First-in-Human Experience of CXCR4-Directed Endoradiotherapy with ¹⁷⁷Lu- and ⁹⁰Y-Labeled Pentixather in Advanced-Stage Multiple Myeloma with Extensive Intra- and Extramedullary Disease

Ken Herrmann^{*1-3}, Margret Schottelius^{*4}, Constantin Lapa¹, Theresa Osl⁴, Andreas Poschenrieder⁴, Heribert Hänscheid¹, Katharina Lückerath¹, Martin Schreder⁵, Christina Bluemel¹, Markus Knott⁵, Ulrich Keller^{6,7}, Andreas Schirbel¹, Samuel Samnick¹, Michael Lassmann¹, Saskia Kropf⁸, Andreas K. Buck¹, Hermann Einsele⁵, Hans-Juergen Wester^{†4}, and Stefan Knop^{†5}

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ²Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California; ³Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California; ⁴Pharmaceutical Radiochemistry, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine II, Division of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ⁶Department of Medicine III (Hematology/Oncology), Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany; ⁷German Cancer Consortium (DKTK) and Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany; and ⁸Scintomics GmbH, Fürstenfeldbruck, Germany

For correspondence or reprints contact: Ken Herrmann, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Universitätsklinikum Würzburg, Oberdürrbacher Strasse 6, 97080 Würzburg, Germany.

E-mail: herrmann_k1@ukw.de

*Contributed equally to this work.

[†]Contributed equally to this work.

Wultiple myeloma is a cancer arising from clonally expanding plasma cells. Despite treatment advances such as proteasome inhibitors and immunomodulatory drugs alone or in combination with stem cell transplantation (SCT), multiple myeloma invariably relapses (1-3) and thus remains incurable. The low response rates to current therapy are in part explained by the emergence of multiple clones, leading to pronounced inter- and intratumor heterogeneity and rapid development of resistance (4,5). Therefore, novel strategies facilitating effective myeloma cell kill are urgently needed.

In cancer, overexpression of chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) and its activation by stromal cell-derived factor 1 binding are key triggers for tumor growth, progression, invasion, and metastasis (6–8). CXCR4 is overexpressed in multiple myeloma cells (9,10). Wester's group has successfully developed a radiolabeled CXCR4 ligand (⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor) for PET imaging (11,12). Proof of concept for visualization of CXCR4 expression has recently been demonstrated in patients with lymphoma (13) and multiple myeloma (14). To transfer this targeting vector to a therapeutic scenario, derivatives of the compound allowing labeling with various α - and β ⁻-emitters have been developed. Here, we report our first experience with CXCR4-targeted endoradiotherapy in combination with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous SCT applied in 3 patients with advanced and heavily pretreated multiple myeloma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Three patients (2 men and 1 woman aged 51, 62, and 66 y) with relapsed multiple myeloma were studied. Prior chemotherapies included lenalidomide, bortezomib, pomalidomide, and carfilzomib in various combinations. All patients had undergone autologous SCT and presented with clinically active disease and especially extensive extramedullary disease.

CXCR4 expression was confirmed by imaging in all 3 patients using ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor PET/CT. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was additionally

performed to measure glycolytic activity in active myeloma lesions. The patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. Given the lack of alternative treatments-and in view of the extensive extramedullary disease, documented CXCR4 expression, and availability of bone marrow for marrow rescue-an interdisciplinary board of specialists opted for CXCR4-targeted endoradiotherapy combined with highdose chemotherapy and autologous SCT. The clinical ethics committee of our institution (Universitätsklinikum Würzburg) approved each individual treatment on a compassionate-use basis (German Drug Act, §13,2b). All subjects gave written informed consent before receiving the therapy.

