



Odd-dimensional orbifolds with all geodesics closed are covered by manifolds

Manuel Amann¹ · Christian Lange²  · Marco Radeschi³

Received: 3 September 2019 / Revised: 8 October 2020 / Accepted: 21 May 2021 /

Published online: 30 May 2021

© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed have been studied a lot, but there are only few examples known. The situation is different if one allows in addition for orbifold singularities. We show, nevertheless, that the abundance of new examples is restricted to even dimensions. As one key ingredient we provide a characterization of orientable manifolds among orientable orbifolds in terms of characteristic classes.

1 Introduction

It is an essential question in Riemannian geometry to understand the behaviour of closed geodesics and to relate it to properties of the ambient space.

A vast literature has been devoted to studying the existence, and number of closed geodesics in compact Riemannian manifolds. Often results in this respect, or their proofs, depend on the topology of the space. Striking examples are that every metric on a closed manifold has at least one closed geodesic due to Lyusternik–Fet [25], and that, by the combined works of Gromoll–Meyer [15] and Vigüé–Poirrier–Sullivan

Communicated by F.C. Marques.

✉ Christian Lange
lange@math.lmu.de

Manuel Amann
manuel.amann@math.uni-augsburg.de

Marco Radeschi
mradesch@nd.edu

¹ Institut für Mathematik, Differentialgeometrie, Universität Augsburg, Universitätsstraße 14, 86159 Augsburg, Germany

² Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 Munich, Germany

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, 255 Hurley, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

[37], every closed Riemannian manifold admits infinitely many closed geodesics as long as its rational cohomology is not generated by one element.

Another, somewhat opposite direction of research, has been to understand the Riemannian manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed [2], here called *Besse manifolds*. The compact rank one symmetric spaces (CROSSes) S^n , $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$, CaP^2 are the canonical examples. These are in fact the only simply connected spaces known to support a *Besse metric*. Moreover, by the collective work of Bott, Samelson and McCleary, their cohomology rings are the only ones that can show up in the simply connected setting [5,26,33]:

Theorem 1.1 (Bott–Samelson–McCleary) *Given M a Besse manifold, its universal cover \tilde{M} is also Besse and*

$$H^*(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

with X a CROSS as above.

In particular, if $n := \dim \tilde{M}$ is odd, then \tilde{M} is homeomorphic to S^n (using the Poincaré Conjecture).

Apart from this result, very little is known: for example, it is not known if exotic spheres admit Besse metrics. Furthermore, except a couple of constructions giving rise to infinite families of Besse metrics on spheres, no other Besse metrics are known. In particular, it is not known if there are non-canonical Besse metrics on the projective spaces. As a matter of fact, Lin–Schmidt proved that the only Besse metric on a real projective space of dimension at least 4 is the round one [24].

The study of closed geodesics can be extended to the *Riemannian orbifold* setting (cf. Sect. 2.1). In particular, it makes sense to search for closed geodesics on Riemannian orbifolds [4,10,17] and to investigate *Besse orbifolds*, that is, Riemannian orbifolds all of whose geodesics are closed [16,22]. Allowing for orbifold singularities gives rise to interesting new phenomena and questions. For instance, while Guruprasad and Haefliger proved the GM–SVP result for Riemannian orbifolds and the Lyusternik–Fet result for non-developable orbifolds [17], it is in general not known if every closed Riemannian orbifold has a closed geodesic. Moreover, it provides a much wider class of examples: one immediately gets infinitely many examples of Besse orbifolds in every even dimension, given by weighted projective spaces (see Sect. 2.1) with interesting properties; e.g. their geodesic length spectra are much more complicated than in the manifold case [16].

With this in mind, it may come as a surprise that in odd dimensions even the orbifold setting is very rigid, i.e. not richer than the manifold category, which is exactly expressed by our main result.

Theorem A *Any odd-dimensional Besse orbifold is of the form $(M, g)/\Gamma$ for some Riemannian manifold M homeomorphic to a sphere, a Besse metric g , and some finite group Γ of isometries of (M, g) . Moreover, if $n \neq 3$ and Γ contains a fixed-point-free involution, then (M, g) is isometric to a round sphere and $\Gamma \subset O(n+1)$.*

Notice that this theorem, in particular, extends Theorem 1.1 for orbifolds in the odd dimensional case. For even dimensions, we still think that Theorem 1.1 can be generalized as follows:

Conjecture 1.2 *The integral orbifold cohomology ring of an even-dimensional, simply connected Besse orbifold is generated by one element.*

For further motivation to this conjecture and for a more refined version of it we refer the reader to Sect. 8.

1.1 Idea of the proof, and structure of the article

The first step toward the proof is to find a way to determine when an orbifold is actually a manifold, based on its orbifold cohomology. In this respect, we prove:

Theorem 1.3 *An orientable, compact Riemannian n -orbifold \mathcal{O} is a manifold, if and only if the orbifold Stiefel Whitney classes $w_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^i_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the orbifold Pontryagin classes $p_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^{4i}_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (for every p odd prime) are nilpotent.*

Remark 1.4 In our proof we first show that \mathcal{O} is a manifold if and only if $H^*_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite dimensional for all primes $p > 0$, see the proof of Proposition 3.3. This is the case if and only if $H^*_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z})$ is finite dimensional. A much more general statement than that due to Quillen holds in the setting of Lie group actions, cf. Remark 3.4. We provide a much easier and self-contained proof tailored to our purposes.

We then focus on a Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} . First, an orbifold version of Wadsley’s Theorem gives, as a byproduct, that the universal cover of a Besse orbifold is again a Besse orbifold. This reduces our work to the simply connected case. We then consider a loop space $\Omega^{orb}_{p,q}\mathcal{O}$ which somehow keeps track of the orbifold structure of \mathcal{O} . Such a space has already been introduced in [17]. Here, we give a different, though equivalent definition in terms of the frame bundle, instead of using the language of groupoids. Then we prove, via Morse theoretic arguments, that the Betti numbers of $\Omega^{orb}_{p,q}\mathcal{O}$ are uniformly bounded. Secondly, we show that $\Omega^{orb}_{p,q}\mathcal{O}$ is an H-space, and we use this structure to prove that the cohomology $H^*(\Omega^{orb}_{p,q}\mathcal{O}, F)$, with $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathbb{Z}_p , is extremely simple (i.e. isomorphic as a graded vector space to a polynomial algebra $F[x]$). Finally, this is used to prove that, in the simply connected case, the orbifold cohomology of \mathcal{O} satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.3 and thus \mathcal{O} is a (Besse) manifold.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall basic notions and results about (Riemannian) orbifolds and Hopf algebras that are required for our presentation. In particular, in Sect. 2.2 we describe the class of examples of Besse orbifolds given by weighted projective spaces in more detail. In Sect. 3 we provide a short and self-contained proof of Theorem 1.3. In Sect. 4 we introduce loop spaces for orbifolds and apply Morse theory to obtain the universal bounds on the Betti numbers of $\Omega^{orb}_{p,q}\mathcal{O}$ for a Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} . In Sect. 5 we apply rational homotopy theory to deduce that for an odd-dimensional simply connected Besse orbifold the rational cohomology ring of the loop space is a polynomial ring. In Sect. 6 we build on ideas of McCleary and

Browder to determine the corresponding cohomology ring with coefficients in a finite field of prime order, at least as a vector space. In Sect. 7 we show via an application of the Leray–Serre spectral sequence that this information suffices to guarantee the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 and therewith prove our main result. Finally, in Sect. 8 we provide more background on our Conjecture 1.2. Moreover, there is an appendix that contains complete proofs for several auxiliary statements on Hopf algebras (see Appendix A) and for an orbifold version of Wadsley’s theorem (see Appendix B).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Riemannian orbifolds

A *length space* is a metric space in which the distance between any two points can be realized as the infimum of the lengths of all rectifiable paths connecting these points [9]. An *n -dimensional Riemannian orbifold* \mathcal{O}^n is a length space such that for each point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ there exists a neighborhood U of x in \mathcal{O} , an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M and a finite group Γ acting by isometries on M such that U and M/Γ are isometric. In this case we call M a *manifold chart* and U a local chart of \mathcal{O} around x . We call a chart *good* if Γ fixes a preimage of x in M . Behind this definition lies the fact that an isometric action of a finite group on a simply connected Riemannian manifold can be recovered from the corresponding metric quotient [23]. By this fact every Riemannian orbifold admits a canonical smooth structure. Roughly speaking, this means that there exist equivariant, smooth transition maps between the manifolds charts (cf. [3]). Conversely, every smooth orbifold admits a Riemannian metric and in this sense the two notions are equivalent. In the following we sometimes omit the prefix “Riemannian” if a certain property only depends on the smooth structure. For a point x on an orbifold the linearized isotropy group of a preimage of x in a manifold chart is uniquely determined up to conjugation. Its conjugacy class is denoted as Γ_x and is called the *local group* of \mathcal{O} at x . A point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ is called *regular* if its local group is trivial, and otherwise *singular*.

An (*orbifold*) *geodesic* on a Riemannian orbifold is a continuous path that can locally be lifted to a geodesic in a manifold chart. A *closed geodesic* is a continuous loop that is a geodesic on each subinterval. A *prime geodesic* is a closed geodesic that is not a concatenation of nontrivial closed geodesics. See also [22] for a more detailed discussion on orbifold geodesics. We call a Riemannian metric on an orbifold as well as a connected Riemannian orbifold *Besse*, if all its geodesics “are closed”, i.e. if they factor through closed geodesics. A Besse orbifold is geodesically complete and hence complete by the Hopf–Rinow theorem for length spaces [9, Thm. 2.5.28].

2.2 Examples of Besse orbifolds

Consider the action of the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^1$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$z \cdot (z_0, \dots, z_n) = (z^{a_0} z_0, \dots, z^{a_n} z_n)$$

for some weights $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n) = 1$. Set $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$. This action is almost free, i.e. its isotropy groups are finite, and the quotient space $\mathbb{C}P^n_a = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}/\mathbb{S}^1$ with its quotient metric is a $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian orbifold. It is referred to as a *weighted complex projective space*. The geodesics on $\mathbb{C}P^n_a$ are the images of horizontal geodesics on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} , i.e. of those geodesics that are perpendicular to the \mathbb{S}^1 -orbits [16]. In particular, all geodesics of $\mathbb{C}P^n_a$ are closed, i.e. $\mathbb{C}P^n_a$ is a Besse orbifold. However, not all of them have the same length [16]. For $n = 1$ the orbifold $\mathbb{C}P^n_a$ is also known as a *football* or *spindle orbifold*. In [22, Sect. 2.2] an infinite-dimensional family of Besse metrics on spindle orbifolds is described.

Similarly, one can show that if ρ is a representation of $SU(2)$ on a complex vector space \mathbb{C}^n such that all irreducible components are complex even-dimensional, then the induced action of $SU(2)$ on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n is almost free and the corresponding quotient, which is also referred to as a *weighted quaternionic projective space* [13], is a Besse orbifold [14, p. 154]. Indeed, the only infinite, closed subgroups of $SU(2)$ are its maximal, one-dimensional tori, all of which are conjugated, and $SU(2)$ itself, and the restriction of an irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ on \mathbb{C}^n to its maximal torus \mathbb{S}^1 has weights $(n - 1) - 2k, k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, so that infinite isotropy groups can only occur for odd n .

All the weighted projective spaces we have discussed in this section are even-dimensional and, by the long exact sequence in homotopy, simply-connected as orbifolds, i.e. they do not admit non-trivial orbifold coverings (see Sects. 2.3 and 2.5).

2.3 Orbifold coverings

A *covering* of complete n -dimensional Riemannian orbifolds \mathcal{O}' and \mathcal{O} is a submetry $p : \mathcal{O}' \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, i.e. a map satisfying $p(B_r(x)) = B_r(p(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}'$ and all $r > 0$. In this case each point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ has a neighborhood U isometric to some M/Γ for which each connected component U_i of $p^{-1}(U)$ is isometric to M/Γ_i for some subgroup $\Gamma_i < \Gamma$ such that the isometries are compatible with the natural projections $M/\Gamma_i \rightarrow M/\Gamma$ [23]. In fact, for smooth orbifolds the concept was originally defined by Thurston using the latter property [36]. According to him an orbifold is called *good* (or *developable*) if it is covered by a manifold. Otherwise it is called *bad*. Note that a finite covering of a Besse orbifold is itself Besse. Thurston also showed that the theory of orbifold coverings works analogously to the theory of ordinary coverings [36]. In particular, there exist universal coverings and one can define the *orbifold fundamental group* $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O})$ of a connected orbifold as the deck transformation group

of the universal covering. The orbifold fundamental group also admits interpretations in terms of loops. To explain it we first introduce the frame bundle.

