

Scaling and universality at dynamical quantum phase transitions

Markus Heyl

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Heyl, Markus. 2015. "Scaling and universality at dynamical quantum phase transitions." *Physical Review Letters* 115 (14): 140602.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.115.140602>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

licgercopyright

Dieses Dokument wird unter folgenden Bedingungen zur Verfügung gestellt: / This document is made available under the following conditions:

Deutsches Urheberrecht

Weitere Informationen finden Sie unter: / For more information see:

<https://www.uni-augsburg.de/de/organisation/bibliothek/publizieren-zitieren-archivieren/publizieren>



Scaling and Universality at Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions

Markus Heyl^{1,2,3}

¹*Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

²*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

³*Physik Department, Technische Universität München, 85747 Garching, Germany*

(Received 1 June 2015; published 2 October 2015)

Dynamical quantum phase transitions (DQPTs) at critical times appear as nonanalyticities during nonequilibrium quantum real-time evolution. Although there is evidence for a close relationship between DQPTs and equilibrium phase transitions, a major challenge is still to connect to fundamental concepts such as scaling and universality. In this work, renormalization group transformations in complex parameter space are formulated for quantum quenches in Ising models showing that the DQPTs are critical points associated with unstable fixed points of equilibrium Ising models. Therefore, these DQPTs obey scaling and universality. On the basis of numerical simulations, signatures of these DQPTs in the dynamical buildup of spin correlations are found with an associated power-law scaling determined solely by the fixed point's universality class. An outlook is given on how to explore this dynamical scaling experimentally in systems of trapped ions.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.140602

PACS numbers: 05.30.Rt, 05.70.Ln, 64.60.Ht, 73.22.Gk

Introduction.—In equilibrium, phase transitions are of fundamental importance both for the theoretical understanding of physical systems as well as for applications. In this context, continuous phase transitions are of particular interest because they exhibit scaling and universality [1]. These fundamental concepts are intimately connected to renormalization group (RG) theory and the associated fixed points. Dynamical quantum phase transitions (DQPTs) during quantum real-time evolution have emerged as a nonequilibrium analogue to equilibrium phase transitions where Loschmidt amplitudes,

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \langle \psi_0 | e^{-iHt} | \psi_0 \rangle, \quad (1)$$

become nonanalytic as a function of time [2]. Here, $|\psi_0\rangle$ is an initial pure state and H the Hamiltonian driving the coherent time evolution. DQPTs have been discovered in a variety of different contexts [2–13], and indications for a close relationship between DQPTs and equilibrium phase transitions have been found [2,3,5–7,9]. But still, a major challenge is to connect to fundamental concepts such as scaling and universality.

In this work it is shown for the first time that DQPTs obey scaling and universality. For that purpose, Loschmidt amplitudes for quantum quenches in one- and two-dimensional Ising models are mapped exactly onto equilibrium partition functions at complex couplings for which RG transformations in complex parameter space are formulated. As a main result, DQPTs are critical points on the attracting manifold of the unstable fixed points of this RG. Therefore, Loschmidt amplitudes satisfy a scaling form with exponents determined solely by the underlying universality class. Moreover, numerical evidence is provided that the

critical phenomena in Loschmidt amplitudes are related to dynamical power-law scaling in spin-spin correlations. An outlook is given on how to verify this scaling experimentally in systems of trapped ions within current technology.

Universality and scaling of DQPTs will be studied for quantum quenches in transverse-field Ising models:

$$H(h) = -\sum_{\langle lm \rangle} J_{lm} \sigma_l^z \sigma_m^z - h \sum_{l=1}^L \sigma_l^x, \quad (2)$$

with σ_l^α , $\alpha = x, z$, Pauli matrices on lattice site $l = 1, \dots, L$, and L the total number of spins. While in one dimension (1D) the nearest-neighbor (NN) coupling $J_{lm} > 0$ is taken as uniform $J_{lm} = J$, in two dimensions (2D) an anisotropic square lattice is considered with couplings J within the rows and J_\perp along the columns.

