

Consistency of vitamin and/or mineral supplement use and demographic, lifestyle and health-status predictors: findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heidelberg cohort

Kuanrong Li¹, Rudolf Kaaks¹, Jakob Linseisen^{1,2} and Sabine Rohrmann^{3*}

¹*Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Centre, Heidelberg, Germany*

²*Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Centre Munich, Neuherberg, Germany*

³*Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Zurich, Hirschengraben 84, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland*

(Received 25 November 2009 – Revised 2 March 2010 – Accepted 1 April 2010 – First published online 5 May 2010)

Cross-sectional studies suggest that dietary supplement use is associated with favourable demographic and lifestyle factors and certain health conditions. However, factors that affect the consistency of supplement use have not been investigated in prospective cohort studies. The aim of the present study was to seek baseline demographic, lifestyle and health-status predictors of subsequent consistent vitamin and/or mineral supplement use. A total of 8968 men and 10 672 women of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heidelberg cohort, who answered the supplement-use questions in the baseline survey and two follow-up surveys, were categorised into three groups: consistent, inconsistent and never users. At baseline, 28.5 % of men and 38.6 % of women reported vitamin and/or mineral supplement use. After a median follow-up of 8.5 years, 14.6 % of men and 22.9 % of women were consistent users. During follow-up, 36.0 % of male and 26.6 % of female initial users stopped supplement use, whereas 27.8 % of male and 39.4 % of female initial non-users started supplement use. Women were more likely to be consistent users than men. Older age (≥ 50 years), lower BMI ($< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and self-reported hyperlipidaemia were common predictors of consistent use for both sexes. Additional predictors included higher educational level for men, and being more physically active and higher lifetime alcohol consumption for women. Consistent users had the highest intake of dairy products, fish, fruits and vegetables, and wine but the lowest intake of total meat. We concluded that supplement use is a fairly unstable behaviour in free-living individuals. Individuals with a favourable lifestyle and healthier diet are more likely to show consistent supplementation.

Vitamins: Minerals: Supplements: Cohort studies: EPIC

Cross-sectional studies have shown that dietary supplement users, in contrast to non-users, are more likely to be women, more highly educated, more physically active, non-smokers, light alcohol drinkers, and to have a healthier diet^(1–6). As a result, these factors are usually handled as confounders in epidemiological studies that investigate the preventive effect of dietary supplements on chronic diseases. Studies have shown that dietary supplement users and non-users have different health-status characteristics^(2,3,6,7). On the other hand, the occurrence of some specific health conditions, such as hypertension and cancer, may increase or decrease supplement use^(2,8). It is also important to note that dietary supplement use may be an unstable behaviour in free-living individuals. However, the consistency of dietary supplement use is unable to be clearly answered by cross-sectional studies, and so far has not been investigated in prospective studies. The main objective of the present study was to describe the consistency of vitamin and/or mineral supplement use (hereafter referred to simply as supplement use) and to seek demographic, lifestyle and health-status predictors of consistent supplement use in a prospective cohort study.

Materials and methods

Study population and data collection

The present study was based on the ongoing European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heidelberg. The EPIC-Heidelberg cohort, as one of two German EPIC cohorts, was set up between 1994 and 1998⁽⁹⁾. The target population of EPIC-Heidelberg was the group of individuals who were aged 40–64 years (for men) or 35–64 years (for women) living in Heidelberg and surrounding areas. Detailed description of recruitment is available in a published paper⁽¹⁰⁾. In brief, a randomised sample of eligible subjects was obtained from the municipal registration offices. Each eligible subject was invited by an invitation letter and reminded by a telephone call in case of no response to the letter. Eventually, 11 928 men and 13 612 women joined the cohort, totally accounting for 38.3 % of those initially invited. The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethical Committee of Heidelberg

Abbreviation: EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition.