2.4 Orbifold frame- and tangent bundles

Given a Riemannian orbifold \mathcal{O} one can construct an (*orthonormal*) *frame bundle* $F\mathcal{O}$ by taking an open cover $\{U_i\}_i$ of \mathcal{O} such that the U_i admit good manifold charts \tilde{U}_i , and gluing the quotients $F(\tilde{U}_i)/\Gamma_i$ of the orthonormal frame bundles $F(\tilde{U}_i)$ by the induced actions of Γ_i via differentials together, cf. [1, Def. 1.22]. This frame bundle is a manifold with an almost free $O(n)$ -action ($n = \dim \mathcal{O}$) and an $O(n)$ -equivariant projection $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ (where $O(n)$ acts trivially on \mathcal{O}). Moreover, $F\mathcal{O}$ carries a natural metric [30,31] so that the $O(n)$ -action is isometric, and the projection $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an *orbifold Riemannian submersion*, in the sense that for every point z in $F\mathcal{O}$, there is a neighbourhood V of z such that $U = \pi(V)$ is a good chart, and $\pi|_V$ factors as $V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \tilde{U} \xrightarrow{\pi_U} U$, where $\tilde{\pi}$ is a standard Riemannian submersion, inducing an isometry $F\mathcal{O}/O(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$.

An orbifold is called *orientable* if its frame bundle is disconnected. By passing to the orientable double cover we can assume that all our Besse orbifolds are orientable. For an orientable orbifold we also sometimes simply work with the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames, on which $SO(n)$ acts isometrically with quotient \mathcal{O} , and still denote this bundle as $F\mathcal{O}$.

Similar to the construction of the frame bundle one can construct a tangent bundle $T\mathcal{O}$ which is an orbifold and which carries a natural metric as well corresponding to the Sasaki-metric in the manifold case [34,35].

2.5 Algebraic orbifold invariants

Given a regular point $p \in \mathcal{O}$ let $\Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O} := \{\gamma \in C^0(I, F\mathcal{O}) \mid \pi(\gamma(0)) = \pi(\gamma(1)) = p\}$ be the set of all continuous paths in $F\mathcal{O}$ that start and end at the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$ over p . One can think of an element in $\Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O}$ as a path in \mathcal{O} together with a moving orthonormal frame. The action of $O(n)$ on $F\mathcal{O}$ induces an action of $O(n)$ on $\Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O}$. The fundamental group of \mathcal{O} is isomorphic to the quotient of $\Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O}$ by the equivalence relation generated by the action of $O(n)$ on $F\mathcal{O}$ and by homotopies through paths in $\Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O}$, where the group multiplication is defined via concatenations of paths, cf. (the second arXiv version of) [23].

A model for the so-called *classifying space* $B\mathcal{O}$ of an orbifold \mathcal{O} is given by the Borel-construction $F\mathcal{O}_{O(n)}$ of the action of $O(n)$ on $F\mathcal{O}$ [17,18]. More precisely, let $EO(n) \rightarrow BO(n)$ be a universal bundle of $O(n)$, then we can take $B\mathcal{O}$ to be the quotient of $F\mathcal{O} \times EO(n)$ by the diagonal action of $O(n)$. Moreover, if G is any Lie group that acts almost freely and isometrically on a Riemannian manifold M so that the quotient $\mathcal{O} = M/G$ is isometric to \mathcal{O} , then the corresponding Borel construction M_G is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\mathcal{O}$ [21, Sect. 5]. The projections to the two factors induce projections $\pi_1 : B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ and $\pi_2 : B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BO(n)$. Orbifold coverings of \mathcal{O} are in one-to-one correspondence with coverings of $B\mathcal{O}$. In particular, the orbifold

fundamental group of \mathcal{O} is isomorphic to the ordinary fundamental group of $B\mathcal{O}$. Similarly, one can define other invariants of \mathcal{O} in terms of $B\mathcal{O}$, e.g. the *orbifold (co)homology* and *homotopy groups*

$$H_*^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{O}) = H_*(B\mathcal{O}), \quad H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathcal{O}) = H^*(B\mathcal{O}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{O}, x_0) = \pi_*(B\mathcal{O}, \hat{x}_0),$$

where $\hat{x}_0 \in B\mathcal{O}$ projects to $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. We will also work with the *orbifold Stiefel Whitney classes* $w_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H_{\text{orb}}^i(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the *orbifold Pontryagin classes* $p_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H_{\text{orb}}^{4i}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ which are defined as the pullbacks of the respective classes of $BO(n)$ via the projection $\pi_2 : B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BO(n)$.

2.6 Orbifold characteristic classes

The map $F\mathcal{O} \times EO(n) \rightarrow B\mathcal{O}$ is, by construction, a principal $O(n)$ -bundle. In particular, it is classified by a map $\varphi : B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BO(n)$. Recall the following result, see [9]:

Theorem 2.1 *For any odd prime p ,*

$$H^*(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p[p_1, \dots, p_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}], \quad \text{where } p_i \in H^{4i}(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Furthermore,

$$H^*(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_n], \quad \text{where } w_i \in H^i(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

We define the *orbifold Pontryagin classes* $p_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^{4i}(B\mathcal{O}(n); \mathbb{Z}_p) = H_{\text{orb}}^{4i}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (resp. the *orbifold Stiefel-Whitney classes* $w_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^i(B\mathcal{O}(n); \mathbb{Z}_2) = H_{\text{orb}}^i(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$) as the pullbacks of $p_i \in H^{4i}(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (resp. of the $w_i \in H^i(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$) under the map $\varphi : B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BO(n)$.

While the orbifold cohomology with rational coefficients coincides with the usual cohomology of the underlying topological space, the orbifold cohomology with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients may have infinite cohomological dimension. For instance, for a finite subgroup $G < O(n)$ the orbifold cohomology of \mathbb{R}^n/G with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients coincides with the group cohomology of G with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients which is always infinite dimensional.

Nevertheless, we have the following:

Proposition 2.2 *Let \mathcal{O} be a compact, orientable orbifold. Then $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a finitely generated module over $H := H^*(BSO(n); \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof Since \mathcal{O} is orientable, choose an orientation and take $F\mathcal{O}$ to be the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of \mathcal{O} . Nothing changes from before, except that now the map $F\mathcal{O}$ is a principal $SO(n)$ -bundle, and the projection $F\mathcal{O} \times ESO(n) \rightarrow B\mathcal{O}$ is classified by a map $B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BSO(n)$. Consider the Serre spectral sequence with \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients (p a prime) of the homotopy fibration $F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow B\mathcal{O} \rightarrow BSO(n)$. The E_2 -page is $E_2^{p,q} = H^p(BSO(n); H^q(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p))$. Since \mathcal{O} is compact, $F\mathcal{O}$ is

a compact manifold, and thus $H^q(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{Z}_p with finite dimension (say m). Moreover, $E_2^{*,*}$ is isomorphic, as an $H := H^*(B\text{SO}(n); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -module, to $H^p(B\text{SO}(n); \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus m}) \cong H^{\oplus m}$ and, in particular, it is a finitely generated module over H .

We claim, by induction, that every page (E_r, d_r) is a finitely generated differential module over H : in fact, this is true for (E_2, d_2) since H embeds into $E_2^{*,0}$, and by the product structure in E_2 . Moreover, if this is true for (E_{r-1}, d_{r-1}) , then H commutes with d_{r-1} and induces an H -module structure on E_r . Moreover, there is a ring homomorphism $\phi_r : H \rightarrow E_r^{*,0}$ such that the action of $h \in H$ on $x \in E_r$ is given by $h \cdot x = \phi_r(h) \cup x$. In particular, since d_r is a derivation and the H -action is via multiplication by elements in $E_r^{*,0}$, it follows that d_r commutes with H . Moreover, as a finitely generated module over the Noetherian ring H , E_{r-1} is a Noetherian H -module and thus any H -submodule is finitely generated. In particular, $\ker(d_{r-1})$ is finitely generated as an H -module, and therefore the quotient $E_r = \ker(d_{r-1})/d_{r-1}(E_{r-1})$ is finitely generated as well.

Since $F\mathcal{O}$ is finite dimensional, the spectral sequence degenerates at the $(r_0 = \dim F\mathcal{O})$ -page, thus $E_\infty^{*,*} = E_{r_0}^{*,*}$ is a finitely generated H -module. Since $E_\infty^{*,*} \simeq H^*(B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ as H -modules, the result follows. □

2.7 Hopf algebras

Through the paper, we will work with the following definitions.

Definition 2.3 An algebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ over a field K , together with a graded linear map $\Phi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, and an element $1 \in A_0$ such that $\Phi(1 \otimes x) = \Phi(x \otimes 1) = x$ for every $x \in A$. An algebra A is *associative* if $\Phi(\Phi(x \otimes y) \otimes z) = \Phi(x \otimes \Phi(y \otimes z))$ for every $x, y, z \in A$.

As usual, we will refer to $\Phi(x \otimes y)$ simply as $x \cdot y$ or, if there is no source of confusion, simply as xy .

Definition 2.4 A coalgebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ over a field K , together with graded linear maps $\Psi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ such that, for every $x \in \bar{A} = \bigoplus_{i>0} A_i$, one has $\Psi(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 + \sum_i x'_i \otimes x''_i$ were $1 \in A_0 = K$ and $x'_i, x''_i \in \bar{A}$. A coalgebra A is *co-associative* if $(\Psi \otimes 1) \otimes \Psi = (1 \otimes \Psi) \otimes \Psi$.

Definition 2.5 A Hopf algebra is a graded vector space $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ over a field K , together with the structure of an algebra $\Phi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $1 \in A_0$; and of a coalgebra $\Psi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$, such that the map Ψ is a morphism of algebras, where $A \otimes A$ is equipped with the multiplication $(x \otimes y) \cdot (z \otimes w) = (-1)^{\deg(y) \deg(z)} (x \cdot y) \otimes (z \cdot w)$.

Given any algebra A , the dual space $A^* = \text{Hom}(A, K)$ inherits the structure of a coalgebra, by defining $\Psi : A^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes A^* \simeq (A \otimes A)^*$ by $\Psi(\bar{x})(y \otimes z) := \bar{x}(y \cdot z)$ for any $\bar{x} \in A^*$ and $y, z \in A$. In particular, if A is a Hopf algebra, then A^* is a Hopf algebra as well.

The requirement that $A_0 = K$ is not always made, and Hopf algebras satisfying this condition are usually called *connected*. Throughout this paper, we will only deal

with connected Hopf algebras so we will not make this distinction. Furthermore, we will only work with $K = \mathbb{Q}$ or $K = \mathbb{Z}_p$, which are examples of perfect fields. In this case, the following structure theorem applies (cf. [29, Thm 7.11]):

Theorem 2.6 (Borel–Hopf Theorem) *If $A = \bigoplus_i A_i$ is a connected Hopf algebra over the perfect field K , the multiplication in A is (graded) commutative, and $\dim A_i < \infty$ for all i then, as an algebra, A is isomorphic with a tensor product $\bigotimes_{i \in I} A_i$ of Hopf algebras A_i , where A_i is a Hopf algebra with a single generator x_i .*

We will need the following definitions:

Definition 2.7 Given a Hopf algebra A , an element $x \in \bar{A}$ is called:

- *decomposable* if it can be written as a finite sum $x = \sum_i y_i z_i$ for some $y_i, z_i \in \bar{A}$, and *indecomposable* otherwise.
- *primitive* if $\Psi(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1$.

Definition 2.8 A Hopf algebra A is called:

- *primitive* if there exists a set of primitive generators for A .
- *coprimitive* if primitive elements are indecomposable.
- *biprimitive* if it is both primitive and coprimitive.

Given a Hopf algebra A , the algebra and coalgebra structures define filtrations $F^i A$ and $G^i A$, by:

- $F^0 A = A$ and $F^{i+1} A = \Phi(\bar{A} \otimes F^i A) \subseteq F^i A$.
- $G^0 A = A_0 = K$ and $G^i A := \ker(\bar{\Psi}_i) \supseteq G^{i-1} A$, where $\bar{\Psi}_i = A \rightarrow (\bar{A})^{\otimes(i+1)}$ is defined iteratively by

$$\bar{\Psi}_1(x) = \Psi(\rho(x)) - \rho(x) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \rho(x) \quad \bar{\Psi}_{i+1} = (\bar{\Psi}_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \circ \bar{\Psi}_i$$

and where $\rho : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is the natural projection.

