

Investigating the pairing state of cuprate superconductors via quasiparticle tunneling and spin injection

N.-C. Yeh^{a,*}, C.-T. Chen^a, C.-C. Fu^a, P. Seneor^a, Z. Huang^a, C.U. Jung^b,
J.Y. Kim^b, M.-S. Park^b, H.-J. Kim^b, S.-I. Lee^b, K. Yoshida^c, S. Tajima^c,
G. Hammerl^d, J. Mannhart^d

^a Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, 1201E Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

^b National Creative Research Initiative Center for Superconductivity, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, South Korea

^c Superconductivity Research Laboratory, International Superconductivity Technology Center, Shinjome, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135, Japan

^d Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, Institute of Physics, Augsburg University, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany

1. Introduction

The $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave pairing symmetry [1,2], spin fluctuations [3], and pseudogap phenomena in the under- and optimally hole-doped (p-type) cuprates [3–5] have been regarded as highly relevant to the pairing mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity. On the other hand, the exact pairing

symmetry and the existence of pseudogap phenomena in the electron-doped (n-type) cuprates $\text{Ln}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_{4-\delta}$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{Nd}, \text{Pr}, \text{Sm}$) still remain controversial [6,7]. A number of theories have centered on the viewpoint that the pseudogap is a precursor for superconductivity [3–5]. Some models such as the resonant valence bond [8] and SU(2) slave-boson scenarios [9,10] have suggested that the pseudogap is associated with the formation of pairing in the spin channel at $T^* \gg T_c$, and that superconductivity occurs at T_c due to the Bose–Einstein condensation of holons. Others suggested

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-626-395-4313; fax: +1-626-683-9060.

E-mail address: ncyeh@caltech.edu (N.-C. Yeh).

that charged stripes with preformed Cooper pairs may exist in the pseudogap regime (i.e., at $T_c < T < T^*$), and Josephson coupling among stripes is established at $T < T_c$ [11,12]. The non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior in the normal state of optimally and under-doped regimes and the Fermi liquid (FL) behavior in the overdoped regime of the p-type cuprates has also motivated a conjecture of a quantum critical point (QCP) in the ground state of the cuprates [13]. This view differs fundamentally from the preformed pair scenarios. Other viewpoints include the consideration of competing orders in the ground state of the doped Mott antiferromagnet by studying the effects of increasing doping levels and varying strengths of the Coulomb interaction [14], which could also lead to the occurrence of a QCP.

In this work, we report experimental studies of the pairing state of both p- and n-type cuprates. Our findings in the infinite-layer n-type cuprates counter several widely accepted viewpoints in the p-type cuprates, and we suggest that the pair formation does not depend on the pairing symmetry, the existence of a pseudogap, or the presence of spin fluctuations.

2. Doping-dependent pairing state of the p-type cuprates

A rigorous proof for the existence of a QCP must identify the associated broken symmetry. Moreover, if the existence of a QCP were relevant to the pairing mechanism, a universal broken symmetry should be expected in all cuprate superconductors. We have recently investigated the quasiparticle tunneling spectra of the $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ system (YBCO) in the optimally and under-doped regime and $(\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3})\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ in the overdoped regime [15,16], and found that the pairing symmetry evolves from the predominantly $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave (>95%) pairing in the under- and optimally doped regime to mixed pairing symmetries of $(d_{x^2-y^2} + s)$ with the s-component exceeding 30%, as exemplified by the c -axis quasiparticle tunneling spectra in Fig. 1. Although our data indicated a significant increase in the s-wave component with the increasing doping level in YBCO, we could not




Fig. 1. (a) Representative c -axis tunneling spectra of YBCO with different doping levels, showing $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing symmetry for the under-doped and optimally doped YBCO, and $(d_{x^2-y^2} + s)$ symmetry in the overdoped YBCO, with a significantly large s-wave component. (b) The doping dependence of the pairing potential Δ_d and (c) the spin resonant energy Ω_{res} associated with the satellite features [15,16], together with the results from Bi-2212 [17–19].

