

Lung perfusion assessed by SPECT/CT after a minimum of three months anticoagulation therapy in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute pulmonary embolism: a retrospective observational study

Thomas M. Berghaus, Stefanie Bader, Christian Faul, Sabine Haberl, Florian Schwarz, Alessandro Liebich, Alexander Dierks, Malte Kircher, Constantin Lapa, Christian H. Pfob

Angaben zur Veröffentlichung / Publication details:

Berghaus, Thomas M., Stefanie Bader, Christian Faul, Sabine Haberl, Florian Schwarz, Alessandro Liebich, Alexander Dierks, Malte Kircher, Constantin Lapa, and Christian H. Pfob. 2022. "Lung perfusion assessed by SPECT/CT after a minimum of three months anticoagulation therapy in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute pulmonary embolism: a retrospective observational study." *Respiratory Research* 23 (1): 296.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-022-02188-2>.

Nutzungsbedingungen / Terms of use:

CC BY 4.0

COMMENT

Open Access



Lung perfusion assessed by SPECT/CT after a minimum of three months anticoagulation therapy in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute pulmonary embolism: a retrospective observational study

Thomas M. Berghaus^{1,4*}, Stefanie Bader¹, Christian Faul¹, Sabine Haberl¹, Florian Schwarz^{3,4}, Alessandro Liebich², Alexander Dierks², Malte Kircher², Constantin Lapa² and Christian H. Pfoh²

Abstract

Background Anticoagulant treatment is recommended for at least three months after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-related acute pulmonary embolism (PE), but the persistent pulmonary clot burden after that time is unknown.

Methods Lung perfusion was assessed by ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) SPECT/CT in 20 consecutive patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE after a minimum of three months anticoagulation therapy in a retrospective observational study.

Results Remaining perfusion defects after a median treatment period of six months were observed in only two patients. All patients (13 men, seven women, mean age 55.6 ± 14.5 years) were on non-vitamin K direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs). No recurrent venous thromboembolism or anticoagulant-related bleeding complications were observed. Among patients with partial clinical recovery, high-risk PE and persistent pulmonary infiltrates were significantly more frequent ($p < 0.001$, respectively).

Interpretation Temporary DOAC treatment seems to be safe and efficacious for resolving pulmonary clot burden in SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE. Partial clinical recovery is more likely caused by prolonged SARS-CoV-2-related parenchymal lung damage rather than by persistent pulmonary perfusion defects.

Keywords Acute pulmonary embolism, Anticoagulation therapy, COVID-19, DOAC, Persistent pulmonary perfusion defects, SARS-CoV-2, SPECT/CT

*Correspondence:

Thomas M. Berghaus
thomas.berghaus@uk-augsburg.de

¹Department of Cardiology, Respiratory Medicine and Intensive Care, University Hospital Augsburg, University of Augsburg, Stenglinstrasse 2, D-86156 Augsburg, Germany

²Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

³Department of Radiology, University Hospital Augsburg, University of Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

⁴Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is a global infectious disease with an enormous impact on public health. The SARS-CoV-2 infection primarily affects the respiratory system, but it may involve different organ systems and is associated with an increased risk for significant coagulopathy, resulting in high rates of venous thromboembolism (VTE), especially in severely ill patients.

There is limited evidence as to how long patients with SARS-CoV-2-related acute pulmonary embolism (PE) should be treated in order to restore pulmonary arterial blood flow. At present, standard VTE management-conform non-COVID recommendations are generally advised, recommending a treatment with anticoagulants for at least three months [1]. However, little is known about the persistent pulmonary clot burden after that time [2], and there is even emerging evidence that the thrombotic risk might persist after recovery from COVID-19 [3, 4].

We therefore evaluated lung perfusion assessed by ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography (SPECT/CT) after a minimum of three months anticoagulation therapy in patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE in order to determine the optimal treatment regime.

Methods

All patients who were diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE between 1. April 2020 and 31. April 2021 at the University Hospital Augsburg were retrospectively identified. They underwent V/Q SPECT/CT imaging for the re-evaluation of persistent perfusion defects after a minimum period of three months sufficient anticoagulation treatment, unless they had an alternative diagnosis for ongoing anticoagulation therapy (e.g. atrial fibrillation, active cancer, recurrent VTE, known hypercoagulable state, etc.). First, ventilation with an 99 m-technetium- (99 m-Tc-) labelled aerosol (Technegas®;Curium Pulmotec®, Ulm, Germany) produced by a nebulizer (Technegas™ Generator, Cyclomedica Australia Pty Ltd., Kingsgrove, Australia) was performed followed by SPECT/CT imaging using a dual-head SPECT/CT gamma camera (GE Optima™ NM/CT 670 or Siemens Symbia T2). Low-dose CT for attenuation correction was acquired in respiratory center position with ventilation SPECT/CT scanning. Iterative and attenuation corrected images were reconstructed using ordered-subset expectation maximization. Subsequently, an activity of about 150 MBq 99 m-Tc-Pulmociis® (Curium Pulmociis) was injected intravenously and SPECT scanning was performed again, using the same imaging parameters as described above. Images were

reviewed by two board certified nuclear medicine physicians and diagnosis was reached in consensus.