Given such filtrations, one can define the spaces $E_0(A) = \bigoplus_i F^i A / F^{i+1} A$ and ${}_0 E(A) = \bigoplus_i G^i A / G^{i-1} A$, which are both isomorphic to A as graded vector spaces. Moreover, both spaces inherit a Hopf algebra structure from A . In particular, one can define the Hopf algebra ${}_0 E E_0(A)$, and the following holds:

Theorem 2.9 ([7], Corollary 2.6) *If A is an associative Hopf algebra, then ${}_0 E E_0(A)$ is a biprimitive Hopf algebra.*

Biprimitive Hopf algebras will play a role in the context of *differential Hopf algebras*, that is, Hopf algebras endowed with a differential $d : A \rightarrow A$ of degree 1, such that the maps $\Phi : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\Psi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ become morphisms of differential algebras (where the differential on $A \otimes A$ is given by $d(x \otimes y) = (dx) \otimes y + (-1)^{\deg(x)} x \otimes (dy)$). We have the following:

Theorem 2.10 ([7], Theorem 3.9) *Let (A, d) be a biprimitive differential Hopf algebra over \mathbb{Z}_p . Then, in the category of differential Hopf algebras, (A, d) is isomorphic to a tensor product*

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^I K_i \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^J L_j \otimes Q \tag{1}$$

where:

- $K_i = \Lambda(y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[z]/z^p$, where y, z are primitive, $|y|$ odd and $d(y) = z$.
- $L_j = \Lambda(y') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[z']/z'^p$, where y', z' are primitive, with $|y'|$ odd and $d(z') = y'$.
- Q is primitively generated, and $d(Q) = 0$.

3 A topological condition for an orbifold to be a manifold

The goal of this section is to provide an algebro-topological condition to determine when an orbifold is in fact a manifold. Most of the argument below (see Proposition 3.3) also follows from a more general result [32, Theorem 7.7, p. 568] due to Quillen. As a service to the reader, we provide a short and self-contained reasoning relevant to our purposes.

We shall draw on the following lemma which will help to identify a special system of local coefficients used in an E_2 -page of a Leray–Serre spectral sequence in the proof of Proposition 3.3.

Lemma 3.1 *Given an orientable n -dimensional orbifold \mathcal{O} and a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$, in the fibration*

$$\text{SO}(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow (F\mathcal{O} \times \text{ESO}(n))/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow (F\mathcal{O} \times \text{ESO}(n))/\text{SO}(n) = B\mathcal{O}$$

the monodromy action of the fundamental group of the base, $\pi_1(B\mathcal{O})$, on the cohomology of the fibre, $H^(\text{SO}(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, is trivial.*

Proof We prove the result in slightly larger generality replacing $\text{SO}(n)$ by a connected, compact Lie group G , \mathbb{Z}_p by a discrete group $H \subseteq G$ and $F\mathcal{O}$ by a connected G -CW-complex X . In this case we have the fibration

$$(X \times EG)/H = X_H \rightarrow (X \times EG)/G = X_G$$

We will denote elements of X_H by $[x, v]_H$ for $x \in X$ and $v \in EG$. Similarly, the elements in X_G will be denoted $[x, v]_G$. Clearly, we have $[x, v]_G = [g^{-1} \cdot x, g^{-1} \cdot v]_G$ for any $g \in G$, and $[x, v]_H = [h^{-1} \cdot x, h^{-1} \cdot v]_H$ for any $h \in H$.

We claim that for any element $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X_G)$, its action on G/H is homotopic to the identity, and thus its action on $H^*(G/H)$ is trivial.

Fix $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X_G)$, and a curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow X_G$ representing $[\gamma]$. Consider the principal bundle

$$G \rightarrow X \times EG \rightarrow X_G.$$

Let $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow X \times EG$ denote a lift of γ , and let $\bar{\gamma}(0) = (x_0, v_0)$, $\bar{\gamma}(1) = g_0^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma}(0)$.

Consider now the bundle $G/H \rightarrow X_H \rightarrow X_G$. Let $\Gamma : G/H \times I \rightarrow X_G$ be the map $\Gamma(gH, t) = \gamma(t)$, and take the lift $\hat{\Gamma}_0 : G/H \rightarrow X_H$ of Γ at $t = 0$ given by $\hat{\Gamma}_0(gH) = [g^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma}(0)]_H$ (which identifies G/H with the fiber at $\gamma(0)$). This map can be extended to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Gamma} : G/H \times I &\rightarrow X_H \\ (gH, t) &\mapsto [g^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma}(t)]_H \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that this map is well defined, is a lift of Γ , and that at $t = 1$ it is given by

$$\hat{\Gamma}_1(gH) = [g^{-1} \cdot \bar{\gamma}(1)]_H = [g^{-1} g_0^{-1} \bar{\gamma}(0)]_H = \hat{\Gamma}_0(g_0 gH).$$

In particular, the action of $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X_G)$ on G/H , which is defined by $\hat{\Gamma}_1$, is given by left multiplication by g_0 . Since G is connected, this action is clearly homotopic to the identity, and the proposition is proved. □

Remark 3.2 For a non-orientable orbifold \mathcal{O} and a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq SO(n)$ the monodromy action of $\pi_1(B\mathcal{O})$ on the cohomology of the fiber of

$$O(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow (F\mathcal{O} \times EO(n))/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow (F\mathcal{O} \times EO(n))/O(n) = B\mathcal{O}$$

is nontrivial (here $F\mathcal{O}$ denotes the bundle of all orthonormal frames). Indeed, a loop in $B\mathcal{O}$ can be lifted to a loop in $F\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ that starts and ends in the same fiber component, if and only if it lifts to a loop in the orientable cover $F\mathcal{O}_{SO(n)}$ of $B\mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 3.3 *An orientable, compact Riemannian n -orbifold \mathcal{O} is a manifold, if and only if the orbifold Stiefel–Whitney classes $w_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^i_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and the orbifold Pontryagin classes $p_i(\mathcal{O}) \in H^{4i}_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (for every p odd prime) are nilpotent.*

Remark 3.4 Let us make some additional remarks before we proceed with a proof:

- Our proof will show that \mathcal{O} is a manifold if and only if $H^*_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite dimensional for all primes $p > 0$.
- The proof also applies to the following statement: Given an orientable manifold M with an orientation preserving G -action, then this action is free if and only if $H^*_G(M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite dimensional for all $p > 0$.
- The authors could only find this result as a very special corollary of a general result of Quillen [32, Theorem 7.7, p. 568]. However, as the result needed is much simpler than that, we provide an easier proof here for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Proposition 3.3 We begin by turning the proposition into another, equivalent one. First of all, from Proposition 2.2 the orbifold Stiefel–Whitney and Pontryagin classes are all nilpotent if and only if the orbifold cohomology $H^*_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite dimensional for all primes p . It is then enough to prove that an orbifold \mathcal{O} is a manifold, if and only if $H^*_{orb}(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite dimensional. Secondly, \mathcal{O} is a manifold if and only

if the action of $SO(n)$ on the frame bundle $F\mathcal{O}$ is free. Since the orbifold cohomology $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is nothing but the $SO(n)$ -equivariant cohomology $H_{SO(n)}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we can thus rephrase the statement of the proposition in terms of the frame bundle:

The $SO(n)$ -action on $F\mathcal{O}$ is free, if and only if

$$H_{SO(n)}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \text{ is finite dimensional for every prime } p.$$

Clearly if the $SO(n)$ -action is free, then $F\mathcal{O}/SO(n)$ is a manifold and $H_{SO(n)}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^*(F\mathcal{O}/SO(n); \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite for every prime p . Suppose now that the $SO(n)$ action is *not* free. Then there is some (compact) isotropy group H , which contains some finite cyclic subgroup \mathbb{Z}_p , for some prime p . Thus, there exists some $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq SO(n)$ with nonempty fixed point set, $(F\mathcal{O})^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Fixing such a $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq SO(n)$, consider the corresponding equivariant cohomology $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, i.e. the cohomology of the total space of the bundle

$$F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = (F\mathcal{O} \times ESO(n))/\mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{p} B\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

The existence of a fixed point $x \in F\mathcal{O}$ of the \mathbb{Z}_p -action yields a section of this bundle given by $s(b) = [x, b]_G$, where we use the notation of Lemma 3.1. Hence in this case the induced morphism on cohomology $\text{id} = H^*(p \circ s) = H^*(s) \circ H^*(p)$ yields that $H^*(p)$ is injective. In particular, in this case $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^*(F\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is infinite dimensional as $H^*(B\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is so.

Next, the natural map

$$(F\mathcal{O} \times ESO(n))/\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow (F\mathcal{O} \times ESO(n))/SO(n) = B\mathcal{O}$$

is an $SO(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p$ -bundle. Due to Lemma 3.1 the action of $\pi_1(B\mathcal{O})$ on $H^*(SO(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is trivial, and thus the Leray–Serre spectral sequence satisfies

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{SO(n)}^p(F\mathcal{O}, H^q(SO(n)/\mathbb{Z}_p; \mathbb{Z}_p))$$

and converges to $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{p+q}(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

As noted above, $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ has infinite cohomological dimension. We claim that this implies that $H_{SO(n)}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ has infinite dimension as well (thus proving the proposition). We prove the claim by contradiction: if $H_{SO(n)}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ had finite cohomological dimension, then the E_2 -page of the spectral sequence would have finite dimension, and therefore the same would be true for the E_∞ -page. This is a contradiction, since the spectral sequence converges to $H_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^*(F\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for which we showed that it is infinite dimensional. \square

4 Orbifold loop space, and Morse theory

The goal of this section is to reduce the proof of Theorem A to the simply connected case, and to prove the following:

Theorem 4.1 *For every Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} , the loop space $\Omega(B\mathcal{O})$ has uniformly bounded Betti numbers, with respect to any field of coefficients.*

From now on, for sake of notation we will denote $\Omega(B\mathcal{O})$ by $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$.

4.1 The orbifold loop space

Let us start by defining a loop space of \mathcal{O} , which incorporates the information about the orbifold structure. An equivalent definition in the language of groupoids is given in [17].

Definition 4.2 Let \mathcal{O} be an orbifold with frame bundle $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Given two points $p, q \in \mathcal{O}$, with p regular, and fixing $z \in \pi^{-1}(q)$, define the *orbifold loop space* as

$$\Omega_{p,z}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} = \{\gamma : I \rightarrow F\mathcal{O} \mid \gamma \text{ piecewise smooth, } \gamma(0) \in \pi^{-1}(p), \gamma(1) = z\}$$

with the compact-open topology.

Notice that, changing z with $z' = g \cdot z$ for some $g \in O(n)$, we have $\Omega_{p,z'}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} = g \cdot \Omega_{p,z}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$, where g acts on curves $\gamma : I \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ point-wise, by $(g \cdot \gamma)(t) = g \cdot (\gamma(t))$. In particular, there is a homeomorphism $\Omega_{p,z'}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} \simeq \Omega_{p,z}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$, and we call either of them simply by $\Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$.

An alternative definition for the orbifold loop space is

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} = \{\gamma \in C^0(I, F\mathcal{O}) \mid \gamma(0) \in \pi^{-1}(p), \gamma(1) = z\}.$$

The two definitions are different, however it is a standard fact that the inclusion $\Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$ is a homotopy equivalence. This is proved, for example, with great detail in [27], Theorem 17.1.

Moreover, $\tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$ has the homotopy type of a CW-complex (cf. [28]). Standard Morse Theory gives a more precise description of the CW-complex structure: let us equip $F\mathcal{O}$ with a Riemannian metric g_F , such that $O(n)$ acts by isometries, and the projection $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is a Riemannian submersion as discussed in Sect. 2.4. Moreover, let $E : \Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the energy functional taking $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ to

$$E(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \|\gamma'(t)\|_{g_F}^2 dt.$$

Standard variation arguments show that the critical points of E are geodesics γ from $\pi^{-1}(p)$ to z , with $\gamma'(0)$ perpendicular to $\pi^{-1}(p)$. Moreover, for any such critical point, γ , its index $\text{ind}_I(\gamma)$ (number of negative eigenvalues of the Hessian of E at γ) coincides with the number of focal points to $\pi^{-1}(p)$ along γ in $(0, 1)$ counted with multiplicity, and the nullity (i.e. dimension of the kernel of the Hessian of E at γ) coincides with the multiplicity of $\gamma(1)$ as a focal point of $\pi^{-1}(p)$ along γ . For z generic, the nullity of γ is zero for every critical point γ of E , and standard Morse

Theory ([27], Thm. 17.3) shows that $\Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}} \mathcal{O}$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex, with a d -dimensional cell attached for every critical point γ of index d .