***Corresponding author:** Dr Sabine Rohrmann, fax +41 44 634 4909, email sabine.rohrmann@ifspm.uzh.ch

Medical School. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

All recruited subjects completed a validated self-administered FFQ, in which the consumption of 148 food items in the previous 12 months was assessed. Baseline demographic and lifestyle information was collected in a self-administered lifestyle questionnaire at recruitment. Consumption of alcoholic beverages (beer, wine, and spirits) at the ages of 20, 30 and 40 years and at recruitment was estimated by asking subjects to specify the amount (in glasses) on each occasion and frequency (ranging from 'never' to 'five or more times a day'). Alcohol intake (g/d) was then derived from the above information using the German Food Code and Nutrient Data Base BLS II.3 (BgVV, Berlin, Germany). Average lifetime alcohol intake was defined as a mean of weighted alcohol intake at different ages and at recruitment, with weights equal to corresponding total subject-specific time under investigation. Height and weight at recruitment were measured in a physical examination. BMI was calculated by dividing body mass (kg) by the square of height (m²). Medical history was recorded in a computer-guided interactive interview.

Information on regular use of any dietary supplements was collected in the computer-guided interactive interview at baseline and two subsequent follow-up questionnaires, i.e. the second follow-up questionnaire (FUP2; 2001–3) and the third follow-up questionnaire (FUP3; 2004–6). At all three occasions, subjects were asked if they had regularly used any dietary supplements in the previous 4 weeks, with 'regularly' defined as daily use that endured for at least 1 week, or non-daily use of at least five doses with a fixed interval. Subjects who answered 'yes' were further required to name the supplements they had used. However, information on duration and dosage of supplement use was not collected.

All the subjects answered the supplement-use question in the interactive interview. By the end of the third follow-up survey, a total of 5900 subjects did not provide information on supplement use in at least one of the two follow-up surveys due to death before the second follow-up questionnaire or third follow-up questionnaire (*n* 973), loss to at least one of the two follow-up surveys (*n* 3528), or data missing (*n* 1399). These subjects were excluded from the present study. In total, 8968 men and 10672 women who answered the dietary supplement-use questions at all the three time points were left for analyses. We divided these subjects into three categories: consistent, inconsistent and never users, using the following definitions: (1) consistent users were defined as subjects reporting regular supplement use at all the three time points; (2) inconsistent users were defined as subjects reporting regular supplement use not at all the three time points; (3) never users were defined as subjects reporting no regular supplement use at all the three time points.

Statistical methods

We calculated the frequency of different supplement-use patterns and conducted the χ^2 test to examine the difference between men and women. A multivariate multinomial logistic regression model was fitted to examine the association between the categories of supplement use and the baseline demographics (sex, age, and educational level), lifestyle factors (physical activity, smoking status, lifetime alcohol

consumption) and health conditions at recruitment (self-reported prevalent hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, cancer, and history of myocardial infarction or stroke), with never users as the reference category. All these factors were mutually adjusted for in the multivariate model. All the subjects were categorised into three age groups: <50 years, 50–59.9 years, and ≥ 60 years. BMI was categorised into three groups: <25 kg/m², 25–29.9 kg/m², and ≥ 30 kg/m². Lifetime alcohol intake was categorised into tertiles by using cut-off points derived separately for men and women (13.31 and 27.97 g/d for men, and 2.54 and 7.40 g/d for women). Non-alcohol drinkers were not considered separately because of the small proportion (1.44%). Daily energy intake (kJ/d) was adjusted for body mass. Physical activity was categorised into four levels, i.e. inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, and active, by using the Cambridge Physical Activity Index proposed by Wareham *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾. To compare the baseline diet across the three supplement-use categories, we performed the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test given the non-normal distribution of dietary variables. We also used the Bonferroni–Dunn test to conduct multiple comparisons if the omnibus difference was statistically significant. A two-sided $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. SAS 9.1 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to perform all analyses. All analyses were stratified by sex.

Results

The median age at recruitment was 52.9 (range 35.7–65.8) years for men and 48.8 (range 35.2–65.6) years for women. The baseline prevalence of supplement use in men and women was 28.5 and 38.6%, respectively. After a median follow-up of 8.5 years, 14.6% of men and 22.9% of women were consistent supplement users, while 39.3% of men and 47.0% of women were inconsistent users, and 46.1% of men and 30.1% of women were never users (Table 1). In subjects who reported regular supplements at recruitment, 36.0% of men and 26.6% of women stopped supplement use during follow-up. Meanwhile, in baseline non-users, 27.8% of men and 39.4% of women reported supplement use on later occasions.

