



Universität Augsburg

Institut für
Mathematik

Lothar Heinrich

**On the Strong Brillinger-Mixing Property of Alpha-Determinantal
Point Processes and Some Applications**

Preprint Nr. 12/2015 — 24. September 2015

Institut für Mathematik, Universitätsstraße, D-86135 Augsburg

<http://www.math.uni-augsburg.de/>

Impressum:

Herausgeber:

Institut für Mathematik

Universität Augsburg

86135 Augsburg

<http://www.math.uni-augsburg.de/de/forschung/preprints.html>

ViSdP:

Lothar Heinrich

Institut für Mathematik

Universität Augsburg

86135 Augsburg

Preprint: Sämtliche Rechte verbleiben den Autoren © 2015

On the Strong Brillinger-Mixing Property of α -Determinantal Point Processes and Some Applications

LOTHAR HEINRICH

Abstract

First we derive a representation formula for all cumulant density functions in terms of the non-negative definite kernel function $C(x, y)$ defining an α -determinantal point process (DPP). Assuming absolute integrability of the function $C_0(x) = C(o, x)$ we show that a stationary α -DPP with kernel function $C_0(x)$ is "strongly" Brillinger-mixing implying, among others, that its tail- σ -field is trivial. Second we use this mixing property to prove rates of normal convergence for shot-noise processes and sketch some applications to statistical second-order analysis of α -DPPs.

Keywords : DETERMINANTAL AND PERMANENTAL POINT PROCESS, TRIVIAL TAIL- σ -FIELD, EXPONENTIAL MOMENT, SHOT-NOISE PROCESS, BERRY-ESSEEN BOUND, MULTI-PARAMETER K-FUNCTION, KERNEL-TYPE PRODUCT DENSITY ESTIMATOR, GOODNESS-OF-FIT TEST

MSC 2010: PRIMARY 60G55 60F05 SECONDARY 62G10 62G20

1 Introduction

In the last 15 years determinantal and permanental point processes (DPPs resp. PPPs for short) have attracted increasing attention in pure and applied mathematics as well as of mathematical physics. The first steps to implement this class of point processes began with the description of finite random point patterns in bounded subsets of an Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d of dimension $d \geq 1$ in terms of Janossy densities, see Vol. I, Chapters 5.3 and 5.4, of the monograph [3] which also serves us as main reference on point process theory. A crucial step was done by Macchi [19] by introducing DPPs and PPPs as mathematical models for configurations of fermions and bosons, respectively. Regarding this physical background DPPs/PPP provide models that can be used to describe point processes with repulsion/attraction forces between the atoms. From this view point these PPs are counterparts to Poisson processes which consists of non-interacting atoms. An embedding of DPPs and PPPs into the theory of Gibbsian PPs can be found in [11].

Next, to avoid ambiguities, we introduce in a rigorous way some definitions and basic notions from PP theory. For the definition of α -DPPs and an overview on the state of art of the

theory and the diverse applications of DPPs and PPPs we refer the reader to Camilier & Decreusefond [2] and the list of references therein. The main part of our paper is focused on stationary α -DPPs and is inspired by the recent paper [16] and its extended arXiv-versions.

Let $[N, \mathcal{N}]$ denote the measurable space of all locally finite counting measures on \mathbb{R}^d (equipped with its σ -algebra \mathcal{B}^d of Borel sets), where the σ -algebra \mathcal{N} is generated by the family of sets $\{\psi \in N : \psi(B) = n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{0\}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$ (= ring of bounded sets in \mathcal{B}^d). A PP on \mathbb{R}^d is defined to be a measurable mapping Ψ from a hypothetical probability space $[\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P}]$ (it always exists!) into $[N, \mathcal{N}]$. Throughout in this paper we assume that Ψ is *simple*, i.e. $\mathbf{P}(\Psi(\{x\}) \leq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d) = 1$. We briefly write $\Psi = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i} \sim P$ with Dirac measure $\delta_x(B) = \mathbf{1}_B(x) = 1$ or $= 0$, if $x \in B$ or $\notin B$, respectively. Here, the countable set $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ of atoms of Ψ (having multiplicity 1) can be considered as locally finite random closed (configuration) in \mathbb{R}^d and the probability measure $P = \mathbf{P} \circ \Psi^{-1}$ induced by Ψ on $[N, \mathcal{N}]$ is called the *distribution of Ψ* . Thanks to the simplicity of Ψ the distribution of Ψ is uniquely determined by the void probabilities $\mathbf{P}(\Psi(C) = 0)$ for all compact $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Further, let \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{Var} denote expectation and variance, respectively, with respect to \mathbf{P} .