The first result is to show that the information about the critical points of E and their index can be read off from the orbifold geometry of \mathcal{O} .

Recall that on Riemannian orbifolds one can still define geodesics and Jacobi fields: a curve $c : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an *orbifold geodesic* if, whenever $c|_{[a,b]}$ is contained in a good open set $U \subset \mathcal{O}$ covered by the Riemannian manifold $\tilde{U} \xrightarrow{\pi_U} U$, there exists a (manifold) geodesic $\tilde{c} : [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ such that $\pi_U \circ \tilde{c} = c$. Similarly, an *orbifold Jacobi field* along an orbifold geodesic c is a section J of $c^*T\mathcal{O}$ such that, whenever $c|_{[a,b]}$ is contained in a good open set $U \subset \mathcal{O}$ and is covered by a geodesic $\tilde{c} : I \rightarrow \tilde{U}$, there is a Jacobi field $\tilde{J} : [a, b] \rightarrow \tilde{c}^*T\tilde{U}$ such that $(\pi_U)_*\tilde{J} = J$. Equivalently, orbifold Jacobi fields can be described by variations of orbifold geodesics (although one needs more care with this definition).

Just as in the manifold case, given an orbifold geodesic $c : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ then $c(t_0)$, $t_0 \in I$, is *conjugate to $c(0)$ along c* if there exists an orbifold Jacobi field J along c such that $J(0) = J(t_0) = 0$. In this case, the multiplicity of $c(t_0)$ is the dimension of the space of such Jacobi fields. Finally, given an orbifold geodesic segment $c : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, we define the *orbifold index* of c , $\text{ind}_I^{\text{orb}}(c)$ to be the number of orbifold conjugate points of $c(0)$ along c , counted with multiplicity.

Proposition 4.3 *The projection $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ induces a bijective correspondence between critical points $\gamma \in \Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}} \mathcal{O}$ of the energy functional, and orbifold geodesics $c : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ from p to q . Moreover, if γ and c are in such a correspondence, then the index of γ , $\text{ind}_I(\gamma)$, equals the orbifold index of c , $\text{ind}_I^{\text{orb}}(c)$.*

Proof As mentioned above, if $\gamma : I \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ is a critical point for E , then it is a geodesic in $F\mathcal{O}$ starting perpendicularly to the fiber $\pi^{-1}(p)$. Since π is a Riemannian submersion, the geodesic γ is a horizontal geodesic, and thus its projection $c = \pi \circ \gamma$ is an (orbifold) geodesic in \mathcal{O} . Moreover, just as in the manifold case, any orbifold geodesic from p to q can be lifted horizontally to a horizontal geodesic, ending at $z \in \pi^{-1}(q)$, and everywhere perpendicular to the fibers of π (in particular, starting orthogonal to $\pi^{-1}(p)$). Clearly, the two maps are inverses of one another, and this gives the bijection.

Let Λ_p be the space of Jacobi fields along γ , given as variations through horizontal geodesics from $\pi^{-1}(p)$. The zeroes of Jacobi fields in Λ_p count precisely the focal points of $\pi^{-1}(p)$, thus $\text{ind}_I(\gamma)$ equals the number of Jacobi fields in Λ_p vanishing at points in I , counted with multiplicity. To prove the equivalence between the indices, it is thus enough to show that $\pi : F\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ induces, for every $t_0 \in [0, 1]$, a one-to-one correspondence between:

- Jacobi fields $J \in \Lambda_p$ with $J(t_0) = 0$, and
- Orbifold Jacobi fields J along c with $J(0) = J(t_0) = 0$.

For each $t \in [0, 1]$ there is a small time segment $I = (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon)$ such that $\gamma(I)$ is entirely contained in an open subset $V \subset F\mathcal{O}$ for which $U := \pi(V)$ is a good local chart, and such that $\pi : V \rightarrow U$ factors as $V \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}} \tilde{U} \xrightarrow{\pi_U} U$, where \tilde{U} is the manifold chart of U and $\tilde{\pi}$ is a standard Riemannian submersion. Working in such

open sets shows that Jacobi fields along γ project to orbifold Jacobi fields along c and, conversely, that orbifold Jacobi fields along c can be lifted to Jacobi fields along γ . Since horizontal geodesics from $\pi^{-1}(p)$ project via $\tilde{\pi}$ to geodesics in \tilde{U} from $\tilde{p} = \tilde{c}(0)$, any Jacobi field $J \in \Lambda_p$ projects via $\tilde{\pi}_*$ to an orbifold Jacobi field along \tilde{c} with $\tilde{\pi}_*J(0) = 0$. Moreover, we see that orbifold Jacobi fields along c vanishing at t_0 correspond to the Jacobi fields in Λ_p vanishing at the same point.

The space Λ_p is a vector space of dimension $\dim F\mathcal{O} - 1$, and it splits into 2 summands:

- The space Λ^v , spanned by (the restriction to γ of) the action fields X^* , $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$. This subspace has dimension $= \dim \pi^{-1}(p)$, and consists of nowhere-vanishing Jacobi fields.
- The space Λ^h , spanned by Jacobi fields J normal to $\gamma'(t)$ such that $J(0) = 0$ and $J'(0) \in v_{\gamma(0)}(\pi^{-1}(p))$. This space has dimension $\dim F\mathcal{O} - \dim(\pi^{-1}(p)) - 1 = \dim \mathcal{O} - 1$, and $\tilde{\pi}_*$ defines an isomorphism between Λ^h and the space of Jacobi fields along \tilde{c} vanishing at $t = 0$.

Suppose now that $J_1, \dots, J_m \in \Lambda_p$ are linearly independent Jacobi fields such that $J_i(t_0) = 0$. Then under the splitting $J_i = X_i^* + K_i$, with $X_i^* \in \Lambda^v$ and $K_i \in \Lambda^h$, the Jacobi fields K_i must be linearly independent as well: in fact, if we had $\sum a_i K_i = 0$ for some nonzero coefficients a_i , then

$$\sum a_i J_i = \sum a_i X_i^* + \sum a_i K_i = \sum a_i X_i^* \in \Lambda^v,$$

but then $J(t_0) = \sum a_i J_i(t_0) = 0$ would contradict the fact that Jacobi fields in Λ^v are nowhere vanishing.

The Jacobi fields $\tilde{\pi}_*J_1, \dots, \tilde{\pi}_*J_m$ along \tilde{c} satisfy $\tilde{\pi}_*J_i(t_0) = 0$. Moreover, since $\tilde{\pi}_*J_i = \tilde{\pi}_*K_i$ and the K_i are linearly independent, it follows that $\tilde{\pi}_*J_1, \dots, \tilde{\pi}_*J_m$ are linearly independent as well, and this proves that $\text{ind}_I(\tilde{c}) \geq \text{ind}_I(\gamma)$.

To prove the opposite inequality, take linearly independent Jacobi fields J_1, \dots, J_m along \tilde{c} such that $J_i(0) = J_i(t_0) = 0$, and let $K_1, \dots, K_m \in \Lambda^h$ denote the Jacobi fields along γ such that $\tilde{\pi}_*(K_i) = J_i$. Then the K_i are linearly independent with $K_i(0) = 0$, and let $v_i := K_i(t_0) \in T_{\gamma(t_0)}(\pi^{-1}(\gamma(t_0)))$. Letting $X_i^* \in \Lambda^v$ such that $X_i^*(t_0) = v_i$, we get linearly independent Jacobi fields $K_i - X_i^* \in \Lambda_p$ vanishing at t_0 . This proves that $\text{ind}_I(\gamma) \geq \text{ind}_I(\tilde{c})$, as we wanted. □

This theorem, together with the Morse theory arguments, implies the following:

Corollary 4.4 *Given a Riemannian orbifold \mathcal{O} and points $p, q \in \mathcal{O}$, with p regular, the orbifold loop space $\Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex with one cell of dimension d for every orbifold geodesic $c : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ from p to q , with $\text{ind}_I^{\text{orb}}(c) = d$.*

The orbifold loop space and the loop space of the classifying space are related as follows (cf. [17, Thm. 3.2.2]).

Proposition 4.5 *Let \mathcal{O} be an orbifold, and $p, q \in \mathcal{O}$. If $p \in \mathcal{O}$ is chosen to be a regular point, then $\Omega_{p,q}^{\text{orb}}\mathcal{O}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$.*

Proof Consider the space $\mathcal{P}_p = \{\gamma \in C^0(I, F\mathcal{O}) \mid \gamma(0) \in \pi^{-1}(p)\}$. Since p is a regular point, the group $O(n)$ -action on \mathcal{P}_p by $(g \cdot \gamma)(t) = g \cdot (\gamma(t))$ is free. In particular, the Borel construction $(\mathcal{P}_p)_{O(n)} = (\mathcal{P}_p \times ESO(n))/O(n)$ is homotopy equivalent to the standard quotient $\mathcal{P}_p/SO(n)$. Moreover, the map $i : O(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p$ sending g to the constant path $\gamma(t) \equiv g \cdot z$ is an inclusion, and in fact an $O(n)$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence. This induces a homotopy equivalence between the quotients $\mathcal{P}_p/O(n) \simeq O(n)/O(n) = \{pt\}$ and thus $(\mathcal{P}_p)_{O(n)}$ is contractible.

The map $ev_1 : \mathcal{P}_p \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ sending a curve γ to $\gamma(1)$ is a fibration, with fiber $(ev_1)^{-1}(q) = \tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{orb}\mathcal{O}$. The map ev_1 is also $O(n)$ -equivariant, and thus it induces a fibration between the Borel spaces $(\mathcal{P}_p)_{O(n)} \rightarrow (F\mathcal{O})_{O(n)} = B\mathcal{O}$, with the same fiber $\tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{orb}\mathcal{O}$. Since the total space $(\mathcal{P}_p)_{O(n)}$ is contractible, it follows, for example by [19, Prop. 4.66], that $\tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{orb}\mathcal{O} \simeq \Omega B\mathcal{O}$. Since $\tilde{\Omega}_{p,q}^{orb}\mathcal{O} \simeq \Omega_{p,q}^{orb}\mathcal{O}$, we have the result. \square

4.2 Orbifold loop space of Besse orbifolds

Let us now assume that \mathcal{O} is a Besse orbifold. The first result is the following:

Theorem 4.6 (Wadsley’s Theorem) *Given a Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} , all prime geodesics have a common period. That is, there is a length L such that every prime geodesic c has length $\ell(c) = L/M$, for some integer M depending on c .*

The proof follows closely the original proof of Wadsley for manifolds, with minor modifications. For sake of completeness, we included a proof of the orbifold version of this theorem in Appendix B. One immediate corollary is the following:

Corollary 4.7 *Any Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} is compact. Moreover, if the dimension is at least 2 then its orbifold universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is Besse as well. In particular, $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite in this case.*

Proof Compactness follows from the Hopf Rinow Theorem for length spaces, cf. [9], Thm. 2.5.28. Let p be a regular point of \mathcal{O} . We represent the fundamental group of \mathcal{O} as $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, p) = \Omega_{p,p}F\mathcal{O}/\sim$ as discussed in Sect. 2.5. Then any element of $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, p)$ is represented by a horizontal geodesic γ in the orthonormal frame bundle $F\mathcal{O}$ starting and ending over p , and hence by a geodesic loop c in \mathcal{O} based at p . Conversely, such a loop lifts uniquely up to the $O(n)$ -action to a horizontal geodesic with end points over p , cf. Proposition 4.3.

Let L be the minimal common period of all closed geodesics on \mathcal{O} . We claim that a geodesic c based at p of length L represents the same element in $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, x)$ as its inverse. Let γ be a lift of c to $F\mathcal{O}$ and let $g \in O(n)$ such that $g\gamma(1) = \gamma(0)$. Continuously deforming the initial vector from v to $-v$ through horizontal vectors defines a homotopy through horizontal geodesics between γ and $(g\gamma)^{-1} = g(\gamma)^{-1}$. In particular, we have $[\gamma] = [\gamma]^{-1}$ in $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, x)$ as claimed.

