Symmetric translation nets

Dieter Jungnickel

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Jungnickel, Dieter. 1982. "Symmetric translation nets." *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* 335: 216–20.

Symmetric translation nets

By *Dieter Jungnickel* at Gießen

1. Introduction

Let Σ be an incidence structure with a parallelism $\|$ (i.e. an equivalence relation on the block set of Σ such that each $\|$-class partitions the point set of Σ). Σ is called an $(s, r; \mu)$-net provided that any two non-parallel blocks intersect in precisely μ points and that there are r parallel classes each of which has s blocks in it. It is easily seen that an $(s, r; \mu)$-net is the same as an affine 1-$(s^2\mu, s\mu, r)$-design. Such structures have found considerable interest; in case $\mu = 1$ they are just the well-known Bruck nets (see [4], [5]) which are equivalent to mutually orthogonal sets of Latin squares providing a very important tool in the construction of block designs. We mention two special classes of $(s, r; \mu)$-nets: A *complete* (s, μ)-net is an $(s, r; \mu)$-net with $r = \frac{s^2\mu - 1}{s-1}$. This is the maximum value of r one may have in any $(s, r; \mu)$-net and it is reached in fact precisely when simultaneously Σ is an (affine) 2-design. For a proof, see e.g. the survey paper of Mavron [14] who also discusses the second special class of nets we want to consider, i.e. *symmetric* (s, μ)-nets: These are $(s, s\mu; \mu)$-nets whose dual is likewise an $(s, s\mu; \mu)$-net.

The author has studied nets with various types of collineation groups in a series of papers (for references, cf. [9]). Here we will be concerned once more with *translation* nets, i.e. with $(s, r; \mu)$-nets admitting a collineation group G acting regularly on the point set and fixing each parallel class of Σ. Translation nets have been studied in case $\mu = 1$ by Sprague [16] and in general by the author in [9]. There we have given lower and upper bounds on r for various types of translation groups (abelian, nilpotent, ...). The main result of this paper was that the maximum value for r in a non-elementary abelian p-group is roughly only the p-th part of that in the corresponding elementary abelian group (see [9], Section 4). Using this and the result of Schulz [15] who proved that any translation 2-design has a p-group (in fact of exponent p) as its translation group we obtained:

Theorem 1. *An affine translation 2-design with parameters (s, μ) and translation group G exists iff*

(i) *s is a prime power and μ a power of s;*

(ii) *G is elementary abelian.*

0075-4102/82/0335-0010$02.00

Previously, this was only known if one had required the existence of a non-trivial central dilatation. Regarding symmetric nets, we had shown

Lemma 1. *A symmetric translation* (s, μ)*-net with nilpotent translation group G exists iff*

(i) *s and* μ *are powers of the same prime p;*

(ii) *G is elementary abelian.*

We conjectured that the hypothesis that G be nilpotent is unnecessary in this case, too. We shall now use arguments in analogy to those of Schulz [15] to show the following

Lemma 2. *The translation group of a symmetric translation net is necessarily a p-group.*

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2, we immediately have

Theorem 2. *A symmetric translation* (s, μ)*-net with translation group G exists iff*

(i) *s and* μ *are powers of the same prime p;*

(ii) *G is elementary abelian.*

The constructive part of this assertion was already given in [10]. We will now proceed to proving Lemma 2 using the classification of all finite groups with a partition (i.e. a set of subgroups which pairwise intersect in 1 only and which cover the group).

2. The proof

Let Σ be a symmetric translation (s, μ)-net with translation group G. Then Σ may be represented in the following way (see [9]): points are the elements of G and blocks are the cosets of a family $\mathscr{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_{s\mu}\}$ of subgroups of G satisfying

(1) $|U_i| = s\mu$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s\mu$;

(2) $|U_i \cap U_j| = \mu$ whenever $i \neq j$;

and then also

(3) $U_i U_j = G$ whenever $i \neq j$.

(In fact one chooses the U_i to be the stabilizers in G of the blocks through 1). We now denote by N the set of all points not joined to 1, i.e.

(4) $$N = \{g \in G:\ 1 \text{ and } g \text{ are not joined}\}.$$

As Σ is a symmetric net, not being joined it induces an equivalence relation on the point set; using this, one immediately sees that N is a subgroup (of order s) of G, as collineations preserve the property of being (not) joined.

Next recall that a *line* of Σ is the intersection of all blocks joining two given points (which are on a common block at all). Lines either are equal or intersect in at most 1 point. Now it is easily seen that N together with all stabilizers G_L (where L is any line through 1) forms a partition $\mathcal{P}$ of G. Assume first that $\mu=1$; then in fact $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{U}\cup\{N\}$ is a congruence partition in the sense of André [1], i.e. $\mathcal{P}$ describes an affine translation plane. It is well-known that G then has to be an (elementary abelian) p-group.