After controlling for covariates, women were more likely to have consistent or inconsistent supplement use than men (Table 2). In both sexes, older age (≥ 50 years) was significantly associated with increased likelihood of consistent or inconsistent supplement use. Subjects with higher educational level were more likely to have inconsistent supplement use, but only highly educated men were more likely to show consistent use. In women, being physically active was significantly associated with inconsistent or consistent supplement use (adjusted OR 1.35 (95% CI 1.11, 1.64) for inconsistent use, and adjusted OR 1.28 (95% CI 1.09, 1.51) for consistent use). Former smoking was also associated with inconsistent supplement use in women (adjusted OR 1.19; 95% CI 1.05, 1.35). Compared with those falling into the lowest tertile of lifetime alcohol intake, women in the middle and the highest tertile were more likely to show consistent and inconsistent supplement use. In both sexes, a lower BMI (<25 kg/m²) was associated with higher likelihood of consistent or inconsistent supplement use.

Table 1. Vitamin and/or mineral supplement use according to sex*

	Men (n 8968)		Women (n 10 672)		P
	n	%	n	%	
Supplement use at baseline					
Subjects with supplement use at baseline	2552	28.5	4117	38.6	<0.0001
Subjects without supplement use at baseline	6416	71.5	6555	61.4	
Supplement-use categories					
Consistent supplement users	1313	14.6	2437	22.9	<0.0001
Inconsistent supplement users	3523	39.3	5019	47.0	
Never users	4132	46.1	3216	30.1	
Subjects with supplement use at baseline					
Subjects who kept using supplements	1313	51.4	2437	59.2	<0.0001
Subjects with interrupted use in FUP2	321	12.6	584	14.2	
Subjects who stopped using supplements	918	36.0	1096	26.6	
Subjects without supplement use at baseline					
Subjects who kept not using supplements	4132	64.4	3216	49.0	<0.0001
Subjects with interrupted non-use in FUP2	501	7.8	759	11.6	
Subjects who started supplement use	1783	27.8	2580	39.4	

FUP2, second follow-up survey.

* Comparison of proportions in men and women was performed by the χ^2 test.

Among self-reported health conditions at recruitment, hyperlipidaemia was significantly associated with increased odds of consistent and inconsistent supplement use in both sexes. Hypertension was only associated with inconsistent supplement use in men (adjusted OR 1.19; 95% CI 1.04, 1.36). Prevalent cancer at recruitment was associated with inconsistent supplement use in both men and women. However, only men were more likely to have consistent supplement use (adjusted OR 1.33; 95% CI 1.00, 1.76). Neither consistent nor inconsistent supplement use was found associated with baseline prevalent diabetes mellitus or history of myocardial infarction or stroke.

In both sexes, consistent, inconsistent and never supplement users had a significantly different intake of dairy products, fish, fruits and vegetables, and total meat (Table 3). Consistent supplement users had the highest intake of dairy products, fish, and fruits and vegetables but the lowest intake of total meat. The highest lifetime consumption of wine was also observed in consistent supplement users. Male consistent and inconsistent supplement users had a lower consumption of beer than never users, while female consistent and inconsistent supplement users had a higher consumption of spirits than never users. In women, consistent supplement users had a higher intake of total energy per kg body mass than inconsistent and never users.

Discussion

Vitamin and mineral supplement use is common in Germany. The German Nutrition Survey showed that 18.4% of men and 24.9% of women were regular vitamin or mineral supplement users⁽¹²⁾. Using the same EPIC-Heidelberg cohort data, Reinert *et al.* reported that 33.5% of men and 40.1% of women were regular vitamin and/or mineral supplement users at recruitment⁽¹³⁾. In our analysis that was limited to a subgroup of subjects who answered supplement-use questions in the baseline interactive interview and two subsequent follow-up surveys, we found that the baseline prevalence of regular supplement use was 28.5% in men and 38.6% in women, which was close to that of the entire cohort (27.8% in men

and 38.8% in women). The relatively higher prevalence of supplement use reported by Reinert *et al.* was obtained from the supplement-use information collected in the FFQ, which measured the regular use of any supplements in the previous 12 months, rather than in the previous 4 weeks. The lower prevalence reported in the German Nutrition Survey may be mainly due to its stricter definition of 'regular use' (supplementation of at least once per week in the previous year) and wider age range (18–79 years) of the study population. The present study showed in a prospective manner that only 14.6% of men and 22.9% of women were consistent supplement users, despite the high baseline prevalence of supplement use. One previous study specifically focusing on supplement use in women reported that 26.7% of the users became non-users while 21.8% of the non-users became users after a 2-year follow-up⁽¹⁴⁾. We found that among those who reported vitamin supplement use at recruitment, 36.0% of men and 26.6% of women discontinued their supplement use during follow-up, whereas in those baseline non-users, 27.8% of men and 39.4% of women started supplement use on later occasions. These findings suggest that supplement use is a fairly unstable behaviour in free-living individuals.