Dosimetry

As part of this prospective protocol, a preendoradiotherapy dosimetric calculation using SPECT/CT and serial planar imaging was performed on all 3 patients after intravenous injection of approximately 200 MBq of 177Lu-pentixather without nephroprotective medication. This was done to record sites of unexpected tracer accumulation that may denote potential toxicity, determine the organ radiation doses, and estimate the achievable tumor doses. The absorbed doses in tumors and organs were assessed by analyzing regions of interest in multiple planar total-body images to obtain pharmacokinetic data and a single SPECT/CT scan to scale the pharmacokinetic curve. All images were acquired using dual-head γ -cameras (Symbia E for planar imaging, Symbia T2 calibrated from phantom measurements with ¹⁷⁷Lu activity standards for SPECT/CT imaging; Siemens) equipped with medium-energy collimators. Pharmacokinetic data were fitted by biexponential functions. SPECT/CT data were reconstructed using 3-dimensional ordered-subsets expectation maximization (6 subsets, 6 iterations, 6-mm gaussian filter) with corrections for scatter and attenuation to obtain absolute activity quantification in voxels sized 0.11 cm3. The 1-mL volume with the highest activity concentration was termed the maxV.

Therapy

Consistent with experience with peptide receptor radionuclide therapy in neuroendocrine tumor patients, preendoradiotherapy dosimetry identified the kidney as the dose-limiting organ. The administered endoradiotherapy activities were chosen to target at 23 Gy in the maxV. Accordingly, patients 1 and 2 were treated by intravenous infusion of 15.2 and 23.5 GBq of 177Lu-pentixather, respectively. Additional posttherapy dosimetry scans were obtained in both patients. In neuroendocrine tumor patients, the high-energy emitter 90Y has been shown to be more effective than ¹⁷⁷Lu for treating larger lesions (15,16). Therefore, a 6.3-GBq infusion of ⁹⁰Y-pentixather was administered in one patient (patient 3) with larger myeloma lesions. In an attempt to further reduce renal toxicity, 25 g of L-arginine and 25 g of L-lysine (pH 7.0, diluted in 2 L of normal saline), were intravenously administered over 4 h beginning 30 min to 1 h before endoradiotherapy as previously recommended for neuroendocrine tumor patients undergoing peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (17). Vital signs, complete blood count, and chemistry including kidney and liver function were documented as acute adverse events during the infusion and within 7 d after administration.

Response Assessment

Nonmetabolic myeloma response was assessed according to the criteria of the International Myeloma Working Group (18). Additionally, assessment by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT early (within 21 d) after treatment was available in patients 1 and 3. Assessment for minimal residual disease as defined by Munshi and Anderson was not performed (19).

Patient Characteristics			
Characteristic	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3
Sex	М	F	Μ
Age	62 y	66 y	51 y
Myeloma type	lgG к	LС к	LC λ
Disease duration*	18 mo	53 mo	59 mo
Sites of EMD	Soft tissue, testis	LN, leptomeninges	LN, pleura, soft tissue
Previous chemoTx [†]	PAD, pom, dex, carfilzomib	PAD, pom, dex, lenalidomide	VCD, PAD, revlimid, pom, dex
Previous autologous Tx	1 time	3 times	3 times
PreTx dosimetry	238 MBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	199 MBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	212 MBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu
Mean kidney dose	1.02 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.93 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.48 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu
Kidney dose maxV	1.39 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	1.08 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	2.9 Gy/GBq ⁹⁰ Y est
Mean liver dose	0.38 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.79 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.62 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu
Tumor dose maxV	3.3 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	9.5 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	4.9 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu
Tx	15.2 GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	23.5 GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	6.3 GBq ⁹⁰ Y
Mean kidney dose	0.57 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.50 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	2.2 Gy/GBq ⁹⁰ Y est
Mean liver dose	0.37 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	0.56 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	1.7 Gy/GBq ⁹⁰ Y est
Tumor dose maxV	3.5 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	3.0 Gy/GBq ¹⁷⁷ Lu	11.3 Gy/GBq ⁹⁰ Y est
Response	PR on ¹⁸ F-FDG	NA	CR ¹⁸ F-FDG

TABLE 1

*Time from primary diagnosis to endoradiotherapy.