Consequently, any element in $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, p)$ is represented by a horizontal geodesic of length $< 2L$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be the universal covering and let $F\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ be the induced covering. It follows that the fibers of $F\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}$ have diameter $\leq 2(2L + \text{diam}(\pi^{-1}(p)))$. Since $F\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is complete and connected and the fibers are discrete, they are finite and hence so is $\pi_1^{orb}(\mathcal{O}, p)$. \square

The corollary above reduces the proof of Theorem A to the simply connected case. Furthermore, with Wadsley’s Theorem and Corollary 4.4 we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.8 *Given a Riemannian orbifold \mathcal{O} with all geodesics closed, the loop space $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$ has uniformly bounded Betti numbers.*

The proof of Theorem 4.8 is virtually identical to the one in the manifold case, cf. Sections 7.41 and 7.42, p. 193 in [2], and therefore we do not repeat it here. In the terminology of Besse orbifolds the proof can also be looked up in the first arXiv version of this paper.

5 Computing $H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$, for simply connected Besse orbifolds

In this section and the next, \mathcal{O} will denote an odd-dimensional, simply connected Besse orbifold. In particular, \mathcal{O} is orientable and we only work with the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames. From the previous section, the loop space $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$ has uniformly bounded Betti numbers. Using the extra information that \mathcal{O} is odd dimensional, and the fact that $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$ is an H-space, we will show that, in fact, $H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; K) \simeq K[x]$ is a polynomial algebra in one generator of even degree, whenever $K = \mathbb{Q}$ or \mathbb{Z}_p . We will deal with two cases separately: in this section we will treat the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$; and in the next section, we will look at the case $K = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

The main structure that we will exploit is the fact that $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$ (here thought as the space of continuous pointed loops at some fixed point $z \in B\mathcal{O}$) is an H-space, with respect to the map $\Omega B \times \Omega B \rightarrow \Omega B$ given by concatenation of paths. In particular, the cohomology $H^*(B\mathcal{O}; K)$ (with respect to any field of coefficients K) is a commutative, associative Hopf algebra.

When dealing with rational coefficients, we use Sullivan’s theory of minimal models. The methods and results of this section are fairly standard.

Recall that to any simply connected topological space X , one can associate a differential graded algebra $(\Lambda V_X, d)$: that is, a free graded commutative algebra over \mathbb{Q}

$$\Lambda V_X = \wedge V_X^{odd} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[V_X^{even}]$$

where $V_X = V_X^{odd} \oplus V_X^{even}$ is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} , together with a differential d on ΛV_X , of degree 1. This differential graded algebra, called *minimal model of X* , is defined by the properties that:

- (i) Letting $\Lambda^+ V_X$ be the subspace of ΛV_X of positive degree, then $d(\Lambda V_X) \subseteq \Lambda^+ V_X \cdot \Lambda^+ V_X$.
- (ii) $H^*(\Lambda V_X, d) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$.

Among the properties of the minimal model, one has that for simply connected X , the degree- d part V_X^d of V_X satisfies $V_X^d \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_d(X), \mathbb{Q})$.

Although we are mainly concerned with the odd-dimensional case, let us formulate the following result also for even dimensions.

Proposition 5.1 *Suppose \mathcal{O} is a simply connected orbifold such that $\dim H^q(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$ is uniformly bounded. Then:*

- *If $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is odd, then \mathcal{O} has the rational type of an odd dimensional sphere, and $H^*(\Omega\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}[x']$ where $\deg x' = \dim \mathcal{O} - 1$.*
- *If $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is even, then $H^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$ is singly generated and $H^*(\Omega\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \wedge(y') \otimes \mathbb{Q}[x']$ with $\dim \mathcal{O} = \deg x' - \deg y' + 1$.*

Proof First of all, recall that the natural map

$$B\mathcal{O} = (F\mathcal{O} \times ESO(n))/SO(n) \rightarrow F\mathcal{O}/SO(n) = \mathcal{O}$$

induced by projection on the first factor is a rational homotopy equivalence (it induces isomorphisms in rational cohomology, and thus in rational homotopy as well). Therefore \mathcal{O} and $B\mathcal{O}$ are rationally homotopy equivalent, and thus so are $\Omega\mathcal{O}$ and $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$. In particular, $H_{\text{orb}}^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$. For the rest of this section, we will use \mathcal{O} and $\Omega\mathcal{O}$ instead of $B\mathcal{O}$ and $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$.

Because $H^*(\Omega\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$ is a Hopf algebra, it follows from the Borel–Hopf Theorem (Theorem 2.6) that $H^*(\Omega\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$ is in fact a free graded algebra, and in particular the minimal model for $\Omega\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to $(H^*(\Omega\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}), 0)$.

Let the minimal model for \mathcal{O} be of the form $(\Lambda V = \wedge(x_1, \dots, x_r) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s], d)$, where the x_i have odd degree and the y_i have even degree. In this case,

$$V = \text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s).$$

Since $V^d \simeq \pi_d(\mathcal{O}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and the homotopy groups of $\Omega\mathcal{O}$ are just the ones of \mathcal{O} scaled by one, it follows that the minimal model of $\Omega\mathcal{O}$ can be also written as

$$(\Lambda \bar{V}, 0) = (\mathbb{Q}[x'_1, \dots, x'_r] \otimes \wedge(y'_1, \dots, y'_s), 0)$$

$$\deg x'_i = \deg x_i - 1, \deg y'_i = \deg y_i - 1.$$

By the previous section, the cohomology groups of $\Omega\mathcal{O}$, which coincide with the graded summands of $\Lambda \bar{V}$, have uniformly bounded dimension. This can only happen if the number of even degree generators of $\Lambda \bar{V}$ is at most 1 (otherwise $\Lambda \bar{V}$ would contain a polynomial algebra of the form $\mathbb{Q}[x'_1, x'_2]$ for which the dimension of each degree grows unbounded). In other words, $r \leq 1$.

On the other hand, since r denotes the number of *odd degree* generators for the minimal model ΛV of \mathcal{O} , it follows that $r \geq 1$: in fact, if there were no odd degree generators, we would have $\Lambda V = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$, and $d = 0$ for degree reasons, which would give $H^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(\Lambda V, d) = (\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s], 0) = \Lambda V = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$, contradicting the finite dimensionality of $H^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Therefore, $\Lambda V = \wedge(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$. We now claim that $s \leq 1$. In fact, define the *pure Sullivan algebra associated to $(\Lambda V, d)$* by $(\Lambda V, d_\sigma)$, where $d_\sigma(y_i) = 0$ and $d_\sigma(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ defined by

$$\text{im}(d - d_\sigma) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s] \otimes \wedge^{>0}(x).$$

By [11], Proposition 32.4, $H^*(\Lambda V, d)$ is finite dimensional if and only if $H^*(\Lambda V, d_\sigma)$ is finite dimensional. However, we have

$$H^*(\Lambda V, d_\sigma) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_s]/(P), \quad \text{where } d_\sigma x = P(y_1, \dots, y_s)$$

This can only be finite dimensional if $s \leq 1$, and we have two cases for $(\Lambda V, d)$:

- (i) Either $s = 0$ and $(\Lambda V, d) = (\wedge(x), 0)$.
- (ii) Or $s = 1$, and the only possibility is $(\Lambda V, d) = (\wedge(x) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[y], dx = y^m, dy = 0)$ for $m = \dim \mathcal{O} / \deg y + 1$.

These two cases now correspond to the two cases in the assertion, i.e. to the different parities of $\dim \mathcal{O}$. Indeed, the model in (i) is of odd dimension, the one from (ii) is even dimensional. Passing to the corresponding loop space models actually finishes the proof. It only remains to remark that the loop space cohomology in both cases obviously has universally bounded Betti numbers. □

6 Computing $H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ (p prime), for simply-connected Besse orbifolds

In this section we consider the cohomology of $\Omega B\mathcal{O}$ with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p , p a prime. The methods of this sections follow closely the arguments of McCleary in [26]. The whole section is devoted to proving the following:

Proposition 6.1 *Let \mathcal{O} be a simply connected Besse orbifold of odd dimension n . Then $H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[x_{n-1}]$ as graded vector spaces over \mathbb{Z}_p , for some generator x_{n-1} of degree $n - 1$.*

As noted by Browder, there is a spectral sequence of Hopf algebras (B_r, d_r) (the Bockstein spectral sequence) with $B_1 = H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $B_\infty \simeq (H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{torsion}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, and where all differentials have degree 1. By the results in the previous section, $(H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}) / \text{torsion}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x_{n-1}]$ and thus

$$B_\infty \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[x_{n-1}],$$

so we need to prove that $d_r = 0$ for all r . We prove this by showing that $(B_r)^{odd} = 0$ for all r , so that the result follows by ‘‘lacunary principle’’.

Recall that by Browder (cf. [6]), for every B_k there is a spectral sequence of spectral sequences ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$, with the following properties:

- (i) ${}_0 E E_0(B_k)$ is a ‘‘biprimitive form’’ of B_k —in particular, ${}_0 E E_0(B_k)$ is a biprimitive Hopf algebra (cf. definitions in Sect. 2.7) isomorphic to B_k as a graded vector space.
- (ii) For every r, k fixed, there are differentials d_s such that $({}_s E E_r(B_k), d_s)$ is a spectral sequence, converging to ${}_0 E E_{r+1}(B_k)$.
- (iii) For every k fixed, there are differentials d_r such that $({}_0 E E_r(B_k), d_r)$ is a spectral sequence converging to ${}_0 E E_0(B_{k+1})$.

Since each ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$ is biprimitive by [7, Lem. 3.2], by Theorem 2.10 it is isomorphic, as a differential Hopf algebra, to a product

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^I K_i \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^J L_j \otimes Q \tag{2}$$

where:

- $K_i = \wedge(y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[z]/(z^p)$, where y, z are primitive, $|y|$ odd and $d_s y = z$.
- $L_j = \wedge(y') \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[z']/(z'^p)$, where y', z' are primitive, with $|y'|$ odd and $d_s z' = y'$.
- Q is primitively generated, and $d_s Q = 0$.

Recall that the set of primitive elements in a Hopf algebra A is a vector space. We will see that, if A is biprimitive, then any homogeneous basis of this subspace forms a set of generators of A in the tensor product description above.

We now prove that $(B_k)^{odd} = 0$ for all k , by contradiction. Supposing that some B_k contains elements of odd degree, we want to produce a subalgebra of $B_1 = H^*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ whose dimension grows unbounded with respect to the degree, contradicting Theorem 4.8. We do this by applying the following:

Lemma 6.2 *Suppose A is a (associative and commutative) biprimitive Hopf algebra over \mathbb{Z}_p , and suppose that we have two linearly independent sequences $a = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$, $b = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ of homogeneous primitive elements of A , such that the subalgebras A_a, A_b generated by a and b respectively, are nonzero in degrees forming arithmetic sequences. Then the dimension of A grows unbounded in the degree.*

Proof Since A is biprimitive, it has the form $A = \bigotimes_i \mathbb{Z}_p[x_i]/(x_i^{d_i})$, where the x_i 's are primitive elements and d_i is either 2 if $\deg(x_i)$ is odd, or p if $\deg(x_i)$ is even, cf. for example the discussion in [7, Corollary 2.2]. Let $P \subset \bar{A}$ be the set of primitive elements, and let $P \rightarrow F^1 A/F^2 A \simeq \text{span}\{x_i\}$ be the projection map. This is both surjective (since A is primitive) and injective (A is coprimitive) and therefore $P = \text{span}\{x_i\}$. We claim that for any basis of P consisting of homogeneous primitive elements $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$, we can write

$$A = \bigotimes_i \mathbb{Z}_p[u_i]/(u_i^{d_i}). \tag{3}$$

It is enough to prove it for a given degree: given $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ bases of P_d (degree d summand of P), we need to show that the algebra generated by

$$\{u_1, \dots, u_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots\}$$

is of the same shape as above. Also, this is clear in odd degrees, due to the fact that the product is skew commutative. In even degrees, this is due to the fact that, with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients, the map $A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto x^p$ is an algebra homomorphism: the kernel of the natural map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow A$ is generated by x_i^p and thus, letting $u_i = \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} x_j$ for some $A = (\alpha_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and letting $B = (\beta_{ij})$ the inverse

of A , we have that the kernel of ϕ is the ideal generated by $\sum_j (\beta_{ij} u_j)^p = \sum_j \beta_{ij} u_j^p$, which is the same as the ideal generated by the u_j^p .

