U. Frauenfelder. Floer homology of symplectic quotients and the Arnold–Givental conjecture. Diss. ETH No. 14981. Zürich 2003.
- [18] U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk. Slow entropy and symplectomorphisms of cotangent bundles. Preprint ETH Zürich 2003.
- [19] U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk. Spectral metrics and Hofer's geometry. In preparation.
- [20] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **224**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [21] V. Ginzburg. On closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field. An application of symplectic geometry. Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994), 131–148, *Publ. Newton Inst.* **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [22] V. Ginzburg. Hamiltonian dynamical systems without periodic orbits. Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar, 35–48, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, **196**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [23] V. Ginzburg and B. Gürel. Relative Hofer–Zehnder capacity and periodic orbits in twisted cotangent bundles. math.DG/0301073.
- [24] V. Ginzburg and E. Kerman. Periodic orbits in magnetic fields in dimensions greater than two. Geometry and topology in dynamics (Winston-Salem, NC, 1998/San Antonio, TX, 1999), 113–121, *Contemp. Math.* **246**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [25] V. Ginzburg and E. Kerman. Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian flows near symplectic extrema. *Pacific J. Math.* **206** (2002) 69–91.
- [26] D. Gromoll and J. Wolf. Some relations between the metric structure and the algebraic structure of the fundamental group in manifolds of nonpositive curvature. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1971) 545–552.
- [27] M. Gromov. Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. math.* **82** (1985) 307–347.
- [28] D. Hermann. Holomorphic curves and Hamiltonian systems in an open set with restricted contact-type boundary. *Duke Math. J.* **103** (2000) 335–374.
- [29] H. Hofer. Estimates for the energy of a symplectic map. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **68** (1993) 48–72.
- [30] H. Hofer and D. Salamon. Floer homology and Novikov rings. The Floer memorial volume, 483–524, *Progr. Math.* **133**. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1995.
- [31] H. Hofer and C. Viterbo. The Weinstein conjecture in cotangent bundles and related results. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. IV* **15** (1988) 411–445.
- [32] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder. Periodic solutions on hypersurfaces and a result by C. Viterbo. *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987) 1–9.
- [33] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder. A new capacity for symplectic manifolds. *Analysis, et cetera*, 405–427, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

- [34] H. Hofer and E. Zehnder. *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [35] V. V. Kozlov. Calculus of variations in the large and classical mechanics. *Russian Math. Surveys* **40** (2) (1985) 37–71.
- [36] F. Laudenbach and J.-C. Sikorav. Hamiltonian disjunction and limits of Lagrangian submanifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1994, 161–168.
- [37] E. Lima. Orientability of smooth hypersurfaces and the Jordan-Brouwer separation theorem. *Exposition. Math.* **5** (1987) 283–286.
- [38] F. Lalonde and D. McDuff. The geometry of symplectic energy. *Ann. of Math.* **141** (1995) 349–371.
- [39] F. Lalonde and L. Polterovich. Symplectic diffeomorphisms as isometries of Hofer’s norm. *Topology* **36** (1997) 711–727.
- [40] G. Lu Periodic motion of a charge on a manifold in the magnetic fields. math.DG/9905146.
- [41] L. Macarini. Hofer–Zehnder capacity and Hamiltonian circle actions. math.SG/0205030.
- [42] D. McDuff. Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries. *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991) 651–671.
- [43] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [44] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and quantum cohomology*. University Lecture Series **6**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [45] S. P. Novikov. The Hamiltonian formalism and a many-valued analogue of Morse theory. *Russian Math. Surveys* **37** (5) (1982) 1–56.
- [46] Y.-G. Oh. Chain level Floer theory and Hofer’s geometry of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group. math.SG/0104243.
- [47] Y.-G. Oh. Normalization of the Hamiltonian and the action spectrum. math.SG/0206090.
- [48] Y.-G. Oh. Mini-max theory, spectral invariants and geometry of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group. math.SG/0207214.
- [49] G. Paternain and M. Paternain. Critical values of autonomous Lagrangian systems. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (1997) 481–499.
- [50] G. Paternain, L. Polterovich and K. Siburg. Boundary rigidity for Lagrangian submanifolds, non-removable intersections, and Aubry-Mather theory. math.SG/0207140.
- [51] S. Piunikhin, D. Salamon and M. Schwarz. Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology. *Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)*, 171–200, Publ. Newton Inst. **8**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [52] L. Polterovich. An obstacle to non-Lagrangian intersections. The Floer memorial volume, 575–586, Progr. Math. **133**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [53] L. Polterovich. Geometry on the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998). *Doc. Math.* **1998**, Extra Vol. II, 401–410.
- [54] L. Polterovich. *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

- [55] L. Polterovich. Growth of maps, distortion in groups and symplectic geometry. *Invent. math.* **150** (2002) 655–686.
- [56] D. Salamon. Lectures on Floer homology. Symplectic geometry and topology (Park City, UT, 1997), 143–229, IAS/Park City Math. Ser. **7**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [57] D. Salamon and E. Zehnder. Morse theory for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and the Maslov index. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992) 1303–1360.
- [58] M. Schwarz. *Morse homology*. Progress in Mathematics **111**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [59] M. Schwarz. On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.* **193** (2000) 419–461.
- [60] C. Viterbo. Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions. *Math. Ann.* **292** (1992) 685–710.
- [61] C. Viterbo. Functors and computations in Floer homology with applications. I. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **9** (1999) 985–1033.
- [62] S. Yau. On the fundamental group of compact manifolds of non-positive curvature. *Ann. of Math.* **93** (1971) 579–585.

(U. FRAUENFELDER) ETH ZÜRICH, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `ufrauenf@math.ethz.ch`

(F. SCHLENK) ETH ZÜRICH, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `schlenk@math.ethz.ch`