conclude the existence of a QCP associated with a broken C_{4v} symmetry, because YBCO is known to exhibit slight orthorhombicity ($\sim 2\%$) in the under- and optimally doped regime. For comparison, in the tetragonal system of $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_x$ (Bi-2212), the pairing symmetry has always been consistent with pure $d_{x^2-y^2}$ for all doping levels [17–19], thus no obvious broken symmetry at a critical doping level. Concerning the possibility of broken time-reversal (T) symmetry, the scanning tunneling spectral characteristics of YBCO [15,16] and Bi-2212 [17–20] systems have revealed no evidence for complex pairing symmetries such as $(d_{x^2-y^2} + is)$ or $(d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy})$. Although tunneling spectra cannot detect certain types of broken T -symmetry such as the staggered flux state [21,22] or the circulating current phase [13], there has not been conclusive evidence for a QCP with a universal broken symmetry. Recently, an $SU(2)$ slave-boson approach to the t - J Hamiltonian [23] has found that under the constraint of no double occupancy, the inclusion of spinon and holon interactions and the assumption of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave spinon pairing at T^* leads to an s-wave symmetry for the holon-pair condensation at $T_c < T^*$ in the under- and optimally doped regime, and T_c merges with T^* in the overdoped regime. Thus, the pairing symmetry could

crossover from $d_{x^2-y^2}$ to $(d_{x^2-y^2} + s)$ in the overdoped regime without encountering a QCP.

A natural consequence of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ or $(d_{x^2-y^2} + s)$ pairing symmetry is the gapless nature of the pairing potential and the presence of nodal quasiparticles. Hence, quantum impurities that substitute the Cu^{2+} ions in the CuO_2 planes are expected to incur strong effects on superconductivity [24–26] due to interactions with the nodal quasiparticles. Such effects are in contrast to the weak response of conventional s-wave superconductors to non-magnetic impurities [27]. In addition to the strong potential scattering, Kondo effects [28,29] are expected due to the induced magnetic moments associated with the non-magnetic impurities (such as Zn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Al^{3+} and Li^+) [30–37]. Our studies of an optimally oxygen-doped YBCO single crystal with a small concentration of Zn and Mg impurities have also revealed strong local effects on the quasiparticle spectra [15,16], as exemplified in Fig. 2.

In the context of pseudogap, our low-temperature tunneling data in the YBCO system differed

from the finding in Bi-2212 [15,16]. In particular, the doping dependence of the gap values in YBCO appears to be correlated with T_c [15,16], in contrast to the averaged gap values determined from the Bi-2212 system that increase with decreasing doping level [17–19]. Besides the difference in the doping dependence of the superconducting values, no direct evidence for a pseudogap could be identified in our tunneling spectra on YBCO [15,16]. Furthermore, the spectra exhibited long-range spatial homogeneity [15,16], in sharp contrast to the nano-scale large variations in the gap value of Bi-2212 [38]. The different pseudogap behavior in two families of p-type cuprates (i.e. YBCO and Bi-2212) suggests that the pseudogap need not be a precursor of cuprate superconductivity.

3. Effects of spin-polarized quasiparticles on the superconducting state of p-type cuprates

Our studies of the quasiparticle tunneling spectra have suggested the relevance of spin fluctuations to the properties of p-type cuprates and the strong effects of static quantum impurities on the collective spin excitations [15,16]. A natural extension of the research is to investigate how dynamic injection of spin-polarized quasiparticles may affect the cuprates.

There are two primary effects associated with the injection of spin-polarized quasiparticles on a superconductor. One is the exchange interaction and broken T -symmetry due to the excess magnetic moments. The other is the non-equilibrium distribution of quasiparticles and momentum shift due to the injected current. To distinguish the difference between the spin and charge relaxation processes, we compare the characteristics of cuprate superconductors under either simple or spin-polarized quasiparticle injection. It has been demonstrated that electrical currents can become spin polarized after passing through a ferromagnetic metal [39]. Based on this approach, we studied thin-film heterostructures of perovskite ferromagnet–insulator–superconductor (F–I–S) and non-magnetic metal–insulator–superconductor (N–I–S). Using pulsed current techniques to