All patients gave written informed consent for lung perfusion imaging. The Ethics Committee at the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany exempted this retrospective, non-interventional observational study with a waiver of patient informed consent on May 20, 2021.

The statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS® Statistics version 25. Continuous variables were given as mean values with standard deviations. Differences in continuous variables were analysed by Student's t-test. Categorical variables were specified as amounts (with percentages of total) and their distribution was further analysed by the chi-square test or by Fisher's test in case of low frequencies. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Between 1. April 2020 and 31. April 2021, 35 consecutive patients with SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE were identified. Out of these patients, three patients died during hospitalisation and eight patients had an alternative diagnosis for ongoing anticoagulation therapy. From the 24 eligible patients, three patients were lost for follow-up due to geographical reasons and one patient denied lung perfusion imaging. There were no deaths observed during the follow-up period. Thus, 20 patients could be included in the retrospective study.

Patients' characteristics are summarised in Table 1. In most patients, V/Q SPECT/CT was performed six months (median six months, range three – ten months) after SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE. All patients were on DOAC therapy during the entire follow-up period. No VTE recurrence or anticoagulant-related bleeding complications were observed. Within the study population (13 men, seven women, mean age 55.6 ± 14.5 years), only two patients (10.0%) showed remaining perfusion defects on V/Q SPECT/CT (both female, aged 71 and 87 years, one with full, the other with partial clinical recovery) six months after SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE. In seven out of 20 patients (35.0%), clinical recovery was partial. When comparing patients with full and those with only partial recovery, persistent pulmonary infiltrates were completely absent in fully recovered patients ($p < 0.001$). Among patients with only partial clinical recovery, high-risk PE was significantly more frequent ($p < 0.001$). There were no statistically significant differences in gender, age, pulmonary thrombus load or remaining perfusion defects between the two groups (Table 2).

Table 1 Clinical parameters of patients with SPECT/CT-follow up after COVID-19 associated PE

No	Gender	COVID-19 disease			SPECT/CT-follow up							VTE recurrence	Clinical re-cov-ery				
		Age	Respira-tory support	Specific COVID therapy	COVID rehab	Se-verity of PE [13]	Thrombolysis	Pulmonary thrombus localization	Qua-nadli-score	Remain-ing perfusion defects	New per-fusion defects			Persistent pulmo-nary infiltrates	Duration of OAC treatment	Type of OAC	OAC-related bleeding
1	male	33	high-flow oxygen	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	no	low-risk	no	segmental	15	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
2	male	33	ECMO	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	no	low-risk	no	segmental	3	no	no	no	3 months	DOAC	no	no	full
3	male	57	oxygen	steroid	yes	low-risk	no	segmental	9	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	par-tial
4	male	54	NIV	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	no	high-risk	yes	central	32	no	no	yes	6 months	DOAC	no	no	par-tial
5	male	61	IV	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	yes	low-risk	no	segmental	8	no	no	yes	10 months	DOAC	no	no	par-tial
6	male	42	oxygen	vitamin D	no	low-risk	no	segmental	1	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
7	female	50	oxygen	no	no	low-risk	no	segmental	13	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
8	female	77	NIV	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	yes	high-risk	yes	segmental	9	no	no	yes	6 months	DOAC	no	no	par-tial
9	male	79	NIV	steroid, conva-lescent plasma	no	inter-me-diate-low-risk	no	segmental	16	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
10	female	87	oxygen	vitamin D	no	low-risk	no	segmental	1	yes	no	yes	6 months	DOAC	no	no	par-tial
11	female	36	oxygen	no	no	inter-me-diate-low-risk	no	segmental	1	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full

Table 1 (continued)