[†]Including novel agents.

LC = (immunoglobulin) light chains; EMD = extramedullary disease; LN = lymph nodes; Tx = therapy; PAD = bortezomib, doxorubicin, dexamethasone; pom = pomalidomide; dex = dexamethasone; VCD = bortezomib, cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone; est = estimate based on pretherapy kinetics (nephron-protection unconsidered); PR = partial remission; NA = not applicable; CR = complete remission.

RESULTS

Pentixafor PET and Dosimetry

All patients showed intense CXCR4 expression in the intra- and extramedullary myeloma lesions on ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor PET. All hypermetabolic lesions on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT exhibited concordant ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor uptake (Fig. 1A). Intra- and extramedullary manifestations showed no differences in ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor positivity.

Since the bone marrow was one of the main therapeutic targets, a high radiation dose to this organ and myelosuppression were expected. The kidneys were the dose-limiting organs as determined by pretreatment dosimetry (Table 1). In relation to the respective tolerable organ doses, the kidney doses were higher than the liver doses in all 3 patients in pretreatment dosimetry and remained relatively higher in patients 1 and 2 during therapy, although nephroprotective treatment reduced the mean kidney dose by about 45% to 0.57 and 0.50 Gy/GBq, respectively. Therapeutic tumor doses of up to 60 Gy in patient 1 and 71 Gy in patient 2 were determined for the voxels with the highest activity concentration; corresponding maxVs were 53 and 70 Gy, respectively (Table 1). Up to an 84-Gy maximum voxel dose and 71 Gy in maxV were predicted for patient 3 on the basis of pretherapeutic measurements of the 177 Lu-pentixather kinetics decay corrected for 90 Y-pentixather.

Therapy and Posttherapy Images

No acute adverse effects were associated with ¹⁷⁷Lu- and ⁹⁰Y-pentixather therapy during the first 14 d after infusion. No changes in vital signs occurred. Posttherapeutic scintigraphic imaging after ¹⁷⁷Lu-pentixather therapy, including SPECT/CT and serial planar scans, demonstrated high pentixather uptake in all tumor lesions, consistent with diagnostic ⁶⁸Ga-pentixafor PET/CT and pretherapeutic ¹⁷⁷Lu-pentixather dosimetry scans. In one patient, additional imaging could be obtained as late as 14 d after injection of the radiopharmaceutical and demonstrated persistent pentixather retention (Fig. 1B).

Response Assessment with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT and Serum Parameters

Two of the 3 patients underwent ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for response assessment 14 and 21 d after treatment. Patient 1 (treated with



FIGURE 1. (A) In patient 3 before pentixather therapy, maximum-intensity projections of ⁶⁸Gapentixafor and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT indicate high CXCR4 expression in multiple extra- and intramedullary ¹⁸F-FDG-avid myeloma lesions. Corresponding ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT image 2 wk after ⁹⁰Y-pentixather shows complete metabolic response. (B) Scintigraphic images of patient 1 at 24 h and 15 d after 15.2 GBq of ¹⁷⁷Lu-pentixather confirm binding to CXCR4 target. Visual difference in tumor-to-background ratios is due to reduced background uptake at later time point and longer emission times due to lower count rates.

¹⁷⁷Lu-pentixather) showed a partial response with a reduction of SUV_{max} by greater than 35% in all lesions. Patient 3 had a complete metabolic response after ⁹⁰Y-pentixather treatment, showing visual resolution of all previous ¹⁸F-FDG–positive lesions (Fig. 1). Consistently, a more than 50% decrease in the difference between involved and uninvolved serum free light chain levels was observed in patients 1 (90.0 mg/L before therapy, 23.0 mg/L after ¹⁷⁷Lu-pentixather, and 11.8 mg/L after SCT) and 3 (385.0 mg/L before therapy and 9.1 mg/L after ⁹⁰Y-pentixather and SCT). Patient 2 presented with sepsis shortly after autologous SCT and therefore did not undergo restaging with ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT. None of the 3 subjects underwent minimal residual disease evaluation with bone marrow examination and fluorescence-activated cell analysis for myeloma.