If $\mathbf{E}\Psi^k(B) < \infty$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$, then there exist the locally finite *k-th factorial moment measure* $\alpha^{(k)}$ and the (signed) *k-th factorial cumulant measure* $\gamma^{(k)}$ on $[\mathbb{R}^{dk}, \mathcal{B}^{dk}]$ defined, for any $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$, by

$$\alpha^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^k B_j\right) := \int_N \sum_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_k \\ \in \text{supp}(\psi)}}^{\neq} \prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{B_j}(x_j) P(d\psi) = (-1)^k \lim_{z_1, \dots, z_k \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z_1 \dots \partial z_k} \mathbf{G}_P\left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^k z_i \mathbf{1}_{B_i}\right],$$

respectively, by

$$\gamma^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^k B_j\right) := (-1)^k \lim_{z_1, \dots, z_k \downarrow 0} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z_1 \dots \partial z_k} \log \mathbf{G}_P\left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^k z_i \mathbf{1}_{B_i}\right], \quad (1.1)$$

where the probability generating functional $\mathbf{G}_P[f] := \mathbf{E}(\prod_{i \geq 1} f(X_i))$ of $\Psi = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i}$ is defined for any measurable $f|\mathbb{R}^d \mapsto [0, 1]$ such that $\text{supp}(1 - f) \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$. For a stationary Poisson process with intensity λ it has the simple exponential shape $\exp\{\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(x) - 1) dx\}$. Here and below, \sum^{\neq} indicates summation over tuples consisting of pairwise distinct elements. If $\alpha^{(k)}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{B}^{dk} the corresponding Radon-Nikodym density $\varrho^{(k)}|\mathbb{R}^{dk} \mapsto [0, \infty]$ is called *k-th product density*, *k-th correlation function* or *k-point intensity*. These names are motivated by the interpretation that $\mathbf{P}(\Psi(dx_1) = 1, \dots, \Psi(dx_k) = 1) \approx \varrho^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \dots dx_k$. The existence of product densities $\varrho^{(1)}, \dots, \varrho^{(k)}$ implies the existence of a Lebesgue density $c^{(k)}|\mathbb{R}^{dk} \mapsto [-\infty, \infty]$ of $\gamma^{(k)}$ called *k-th cumulant density* or *k-th truncated correlation function*. Since $\gamma^{(k)}$ is a signed measure, the function $c^{(k)}$ may take positive and negative values. The evaluation of the logarithmic derivatives in (1.1) yields the formula (which goes back to the pioneering paper of Leonov & Shiryaev [18], see also [3, Vol. I, pp. 147/148] or [8])

$$c^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^{\ell-1} (\ell-1)! \sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \varrho^{(\kappa_j)}(x_{k_j}; k_j \in K_j) \quad (1.2)$$

and by inverting the latter relation we get that

$$\varrho^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c^{(\kappa_j)}(x_{k_j}; k_j \in K_j), \quad (1.3)$$

where the sum $\sum_{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell = \{1, \dots, k\}}$ is taken over all partitions of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into ℓ disjoint non-empty subsets K_j and $\kappa_j := \#K_j$ denotes the cardinality of K_j .

If $\Psi \sim P$ is stationary with intensity $\lambda = \mathbf{E}\Psi([0, 1]^d) > 0$ the both measures $\alpha^{(k)}$ and $\gamma^{(k)}$ are invariant on the product set $\times_{j=1}^k B_j$ under diagonal shifts which allows to define implicitly the k -th reduced factorial moment measure $\alpha_{red}^{(k)}$ resp. the k -th reduced factorial cumulant measure $\gamma_{red}^{(k)}$ on $[\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}, \mathcal{B}^{d(k-1)}]$ by disintegration w.r.t. the intensity measure $\lambda | \cdot |$:

$$\alpha^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^k B_j\right) = \lambda \int_{B_k} \alpha_{red}^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^{k-1} (B_j - x)\right) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^k B_j\right) = \lambda \int_{B_k} \gamma_{red}^{(k)}\left(\times_{j=1}^{k-1} (B_j - x)\right) dx,$$

see [3, Vol. II, p. 238] for more details.