COVID-19 disease					SPECT/CT-follow up												
12	male	62	oxygen	no	no	low-risk	no	segmental	2	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
13	female	56	none	no	no	low-risk	no	segmental	-	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
14	male	46	oxygen	steroid	no	intermediate-low-risk	no	segmental	5	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
15	male	47	oxygen	no	no	low-risk	no	segmental	-	no	no	yes	3 months	DOAC	no	no	partial
16	female	71	oxygen	no	no	intermediate-high-risk	no	central	17	yes	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	full
17	male	63	NIV	convalescent plasma	yes	low-risk	no	segmental	-	no	no	no	10 months	DOAC	no	no	full
18	female	55	oxygen	no	no	intermediate-low-risk	no	segmental	27	no	no	no	6 months	DOAC	no	no	partial
19	male	49	oxygen	steroid	no	intermediate-low-risk	no	segmental	8	no	no	no	4 months	DOAC	no	no	full
20	male	54	High-flow oxygen	remdesivir, steroid	no	intermediate-high-risk	no	segmental	12	no	no	no	3 months	DOAC	no	no	full

Table 2 Differences in clinical parameters between subgroups with partial and full recovery

Clinical recovery	Partial n=7	Full n=13	P
-------------------	----------------	-----------	---

Table 2 Differences in clinical parameters between subgroups with partial and full recovery

Clinical recovery	Partial n=7	Full n=13	P
-------------------	----------------	-----------	---

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, the present study is one of the first to evaluate lung perfusion after recovery from SARS-CoV-2-related acute PE. We found persistent pulmonary perfusion defects in 10% of study participants after six months of sufficient oral anticoagulant therapy. However, persistent pulmonary thrombus load does not seem to be associated with an unfavourable clinical short-term outcome.

Current recommendations suggest a temporary treatment with anticoagulants for at least three months in VTE patients with COVID-19, as SARS-CoV-2 is considered a relevant, transient provoking factor for VTE [5, 6]. SARS-CoV-2 provokes an inflammatory response with a release of cytokines, chemokines, and cell activation. Through interactions between inflammation, complement activation, and coagulation, a hypercoagulable state is generated [6]. General risk factors for VTE, such as older age, obesity, immobilisation, chronic kidney disease or malignancy are also relevant in COVID-19 patients. Hypoxia and sepsis in particular are common risk factors for VTE in SARS-CoV-2 infections [6]. As we showed that lung perfusion was restored in most patients after six months of sufficient oral anticoagulant therapy, our data support the notion that a temporary anticoagulant treatment might be sufficient for resolving pulmonary clot burden.

All patients were set on a DOAC therapy after recovery from COVID-19 in order to prevent VTE recurrence. As DOACs interact with a variety of COVID-19 drugs (resulting in a modified action, either enhancement or reduction, thus exposing patients to a potential risk of bleeding or thrombosis), DOACs are considered not to be a preferable therapy option during SARS-CoV-2 infections [7]. Therefore, all our patients were treated with low-molecular-weight or unfractionated heparin during hospitalisation and were switched to DOACs shortly before hospital discharge. In the outpatient setting, DOACs do have many advantages compared with vitamin K antagonists, as they are safer and do not require routine monitoring. As neither VTE recurrence nor anticoagulant-related bleeding complications were observed in our cohort, this study provides important real-life data suggesting that a DOAC therapy can be safe and efficacious in preventing PE recurrence after recovery from COVID-19.

In nearly 40% of our study population, clinical recovery was partial, and persistent pulmonary infiltrates were significantly more frequent in this subgroup. Pulmonary lesions at time of discharge from hospital were found to be quite common even in mild and moderate SARS-CoV-2 infections [8], but studies on radiographic abnormalities post-COVID-19 are sparse, especially in asymptomatic patients. Rates of persistent pulmonary

lesions on CT scans at three-month follow-up have been estimated at between 40 and 70% in three earlier studies [9–11]. In contrast to these earlier reports, the percentage of persistent chest lesions in our study cohort is lower; however, our cohort was evaluated after a median period of six, not of three months, and lung infiltrates in some patients might have resolved meanwhile.

In contrast to one study, which found that chest radiography is a poor predictor of clinical and functional impairment eight weeks after severe COVID-19 pneumonia [12], other reports clearly depict a significant and strong correlation between abnormalities on chest radiography or CT and functional capacity 12 weeks after COVID-19-related hospitalisations [9, 11]. This correlation might even be stronger after six months of follow-up. We therefore conclude that partial clinical recovery in our study cohort is more likely caused by prolonged SARS-CoV-2-related parenchymal lung damage than by persistent pulmonary perfusion defects.