Studies have shown that women are more likely to use dietary supplements, in particular vitamin or mineral supplements^(1–3,5,6,15,16). The present study further suggests that female supplement users are more likely to maintain supplement use in the long run than their male counterparts. Cross-sectional studies have suggested that increased age and educational level are associated with dietary supplement use^(1–3,5,6,15,16). Our findings suggest that old individuals (≥ 50 years) are more likely to have consistent supplement uses than young individuals. Higher educational level was associated with increased likelihood of inconsistent supplement use. However, a significant positive association between education level and consistent supplement use was observed only in men. Dietary supplement users have been reported having more physical activity than non-users^(1,5,17). In the present study, physical activity was measured by using the Cambridge Physical Activity Index, a combination

Table 2. Association between vitamin and/or mineral supplement-use categories and baseline demographic, lifestyle and health characteristics*
(Adjusted odds ratios and 95 % confidence intervals)

	Men (<i>n</i> 8968)							Women (<i>n</i> 10 672)						
	NU		IU		CU			NU		IU		CU		
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	Adjusted OR†	95 % CI	<i>n</i>	Adjusted OR†	95 % CI	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	Adjusted OR†	95 % CI	<i>n</i>	Adjusted OR†	95 % CI
Sex	4132	3523	1.00		1313	1.00		3216	5019	2.91	2.66, 3.19	2437	2.01	1.88, 2.16
Baseline age (years)														
<50	1862	1293	1.00		344	1.00		2028	2681	1.00		922	1.00	
50 ≤ age < 60	1645	1541	2.07	1.78, 2.41	642	1.31	1.19, 1.46	840	1614	2.65	2.33, 3.01	1005	1.43	1.28, 1.59
≥60	625	689	2.84	2.35, 3.42	327	1.54	1.35, 1.77	348	724	3.54	2.97, 4.22	510	1.61	1.38, 1.88
Education														
None or primary school	1315	1016	1.00		295	1.00		813	1280	1.00		596	1.00	
Secondary school‡	1321	1159	1.45	1.22, 1.72	408	1.17	1.04, 1.31	1539	2488	1.21	1.06, 1.39	1254	1.06	0.94, 1.18
University	1496	1348	2.02	1.71, 2.39	610	1.24	1.10, 1.39	864	1251	1.10	0.93, 1.30	587	0.97	0.85, 1.11
Physical activity														
Inactive	384	356	1.00		151	1.00		386	531	1.00		286	1.00	
Moderately inactive	1412	1174	0.90	0.72, 1.12	452	0.94	0.80, 1.11	1154	1837	1.11	0.92, 1.33	855	1.20	1.03, 1.40
Moderately active	1226	1052	0.91	0.73, 1.15	366	1.00	0.85, 1.19	943	1448	1.17	0.97, 1.41	701	1.18	1.01, 1.38
Active	1110	941	1.02	0.81, 1.29	344	1.02	0.86, 1.22	733	1203	1.35	1.11, 1.64	595	1.28	1.09, 1.51
Smoking status														
Never smokers	1318	1103	1.00		430	1.00		1561	2447	1.00		1196	1.00	
Former smokers	1878	1734	1.11	0.96, 1.29	674	1.10	0.99, 1.22	925	1496	1.19	1.05, 1.35	760	1.07	0.96, 1.19
Current smokers	936	686	0.84	0.69, 1.02	209	0.94	0.83, 1.08	730	1076	1.05	0.91, 1.22	481	1.00	0.89, 1.13
Lifetime alcohol intake (g/d)§														
1st tertile	1385	1153	1.00		445	1.00		1172	1662	1.00		728	1.00	
2nd tertile	1351	1218	0.95	0.81, 1.11	429	1.05	0.94, 1.18	1028	1713	1.23	1.07, 1.40	833	1.16	1.04, 1.29
3rd tertile	1396	1152	0.97	0.82, 1.13	439	0.96	0.86, 1.08	1016	1662	1.33	1.16, 1.53	876	1.15	1.03, 1.29
BMI (kg/m ²)														
BMI ≥ 30	727	573	1.00		184	1.00		492	694	1.00		307	1.00	
25 ≤ BMI < 30	2108	1863	1.32	1.09, 1.59	680	1.15	1.01, 1.31	944	1495	1.24	1.04, 1.48	728	1.13	0.97, 1.30
BMI <25	1297	1087	1.54	1.25, 1.90	449	1.15	0.99, 1.33	1780	2830	1.62	1.35, 1.93	1402	1.25	1.09, 1.44
Hypertension														
No	2746	2265	1.00		801	1.00		2493	3833	1.00		1786	1.00	
Yes	1386	1258	1.19	1.04, 1.36	512	1.04	0.94, 1.15	723	1186	1.03	0.90, 1.18	651	0.98	0.87, 1.10
Hyperlipidaemia														
No	2393	1899	1.00		683	1.00		2458	3465	1.00		1565	1.00	
Yes	1739	1624	1.20	1.06, 1.37	630	1.14	1.03, 1.25	758	1554	1.48	1.31, 1.68	872	1.37	1.23, 1.52
Diabetes mellitus														
No	3949	3323	1.00		1232	1.00		3144	4904	1.00		2383	1.00	
Yes	183	200	1.25	0.95, 1.66	81	1.21	0.98, 1.49	72	115	0.75	0.52, 1.09	54	0.92	0.68, 1.26
Myocardial infarction or stroke														
No	4005	3375	1.00		1247	1.00		3178	4958	1.00		2395	1.00	
Yes	127	148	1.26	0.92, 1.72	66	1.18	0.92, 1.51	38	61	1.04	0.66, 1.64	42	0.88	0.58, 1.33
Cancer														
No	4042	3415	1.00		1256	1.00		3124	4849	1.00		2300	1.00	
Yes	90	108	1.81	1.28, 2.56	57	1.33	1.00, 1.76	92	170	1.51	1.14, 1.99	137	1.05	0.81, 1.36