If the k -th product density $\varrho^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ exists then $\alpha_{red}^{(k)}$ and $\gamma_{red}^{(k)}$ possesses the Lebesgue densities $\lambda^{-1} \varrho^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, o)$ and $\lambda^{-1} c^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, o)$, respectively.

The total variation measure $|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}|$ is defined by $|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}|(\cdot) = (\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^+(\cdot) + (\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^-(\cdot)$, where the measures $(\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^+$ and $(\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^-$ are given by the Jordan decomposition $\gamma_{red}^{(k)}(\cdot) = (\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^+(\cdot) - (\gamma_{red}^{(k)})^-(\cdot)$. The total variation of $\gamma_{red}^{(k)}$ is defined by the number $\|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV} := |\gamma_{red}^{(k)}|(\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)})$.

Definition 1 A stationary PP $\Psi \sim P$ with intensity $\lambda > 0$ such that $\mathbf{E}\Psi^k([0, 1]^d) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 2$ is said to be Brillinger-mixing if the total variation $\|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV}$ is finite for all $k \geq 2$. In case cumulant densities $c^{(k)}$ of any order $k \geq 2$ exist, this means that, for all $k \geq 2$,

$$\|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(k-1)}} |c^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, o)| d(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) < \infty, \quad \text{see [13, 4, 7, 8]}. \quad (1.4)$$

$\Psi \sim P$ is called strongly Brillinger-mixing if $\|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV} \leq a^k k!$ for some $a > 0$ and all $k \geq 2$, see [10].

Finally, if the PP $\Psi \sim P$ is stationary and isotropic then its second-order properties are determined by the intensity $\lambda > 0$ and Ripley's K -function $K(r) := \lambda^{-1} \alpha_{red}^{(2)}(B_d(r))$ with $B_d(r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq r\}$ or, if $\varrho^{(2)}$ exists, by the pair correlation function $g|(0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty]$ defined by $g(r) := \lambda^{-2} \varrho^{(2)}(x, o)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with Euclidean norm $\|x\| = r > 0$. For non-isotropic PPs we suggest to consider the multiparameter K -function $K(\mathbf{r}) := \lambda^{-1} \alpha_{red}^{(2)}(B_d(\mathbf{r}))$ with symmetric rectangles $B_d(\mathbf{r}) = \times_{i=1}^d [-r_i, r_i]$ for $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$, see [9].

The remaining part of the paper is organized as follows. Sect. 2 summarizes essential properties of α -DPPs. Theorem 1 provides a comparatively simple representation of the cumulant density function in terms of α and kernel C which forms the basis to prove the strong Brillinger-mixing property of stationary α -DPPs in Sect. 3. An interesting application of this fact to

(integrated) shot-noise processes driven by stationary α -DPPs is formulated in Theorem 3. Sect. 4 contains further applications of this mixing property to prove asymptotic Gaussianity of empirical (reduced) moment measures (on large sampling windows) of stationary α -DPPs with a special focus on the K -function, the second product density and the pair correlation function. These results enable us, at least in principle, to construct asymptotic goodness-of-fit tests to check hypotheses concerning the kernel function $C_0(x)$.

2 Definition and Properties of α -Determinantal Point Process

The definition of an α -DPP is based on three ingredients : (i) an admissible real parameter $\alpha \geq -1$, (ii) a Radon measure ν on \mathcal{B}^d which is chosen in this paper to be the Lebesgue measure $\nu(\cdot) = |\cdot|$ and (iii) a (complex-valued) *covariance function* $C: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that is, C is the non-negative definite, i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i C(x_i, x_j) \overline{z_j} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d, z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } n \geq 1. \quad (2.1)$$

This implies that $C(x, x) \geq 0$, $C(x, y) = \overline{C(y, x)}$ and $|C(x, y)|^2 \leq C(x, x)C(y, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 2 A simple PP $\Psi_\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i} \sim P_\alpha$ on \mathbb{R}^d is called α -determinantal PP for an admissible $\alpha \geq -1$ with covariance function C (α -DPP(C) for short) if, for all $k \geq 1$, the k -th product density $\varrho_\alpha^{(k)}$ is given by

$$\varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)} \alpha^{k-n(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^k C(x_i, x_{\pi(i)}) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where the sum is taken over the set $\mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)$ of all permutations of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and $n(\pi)$ is the number of cycles in the permutation π .