Fig. 2. (a) Nano-scale spatially varying c -axis tunneling spectra near the Zn and Mg impurities of a (Zn,Mg)-doped YBCO single crystal at $T = 4.2$ K. Two types of resonant scattering energies are found at -10 ± 2 and 4 ± 2 meV, which correspond to two different substitutions of Zn and Mg in the CuO_2 planes. The typical c -axis tunneling spectrum is recovered at ~ 3 nm away from an impurity. (b) The spatial dependence of the impurity scattering peak intensity, showing rapid decrease within one Fermi wavelength (~ 0.3 nm).

prevent artifacts from Joule heating in the critical current measurements [40,41], we have demonstrated strong suppression in the critical current density J_c of the superconductor with injection of spin-polarized currents, and much weaker suppression of J_c under similar injection current density in the N-I-S control samples. We have also performed scanning tunneling spectroscopic studies and found strong modifications in the quasiparticle density of states only under spin injection [42]. However, many important issues, particularly the microscopic interaction of spin-polarized quasiparticles with the cuprates, remain to be resolved.

The constituent layers of our F-I-S and N-I-S heterostructures consisted of either $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ or $\text{La}_{0.7}\text{Sr}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ for the ferromagnet, SrTiO_3 for the insulator, $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ for the superconductor, and LaNiO_3 for the non-magnetic metal, all deposited on the LaAlO_3 substrate. Details of the fabrication and characterization of the thin-film heterostructures have been described elsewhere [40,41]. To quantify the effects of quasiparticle injection, we compare the critical current density J_c^0 of the cuprate superconductor in the absence of quasiparticle injection with the critical current J_c under finite injection. Here J_c is determined by the temperature (T), the injection current density (J_{inj}), and the characteristic sample dimension (d) along the direction of quasiparticle injection. We note that the spin-polarized quasiparticles generally have much longer lifetime than simple quasiparticles because of the low probabilities of being recombined into Cooper pairs. For conventional superconductors, the standard microscopic mechanisms for spin relaxation involve spin-orbit interactions and magnetic impurity scattering [43]. For p-type cuprate superconductors, exchange interactions with the collective spin excitations can be expected.

We define the ‘‘efficiency’’ (η) of suppressing superconductivity due to an injection current density J_{inj} as $\eta(T, J_{\text{inj}}) \equiv \Delta J_c(T, J_{\text{inj}})/J_{\text{inj}} \equiv [J_c^0(T) - J_c(T, J_{\text{inj}})]/J_{\text{inj}}$. For a constant J_{inj} , the corresponding efficiency in F-I-S, η_s , and that in N-I-S, η_n , is illustrated in Fig. 3(a) and (b). We find that η_s is generally larger than η_n . In addition, η_n increases with increasing J_{inj} for all T , and so does η_s at high




Fig. 3. (a) The efficiency (η_s) vs. injected current density (J_{inj}) of an F-I-S sample at different reduced temperatures (T/T_c). We note the rapid drop in η_s with J_{inj} only at low T and the monotonic increase of η_s with J_{inj} at high T . The inset illustrates the simulated results using Eqs. (1)–(3). (b) The efficiency (η_n) vs. J_{inj} of an N-I-S sample at different (T/T_c) values, which is proportional to J_{inj}^α with $\alpha \approx 0.85$.

temperatures. However, anomalous decrease in η_s with increasing J_{inj} is found at low temperatures. This seemingly surprising behavior is in fact the result of non-equilibrium distributions of Fermions, as elaborated below.