Therefore, given the linearly independent set $S = \{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots\}$ of homogeneous elements of P , this can be extended to a basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ of homogeneous elements of P , such that A can be written as in (3). In particular, the algebras A_a, A_b are of this form as well, and the algebra generated by S is isomorphic to $A_a \otimes A_b$. Since A_a, A_b have elements in degrees forming arithmetic sequences, it is easy to check that the dimensions of the degree components of $A_a \otimes A_b$ (and thus the ones of A) grow unbounded. □

One sequence, satisfying the properties of the lemma above is readily available. Notice in fact that, since B_∞ is a polynomial ring, its biprimitive form is

$${}_0EE_0(B_\infty) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_p[z_i]/(z_i^p), \quad |z_i| = (n - 1)p^i.$$

The elements z_i can be lifted to a set of primitive elements

$$a = \{\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2, \dots\} \subseteq {}_0EE_0(B_1), \tag{4}$$

generating a subalgebra $A_a = \bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty \mathbb{Z}_p[\tilde{z}_i]/(\tilde{z}_i^p)$ which is nonzero in degrees multiples of $(n - 1)p$ and thus satisfies the condition of the lemma.

To finish the proof, we thus need to produce the second set b . We will consider two cases.

6.1 Case I: the spectral sequence ${}_sEE_r(B_k)$ does not contain factors of type L_j

Recall that we are assuming, by contradiction argument, that there exists some element of odd degree in some B_r , and then also in ${}_0EE_0(B_r)$. In this case the following lemma applies for $(s_0, r_0, k_0) = (0, 0, r)$.

Lemma 6.3 *Suppose that the biprimitive spectral sequence ${}_sEE_r(B_k)$ only contains factors of type K_i and Q . If there is an element $y \in {}_{s_0}EE_{r_0}(B_{k_0})$ of odd degree, then there exists a sequence $b = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ of primitive elements of degree $|x_i| = (|y| + 1)p^i$ in ${}_0EE_0(B_1)$, generating a subalgebra A_b isomorphic, as a vector space, to a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[w]$, $|w| = |y| + 1$. Moreover, every x_i gets killed at some stage in the spectral sequence ${}_sEE_r(B_k)$.*

Proof We can assume that y is primitive. Since B_∞ does not have elements of odd degree, the element y must be killed at some stage (s_1, r_1, k_1) . Since there are no factors of type L_j , the only way this can happen is that there is some $x_1 \in {}_{s_1}EE_{r_1}(B_{r_1})$ such that $dy = x_1$. Since the differential commutes with the coproduct, the element x_1 is primitive as a differential of a primitive element. Moreover, $|x_1| = |y| + 1$, and in ${}_{s_1+1}EE_{r_1}(B_{r_1})$ there is a new element of odd degree being created, namely $y_2 = [yx_1^{p-1}]$. By Lemma A.1 below, y_2 is primitive, and $|y_2| = |y| + (p - 1)(|y| + 1) = p(|y| + 1) - 1$. By the same reason as before, at some later time (s_2, r_2, k_2)

we can find $x_2 \in {}_s E E_{r_2}(B_{r_2})$ such that $dy_2 = x_2$. Again, x_2 is primitive and $|x_2| = |y_2| + 1 = p(|y| + 1)$. The lemma now follows by inductively repeating the same steps above and by lifting the x_i to ${}_0 E E_0(B_1)$ via an application of Lemma A.3. \square

Because the elements in the sequence b in Lemma 6.3 above do not survive to ${}_0 E E_0(B_\infty)$, they are linearly independent of the elements in the sequence a in (4). Lemma 6.2 can then be applied, contradicting the uniform boundedness of ${}_0 E E_0(B_1)$. This proves Proposition 6.1 in this first case.

6.2 Case II: the spectral sequence ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$ contains a factor of type L_j

We can now assume that at some stage in the biprimitive spectral sequence ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$, we have a factor of type L_j . In other words, there is an element $x_{s,r} \in {}_s E E_r(B_k)$ of even degree (call it D), such that $dx_{s,r} \neq 0$. By the explicit form (2) of each stage ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$, we can choose $x_{s,r}$ to be primitive. According to Lemma A.3 the element $x_{s,r}$ is in fact represented by a primitive element $x_{0,0} \in {}_0 E E_0(B_k)$. Using the explicit shape of the biprimitive form ${}_0 E E_0(B_k)$ shows that the primitive element $x_{0,0}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of indecomposable generators ξ_i of ${}_0 E E_0(B_k)$ as in [7], Page 158. We can assume that $x_{0,0}$ is represented by one such generator ξ_0 (still guaranteeing our assumption $dx_{s,r} \neq 0$). By [7, Theorem 2.7] ξ_0 is represented by an iterated p -th power $x_0^{p^m}$ of an indecomposable generator x_0 of $E_0(B_k)$. Since $B_k \simeq E_0(B_k)$ as algebras, $\xi_0 = x_0^{p^m}$ is represented by an iterated p -th power $\xi = x^{p^m}$ of some indecomposable element $x \in B_k$. However, if $m \neq 0$, we would have $d\xi = 0$ in (B_k, d) and, by standard facts about the spectral sequence of a filtered complex, it would follow that $dx_{s,r} = 0$ for all $x_{s,r} \in {}_s E E_r(B_k)$ represented by ξ . This gives a contradiction, and hence $x_{s,r}$ is represented by the indecomposable element x . We claim that dx cannot be written as dw for some decomposable element w : in fact, if this was the case, then $d(x - w) = 0$ would imply that every element in ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$ represented by $x - w$ has zero differential. On the other hand, since $w \in F_2(B_k)$ (second subset in the filtration of B_k , which coincides with the set of decomposable elements), then $x_0 = \{x\} = \{x - w\}$ in $E_0^1(B_k)$, and this implies that $x_{s,r}$ would also be represented by $x - w \in F_1(B_k)$, a contradiction since $dx_{s,r} \neq 0$.

Recall (cf. [26]) that the homology $H_*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a Hopf algebra as well, and that there is a homology Bockstein spectral sequence (B^k, d^k) with $B^1 = H_*(\Omega B\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and such that each B^k is a Hopf Algebra, which is identified with the dual of B_k . In particular, since $dx \in B_k$ is linearly independent from every dw , w decomposable, it then follows that there exists an element $\bar{y} \in B^k$ such that $\bar{y}(dx) \neq 0$ and $\bar{y}(dw) = 0$ for every w decomposable. Then:

- $d\bar{y}(w) = 0$ for all decomposable w . Hence, $d\bar{y}$ is primitive by Lemma A.2.
- $d\bar{y}(x) \neq 0$, which implies that $\bar{x} := d\bar{y} \neq 0$.

From this, it follows from Theorem 3 of [26] that \bar{x} produces ∞ -implications. That is, there exists a sequence of primitive elements $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots$ in homology, with $\bar{x}_i \in B^{r_i}$ for some r_i , and $|\bar{x}_i| = Dp^i$. Moreover, it follows from the proof of Theorem 3 of [26] that:

- (i) For every i , there exists an element $\bar{y}_i \in B^{r_i}$ such that $d\bar{y}_i = \bar{x}_i$.

- (ii) Either $r_{i+1} = r_i$ and $\bar{x}_{i+1} = \bar{x}_i^p$ (hence $\bar{x}_{i+1} = d(\bar{y}_i \bar{x}_i^{p-1})$), or $r_{i+1} = r_i + 1$ and \bar{y}_{i+1} is represented by $\bar{y}_i \bar{x}_i^{p-1}$ (hence $\bar{x}_{i+1} = d[\bar{y}_i \bar{x}_i^{p-1}]$).

Choose elements $x_i \in B_{r_i}$ such that $x_i(\bar{x}_i) \neq 0$, which implies $dx_i \neq 0$. By Lemma A.2 we can choose the x_i 's to be indecomposable in B_{r_i} . These x_i represent indecomposable elements in $E_0 B_{r_i}$ that lie in $E_0^1 B_{r_i}$. In particular, they represent primitive elements in $E_0 B_{r_i}$ by [7, Proposition 1.3, (i)], and hence primitive elements in ${}_0 E E_0(B_{r_i})$ by [7, Proposition 1.2, (iv)], which, by Lemma A.3, can be lifted to primitive elements in ${}_0 E E_0(B_r)$, again called x_i . Moreover, since $|x_i| = Dp^i$, it follows by dimensional reasons that the algebra

$$A_b = \bigotimes_i \mathbb{Z}_p[x_i]/(x_i^p) \subseteq {}_0 E E_0(B_1)$$

that is, the algebra generated by the x_i , is isomorphic as a vector space to a polynomial algebra in one generator of degree D .

Since the elements in the sequence b , i.e. the elements x_i , do not survive to ${}_0 E E_0(B_\infty)$, they are linearly independent of the elements in the sequence a in (4). Once again Lemma 6.2 can then be applied, contradicting the uniform boundedness of ${}_0 E E_0(B_1)$.

This proves Proposition 6.1 in the second and final case.

7 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let \mathcal{O} be an odd-dimensional Besse orbifold. By Corollary 4.7, the universal cover $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a Besse orbifold as well. We first prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is in fact a manifold.

Consider the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the path-fibration $\Omega B\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow PB\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ with \mathbb{Z}_p coefficients. The second page is $E_2^{r,s} = H^r(B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes H^s(\Omega B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. From Proposition 6.1, we know that $H^*(\Omega B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[e_{n-1}]$ as a graded vector space. Since the total space of the fibration is contractible, the spectral sequence converges to the cohomology of a point. In particular, the lowest non-zero degree in which $B\mathcal{O}$ has nontrivial \mathbb{Z}_p cohomology is n and the dimension of this cohomology group is 1. Hence, for $r \leq n$ we have $E_2^{r,s} = \Lambda(e_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[e_{n-1}]$ as graded vector spaces, and $e_n \cdot e_{n-1} \neq 0$ in $E_2^{p,q}$. Since $x = e_{n-1}$ has even degree we find using the Leibniz rule that

$$d_n(x^k) = kx^{k-1}d_n(x).$$

It follows inductively that for $k < p$ we have $x^k \neq 0$ and $d_n(x^k) \neq 0$. This implies that $H^i(B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for all $0 < i < p(n - 1) + 1, i \neq n$.

First suppose that $p > 2$. Because $H^{4i}(B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, [n/2]$, it follows that the orbifold Pontryagin classes $p_i(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ are all zero.

In the case $p = 2$, since $H^{<n}(B\tilde{\mathcal{O}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$, all the orbifold Stiefel Whitney classes are trivial with the possible exception of $w_n(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$. However, it follows from Wu's formula that the Stiefel Whitney classes are generated over the Steenrod algebra

by those in degrees that are a power of two. Since $n > 2$ is not a power of two, $w_n(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ is trivial as well.

From Proposition 3.3, it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a Besse manifold. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is odd dimensional, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a (simply connected) homology sphere, hence a homotopy sphere and, by the Poincaré Conjecture, a topological sphere. In particular, $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathcal{O}) \subset Iso(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$.

Furthermore, if $n \neq 3$ and Γ contains an involution g acting freely on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, then g generates a copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 acting freely on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. By [24, Theorem 2], it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}/\langle g \rangle$ (and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$) has constant sectional curvature.

8 A conjecture

Recall the construction of weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_a^n$, the quotients $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}/\mathbb{S}^1$ by an almost free action, with $a = (a_0, \dots, a_n)$ and with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ from Sect. 2.1. These constitute simply connected Besse orbifolds in even dimensions. Consequently, our main result cannot be generalized to even dimensions.

However, note that their cohomology was computed in [20] to equal

$$H_{orb}^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_a^n; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[u]/(a_0 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n \cdot u^{n+1})$$

where u generates second cohomology.

As a service to the reader let us quickly recall the argument. We identify $H_{orb}^*(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_a^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^*(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z})$ and consider the long exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$.

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^i(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^i(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Considering $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{S}^{2n+1})$ as a pair of trivial vector bundles over the point the Thom isomorphism identifies $H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\mathbb{S}^1}^{i-2(n+1)}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; \mathbb{Z})$, and α is multiplication with the equivariant Euler class $e_{\mathbb{S}^1}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) = a_0 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n \cdot u^{n+1}$ (computed using the splitting principle). Consequently, the morphism α is injective, and the long exact sequence above splits to yield the result. Alternatively, the result can be obtained by an application of the Gysin sequence to the fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} \times E\mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow B\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}_a^n.$$

The same reasoning shows that the integral cohomology ring of an $4n$ -dimensional weighted quaternionic projective space is of the form $\mathbb{Z}[u]/(p \cdot u^{n+1})$ with u of degree 4 and some integer p , which, by [32, Theorem 7.7, p. 568], is 1 if and only if the corresponding action of $SU(2)$ on \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} is free.