NU, never users; IU, inconsistent users; CU, consistent users.

* Multivariate multinomial logistic regression was performed, with never users as the reference category.

† All the covariates listed in the table were mutually adjusted for.

‡ Technical school and professional school were combined into this category.

§ For men, the tertile cut-off points were 13.31 and 27.97 g/d. For women, the tertile cut-off points were 2.54 and 7.40 g/d.

Table 3. Baseline intake of selected food groups, alcoholic beverages and total energy†
(Mean values and standard deviations)

	NU		IU		CU		Omnibus <i>P</i>
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Men							
Subjects (<i>n</i>)	4132		3523		1313		–
Dairy products (g/d)	220.8	219.4	244.3*	250.2	267.2*†	255.5	< 0.0001
Fish (g/d)	21.0	20.3	22.9*	24.4	25.6*†	24.6	< 0.0001
Cereals (g/d)	213.4	89.5	209.0	87.2	213.6	95.7	0.088
Fruits and vegetables (g/d)	219.7	106.3	226.4*	111.0	242.4*†	121.7	< 0.0001
Total meat (g/d)	125.6	75.0	116.3*	71.6	109.7*†	68.9	< 0.0001
Wine (g/d)	115.4	176.9	128.6*	197.8	148.3*†	213.8	< 0.0001
Beer (g/d)	355.8	507.9	309.2*	436.5	291.4†	418.5	< 0.0001
Spirits (g/d)	2.4	5.8	2.8	9.2	2.8	7.6	0.7212
Energy intake (kJ/d per kg)	113.6	38.7	111.3*	39.6	111.7	36.6	0.0071
Women							
Subjects (<i>n</i>)	3216		5019		2437		–
Dairy products (g/d)	254.1	209.5	254.1*	209.5	286.6*†	234.4	< 0.0001
Fish (g/d)	15.3	15.4	16.6*	17.0	18.4*†	19.1	< 0.0001
Cereals (g/d)	177.0	70.6	172.8*	71.6	175.9	72.7	0.0071
Fruits and vegetables (g/d)	251.6	130.3	256.2	121.2	274.0*†	130.8	< 0.0001
Total meat (g/d)	80.9	53.4	75.0*	47.9	70.4*†	48.8	< 0.0001
Wine (g/d)§	82.4	130.6	84.7	128.4	97.8*†	145.9	0.0009
Beer (g/d)§	61.0	141.3	64.0	147.9	64.2	145.8	0.0723
Spirits (g/d)§	0.7	2.7	0.8*	3.4	0.9†	3.2	0.0152
Energy intake (kJ/d per kg)	109.9	38.7	109.9	38.5	113.0*†	43.1	0.0358

NU, never users; IU, inconsistent users; CU, consistent users.