We consider the quasiparticle energy E_k under a finite injection current density J_{inj} , where k denotes the quasiparticle momentum. The quasiparticle energy in thermodynamic equilibrium is given by $E_k^0 = (\Delta_k^2 + \xi_k^2)^{1/2}$, where $\xi_k (= \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_F)$ is the single particle energy relative to the Fermi level ε_F [44]. For a sufficiently long quasiparticle lifetime, a finite rate of quasiparticle injection can lead to modification to the quasiparticle energy and the effective temperature, therefore redistribution of

quasiparticles. In the case of simple quasiparticle injection, a finite superfluid velocity $v_s = J_{\text{inj}}/(n_s e)$ can result in changes in the quasiparticle energy E_{kn} and distribution function f_{kn} :

$$\begin{aligned} E_{kn} &= E_k^0 + \hbar k_F v_s / (2\pi m^*) \equiv E_k^0 + \delta E_J, \\ f_{kn} &= \{1 + \exp[E_{kn}/(k_B T)]\}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where k_F is the quasiparticle momentum at the Fermi level. Using the pairing potential Δ_k consistent with the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave pairing in optimally doped YBCO, and the single particle energies ξ_k consistent with the tight-binding band structure calculations [45], we find that $E_k^0 \gg |\hbar k_F v_s / (2\pi m^*)|$ is generally satisfied in our experiments for typical injection currents. Thus, $f_{kn} \sim 0$.

In the case of spin-polarized quasiparticles, the long quasiparticle lifetime will lead to an effective shift in the chemical potential. Thus, the quasiparticle distribution functions become:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ks} &= \{1 + \exp[(\Delta_k^2 + (\xi_k - \mu_k^*)^2)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \delta E_J]/(k_B T)]\}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here μ_k^* denotes a chemical potential shift due to the introduction of spin-polarized quasiparticles, which is determined by averaged spin polarization in the superconductor, $\mathbf{P} = \tanh(c_1 J_{\text{inj}}/k_B T)$, so that $\mu_k^* = \mu_0 \tanh(c_1 J_{\text{inj}}/k_B T)$, where μ_0^* ($\sim \epsilon_F$) and c_1 are positive constants. In addition, the suppression of the critical current density ΔJ_c is proportional to the excess magnetic moments $\langle M \rangle$ adjusted by the available quasiparticle states, and $\langle M \rangle \sim J_{\text{inj}} \tanh(c_1 J_{\text{inj}}/k_B T)$ takes the form of the Brillouin function for a spin-1/2 system. Thus, we express η_s and η_n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_s &\equiv \Delta J_c / J_{\text{inj}} \\ &\sim N_0^{-1} \sum_k (1 - 2f_{ks}) \tanh(c_1 J_{\text{inj}}/k_B T), \\ \eta_n &\sim N_0^{-1} \sum_k (1 - 2f_{kn}) c_n(T) J_{\text{inj}}^\alpha \approx c_n(T) J_{\text{inj}}^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where N_0 denotes the total number of states, $c_n(T)$ and $\alpha \approx 0.85$ are empirically determined coefficients, and the quantity $(1 - 2f_{ks})$ accounts for the quasiparticle distribution under spin injection.

Following the analysis outlined in Eqs. (1)–(3), we obtain simulated results in the insets of Fig.

3(a) and (b). To achieve quantitative agreement with the experimental data, we find that $(T_s^*/T) > \sim 1$ and a large chemical potential shift μ_0^* ($\sim \epsilon_F$) must be imposed. These strong non-equilibrium effects due to spin-polarized quasiparticles are suggestive of their unusual interactions with the cuprates, such as the suppression of collective spin excitations. These effects differ from the typical spin relaxation process in conventional superconductors via the spin-orbit interaction [46].

4. The n-type infinite-layer system: conventional superconductivity in unconventional cuprates

The infinite-layer system $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ln}_x\text{CuO}_2$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{La, Gd, Sm}$) has the simplest structure among all cuprate superconductors, and the optimal electron doping occurs at $x = 0.1$ according to the band structure calculations [47]. The $\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{Ln}_x\text{CuO}_2$ system differs from other cuprates in that there is no extra charge block between consecutive CuO_2 planes, and the c -axis lattice constant ($c_0 = 0.347$ nm) is shorter than the in-plane lattice constant ($a_0 = 0.390$ nm). Studies of their fundamental physical properties have been limited [48–50] due to the difficulties in achieving single phase and 100% superconducting volume. Recent improvement of synthesis techniques has resulted in single-phased samples of optimally doped $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_2$ with $T_c = 43.0$ K and 100% superconducting volume [51], thus enabling our studies of the quasiparticle spectra.