Outcome

After showing a partial response at the first posttherapeutic assessment by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, patient 1 underwent subsequent high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support. This patient, however, died 6 mo after pentixather therapy from myeloma relapse. Patient 2 died from sepsis 3 wk after pentixather therapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy (BEAM) and autologous SCT. The complete metabolic responder (patient 3) died 3 mo after ⁹⁰Y-pentixather therapy because of tumor progression with central nervous system disease. In none of the patients were any acute adverse events recorded immediately during or within 1 wk after pentixather therapy; in particular, no nausea or cardiac, renal, or hepatic toxicity occurred.

DISCUSSION

Here, we report the first-in-human administration of CXCR4targeted radionuclide therapy using ¹⁷⁷Lu- and ⁹⁰Y-labeled pentixather in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. Application of ¹⁷⁷Lu- and ⁹⁰Y-pentixather was safe and well tolerated, without any acute nonhematologic adverse effects despite a prior history of multiple courses of chemotherapy, including novel agents and autologous SCT. However, pentixather treatment resulted in myeloablation in all 3 patients and might have contributed to the

> leukopenia and sepsis seen in patient 2. As all 3 patients had extensive extramedullary disease manifestations, pentixather was accordingly combined with preemptive autologous stem cell rescue. Even after a single application, pentixather was retained in all multiple myeloma lesions for up to 2 wk after initial treatment (Fig. 1B). Prolonged retention of pentixather will lead to a higher target radiation dose, which might be associated with a higher probability of treatment response.

> In the 2 patients evaluable for response by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, one partial metabolic imaging response could be documented, as well as one complete response of all extramedullary lesions. All myeloma lesions had been refractory to all standard and novel regimens; thus, CXCR4-directed radiotherapy might prove a powerful new tool in addressing both intra- and extramedullary disease despite the limited progression-free survival documented in the patients (3–6 mo). Because advanced multiple myeloma represents multiclonal disease, the β-emitting

endoradiotherapy might also affect cell clones not directly targeted by pentixather because of the radiation-induced bystander effect. This may indeed be one of the key advantages of endoradiotherapy. However, because of the significant radiation dose administered to the bone marrow in these patients, a combination of CXCR4-directed radiotherapy with high-dose chemotherapy and consecutive stem cell support appears mandatory. All patients underwent CXCR4-targeted radiotherapy followed by high-dose conventional chemotherapy and consecutive SCT. In this setting, the therapeutic effects of each individual treatment component could not be dissected. However, because all patients had been heavily pretreated with multiple chemotherapeutic regimens and were presenting with refractory disease with lack of alternative treatments, the observed metabolic response was at least partially due to the CXCR4-directed endoradiotherapy. Nevertheless, this promising proof of principle in 3 patients requires further evaluation, including safety and toxicity studies, as well as prospectively designed clinical trials with well-defined primary and secondary endpoints, especially in view of the pivotal role CXCR4 seems to play in the pathogenesis of not only hematologic malignancies (6,9,10) but also solid tumors (20).

CONCLUSION

CXCR4-directed endoradiotherapy in addition to chemotherapy and autologous SCT is feasible and produced a promising response in our patients, warranting further investigation as a treatment option in heavily pretreated patients with advanced multiple myeloma, especially with extramedullary disease.

DISCLOSURE

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734. Saskia Kropf and Hans-Juergen Wester are CEOs of Scintomics. Ulrich Keller received support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SFB 824 and the German Cancer Consortium. Constantin Lapa, Katharina Lückerath, Andreas K. Buck, Hermann Einsele, and Stefan Knop received support from the Wilhelm-Sander-Stiftung (grant 2013.906.1). No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Simone Seifert, Simone Groß, Michael Schulze-Glück (members of the nuclear medicine PET team), Inge Grelle, and the whole staff of Ward M63 for their support and assistance. We further thank Matthias Konrad and Daniel Di Carlo for their dedication and excellent work in the synthesis of pentixather.