* Mean value was significantly different from that of the NU group ($P < 0.05$).† Mean value was significantly different from that of the IU group ($P < 0.05$).

‡ The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to perform overall comparison over the three groups, and the Bonferroni–Dunn test to conduct pairwise comparison when the omnibus difference was statistically significant.

§ The amount of wine, beer and spirits represents the average daily consumption at recruitment.

of work activity and leisure-time activity. We found that physically active women were more likely to use supplements consistently. However, no significantly increased likelihood of supplement use was seen in physically active men. The possible explanation is that men who were categorised as physically active were more likely to do heavy manual work. These men tended to be younger and less educated, and were therefore less likely to use supplements.

Some studies have suggested that never smokers are more likely to use dietary supplements than former smokers or current smokers^(1,3,18). Nevertheless, Subar & Block found that former smokers were the heaviest supplement users⁽¹⁹⁾. In addition, three studies in women reported higher dietary or vitamin and mineral supplement use in former smokers than in never smokers or current smokers^(17,18,20). We found that female former smokers were more likely to be inconsistent supplement users, suggesting that certain factors causing smoking cessation may also cause supplement use in this subgroup.

A study in French women has shown that dietary vitamin/mineral supplement users drink less alcohol than non-users⁽¹⁴⁾, while a US study has observed that vitamin and mineral supplement use is more common in women who drink alcohol regularly⁽²¹⁾. In both studies, however, demographic and lifestyle covariates were not adjusted for. In other two studies, one has reported a non-significant inverse association between alcohol drinking and dietary supplementation after controlling for covariates⁽³⁾, whereas the other has shown that the frequency of wine or distilled spirit consumption is positively associated with multivitamin/mineral supplement use⁽²²⁾.

One systematic review has shown that adults with a daily alcohol intake of 14.0 g have a reduced risk of CHD⁽²³⁾. In the present study, we observed that women with an average lifetime alcohol intake of 12.9 g/d (the median of the third tertile) were more likely to be consistent supplement users, suggesting a higher health consciousness in this group. Men in the 3rd tertile of lifetime alcohol intake were less likely to have consistent supplement use. The median of the lifetime alcohol intake in this group was 43.0 g/d, which was much greater than 20–24 g/d, the tolerable upper alcohol intake level recommended for adult German men⁽²³⁾. This suggests a lower health consciousness in male heavy alcohol drinkers. We also found that the consumption of different types of alcoholic beverages varied across the three supplement-use categories (Table 3). In women, the highest consumption of wine was observed in consistent supplement users. In men, consistent supplement users consumed the highest amount of wine and the lowest amount of beer. Studies have shown that drinking more wine but less beer or spirits marks a higher socio-economic status^(24,25). Therefore, the present study suggests that consistent supplement use may be another marker of higher socio-economic status.

An inverse association of BMI and supplement use has been reported in cross-sectional studies^(5,17,20). In the present study, a lower BMI ($< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) was a predictor of consistent supplement use in both men and women. Only a few studies have examined the association between dietary supplement use and prevalent health conditions. Lyle *et al.* have reported that subjects with hypertension are less likely to use supplements than those without⁽²⁾. Two studies have reported

that 69.3 and 74.0% of cancer survivors used dietary supplements (mainly multivitamins) after diagnosis^(26,27). In the present study, self-reported prevalent hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and cancer at recruitment were significantly associated with increased odds of inconsistent supplement use in men. All these health conditions except hypertension were also predictors of inconsistent supplement use in women. In both sexes, however, only hyperlipidaemia was a predictor of consistent supplement use, suggesting that vitamin and mineral supplements may be used for the purpose of controlling the blood lipid level.