The Ising model supports DQPTs both in 1D [2,10,14] and in 2D [12]. Figure 1 shows DQPTs for quantum




FIG. 1 (color online). Dynamical quantum phase transitions in the Loschmidt echo rate function $\lambda(t) = -N^{-1} \log[|\mathcal{G}(t)|^2]$ after quenches in the 1D Ising chain. The nonanalytic kink structure of $\lambda(t)$ is a direct consequence of the universal exponents of the underlying fixed point; see Eq. (9).

quenches in the 1D case. Throughout this Letter, plots of the DQPTs will be given in terms of the Loschmidt echo $\mathcal{L}(t) = |\mathcal{G}(t)|^2$ quantifying the magnitude of $\mathcal{G}(t)$. Specifically, due to the large-deviation scaling of $\mathcal{L}(t)$ [2,15,16], it is suitable to introduce its rate function,

$$\lambda(t) = -\frac{1}{N} \log[\mathcal{L}(t)], \quad (3)$$

which, in contrast to $\mathcal{L}(t)$, is intensive in the thermodynamic limit. As in Fig. 1, quantum quenches from fully polarized initial states,

$$|\psi_0\rangle = \bigotimes_{l=1}^L |\rightarrow\rangle_l \rightarrow |\rightarrow\rangle_l = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\uparrow\rangle_l + |\downarrow\rangle_l], \quad (4)$$

will be considered, i.e., ground states of initial Hamiltonians $H_0 = H(h \rightarrow \infty)$.

It is the aim of the present work to relate the DQPTs and therefore the nonanalytic structure of $\lambda(t)$ to scaling in the vicinity of unstable fixed points. For that purpose, it will be shown that Loschmidt amplitudes in Eq. (1) can be mapped onto equilibrium partition functions of classical Ising models at complex couplings for the considered parameter regime. In order to address scaling and universality, real-space decimation RGs, exact in 1D and approximate in 2D, are formulated. The most important result of the analysis of the RG equations is that DQPTs are critical points flowing to unstable fixed points of equilibrium Ising models implying universality and scaling. Notice that singular behavior can also occur in the Fourier transform of the Loschmidt amplitude [15–18], which, however, is of different nature.

Equilibrium partition functions.—Let us first consider vanishing final transverse fields with a Hamiltonian $H(h=0) = -J \sum_{\langle lm \rangle} \sigma_l^z \sigma_m^z$. Then, as will be outlined below, the Loschmidt amplitude $\mathcal{G}(t)$ becomes

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \frac{1}{2^L} \text{Tr} \left[e^{it \sum_{\langle lm \rangle} J_{lm} \sigma_l^z \sigma_m^z} \right], \quad (5)$$

with Tr denoting the trace over Hilbert space. Remarkably, this is nothing but the equilibrium partition function of a classical Ising model at complex coupling iJ , inverse temperature t , and $g(t) = -N^{-1} \log[\mathcal{G}(t)]$ is the associated free-energy density (apart from an overall temperature normalization). The above identification follows by using two properties. First, $|\psi_0\rangle$ in Eq. (4) can be written as an equally weighted superposition of all spin configurations in the σ_l^z basis. Second, $H(h=0)$ does not induce spin flips and therefore only the diagonal matrix elements contribute, which is nothing but the trace. In analogy to the equilibrium case, it will be suitable to introduce dimensionless couplings

$$K = iJt, \quad K_{\perp} = iJ_{\perp}t, \quad (6)$$

which in the present nonequilibrium context, however, are now complex. Notice that the above identification of $\mathcal{G}(t)$ with a partition function is independent of dimension. In the following, the 1D and 2D cases will be considered because they allow for exact solutions.

One dimension.—The 1D Ising model can be solved on the basis of the transfer matrix T [1]:

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \text{tr} T^L, \quad T = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^K & e^{-K} \\ e^{-K} & e^K \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

with tr denoting the trace over a basis of the 2×2 matrix problem. The matrix T has two eigenvalues $\nu_c = \text{ch}(K)$ and $\nu_s = \text{sh}(K)$, with $\text{sh}(K)$ and $\text{ch}(K)$ the hyperbolic sine and cosine, respectively. For $L \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{G}(t)$ is dominated by the eigenvalue of largest magnitude, i.e., $\mathcal{G}(t) = \nu^L$ with $\nu = \nu_c$ if $|\nu_c| > |\nu_s|$ and $\nu = \nu_s$ otherwise. Note that ν can switch between ν_s and ν_c , yielding a nonanalytic structure in $\lambda(t) = -2\text{Re}[\log(\nu)]$. This switching of the dominant eigenvalue is the underlying origin of the DQPTs in 1D Ising models, as has also been seen in XXZ chains [7]. The critical times t_n of the DQPTs are given by the condition $|\nu_c| = |\nu_s|$, i.e., $t_n = \pi(2n+1)/(4J)$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$; compare also Ref. [2]. In equilibrium, $|\nu_c| = |\nu_s|$ can be satisfied only in the limit of zero temperature $T=0$. When discussing the anticipated RG procedure below, it will be shown that this correspondence is not accidental but rather has a very profound origin.