Thus assume $\mu\neq 1$ henceforth. As $\mathcal{P}$ is a (non-trivial) partition of G, the results of Baer [2], [3], Kegel [11] and Suzuki [17] imply that G is one of the following: a p-group of order $>p$, a Frobenius group, an $HT(p)$-group or isomorphic to S_4, to $PGL(2,p^n)$ or $PSL(2,p^n)$ with $p^n\geqq 4$, or to a Suzuki group $Sz(q)$. We will eliminate all but the first case, thus proving Lemma 2.

First assume that G is a Frobenius group; let K be its kernel and H a Frobenius complement of G. Using Folgerung 4. 9 of Baer [2] H has no non-trivial partition; thus H is contained in a component X of $\mathcal{P}$. Let a denote the order of H and b the order of K; then $a\,|\,b-1$ and in particular $(a,b)=1$. Now assume first that $X\subset N$; then $a\,|\,s$ and $b=s\mu\dfrac{s}{a}$ contradicting $(a,b)=1$. Thus H is contained in a line stabilizer, hence in a block stabilizer U; therefore $a\,|\,s\mu$. Again $b=s\dfrac{s\mu}{a}$ and as $(a,b)=1$ we conclude that $(a,s)=1$, i.e. $a\,|\,\mu$, say $\mu=ac$. Then $b=s^2c$ and $(a,c)=1$. Now consider the set $\mathcal{V}=\{U_i\cap K\colon i=1,\dots,s\mu\}$. Note that each $U_i\cap K$ has order sc, as $U_iK=G$ for reasons of cardinality; also always $|(U_i\cap K)\cap(U_j\cap K)|=|(U_i\cap U_j)\cap K|=c\quad(i\neq j)$ using (2) and $(U_i\cap U_j)K=G$ (again for reasons of cardinality: $a\,|\,\mu$ and $s^2c=|K|$). But this shows that $\mathcal{V}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) with μ replaced by c; thus $\mathcal{V}$ defines an $(s,s\mu;c)$-net. But $N<K$, as no Frobenius complement may intersect N non-trivially (because of $(s,a)=1$); hence this new net still contains points which are not joined. But this implies (see [7]) that $r\leqq sc<s\mu$, a contradiction.

Next assume that G is an $HT(p)$-group, i.e. G is neither a Frobenius nor a p-group, and one has $[G:H_p(G)]=p$ (where $H_p(G)$ is the subgroup of G generated by all elements of order $\neq p$). According to a result of Hughes and Thompson [8], there exists an element g in $H=H_p(G)$ of order p. But H is nilpotent (see Kegel [12]) and thus the centre $Z(H)$ of H has order divisible by p and also by another prime (otherwise G would be a p-group). Using Folgerung 2. 3 of Baer [2] one has that H is contained in a component of $\mathcal{P}$; as H is a maximal subgroup of G it coincides with this component and then H also must be a component of $\mathcal{U}$; but this implies $\mu=1$, a contradiction.

If $G=S_4$, then necessarily $s=2$ and $\mu=6$; thus all components have order 12 which is absurd, as the only subgroup of S_4 of order 12 is A_4.

Next let $G = PSL(2, q)$ where q is even. Then G has order $s^2\mu = (q+1)\,q(q-1)$ and contains elements of order $q+1$ and of order $q-1$ (see Dickson [6], § 260). Clearly no such element can be in N (otherwise $q+1$ (resp. $q-1$) would divide s, hence $(q+1)^2$ (resp. $(q-1)^2$) would divide $|G|$) and thus these elements are in components of $\mathcal{U}$; as all such components have the same order $s\mu$ we conclude that $(q+1)(q-1)|s\mu$, i.e. that $[G:U] \leqq q$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$. But according Dickson [6], § 262 G has no subgroup of index $< q+1$ unless $q=2$, which were absurd.

Next let $G = PSL(2, q)$ where $q = p^n$ is odd. Then G has order $s^2\mu = \frac{(q+1)\,q(q-1)}{2}$ and contains elements of orders $\frac{q+1}{2}$ and $\frac{q-1}{2}$ (see [6], § 260). As before one sees that $\frac{(q+1)(q-1)}{4}$ divides $s\mu$; but G also contains an element of order p and clearly $p|s\mu$. Thus $\frac{p(q+1)(q-1)}{4}$ divides $s\mu$ and one has $[G:U] \leqq \frac{2q}{p} < q$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Again using [6], § 262 q is one of 3, 5, 7, 9 or 11; in these cases 3, 5, 7, 6, 11 are the smallest possible indices which immediately rule out all cases except $q=9$. In this case $s=12$, $\mu=5$ and all components U of $\mathcal{U}$ are isomorphic to A_5 (see [6], § 260). But U contains an element of order $\frac{q-1}{2} = 4$ and A_5 does not contain such an element, a contradiction.