Here, a *cycle* in the permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)$ is an ordered subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\}$ of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ that is cyclically permuted, i.e., $\pi(i_1) = i_2, \dots, \pi(i_{\ell-1}) = i_\ell, \pi(i_\ell) = i_1$ if cycle length $\ell \geq 2$ and $\pi(i_1) = i_1$ else. Note that any $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)$ has a unique decomposition in $n(\pi)$ pairwise disjoint cycles covering $\{1, \dots, k\}$, see [21, Chapt. 6]. The number $\text{sgn}(\pi) := (-1)^{k-n(\pi)}$ is called the *sign* of π .

Using the sign $\text{sgn}(\pi)$ of a permutation π and the usual (Leibniz) definition of a determinant, see e.g. [21, p. 221], we get from (2.2) the representations

$$\varrho_{-1}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \det(C(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^k = \begin{vmatrix} C(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & C(x_1, x_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C(x_k, x_1) & \cdots & C(x_k, x_k) \end{vmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

of any order $k \geq 1$ defining the *determinantal* PP with kernel function C (DPP(C) for short). For $\alpha = 1$, the corresponding PP with k -th product density

$$\varrho_1^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \text{per}(C(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^k := \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k C(x_i, x_{\pi(i)}) \quad (2.4)$$

for $k \geq 1$ is called the *permanental* PP with kernel function C (PPP(C) for short).

Notice that a real parameter α is admissible if there exists a covariance function C such that the functions $\varrho_\alpha^{(k)}$ defined by (2.2) turn out to be product densities of some PP $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$. For example, $\alpha < -1$ is not admissible since $\varrho_\alpha^{(2)}(x, y) = \alpha |C(x, y)|^2 + C(x, x)C(y, y)$ takes negative values on the diagonal. Notice that it is still a non-trivial problem to determine the set of admissible parameters, see e.g. [17], [22], [2]. To avoid some technical problems we assume throughout that the covariance function C is continuous on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^2$. Below we will show that $\Psi \sim P$ (if it exists) is uniquely determined by its factorial moments $\alpha^{(k)}(B^k) = \int_{B^k} \varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x) dx$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$ and $k \geq 1$. In [2], the set of admissible parameters $\alpha \in \{2/m : m \in \mathbb{R}\} \cup \{-1/m : m \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is discussed in connection with fractional powers $-1/\alpha$ of certain Fredholm determinants expressing the Laplace functional $\mathbf{G}_P[\exp\{-h\}]$ of α -DPPs for positive, compactly supported h on \mathbb{R}^d . The best understood cases are DPPs and PPPs, see e.g. [2] for details. The crucial role plays the selfadjoint integral operator $(\mathcal{C}_D f)(\cdot) = \int_D C(x, \cdot) f(x) dx$ mapping from $L^2(D)$ in $L^2(D)$, which turns out to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for any compact $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (locally trace-class operator) with norm $\|\mathcal{C}_D\|_2 := (\int_{D \times D} |C(x, y)|^2 d(x, y))^{1/2}$. In particular, a DPP(C), i.e. $\alpha = -1$, with continuous C exists iff, for each compact D , all real, non-negative eigenvalues of \mathcal{C}_D belong to $[0, 1]$, see [16]. For a stationary DPP(C), this spectral condition can be expressed more explicitly, see Sect. 3.

Lemma 1 *For an admissible $\alpha \geq -1$ let $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ be an α -DPP(C) on \mathbb{R}^d with covariance function C such that $\|C_B\| := \int_B C(x, x) dx < \infty$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$. Then, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$, there exists a number $s = s(\alpha, B, C) > 0$ such that $\mathbf{E}e^{s\Psi_\alpha(B)} < \infty$. In other words, $\Psi_\alpha(B)$ possesses an exponential moment which implies the uniqueness of the distribution P_α .*

Proof of Lemma 1. We may assume the existence of at least one PP $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ with product densities defined by (2.2) for certain covariance function C . Let B^k denote the k -fold cartesian product of B . Then $\alpha^{(k)}(B^k)$ coincides with the k -th factorial moment $\mathbf{E}[\Psi_\alpha(B)(\Psi_\alpha(B) - 1) \cdots (\Psi_\alpha(B) - k + 1)]$ of $\Psi_\alpha(B)$. On the other hand, (2.2) yields that

$$\alpha^{(k)}(B^k) = \int_{B^k} \varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x) dx \leq k! (\alpha \vee 1)^{k-1} \max_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)} \int_B \cdots \int_B \prod_{i=1}^k |C(x_i, x_{\pi(i)})| dx_1 \dots dx_k$$