Having established the presence of DQPTs, it is now the aim to address the question of scaling and universality. For that purpose, a RG scheme in complex parameter space will now be introduced. RG transformations in complex parameter space have been previously studied in the context of the standard model [19,20], as well as for equilibrium partition functions in complex parameter spaces [21,22]. Eliminating every second spin via decimation [21–23], an exact RG transformation can be formulated yielding the following recursion relation [24]:

$$\text{th}(K') = \text{th}^2(K). \quad (8)$$

As a result, it is found that the RG has two fixed points, $K^* = 0, \infty$, corresponding to the equilibrium ones at infinite and zero temperature even when K is complex initially. For K with $|K| \ll 1$, this gives $K' = K^2$, implying that the fixed point $K^* = 0$ is stable. For $K = K^* + \delta K$, in the vicinity of $K^* = \infty$ one obtains that $\delta K' = 2\delta K = b^{\lambda} \delta K$, with $b = 2$ the change in length scale due to the decimation and $\lambda = 1$ the associated anomalous dimension. Thus, it is found here that the fixed point $K^* = \infty$ is unstable as in the equilibrium case. But remarkably, this is not necessarily true for initial couplings beyond the linear regime. In particular, the DQPTs at times t_n map onto the $K^* = \infty$ fixed point after precisely two RG steps. Times t with weak deviation $\tau = (t - t_c)/t_c$ from a DQPT at t_c map

after two RG steps onto the linear regime of the unstable fixed point. Using that $\lambda = 1$, one can then directly deduce the scaling form of $g(t)$:

$$g(\tau) \sim |\tau|^{d/\lambda} \Phi_{\pm} = |\tau| \Phi_{\pm}, \quad \tau = \frac{t - t_c}{t_c}, \quad (9)$$

with dimension $d = 1$ and Φ_{\pm} a constant that may differ for $\tau \lesssim 0$. Remarkably, this is indeed the scaling behavior that is found from the exact solution of $g(t)$ in the vicinity of the DQPTs [2]; compare also Fig. 1. Therefore, the nonanalytic behavior of $g(t)$ can now be attributed to an unstable fixed point of a RG, allowing one to extend fundamental concepts such as robustness, scaling, and universality to the nonequilibrium regime on general grounds. Notice that robustness has recently been established for particular cases [5,6]. In the present work, a specific initial state and final Hamiltonian have been considered so far. However, the identification of DQPTs with unstable fixed points allows one to conclude that weak symmetry-preserving perturbations do not change the universal properties. In the following, this will now be demonstrated by incorporating a weak transverse field in the final Hamiltonian.

Transverse fields.—For $h/J \ll 1$, the field part $V = -h \sum_i \sigma_i^x$ of Eq. (2) can be eliminated using standard time-dependent perturbation theory [24]. To first order in h/J one obtains that $\mathcal{G}(t) = 2^{-L} \text{Tre}^{\tilde{H}}$ can again be represented in terms of an effective classical Ising model \tilde{H} , but now including also next-to-nearest neighbor (NNN) interactions [24]:

$$\tilde{H} = K \sum_i \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z + G \sum_i \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+2}^z, \quad (10)$$

with $G = -iht/2 + ih \sin(4Jt)/(8J)$ and a modified coupling $K = iJt + h[1 - \cos(4Jt)]/(4J)$. Within the same decimation RG as used for the NN case, let us eliminate every second lattice site. Based on a cumulant expansion [26] for the perturbative NNN couplings, one obtains to first order in G [24]

$$K' = P + G \left[1 + \frac{1 - e^{-4P}}{2} \right], \quad G' = G \frac{1 - e^{-4P}}{4}, \quad (11)$$