Recall further that due to 1.1 the best known result in the manifold case is a cohomological classification which, in particular, implies that the integral cohomology algebra is generated by one element only.

Taken together, these observations motivate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 8.1 *The integral cohomology algebra of a simply-connected even-dimensional Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} of dimension d is generated by one element u of even degree k such that $d = k \cdot n$ and $k \in \{2, 4, 8\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$H_{orb}^*(\mathcal{O}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u]/(l \cdot u^{n+1}).$$

Note that by [32, Theorem 7.7, p. 568] in this case \mathcal{O} has the structure of a manifold if and only if $|l| = 1$.

Remark 8.2 The reader may observe that we can support this conjecture further: Indeed, our Morse theoretic arguments (with rational coefficients) together with the corresponding arguments from rational homotopy theory presented in Proposition 5.1 show that also in the case when $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is even its rational (orbifold) cohomology algebra is a truncated polynomial algebra generated by one element of even degree.

Acknowledgements Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. We would like to thank Alexander Lytchak for drawing our attention to the starting question of this paper and the work [32] of Quillen, and John McCleary for conversations on Hopf algebras. M. Amann was supported both by a Heisenberg grant and by his research grant AM 342/4-1 of the German Research Foundation; he is moreover a member of the DFG Priority Programme 2026. C. Lange was partially supported by the DFG funded project SFB/TRR 191. M. Radeschi was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1810913.

Data availability statement: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Appendix A: Manipulations with Hopf algebras

This appendix contains a few elementary lemmas about differential Hopf algebras, needed in Sect. 6 but too technical for being included there. We refer the reader to Sect. 2.7 for relevant definitions and notation.

Recall that, given a differential Hopf algebra (A, d) , then its homology $H_*(A, d)$ has again the structure of a Hopf algebra.

Lemma A.1 *Let (A, d) be a differential Hopf algebra, and let $y \in A$ be primitive of odd degree with $dy =: x$. Then $y' = [yx^{p-1}] \in H_*(A, d)$ is primitive as well.*

Proof $\Psi(y') = \Psi[yx^{p-1}] = [\Psi(yx^{p-1})]$, so it is enough to prove that $\Psi(yx^{p-1}) \sim 1 \otimes yx^{p-1} + yx^{p-1} \otimes 1$, where \sim denotes equivalence up to coboundaries. Since y is primitive, so is x , and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(yx^{p-1}) &= \Psi(y)\Psi(x)^{p-1} \\ &= (1 \otimes y + y \otimes 1)(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1)^{p-1} \\ &\sim 1 \otimes yx^{p-1} + yx^{p-1} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{k} yx^{k-1} \otimes x^{p-k} \\ &= 1 \otimes yx^{p-1} + yx^{p-1} \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

since we are in characteristic p (more details on the third step in this computation can be found in the first arXiv version of this paper). □

Lemma A.2 *Let A be a Hopf algebra, and $\bar{y} \in A^*$ (the dual of A). Then $\bar{y}(w) = 0$ holds for every w decomposable, if and only if \bar{y} is primitive.*

Proof Let $\Psi(\bar{y}) = 1 \otimes \bar{y} + \bar{y} \otimes 1 + \sum_i y'_i \otimes y''_i$. Then $Y = \sum_i y'_i \otimes y''_i = 0$ in $(\bar{A} \otimes \bar{A})^*$ (where \bar{A} is the subspace of elements of positive degree), if and only if $Y(x' \otimes x'') = 0$ for all $x' \otimes x'' \in \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A}$. But

$$Y(x' \otimes x'') = \Psi(\bar{y})(x' \otimes x'') = \bar{y}(x'x'') = 0$$

since $x'x''$ is decomposable, hence the result. □

The next Lemma, applies to the specific case of Browder’s spectral sequence ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$ of biprimitive Hopf algebras (see Sect. 6). Notice that, given two triplets of integers $(s, r, k), (s', r', k')$, with $(s, r, k) < (s', r', k')$ in the lexicographic order, it follows that the page ${}_{s'} E E_{r'}(B_{k'})$ occurs after the page ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$. In this situation, we say that a subalgebra $E' \subseteq {}_{s'} E E_{r'}(B_{k'})$ lifts to a subalgebra $E \subseteq {}_s E E_r(B_k)$, if there is an algebra isomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that every $x \in E$ represents $\phi(x)$.

Lemma A.3 *Given two triplets of integers $(s, r, k) < (s', r', k')$ in the lexicographic order, the algebra ${}_{s'} E E_{r'}(B_{k'})$ lifts to a subalgebra $E \subseteq {}_s E E_r(B_k)$, such that primitive elements of even degree lift to primitive elements.*

Proof Let $E_{s',r',k'}(m) \subseteq {}_{s'} E E_{r'}(B_{k'})$ be the subalgebra generated by primitive elements of degree $\leq m$. We will show that for any m , there are lifts of $E_{s',r',k'}(m)$ to subalgebras $E_{s,r,k}(m)$ of ${}_s E E_r(B_k)$, such that $E_{s,r,k}(m) \subseteq E_{s,r,k}(m + 1)$ and so that the lifts are compatible with the inclusions.

We will prove this by induction:

- If $s' > 0$, then ${}_{s'-1} E E_{r'}(B_k)$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^I (\Lambda(y_i) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[x_i]/(x_i^p)) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^J (\Lambda(u_j) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[v_j]/(v_j^p)) \otimes Q$$

and ${}_{s'}EE_{r'}(B_k)$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^I \Lambda([y_i x_i^{p-1}]) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^J \Lambda([u_j v_j^{p-1}]) \otimes Q.$$

By the explicit shape of these algebras, it is clear how to lift ${}_{s'}EE_{r'}(B_{k'})$ to ${}_{s'-1}EE_{r'}(B_k)$, and in particular any $E_{s',r',k'}(m)$. Notice that primitive elements of even degree must live in the Q factor, hence their lift is again primitive.

- If $s' = 0$ and $r' > 0$ then, since $E_{0,r',k'}(m) \subseteq {}_0EE_{r'}(B_{k'})$ is nonzero only in degrees $\leq d$ for some d , then let s'' such that ${}_{s''}EE_{r'-1}(B_{k'})$ is isomorphic to ${}_0EE_{r'}(B_{k'})$ in degrees $\leq d$. Then, clearly, $E_{0,r',k'}(m)$ lifts to a subalgebra of ${}_{s''}EE_{r'-1}(B_{k'})$, and, by iterating the point before, it lifts to ${}_0EE_{r'-1}(B_{k'})$.
- If $s' = 0$ and $r' = 0$ then, again since $E_{0,0,k'}(m) \subseteq {}_0EE_0(B_{k'})$ is nonzero only in degrees $\leq d$ for some d , then let r'' such that ${}_0EE_{r''}(B_{k'-1})$ is isomorphic to ${}_0EE_0(B_{k'})$ in degrees $\leq d$. As before, $E_{0,0,k'}(m)$ lifts to a subalgebra of ${}_0EE_{r''}(B_{k'-1})$ and, by iterating the point before, it lifts to ${}_0EE_0(B_{k'-1})$.

Iterating these steps, one can lift $E_{s,r,k}(m)$ to any earlier page. Taking the direct limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, one gets the result. □

Appendix B: Wadsley’s Theorem for orbifolds

B.1 Smooth actions on orbifolds

In order to prove Proposition 4.6 on the existence of a minimal common period for the geodesics of a Besse orbifold we first need to explain some properties of smooth actions on orbifolds. We assume that the reader is familiar with the notion of a smooth orbifold (see e.g. [3]). A map between orbifolds is called *smooth* if it locally lifts to smooth maps between manifolds charts [12, Def. 2.6]. A smooth action of a Lie group G on an orbifold \mathcal{O} is a continuous action of G on \mathcal{O} that induces a smooth map $G \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. If G acts smoothly on \mathcal{O} and $x \in \mathcal{O}$, then the orbit map $i_x : G/G_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$, $[g] \mapsto gx$, is smooth, a homeomorphism onto its image and all points on $Gx \subset \mathcal{O}$ have the same local group [12, Lem. 2.11]. The latter moreover shows that G/G_x embeds into \mathcal{O} as a *full suborbifold* [3] in the sense that the images of all lifts of $i : G/G_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ to good manifold charts are invariant, and hence point-wise fixed, under the actions of local groups (see proof of [12, Lem. 2.11]). In particular, if Γ_x is the local group of \mathcal{O}^n at x , then the tangent space of \mathcal{O} at x splits as $\mathbb{R}^k/\Gamma_x \times T_x Gx$, where $k = n - \dim(G/G_x)$. This splitting is preserved under the action of G and so the normal bundle $\nu(Gx)$ of Gx in \mathcal{O} is a \mathbb{R}^k/Γ_x -bundle over G/G_x .

B.2 Proof of Wadsley’s theorem

The geodesic flow induces a smooth \mathbb{R} -action on the unit sphere bundle $T^1\mathcal{O}$ of a Besse orbifold \mathcal{O} which is itself an orbifold. The orbits of this action are geodesics

with respect to the natural (Sasaki) metric on $T^1\mathcal{O}$, they project to the geodesics on \mathcal{O} with the same arc-length parametrization and the same period, and all the geodesics on \mathcal{O} can be obtained in this way (cf. [2, Ch. 1.K]). In the manifold case a theorem by Wadsley says that an \mathbb{R} -action with these properties factors through an \mathbb{S}^1 -action [38], see also [2, Appendix A]. We are going to argue that the same statement and its conclusion holds in the present setting of orbifolds. In the manifold case the proof involves a local analysis of Poincaré return maps. In order to have the same tool available in the orbifold case we first prove the following statement.

Lemma B.1 *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^n$ be a smooth action on an orbifold \mathcal{O} and suppose that L is a periodic orbit. Then there exists a small neighborhood W of L in \mathcal{O} which is covered by a manifold $p : M \rightarrow W$ such that the restriction of p to the preimage of L in M is a homeomorphism.*

Proof According to our discussion in Sect. B.1 the normal bundle $\nu(L)$ of L in \mathcal{O} is an $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\Gamma_x$ -bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 where Γ_x is the local group of a point on L . Using the exponential map we find a small neighborhood W of L in \mathcal{O} diffeomorphic to $\nu(L)$. We identify W and $\nu(L)$ via this diffeomorphism. Let \tilde{W} be the universal covering of W as a topological space with a smooth orbifold structure induced from W so that $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is also a covering of orbifolds. Since W is homotopy equivalent to \mathbb{S}^1 the deck transformation group of the covering $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} and by construction it acts transitively on the fibers, i.e. the covering is normal. The space \tilde{W} is an $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}/\Gamma_x$ -bundle over \mathbb{R} and, in fact, it is a trivial bundle. In this case this can for instance be seen by lifting the induced \mathbb{R} -action $d\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \nu(L) \rightarrow \nu(L)$ to \tilde{W} . In particular, its orbifold fundamental group is isomorphic to Γ_x . Moreover, it shows that the universal (orbifold) covering \tilde{W} of W is $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let Γ be the deck transformation group of the covering $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ and let N be its subgroup isomorphic to Γ_x corresponding to the covering $\tilde{W} \rightarrow \tilde{W}$. Since the covering $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ is normal, the subgroup N is normal in Γ and the quotient Γ/N is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , the deck transformation group of the covering $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$. This implies that Γ is a semidirect product $N \rtimes H$ of N with an infinite cyclic subgroup H of Γ . Since H does not have finite subgroups, it acts freely on \tilde{W} and so $M = \tilde{W}/H$ is a manifold. By construction the group N leaves the preimage of L in \tilde{W} point-wise fixed. It follows that the induced covering $p : M \rightarrow W$ restricts to a homeomorphism on its preimage of L . This completes the proof of the lemma. □

In the situation of the preceding lemma the vector field on W induced by the \mathbb{R} -action lifts to a vector field on M whose local flow covers the flow on W . A small disk D transversal to the periodic orbit L in W at a point x is covered by a small disk \hat{D} in M transversal to the homeomorphic lift \hat{L} of L in M . In M we can find a smaller disk $\hat{E} \subset \hat{D}$ centered on \hat{L} for which a smooth Poincaré map $\hat{\theta} : \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ with smooth return time $\hat{\tau} : \hat{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined, cf. [2, A.14]. Setting $E = p(\hat{E})$ we then obtain a smooth Poincaré map $\theta : E \rightarrow D$ covered by $\hat{\theta}$ whose first return time $\tau : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\tau \circ p = \hat{\tau}$ and so is smooth as well. Let $i > 0$ and suppose that \hat{E} is sufficiently small so that $\hat{\theta}^{i|\Gamma_x|} : \hat{E} \rightarrow \hat{D}$ and $\theta^i : E \rightarrow D$ are defined. Then a preimage in \hat{E} of a fixed point $y \in E$ of θ^i is a fixed point of $\hat{\theta}^{i|\Gamma_x|}$.