By the inequality $|C(x, y)|^2 \leq C(x, x)C(y, y)$ with $C(x, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, it follows that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k |C(x_i, x_{\pi(i)})| \leq \prod_{i=1}^k C(x_i, x_i) \quad \text{for each } \pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k).$$

Hence, applying Fubini's theorem leads to $\alpha^{(k)}(B^k) \leq k! (\alpha \vee 1)^{k-1} \|C_B\|^k$. Using the factorial moment generating function of $\Psi_\alpha(B)$ we arrive at

$$\mathbf{E}(1+s)^{\Psi_\alpha(B)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{(k)}(B^k) \frac{s^k}{k!} \leq \frac{1}{1-s\|C_B\|(\alpha \vee 1)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < \frac{1}{\|C_B\|(\alpha \vee 1)}.$$

Finally, the elementary estimate $e^s \leq 1 + 2s$ for $0 \leq s \leq 2/3$ yields

$$\mathbf{E}e^{s\Psi_\alpha(B)} \leq \frac{1}{1-\|C_B\|(\alpha \vee 1)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < \frac{2}{3} \wedge \frac{1}{2\|C_B\|(\alpha \vee 1)},$$

which terminates the proof of Lemma 1. \square

Remark 2.1 *In case of a DPP(C) Ψ_{-1} the bounds of the factorial moments $\alpha^{(k)}(B^k)$ can be improved. The positive definiteness of the Hermitian matrix $(C(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^k$ defining $\varrho_{-1}^{(k)}$ in (2.3) allows to show, see [21, Theorem 9.4.10], that*

$$\varrho_{-1}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq \varrho_{-1}^{(k-1)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) C(x_k, x_k) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k C(x_i, x_i)$$

which implies that $\alpha^{(k)}(\times_{i=1}^k B_i) \leq \prod_{i=1}^k \|C_{B_i}\|^k$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$. Thus, Ψ_{-1} turns out to be a so-called sub-Poisson process with an entire factorial moment generating function

$$M_B(z) := \mathbf{E}(1+z)^{\Psi_{-1}(B)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha^{(k)}(B^k) \frac{z^k}{k!} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}, B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d$$

providing the void probabilities $\mathbf{P}(\Psi_{-1}(B) = 0) = M_B(-1)$ and the bound $|M_B(z)| \leq e^{|z|\|C_B\|}$.

The following result is crucial for proving the strong Brillinger-mixing property of stationary α -DPPs in the next section.

Theorem 1 *For any admissible $\alpha \geq -1$ and $k \geq 2$, the k -th cumulant density $c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ defined by (1.2) with product densities $\varrho_\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \varrho_\alpha^{(k)}$ given in (2.2) can be expressed as follows:*

$$c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \alpha^{k-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{2, \dots, k\})} C(x_1, x_{\pi(2)}) C(x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}) \cdots C(x_{\pi(k)}, x_1) \quad (2.5)$$

$$= \alpha^{k-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j\})} C(x_j, x_{\pi(1)}) \cdots C(x_{\pi(j-1)}, x_{\pi(j+1)}) \cdots C(x_{\pi(k)}, x_j) \quad (2.6)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $j = 2, \dots, k$, where the set $\mathcal{P}(k_1, \dots, k_\ell)$ contains all $\ell!$ permutations of the distinct numbers k_1, \dots, k_ℓ . (In (2.6) set $\pi(k+1) := k$ for $j = k$)

Proof of Theorem 1. For notational ease we put $C_{ij} = C(x_i, x_j)$ for $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$. To begin with we show that each summand on the r.h.s. of (2.5) occurs in the sum (2.6) and vice versa. For fixed $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{2, \dots, k\})$ and $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ there exists an $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ such that $\pi(i) = j$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & C_{1\pi(2)} C_{\pi(2)\pi(3)} \cdots C_{\pi(i-1)j} C_{j\pi(i+1)} \cdots C_{\pi(k)1} \\ = & C_{j\pi(i+1)} C_{\pi(i+1)\pi(i+2)} \cdots C_{\pi(k)1} C_{1\pi(2)} \cdots C_{\pi(i-2)\pi(i-1)} C_{\pi(i-1)j}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the numbers $1, \pi(2), \dots, \pi(i-1), \pi(i+1), \dots, \pi(k)$ form a permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{j\}$ confirming the first part. With the same argument it follows that every summand in the sum (2.6) shows up on the r.h.s. of (2.5). The representation (2.5) can be verified by induction on $k \geq 2$.