For over 1000 tunneling spectra on more than 100 randomly oriented grains of a $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_2$ sample, long-range spatial homogeneity and little variation in the superconducting gap value from one grain to another was found. The spectral characteristics were consistent with the isotropic s -wave pairing symmetry and a superconducting gap $\Delta = 13.0 \pm 1.0$ meV, as exemplified in the main panel of Fig. 4. The unusually large ratio of $(2\Delta/k_B T_c) \approx 7.0$ relative to the BCS value 3.5 is suggestive of strong coupling effects. In addition, the “satellite features” associated with damping of quasiparticles by the spin fluctuations in p -type cuprate superconductors [15–20,52], as exemplified




Fig. 4. Representative quasiparticle tunneling spectra of $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_2$, showing long-range spatial homogeneity and characteristics consistent with isotropic s-wave pairing symmetry and a large gap $\Delta = 13.0 \pm 1.0$ meV. The inset shows a tunneling spectrum of $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}(\text{Cu}_{0.99}\text{Zn}_{0.01})\text{O}_2$, with a comparable Δ and the same T_c .

in Fig. 1, were absent in $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_2$. These results suggest that $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave pairing and spin fluctuations are not essential for pairing in cuprates.

We have also investigated the spectral characteristics of the n-type infinite-layer system with quantum impurities. For $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}(\text{Cu}_{0.99}\text{Zn}_{0.01})\text{O}_2$ with non-magnetic Zn impurities, we found similar long-range spatial homogeneity and comparable gap value, although the spectral peak height was reduced and excess density of states between the peaks was observed due to Zn-induced disorder, as exemplified in the inset of Fig. 4. The spatial homogeneity was in sharp contrast to the nano-scale spatial variations in the quasiparticle spectra of Zn-doped p-type cuprates [15–20]. On the other hand, significant electron–hole spectral asymmetry was observed in the Ni-doped sample $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}(\text{Cu}_{0.99}\text{Ni}_{0.01})\text{O}_2$, while the gap value remained invariant, as shown in Fig. 5. The spectral asymmetry represented the different phase shifts in the electron-like and hole-like quasiparticle states as the result of broken time-reversal symmetry induced by the magnetic impurities. The asymmetric phase shifts gave rise to a reduced superconducting




Fig. 5. Representative quasiparticle tunneling spectra of $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}(\text{Cu}_{0.99}\text{Ni}_{0.01})\text{O}_2$, with the majority spectra showing large electron-hole asymmetry (solid symbols) and minority spectra with electron–hole symmetry (thin solid curve). The spectral difference illustrated in the inset is due to impurity-induced resonant energies at Ω_{\pm} .

phase coherence and thus suppression in T_c . The spectral difference for the curves in Fig. 5 is illustrated in the inset, showing spectral peaks at Ω_{\pm} . Similar finding of the electron–hole spectral asymmetry associated with localized magnetic impurities has also been reported in a conventional superconductor [27].

5. Conclusion

We have investigated the pairing state of cuprate superconductors by means of scanning tunneling spectroscopy and spin injection. For p-type cuprates, the pairing symmetry is primarily $d_{x^2-y^2}$ in the under-doped and optimally doped regimes and $(d_{x^2-y^2} + s)$ in the overdoped regime of YBCO. In addition, spin fluctuations appear to be significant and coupled strongly to the low-energy excitations of the pairing state, and the injection of spin-polarized quasiparticles results in strong suppression of spin fluctuations and superconductivity. In contrast, studies of the infinite-layer cuprate $\text{Sr}_{0.9}\text{La}_{0.1}\text{CuO}_2$ reveal s-wave pairing symmetry and a large gap of 13.0 ± 1.0 meV, with no evidence for either spin fluctuations or pseudogap. We therefore suggest that the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing symmetry,

spin fluctuations, and pseudogap need not be essential to the pairing mechanism of cuprate superconductivity.