with $\text{th}(P) = \text{th}^2(K)$ the solution at $h = 0$; see Eq. (8). This set of RG equations exhibits two fixed points, $(K^*, G^*) = (0, 0), (\infty, 0)$. In the vicinity of the unstable fixed point $K^* = \infty$, we get $\delta K' = 2\delta K + 3G/2$ and $G' = G/4$, and therefore weak fields $h/J \ll 1$ constitute an irrelevant perturbation. This is in perfect agreement with the exact solution where it can be seen that $h/J > 1$ is necessary to destroy the DQPTs [2]; see also Fig. 1. Moreover, the scaling properties of the DQPTs are invariant under a slight modification of the initial state by taking the ground state for an initial transverse field $1 < h/J < \infty$.

Therefore, it is expected that the main results are also valid beyond the case of a fully polarized state studied here.

Two dimensions.—The partition function of the 2D Ising model can be solved exactly [27–29]. For the case of complex K this is still possible, which yields

$$g(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \log[2 \sinh(K)] - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dq}{4\pi} s(\varepsilon_q) \varepsilon_q, \quad (12)$$

with ε_q the solution of the equation

$$\text{ch}(\varepsilon_q) = \text{ch}(2K_{\perp}) \text{ch}(2\bar{K}) - \text{sh}(2K_{\perp}) \text{sh}(2\bar{K}) \cos(q), \quad (13)$$

and $s(x) = \text{sgn}[\mathcal{R}(x)]$ returns the sign of its argument's real part. Here, \bar{K} is given by the condition $\text{th}(K) = \exp(-2\bar{K})$, with $\text{th}(K) = \text{sh}(K)/\text{ch}(K)$.

In Fig. 2, the dynamics of $\lambda(t)$ is shown for different anisotropies $j_{\perp} = J_{\perp}/J$. For $j_{\perp} \ll 1$, DQPTs are found at times $t_n = (2n + 1)\pi/(4J)$, which are solely controlled by the coupling J . Indeed, it will be shown below using a perturbative RG that a weak coupling $j_{\perp} \ll 1$ represents an irrelevant perturbation. If, however, $j_{\perp} = 1$, a drastic change in the nature of the DQPT occurs with a logarithmic nonanalyticity:

$$g(\tau) \sim \tau^2 \log(|\tau|), \quad (14)$$

which is illustrated in Fig. 2. Notice the remarkable similarity of the scaling behavior in Eq. (14) with the equilibrium free energy at the equilibrium critical point of the 2D Ising model when τ denotes the relative temperature distance to the critical point [30].

As opposed to 1D, it is not possible to derive a closed set of exact RG recursion relations for the 2D case. However, in the limit of strong anisotropy, $J_{\perp} \ll 1$, an approximate RG can be constructed. For that purpose, let us decompose the square lattice into even and odd rows. The odd rows can




FIG. 2 (color online). Dynamics of $\lambda(t)$ in the 2D Ising model. (a) For strong anisotropies, $j_{\perp} = J_{\perp}/J \ll 1$, the weak coupling J_{\perp} represents an irrelevant perturbation and the critical properties, i.e., the kinks, are identical to the 1D case. (b) For the isotropic 2D Ising model, the nonanalytic structure changes to a logarithmic singularity, which is illustrated in the second derivative shown in (c).

be eliminated perturbatively using a cumulant expansion [26], which in the present case is controlled via $|K_{\perp}| \ll 1$. To second order in K_{\perp} , one obtains [24]

$$K' = K + 2QK_{\perp}^2, \quad K'_{\perp} = K_{\perp}^2. \quad (15)$$

Here, $Q = \text{th}(K)$ if $|\nu_c| > |\nu_s|$ and $Q = 1/\text{th}(K)$ otherwise, with ν_c and ν_s the eigenvalues of the 1D T matrix; see Eq. (7). For $K_{\perp} < 1$ initially, $K_{\perp}^* = 0$ is always approached, implying that K_{\perp} is an irrelevant perturbation. As a consequence, the fixed point describes a set of uncoupled 1D chains. Indeed, $\lambda(t)$ displays kinks; see Fig. 2. In this context, let us therefore introduce an effective dimension d^* which takes a value $d^* = 1$ for the 1D system and also for the strongly anisotropic 2D one.