Now let $G = PGL(2, q)$. If q is even, then $PGL(2, q) = PSL(2, q)$ has already been seen to be impossible. Thus let $q = p^n$ be odd. Then G has order $s^2\mu = (q+1)\,q(q-1)$ and contains elements of orders p, $q-1$ and $q+1$. As before, one sees that $\frac{p(q^2-1)}{2}$ divides $s\mu$ (note that $(q+1, q-1) = 2$ in this case!). Choose a component U of $\mathcal{U}$ and put $U_0 := U \cap PSL(2, q)$. Then either $U \leqq PSL(2, q)$ and $[G:U] \leqq \frac{q}{p}$ which is immediately seen to be impossible using [6], § 262 again; or U_0 has order $\frac{|U|}{2}$ and we have $[PSL:U_0] \leqq \frac{2q}{p}$. As above, application of [6], § 262 leaves only the possibility $q=9$; but then G contains an element of order $q-1=8$, and so PSL contains its square, i.e. an element of order 4, and one gets a contradiction as before. (U_0 then would be isomorphic to A_5).

Finally it remains to consider the Suzuki group $Sz(q)$ (for the Suzuki groups, see Suzuki [18] or Lüneburg [13]). Here $|G| = s^2\mu = (q^2+1)\,q^2(q-1)$ where $q = 2^{2a+1}$; furthermore G contains elements of orders 4, $q-1$, $q+r+1$, $q-r+1$ where $r^2 = 2q$, i.e. $r = 2^{a+1}$. As before one sees that $(q-1)(q+r+1)(q-r+1) = (q-1)(q^2+1)$ divides $s\mu$; and clearly also $4|s\mu$ (if g has order 4, then either $g \in N$ and $4|s$ or $g \in U$ (for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$) and $4|s\mu$). Thus one has $[G:U] \leqq \frac{q^2}{4}$ which is impossible in $Sz(q)$ (see [18] or [13]).

Hence indeed G is a p-group and the proof of Lemma 2 (and thus of Theorem 2) is complete.

Note added in proof. In the mean time, T. C. Hine and V. C. Mavron have given an elementary proof for Theorems 1 and 2 in their paper "Translations of symmetric and complete nets", to appear in Math. Z.

References

[1] *J. André*, Über nicht-desarguessche Ebenen mit transitiver Translationsgruppe, Math. Z. **60** (1954), 156—186.

[2] *R. Baer*, Partitionen endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z. **75** (1961), 333—372.

[3] *R. Baer*, Einfache Partitionen endlicher Gruppen mit nicht-trivialer Fittingscher Untergruppe, Arch. Math. **12** (1961), 81—89.

[4] *R. H. Bruck*, Finite nets. I, Numerical invariants, Canadian J. Math. **3** (1951), 94—107.

[5] *R. H. Bruck*, Finite nets. II, Uniqueness and imbedding, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 421—457.

[6] *L. E. Dickson*, Linear groups, New York 1955.

[7] *T. C. Hine, V. C. Mavron*, Embeddable transversal designs, Discr. Math. **29** (1980), 191—200.

[8] *D. R. Hughes, J. G. Thompson*, The H_p-problem and the structure of the H_p-groups, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 1097—1102.

[9] *D. Jungnickel*, Existence results for translation nets. In: Finite Geometries and Designs, LMS Lecture Notes **49** (1981), 172—196.

[10] *D. Jungnickel*, A class of uniform Klingenberg matrices, Ars Comb. **10** (1980), 91—94.

[11] *O. H. Kegel*, Nicht-einfache Partitionen endlicher Gruppen, Arch. Math. **12** (1961), 170—175.

[12] *O. H. Kegel*, Die Nilpotenz der H_p-Gruppen, Math. Z. **75** (1961), 373—376.

[13] *H. Lüneburg*, Die Suzukigruppen und ihre Geometrien, Lecture Notes in Math. **10**, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1965.

[14] *V. C. Mavron*, Resolvable designs, affine designs, and hypernets, To appear.

[15] *R.-H. Schulz*, Über Blockpläne mit transitiver Dilatationsgruppe, Math. Z. **98** (1967), 60—82.

[16] *A. P. Sprague*, Nets with Singer group fixing each parallel class, To appear.

[17] *M. Suzuki*, On a finite group with a partition, Arch. Math. **12** (1961), 241—254.

[18] *M. Suzuki*, On a class of doubly transitive groups, Ann. Math. **75** (1962), 105—145.

Mathematisches Institut, Justus-Liebig-Universität Gießen, Arndtstr. 2, D-6300 Gießen

Eingegangen 26. Januar 1982