It has been shown that supplement users consume more fruits, vegetables and dairy products than non-users^(2,28,29). In our cohort, consistent users consumed the highest amount of dairy products, fish, and fruits and vegetables but the lowest amount of total meat, suggesting that consistent supplement users have a healthier diet than inconsistent and never users. A study of Kim *et al.* has reported a significantly higher intake of total energy in female regular vitamin and mineral supplement users⁽²¹⁾. In the present study, male never users had the highest energy intake per kg body mass than inconsistent and consistent supplement users, even though the difference was only statistically significant between never users and inconsistent users. However, female consistent supplement users had a significantly higher energy intake per kg body mass than inconsistent and never supplement users. This might be because female consistent supplement users were more physically active.

All the previous studies examining the association between dietary supplement use and demographic, lifestyle and health-status factors were cross-sectionally designed. However, cross-sectional studies are problematic in investigating causal relationships. This drawback has been pointed out in some studies^(3,6,7). To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first prospective cohort study focusing on the consistency of vitamin and mineral supplement use in free-living individuals. The aim of the present study was to predict subsequent consistent supplement use by using the baseline demographic, lifestyle and health-status characteristics as predictors. It is, however, necessary to point out that certain lifestyle factors, for example, physical activity, smoking status and BMI, may change over time. In addition, we used supplement-use information of the previous 4 weeks before each survey date, which apparently did not perfectly reflect the supplement-use status of the entire time period between two surveys. However, when we compared the supplement-use status of the previous 4 weeks obtained from the baseline interview with that of the previous year obtained from the FFQ, we found a good agreement, with a κ coefficient of 0.898 for men and 0.876 for women, suggesting utilisation of the momentary assessment may not cause substantial bias. In the present study, we did not consider supplementation of specific vitamins and minerals, for the reason that 81.5% of supplement users took combinations of two or more different vitamins or minerals, from which we found it hard to obtain a dominant pattern. Lastly, we need to note that the prevalent health conditions at recruitment were self-reported, and hence the reporting bias was unable to be ruled out.

In summary, the present study showed that vitamin and/or mineral supplement use was a fairly unstable behaviour in free-living individuals. Being a woman and of older age

(≥ 50 years), having a lower BMI ($< 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$) and self-reported hyperlipidaemia were predictors of consistent supplement use. Higher educational level was an additional predictor of consistent supplement use for men, while physical activeness and higher lifetime alcohol consumption were additional predictors for women. Consistent users had the highest intake of dairy products, fish, fruits and vegetables, and wine but the lowest intake of total meat. We conclude that consistent users have a cluster of favourable lifestyle and dietary factors that need to be adjusted for when investigating the health effects of dietary supplementation on chronic diseases, particularly cancer and CVD. Furthermore, more efficient instruments need to be developed for the accurate measurement of long-term consistent supplement use given the changeability of this behaviour in real life.

Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe (grant no. 70-488-Ha I), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Graduiertenkolleg 793. We thank all the subjects of the present study for their continued participation.

K. L. conducted the statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. S. R. provided instructions on statistical methods. S. R., J. L. and R. K. participated in results interpretation and manuscript review.

We are also thankful to Ilona Krüger-Friedemann, Jutta Kneisel and Jutta Schmitt for coding and preparing the dataset.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ishihara J, Sobue T, Yamamoto S, *et al.* (2003) Demographics, lifestyles, health characteristics, and dietary intake among dietary supplement users in Japan. *Int J Epidemiol* **32**, 546–553.
2. Lyle BJ, Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, *et al.* (1998) Supplement users differ from nonusers in demographic, lifestyle, dietary and health characteristics. *J Nutr* **128**, 2355–2362.
3. McNaughton SA, Mishra GD, Paul AA, *et al.* (2005) Supplement use is associated with health status and health-related behaviors in the 1946 British birth cohort. *J Nutr* **135**, 1782–1789.
4. Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML, White E, *et al.* (1998) Cancer-related behavior of vitamin supplement users. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **7**, 79–81.
5. Rock CL (2007) Multivitamin–multimineral supplements: who uses them? *Am J Clin Nutr* **85**, 277S–279S.
6. Satia-Abouta J, Kristal AR, Patterson RE, *et al.* (2003) Dietary supplement use and medical conditions: the VITAL study. *Am J Prev Med* **24**, 43–51.
7. Block G, Jensen CD, Norkus EP, *et al.* (2007) Usage patterns, health, and nutritional status of long-term multiple dietary supplement users: a cross-sectional study. *Nutr J* **6**, 30.
8. Patterson RE, Neuhouser ML, Hedderston MM, *et al.* (2003) Changes in diet, physical activity, and supplement use among adults diagnosed with cancer. *J Am Diet Assoc* **103**, 323–328.
9. Riboli E (2001) The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): plans and progress. *J Nutr* **131**, 170S–175S.
10. Boeing H, Korfmann A & Bergmann MM (1999) Recruitment procedures of EPIC-Germany. European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Ann Nutr Metab* **43**, 205–215.