Decreasing the anisotropy makes the RG transformation in Eq. (15) less and less controlled. In particular, the isotropic point $J_{\perp} = J$, and its associated logarithmic singularity of Eq. (14), is not accessible in this way. Thus, within the current methodology, a rigorous identification of this DQPT with a fixed point is not possible. The particular scaling form of $g(t)$ in Eq. (14), however, suggests that the DQPT in the isotropic limit is controlled by the unstable fixed point of the 2D Ising model. A further argument supporting this hypothesis is given below when discussing the power-law scaling of the spin correlations.

Spin correlations.—Having unraveled scaling and universality of the Loschmidt amplitude, the major challenge now is to connect to local observables. Although for particular quenches out of symmetry-broken phases, such a connection has been established [3], a general understanding, however, is still lacking. As the present DQPTs are associated with the equilibrium critical points of Ising models, it is a question of fundamental importance of how the divergent equilibrium correlation length becomes manifest in the nonequilibrium dynamics. Because of Lieb-Robinson bounds it is, of course, not possible to build up diverging correlations within a finite time interval. Nevertheless, it will now be demonstrated that the underlying DQPTs are responsible for the buildup of NN spin correlations within the rows:

$$C_z(t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{lm} \langle \sigma_{l,m}^z(t) \sigma_{l,m+1}^z(t) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

In Fig. 3 the dynamics of $C_z(t)$ is shown. Notice that the case $h = 0$ constitutes a singular limit because there $C_z(t)$ is a constant of motion such that $C_z(t) \propto h/J$ for $h/J \ll 1$ and $C_z(t)J/h$ becomes a universal function independent of h in the limit $h \rightarrow 0$. As one can see, the spin correlations develop a maximum in the vicinity of the DQPT at $t_c = \pi/(4J)$ with a slope, however, that differs for the isotropic 2D case compared to those with effective dimension $d^* = 1$. This is associated with a different power-law scaling that can be quantified via




FIG. 3 (color online). (a) Dynamics of $C_z(t)$ for $h/J = 0.1$ on a $N = 5 \times 5$ square lattice for different anisotropies j_{\perp} . (b) Power-law scaling of $\chi(\tau)$ in the vicinity of the DQPT. Brown curves are on the NN square lattice for $j_{\perp} = 1/8$, with $d^* = 1$ (upper curve), and the isotropic limit $j_{\perp} = 1$, with $d^* = 2$ (lower curve). The dashed blue curves for the long-range Ising models relevant for trapped ions in 1D (upper curve) and in 2D (lower curve) are also included. As a reference, quadratic and quartic power laws are also shown, demonstrating the dynamical scaling of Eq. (17).

$$\chi(\tau) = \frac{J}{h} [C_z(t_c) - C_z(t_c + \tau)]^{\frac{h_0}{h}} \propto \tau^{-2d^*}; \quad (17)$$

see Fig. 3. This scaling depends only on the effective dimension d^* of the DQPT and therefore only on the DPQT's universality class if we assign $d^* = 2$ for the DQPT satisfying the scaling of Eq. (14) for $g(t)$ equivalent to the critical point of the 2D Ising model. The numerical data in Fig. 3 have been obtained from exact diagonalization (ED) using a Lanczos algorithm with full reorthogonalization [31].

Trapped ions.—This dynamical scaling can be observed experimentally in systems of trapped ions within the current technology, as will be outlined in the following. Fully polarized states as required in Eq. (4) can be initialized with a high fidelity [32]. Coherent time evolution of transverse-field Ising Hamiltonians has been demonstrated both for 1D [32–34] and 2D [35]. The Ising couplings, however, are not of NN type but rather long ranged, $J_{lm} = J/|r_l - r_m|^\alpha$, with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3$ [36] and r_l the location of the ion in real space. In Fig. 3, numerical ED data of $\chi(\tau)$ for the long-range potentials is included for the dipolar case $\alpha = 3$ with lattice spacing $a = 1$. Here, open boundary conditions have been used and $\chi(\tau)$ includes only those NN correlations that do not contain spins at the boundary to minimize boundary effects. As the simulations indicate, the spin correlations also obey the dynamical scaling of Eq. (17), making it accessible within current trapped ion technology.