Acknowledgements

The work at Caltech is supported by NSF DMR-0103045, at Pihang University by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Korea, University of Augsburg by BMBF #13N6918/1, and at SRL/ISTEC by New Energy Industrial Technology Development Organization.

References

- [1] C.C. Tsuei, J.R. Kirtley, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 72 (2000) 969, and references therein.
- [2] D.J. Van Harlingen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 67 (1995) 515.
- [3] J. Orenstein, A.J. Millis, *Science* 288 (2000) 468, and references therein.
- [4] S. Sachdev, *Science* 288 (2000) 475.
- [5] T. Timusk, B. Statt, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 62 (1999) 61, and references therein.
- [6] L. Alff et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 2644.
- [7] C.C. Tsuei, J.R. Kirtley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 (2000) 182.
- [8] P.W. Anderson, *Science* 235 (1987) 1196.
- [9] P.A. Lee, X.G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (1997) 4111.
- [10] G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. B* 37 (1988) 3664.
- [11] V.J. Emery et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 56 (1997) 6120.
- [12] G. Siebold et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 58 (1998) 13506.
- [13] C.M. Varma, *Phys. Rev. B* 55 (1997) 14554.
- [14] M. Vojta et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 62 (2000) 6721.
- [15] N.-C. Yeh et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 087003.
- [16] N.-C. Yeh et al., *Physica C* 364–365 (2001) 450.
- [17] N. Miyakawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 1018.
- [18] Ch. Renner et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 149.
- [19] V.M. Krosnov et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 (2000) 5860.
- [20] S.H. Pan et al., *Nature* 403 (2000) 746.
- [21] I.K. Affleck, J.B. Marston, *Phys. Rev. B* 37 (1988) 3774.
- [22] S. Chakravarty, H.-Y. Kee, *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (2000) 14821.
- [23] S.S. Lee, S.-H.S. Salk, *Phys. Rev. B* 64 (2001) 052501.
- [24] A.V. Balatsky et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 51 (1995) 15547.
- [25] M.I. Salkola et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 1841.
- [26] M.E. Flatte, *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (2000) 14920.
- [27] A. Yazdani et al., *Science* 275 (1997) 1767, and references therein.
- [28] J. Bobroff et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 4381.
- [29] A. Polkovnikov et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86 (2001) 296.
- [30] G.-q. Zheng et al., *Physica C* 263 (1996) 367.
- [31] H. Alloul et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 67 (1991) 3140.
- [32] Y. Sidis et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 (2000) 5900.
- [33] H.F. Fong et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 1939.
- [34] K. Tomimoto et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 60 (1999) 114.
- [35] J. Figueras et al., *Supercond. Sci. Technol.* 13 (2000) 1067.
- [36] W.A. MacFarlane et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 (2000) 1108.
- [37] K. Ishida et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76 (1996) 531.
- [38] S.H. Pan, private communications.
- [39] G.A. Prinz, *Phys. Today* 48 (4) (1995) 58.
- [40] N.-C. Yeh et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 60 (1999) 10522.
- [41] N.-C. Yeh et al., *Physica B* 284–288 (2000) 507.
- [42] J.Y.T. Wei et al., *J. Appl. Phys.* 85 (1999) 5350.
- [43] K.E. Grey (Ed.), *Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, Phonons and Kapitza Boundaries*, NATO ASI Series, Plenum, New York, 1981.
- [44] M. Tinkham, *Introduction to Superconductivity*, second ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1996.
- [45] R.S. Markiewicz, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 58 (1997) 1179.
- [46] R.L. Merrill, Q.M. Si, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 (1999) 5326.
- [47] D.L. Novikov et al., *Physica* 210C (1993) 301.
- [48] T. Siegrist et al., *Nature* 334 (1988) 231.
- [49] M.G. Smith et al., *Nature* 351 (1991) 549.
- [50] J.D. Jorgensen et al., *Phys. Rev. B* 47 (1993) 14654.
- [51] C.U. Jung et al., *cond-mat/0106441*, 2001.
- [52] A.V. Chubukov, N. Gemelke, *Phys. Rev. B* 61 (2000) R6467.