REFERENCES

- de la Puente P, Muz B, Azab F, Luderer M, Azab AK. Molecularly targeted therapies in multiple myeloma. *Leuk Res Treatment*. 2014;2014:976567.
- 2. Röllig C, Knop S, Bornhauser M. Multiple myeloma. Lancet. 2015;385:2197-2208.
- Palumbo A, Rajkumar SV, San Miguel JF, et al. International Myeloma Working Group consensus statement for the management, treatment, and supportive care of patients with myeloma not eligible for standard autologous stem-cell transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32:587–600.
- Prideaux SM, Conway O'Brien E, Chevassut TJ. The genetic architecture of multiple myeloma. Adv Hematol. 2014;2014:864058.
- Brioli A, Melchor L, Cavo M, Morgan GJ. The impact of intra-clonal heterogeneity on the treatment of multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* 2014;165:441–454.
- Peled A, Tavor S. Role of CXCR4 in the pathogenesis of acute myeloid leukemia. *Theranostics*. 2013;3:34–39.
- Ratajczak MZ, Serwin K, Schneider G. Innate immunity derived factors as external modulators of the CXCL12-CXCR4 axis and their role in stem cell homing and mobilization. *Theranostics*. 2013;3:3–10.
- Domanska UM, Kruizinga RC, Nagengast WB, et al. A review on CXCR4/ CXCL12 axis in oncology: no place to hide. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49:219–230.
- Burger JA, Peled A. CXCR4 antagonists: targeting the microenvironment in leukemia and other cancers. *Leukemia*. 2009;23:43–52.
- Cojoc M, Peitzsch C, Trautmann F, Polishchuk L, Telegeev GD, Dubrovska A. Emerging targets in cancer management: role of the CXCL12/CXCR4 axis. Onco Targets Ther. 2013;6:1347–1361.
- Demmer O, Gourni E, Schumacher U, Kessler H, Wester HJ. PET imaging of CXCR4 receptors in cancer by a new optimized ligand. *ChemMedChem.* 2011;6: 1789–1791.
- Gourni E, Demmer O, Schottelius M, et al. PET of CXCR4 expression by a ⁶⁸Galabeled highly specific targeted contrast agent. J Nucl Med. 2011;52:1803–1810.
- Wester HJ, Keller U, Schottelius M, et al. Disclosing the CXCR4 expression in lymphoproliferative diseases by targeted molecular imaging. *Theranostics*. 2015;5:618– 630.
- Philipp-Abbrederis K, Herrmann K, Knop S, et al. In vivo molecular imaging of chemokine receptor CXCR4 expression in patients with advanced multiple myeloma. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2015;7:477–487.
- de Jong M, Breeman WA, Valkema R, Bernard BF, Krenning EP. Combination radionuclide therapy using ¹⁷⁷Lu- and ⁹⁰Y-labeled somatostatin analogs. *J Nucl Med.* 2005;46(suppl 1):13S–17S.
- Romer A, Seiler D, Marincek N, et al. Somatostatin-based radiopeptide therapy with [¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA]-TOC versus [⁹⁰Y-DOTA]-TOC in neuroendocrine tumours. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:214–222.
- Bodei L, Mueller-Brand J, Baum RP, et al. The joint IAEA, EANM, and SNMMI practical guidance on peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRNT) in neuroendocrine tumours. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2013;40:800–816.
- Durie BG, Harousseau JL, Miguel JS, et al. International uniform response criteria for multiple myeloma. *Leukemia*. 2006;20:1467–1473.
- Munshi NC, Anderson KC. Minimal residual disease in multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:2523–2526.
- Tamas K, Domanska UM, Dijk TH, et al. CXCR4 and CXCL12 expression in rectal tumors of stage IV patients before and after local radiotherapy and systemic neoadjuvant treatment. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2015;21:2276–2283.