Conclusions.—It has been shown that DQPTs in 1D and 2D Ising models are controlled by unstable fixed points of complex RG transformations, opening the possibility to apply the concepts of scaling and universality to the out-of-equilibrium regime. Importantly, this leads to a dynamical

scaling of the spin correlations which depends only on the universality class of the underlying DQPT and which is accessible experimentally in systems of trapped ions.

Discussions with Philipp Hauke and Jan Budich are gratefully acknowledged. This work has been supported by the Deutsche Akademie der Naturforscher Leopoldina under Grants No. LPDS 2013-07 and No. LPDR 2015-01. The ED code uses the armadillo linear algebra libraries [37].

-
- [1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2011).
- [2] M. Heyl, A. Polkovnikov, and S. Kehrein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 135704 (2013).
- [3] M. Heyl, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 205701 (2014).
- [4] M. Fagotti, arXiv:1308.0277.
- [5] C. Karrasch and D. Schuricht, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 195104 (2013).
- [6] J. N. Kriel, C. Karrasch, and S. Kehrein, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 125106 (2014).
- [7] F. Andraschko and J. Sirker, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 125120 (2014).
- [8] J. M. Hickey, S. Genway, and J. P. Garrahan, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 054301 (2014).
- [9] E. Canovi, P. Werner, and M. Eckstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 265702 (2014).
- [10] S. Vajna and B. Dora, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 161105 (2014).
- [11] S. Vajna and B. Dora, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155127 (2015).
- [12] A. J. A. James and R. M. Konik, arXiv:1504.00237.
- [13] J. C. Budich and M. Heyl, arXiv:1504.05599; arXiv:1504.05599v1.
- [14] F. Pollmann, S. Mukerjee, A. G. Green, and J. E. Moore, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 020101(R) (2010).
- [15] A. Silva, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 120603 (2008).
- [16] A. Gambassi and A. Silva, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 250602 (2012).
- [17] M. Heyl and S. Kehrein, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 155413 (2012).
- [18] T. Palmai and S. Sotiriadis, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 052102 (2014).
- [19] A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Liu, Y. Meurice, and H. Zou, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 251601 (2010).
- [20] Y. Liu and Y. Meurice, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 096008 (2011).
- [21] P. H. Damgaard and U. M. Heller, *Nucl. Phys.* **B410**, 494 (1993).
- [22] B.-B. Wei, S.-W. Chen, H.-C. Po, and R.-B. Liu, *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 5202 (2014).
- [23] D. R. Nelson and M. E. Fisher, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **91**, 226 (1975).
- [24] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.140602> for methodological details about the real space RG and for Ref. [25].
- [25] R. Kubo, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **17**, 1100 (1962).
- [26] T. Niemeyer and J. M. J. van Leeuwen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31**, 1411 (1973).
- [27] L. Onsager, *Phys. Rev.* **65**, 117 (1944).
- [28] B. Kaufman, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 1232 (1949).
- [29] T. D. Schultz, D. C. Mattis, and E. H. Lieb, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36**, 856 (1964).
- [30] M. E. Fisher, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics* Vol. VIII (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968).
- [31] J. K. Cullum and R. A. Willoughby, *Lanczos Algorithms for Large Symmetric Eigenvalue Computations* (Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 2002).
- [32] B. P. Lanyon, C. Hempel, D. Nigg, M. Mueller, R. Gerritsma, F. Zaehring, P. Schindler, J. T. Barreiro, M. Rambach, G. Kirchmair *et al.*, *Science* **334**, 57 (2011).
- [33] P. Jurcevic, B. P. Lanyon, P. Hauke, C. Hempel, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, *Nature (London)* **511**, 202 (2014).
- [34] P. Richerme, Z.-X. Gong, A. Lee, C. Senko, J. Smith, M. Foss-Feig, S. Michalakakis, A. V. Gorshkov, and C. Monroe, *Nature (London)* **511**, 198 (2014).
- [35] J. W. Britton, B. C. Sawyer, A. C. Keith, C. C. J. Wang, J. K. Freericks, H. Uys, M. J. Biercuk, and J. J. Bollinger, *Nature (London)* **484**, 489 (2012).
- [36] R. Islam, C. Senko, W. C. Campbell, S. Korenblit, J. Smith, A. Lee, E. E. Edwards, C.-C. J. Wang, J. K. Freericks, and C. Monroe, *Science* **340**, 583 (2013).
- [37] C. Sanderson, Technical report, Nicta, 2010.