We admit that our study is limited by the single-centre design and its very small sample size. Therefore, our data are preliminary and need to be confirmed in a larger study population. However, the demographic profile of our cohort seems to be very representative for a general COVID-19 patient population. Unfortunately, regular lung function tests were not performed during follow-up in our trial. Further limitations are the retrospective study design and the short-term observational period.

Despite these limitations, we conclude that a temporary DOAC treatment might be sufficient for resolving pulmonary clot burden after SARS-CoV-2-associated acute PE. In addition, partial clinical recovery seems to be more likely caused by prolonged COVID-19-related parenchymal lung damage than by persistent pulmonary perfusion defects.

Abbreviations

COVID-19	coronavirus disease 2019
CTPA	computed tomographic pulmonary angiography
DOAC	non-vitamin K direct oral anticoagulants
ICU	intensive care unit
PE	pulmonary embolism
SARS-CoV-2	severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
(V/Q) SPECT/CT	ventilation-perfusion single photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography
VTE	venous thromboembolism

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Mrs. Yvonne Eglinger for proof reading the manuscript.

Contributorship statement

TMB conception of the study and the study design, analysis of data, manuscript draft; SB study conception, acquisition of data; CF acquisition of data; SH acquisition and analysis of data; FS acquisition and analysis of data; AL acquisition and analysis of data; AD acquisition and analysis of data; MK acquisition and analysis of data; CL conception of the study; CHP conception of the study, acquisition and analysis of data. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Differences in clinical parameters between subgroups
and full recovery

ery	Partial n = 7	Full n = 13	P
-----	------------------	-------------	---

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability

Data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. All patients gave written informed consent for lung perfusion imaging. The Ethics Committee at the Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany exempted this retrospective, non-interventional observational study with a waiver of patient informed consent on May 20, 2021.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable, as there are no identifying images or other personal or clinical details of study participants that compromise anonymity.

Competing interests

All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Received: 4 May 2022 / Accepted: 14 September 2022

Published online: 31 October 2022

References

1. Kaptein FHJ, Stals MAM, Huisman MV, et al. Prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19 related venous thromboembolism. *Postgrad Med.* 2021;133(sup1):27–35. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/00325481.2021.1891788>.
2. Zanframundo G, Graziani A, Barbara C, et al. Resolution of pulmonary artery thrombosis in patients with moderate COVID-19 disease. *J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect.* 2021;11(4):470–2. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/20009666.2021.1921908>.
3. Whyte MB, Barker R, Kelly PA, et al. Three-month follow-up of pulmonary embolism in patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res.* 2021;201:113–5. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2021.02.023>.
4. Remy-Jardin M, Duthoit L, Perez T, et al. Assessment of pulmonary arterial circulation 3 months after hospitalization for SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia: Dual-energy CT (DECT) angiographic study in 55 patients. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2021;34:100778. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100778>.
5. Zhai Z, Li C, Chen Y, et al. Prevention Treatment of VTE Associated with COVID-19 Infection Consensus Statement Group. Prevention and Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism Associated with Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection: A Consensus Statement before Guidelines. *Thromb Haemost.* 2020;120(6):937–48. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1710019>.
6. Schulman S, Hu Y, Konstantinides S. Venous Thromboembolism in COVID-19. *Thromb Haemost.* 2020;120(12):1642–53. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1718532>.
7. Schutgens RE. DOAC in COVID-19: Yes or No? *Hemasphere.* 2020; 5(1): e526. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1097/HS9.0000000000000526>.
8. Xia L, Chen J, Friedemann T, et al. The Course of Mild and Moderate COVID-19 Infections-The Unexpected Long-Lasting Challenge. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020;7(9):ofaa286. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofaa286>.
9. Shah AS, Wong AW, Hague CJ, et al. A prospective study of 12-week respiratory outcomes in COVID-19-related hospitalisations. *Thorax.* 2021;76(4):402–4. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216308>.
10. Tabatabaei SMH, Rajebi H, Moghaddas F, et al. Chest CT in COVID-19 pneumonia: what are the findings in mid-term follow-up? *Emerg Radiol.* 2020;6:711–9. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-020-01869-z>.
11. Zhao YM, Shang YM, Song WB, et al. Follow-up study of the pulmonary function and related physiological characteristics of COVID-19 survivors three months after recovery. *EClinicalMedicine.* 2020;25:100463. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100463>.
12. D'Cruz RF, Waller MD, Perrin F, et al. Chest radiography is a poor predictor of respiratory symptoms and functional impairment in survivors of severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *ERJ Open Res.* 2022;7(1):00655–2020. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00655-2020>.
13. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS): The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Respir J.* 2019;54(3):1901647. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01647-2019>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.