11. Wareham NJ, Jakes RW, Rennie KL, *et al.* (2003) Validity and repeatability of a simple index derived from the Short Physical Activity Questionnaire used in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study. *Public Health Nutr* **6**, 407–413.
12. Beitz R, Mensink GB, Fischer B, *et al.* (2002) Vitamins – dietary intake and intake from dietary supplements in Germany. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**, 539–545.
13. Reinert A, Rohrmann S, Becker N, *et al.* (2007) Lifestyle and diet in people using dietary supplements: a German cohort study. *Eur J Nutr* **46**, 165–173.
14. Touvier M, Kesse E, Volatier JL, *et al.* (2006) Dietary and cancer-related behaviors of vitamin/mineral dietary supplement users in a large cohort of French women. *Eur J Nutr* **45**, 205–214.
15. Balluz LS, Okoro CA, Bowman BA, *et al.* (2005) Vitamin or supplement use among adults, behavioral risk factor surveillance system, 13 states, 2001. *Public Health Rep* **120**, 117–123.
16. Schwarzpaul S, Strassburg A, Luhrmann PM, *et al.* (2006) Intake of vitamin and mineral supplements in an elderly German population. *Ann Nutr Metab* **50**, 155–162.
17. Yu SM, Kogan MD & Huang ZJ (2003) Vitamin–mineral supplement use among US women, 2000. *J Am Med Womens Assoc* **58**, 157–164.
18. Kirk SF, Cade JE, Barrett JH, *et al.* (1999) Diet and lifestyle characteristics associated with dietary supplement use in women. *Public Health Nutr* **2**, 69–73.
19. Subar AF & Block G (1990) Use of vitamin and mineral supplements: demographics and amounts of nutrients consumed. The 1987 Health Interview Survey. *Am J Epidemiol* **132**, 1091–1101.
20. Knudsen VK, Rasmussen LB, Haraldsdottir J, *et al.* (2002) Use of dietary supplements in Denmark is associated with health and former smoking. *Public Health Nutr* **5**, 463–468.
21. Kim I, Williamson DF, Byers T, *et al.* (1993) Vitamin and mineral supplement use and mortality in a US cohort. *Am J Public Health* **83**, 546–550.
22. Radimer K, Bindewald B, Hughes J, *et al.* (2004) Dietary supplement use by US adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2000. *Am J Epidemiol* **160**, 339–349.
23. Burger M, Brönstrup A & Pietrzik K (2004) Derivation of tolerable upper alcohol intake levels in Germany: a systematic review of risks and benefits of moderate alcohol consumption. *Prev Med* **39**, 111–127.
24. Marques-Vidal P, Arveiler D, Evans A, *et al.* (2000) Patterns of alcohol consumption in middle-aged men from France and Northern Ireland. The PRIME study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **54**, 321–328.
25. Nielsen NR, Schnohr P, Jensen G, *et al.* (2004) Is the relationship between type of alcohol and mortality influenced by socioeconomic status? *J Intern Med* **255**, 280–288.
26. Ferrucci LM, McCorkle R, Smith T, *et al.* (2009) Factors related to the use of dietary supplements by cancer survivors. *J Altern Complement Med* **15**, 673–680.
27. Miller P, Demark-Wahnefried W, Snyder DC, *et al.* (2008) Dietary supplement use among elderly, long-term cancer survivors. *J Cancer Surviv* **2**, 138–148.
28. Frank E, Bendich A & Denniston M (2000) Use of vitamin–mineral supplements by female physicians in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr* **72**, 969–975.
29. Harrison RA, Holt D, Pattison DJ, *et al.* (2004) Are those in need taking dietary supplements? A survey of 21 923 adults. *Br J Nutr* **91**, 617–623.