Now we explain the proof of the orbifold version of Wadsley’s result which implies our Proposition 4.6.

Proposition B.2 *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a smooth action on an orbifold such that all orbits are periodic geodesics parametrized by arclength by the action. Then the \mathbb{R} -action factors through an \mathbb{S}^1 -action, i.e. all orbits have a minimal common period.*

Proof We only explain those parts of the proof in detail that require modifications compared to the proof in the manifold case given in [2]. Otherwise we refer to [2] for the details. We follow the notation from [2]. Let $\varrho : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function that assigns to a point $x \in \mathcal{O}$ the length of the orbit through x . The function ϱ is not necessarily locally bounded. However, if the orbit of a point $x_0 \in E$ (notation as in the preceding paragraph) defined by $x_i = \theta^i(x_0)$, $i > 0$, remains in E for every value of i , then we have the following relation. Since the periodic orbit through x meets E only a finite number of times, the θ -orbit of x is finite, say of order $n(x)$, we have

$$\varrho(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n(x)-1} \tau(x_i). \tag{5}$$

Since the local analysis using Poincaré maps is available in the orbifold setting, the following statement can be proven verbatim as in the manifold case, see [2, Prop. A.17].

Proposition B.3 *Let L be a fixed orbit of length λ . Let $k > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is a neighborhood U of L such that if $x \in U$ and $\varrho(x) \leq k$, then*

- (i) *for some integer i with $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor k/\lambda \rfloor + 1 < \varepsilon$ we have $|\varrho(x) - i\lambda| < \varepsilon$ and*
- (ii) *the orbit through x lies in an ε -neighborhood of L .*

Using this proposition the following corollary is proven in the same way as in [2, Cor. A.18].

Corollary B.4 *The function ϱ is lower semicontinuous. If N is any compact subset of \mathcal{O} , then the set V of points of continuity of $\varrho|_N$ is an open subset of N . If N is locally compact, then V is dense in N .*

Now suppose that ϱ is bounded by some $k > 0$ in a neighborhood of an orbit L through a point x . Then as in [2, A.19] one can apply Proposition B.3 to find a small Poincaré disk E' centered at x with $\theta(E') = E'$. Since ϱ is bounded by k on E' the orders of the θ -orbits are bounded by some m . For such an m the composition θ^m is the identity on E' . The map $\theta : E' \rightarrow E'$ is covered by a diffeomorphism $\hat{\theta} : \hat{E}' \rightarrow \hat{E}'$ of finite order of a disk \hat{E}' in M . Using this cover the argument from [2, A.20] shows that the set of points in E' whose θ -orbit has the same order as θ , say r , is open and dense in E' . Therefore, equation (5) shows that the function $\alpha(x) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \varrho(y)$ satisfies

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \tau(\theta^i x) \tag{6}$$

for $x \in E'$. Since α is invariant under the flow, this shows that α is smooth in a neighborhood of L . Moreover, if X denotes the vector field defined by the \mathbb{R} -action

on \mathcal{O} , then the flow of the vector field X/α in a neighborhood of L factors through an \mathbb{S}^1 -action. Hence, in order to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that α is constant on \mathcal{O} .

Set $B_1 = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \varrho$ is unbounded in every neighborhood of $x\}$ and write $\varphi_t(x)$ for $\varphi(t, x)$. By continuity we have $\varphi_{\alpha(x)}(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O} \setminus B_1$. Let $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ be a smooth path with $\gamma(0) = x$ and consider the one-parameter family of geodesics defined by

$$(s, t) \longmapsto \varphi_{t\alpha(\gamma(s))}(\gamma(s))$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. For fixed s the length of this geodesic is $\alpha(\gamma(s))$. On the other hand, the first variation formula, which also works for orbifolds, implies that this length is independent of s . This implies that α is locally constant on $M \setminus B_1$. Hence, in order to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that B_1 is empty.

The proof of $B_1 = \emptyset$ is an application of a theorem by Newman and works by contradiction. Since Newman’s theorem only applies to manifolds the proof in the orbifold case has to be slightly refined as follows compared to the proof in [2, p. 220]. Let $B_2 = \{x \in B_1 \mid \varrho|_{B_1}$ is not continuous at $x\}$. Corollary B.4 implies that B_1 is closed and has void interior in \mathcal{O} and that B_2 is closed with void interior in B_1 . Let $x \in B_1 \setminus B_2$ and let U be a connected open neighborhood of x which is a quotient of a manifold chart by the local group Γ_x , which is disjoint from B_2 and such that

$$|\varrho(u) - \varrho(v)| < \varrho(w)/8 \text{ for } u, v, w \in U \cap B_1. \tag{7}$$

Such an U exists since ϱ is lower semicontinuous on \mathcal{O} and its restriction to B_1 is continuous on $B_1 \setminus B_2$. Let V be a component of $\mathcal{O} \setminus B_1$ which meets U and such that

$$c > 3|\Gamma_x|\varrho(x), \text{ where } \alpha|_V \equiv c. \tag{8}$$

By continuity the restriction of the time c flow map φ_c to \bar{V} is the identity, and by definition of V we have $\partial V \subset B_1$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{int}(\bar{V}) = V. \tag{9}$$

Since U is connected and meets B_1 , there is a point $y \in U \cap \partial V$. By standard orbifold theory the order of Γ_y divides the order of Γ_x . Since $\varphi_c(y) = y$, there is an integer k such that $c = k\varrho(y)$. By (7) and (8), $k \geq 2|\Gamma_y|$.

Let $p : M \rightarrow W$ be given by Lemma B.1 applied to the periodic orbit L through y . Set $V' = p^{-1}(V \cap W)$ and let $\hat{y} \in M$ be a preimage of y . Let \hat{D} be a small open disk of codimension one with $p(\hat{D}) \subset U$, transverse to the orbit through \hat{y} and with center \hat{y} . Let \hat{E} be a smaller concentric disk on which $\hat{\theta}^i$ is defined for $1 \leq i \leq k!|\Gamma_y|$, with each $\hat{\theta}^i \hat{E} \subset \hat{D}$. By lower semicontinuity of ϱ and the fact that φ_c is the identity on \bar{V} we can assume that the restriction of $\theta^{k!}$ to $p(\hat{E}) \cap \bar{V}$ is the identity. Then also the restriction of $\hat{\theta}^{k!|\Gamma_y|}$ to $\hat{E} \cap \bar{V}'$ is the identity. It follows as in [2] that there exists

an open, connected and Γ_y -invariant neighborhood J of \hat{y} in E with

$$\hat{\theta}(J \cap \bar{V}') = J \cap \bar{V}'.$$

We define $\psi : J \rightarrow J$ by $\psi(z) = \hat{\theta}^{|\Gamma_y|}(z)$ for $z \in J \cap \bar{V}'$ and $\psi(z) = z$ otherwise. By (7) the restriction of θ to $p(\hat{E}) \cap \partial V$ is the identity. Hence, also the restriction of $\hat{\theta}^{|\Gamma_y|}$ to $\hat{E} \cap \partial V'$ is the identity and so the map ψ is continuous. Since $\psi^{k^1} = id$, ψ is a homeomorphism of finite order. Since $k \geq 2|\Gamma_y|$, ψ is not the identity on $J \cap V'$. By Newman's theorem $J \cap V'$ is dense in J . Since p is open, it follows that V is dense in a neighborhood of y , and hence φ_c is the identity in a neighborhood of y . This contradicts the fact that any neighborhood of y contains points from B_1 , because of $y \in \partial V$ and (9). The proof is complete. \square

References

1. Adem, A., Leida, J., Ruan, Y.: Orbifolds and Stringy Topology. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 171. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2007)
2. Besse, A.L.: Manifolds All of Whose Geodesics are Closed. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 93. Springer, Berlin (1978)
3. Borzellino, J.E., Brunsden, V.: Elementary orbifold differential topology. Topol. Appl. **159**, 17 (2012)
4. Borzellino, J.E., Lorica, B.G.: The closed geodesic problem for compact Riemannian 2-orbifolds. Pac. J. Math. **175**(1), 39–46 (1996)
5. Bott, R.: On manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed. Ann. Math. **2**(60), 375–382 (1954)
6. Browder, W.: Torsion in H-spaces. Ann. Math. **74**, 24–51 (1961)
7. Browder, W.: On differential Hopf algebras. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **107**(1), 153–176 (1963)
8. Brown, E.H.: Cohomology of BSO_n and BO_n with integer coefficients. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **85**(2), 283–288 (1982)
9. Burago, D., Burago, Yu., Ivanov, S.: A Course in Metric Geometry. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001)
10. Dragomir, G.C.: Closed geodesics on orbifolds of nonpositive or nonnegative curvature. Geom. Dedicata **172**, 399–411 (2014)
11. Félix, Y., Halperin, S., Thomas, J.-C.: Rational Homotopy Theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 205. Springer, New York (2001)
12. Galaz-García, F., Kerin, M., Radeschi, M., Wiemeler, M.: Torus orbifolds, slice-maximal torus actions and rational ellipticity. Int. Math. Res. Not. **18**, 5786–5822 (2018)
13. Gorodski, C., Lytchak, A.: Isometric actions on spheres with an orbifold quotient. Math. Ann. **365**(3–4), 1041–1067 (2016)
14. Gromoll, D., Grove, K.: The low-dimensional metric foliations of Euclidean spheres. J. Differ. Geom. **28**(1), 143–156 (1988)
15. Gromoll, D., Meyer, W.: Periodic geodesics on compact Riemannian manifolds. J. Differ. Geom. **3**, 493–510 (1969)
16. Guillemin, V., Uribe, A., Wang, Z.: Geodesics on weighted projective spaces. Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **36**(2), 205–220 (2009)
17. Guruprasad, K., Haefliger, A.: Closed geodesics on orbifolds. Topology **45**(3), 611–641 (2006)
18. Haefliger, A.: Groupoides d'holonomie et classifiants. Asterisque **116**, 70–97 (1984)
19. Hatcher, A.: Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
20. Holm, T.S.: Orbifold cohomology of abelian symplectic reductions and the case of weighted projective spaces. In: Poisson Geometry in Mathematics and Physics. Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 450, pp. 127–146
21. Kegel, M., Lange, C.: A Boothby–Wang theorem for Besse contact manifolds. Arnold Math J. **7**(2), 225–241
22. Lange, C.: On metrics on 2-orbifold all of whose geodesics are closed. J. Reine Angew. Math. **758**, 67–94 (2020)

23. Lange, C.: Orbifolds from a metric viewpoint. *Geom. Dedicata*. **209**, 43–57 (2020)
24. Lin, S., Schmidt, B.: Real projective spaces with all geodesics closed. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **27**(3), 631–636 (2017)
25. Lyusternik, L.A., Fet, A.I.: Variational problems on closed manifolds. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **81**, 17–18 (1951)
26. McCleary, On the mod p Betti numbers of loop spaces. *Invent. Math.* **87**(3), 643–654 (1987). 57T25 (53C22 55P35 55T99 58E10)
27. Milnor, J.: *Morse Theory, Based on Lecture Notes by M. Spivak and R. Wells*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 51. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1963)
28. Milnor, J.: On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **90**(2), 272–280 (1959)
29. Milnor, J., Moore, J.: On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. Math.* **81**(2), 211–264 (1965)
30. O’Neill, B.: The fundamental equations of a submersion. *Mich. Math. J.* **13**, 459–469 (1966)
31. Mok, K.P.: On the differential geometry of frame bundles of Riemannian manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **302**, 16–31 (1978)
32. Quillen, D.: The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring. I, II. *Ann. Math. (2)* **94** (1971), 549–572, 573–602
33. Samelson, H.: On manifolds with many closed geodesics. *Port. Math.* **22** (1963), 193–196. MR0230256
34. Sasaki, S.: On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **2**(10), 338–354 (1958)
35. Sasaki, S.: On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds. II. *Tôhoku Math. J.* **2**(14), 146–155 (1962)
36. Thurston, W.P.: *The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1979)
37. Vigué-Poirrier, M., Sullivan, D.: The homology theory of the closed geodesic problem. *J. Differ. Geom.* **11**(4), 633–644 (1976)
38. Wadsley, A.W.: Geodesic foliations by circles. *J. Differ. Geom.* **10**(4), 541–549 (1975)

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.