For $k = 2$ the formulae (1.2) and (2.2) combined with $\varrho_\alpha^{(1)}(x_i) = C(x_i, x_i)$ yield

$$c_\alpha^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = \varrho_\alpha^{(2)}(x_1, x_2) - \varrho_\alpha^{(1)}(x_1) \varrho_\alpha^{(1)}(x_2) = \alpha C(x_1, x_2) C(x_2, x_1). \quad (2.7)$$

This coincides with (2.5) for $k = 2$. Together with the trivial relation $c_\alpha^{(1)}(x) = C(x, x)$ we now assume the validity of (2.5) for cumulant densities $c_\alpha^{(\kappa)}$ of order $\kappa = 2, \dots, k-1$. For $k \geq 3$, an obvious rearrangement of (1.3) gives the relation

$$c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) - \sum_{\ell=2}^k \sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} c_\alpha^{(\kappa_j)}(x_{k_j}; k_j \in K_j). \quad (2.8)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where the sum on the r.h.s. and the κ_j 's are the same as in (1.3).

For $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, let $K_j = \{k_{j1}, k_{j2}, \dots, k_{j\kappa_j}\}$ with $k_{j1} < k_{j2} < \dots < k_{j\kappa_j}$ and $\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\})$ stretches over all $(\kappa_j - 1)!$ permutations of the set $K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\}$ (which is empty if $\kappa_j = 1$). If $\ell \geq 2$ the above assumption enables us to write

$$c_\alpha^{(\kappa_j)}(x_q; q \in K_j) = \alpha^{\kappa_j - 1} \sum_{\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\})} C_{k_{j1}\pi_j(k_{j2})} C_{\pi_j(k_{j2})\pi_j(k_{j3})} \cdots C_{\pi_j(k_{j\kappa_j})k_{j1}}, \quad (2.9)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. Here, the sum runs over all cyclic permutations of the cycle $k_{j1}, k_{j2}, \dots, k_{j\kappa_j}$ of length $\kappa_j \geq 2$, where each of them begins and ends with the smallest element k_{j1} and the remaining elements are permuted. Let us abbreviate such cycle by $\sigma(k_{j1}, k_{j2}, \dots, k_{j\kappa_j})$. We put $c_\alpha^{(1)}(x_{k_{j1}}) = C_{k_{j1}k_{j1}}$ if $\kappa_j = 1$ in accordance with a cycle of length 1.

Next we make use of the fact that each permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)$ can be written in a unique way as union of cyclic permutations of pairwise disjoint cycles (ordered according to ascending smallest cycle elements). To obtain all $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)$ we decompose $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into non-empty, pairwise disjoint sets K_1, \dots, K_ℓ for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ and take the union of the k -tuples $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \sigma(k_{j1}, \pi_j(k_{j2}), \dots, \pi_j(k_{j\kappa_j}))$ over all cyclic permutations π_j of the cycles $K_j = \{k_{j1}, \dots, k_{j\kappa_j}\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$. With the above notation this can be formally expressed

by

$$\mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k) = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k \bigcup_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} \bigcup_{\substack{\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\}) \\ j=1, \dots, \ell}} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \sigma(k_{j1}, \pi_j(k_{j2}), \dots, \pi_j(k_{j\kappa_j})),$$

implying the following alternative representation of (1.3):

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k)} \alpha^{k-n(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^k C_{i\pi(i)} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} \alpha^{k-\ell} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\})} C_{k_{j1}\pi_j(k_{j2})} C_{\pi_j(k_{j2})\pi_j(k_{j3})} \cdots C_{\pi_j(k_{j\kappa_j})k_{j1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Finally, inserting the latter expression of $\varrho_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ (with $k - \ell = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (\kappa_j - 1)$) and the formulae (2.9) on the r.h.s. of (2.8) we recognize that $c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is just equal to the first summand (for $\ell = 1$) of (2.10) which coincides with (2.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Supplements to the proof of Theorem 1 Clearly, $\#\mathcal{P}(1, \dots, k) = k!$. Hence, the sum on the r.h.s. of (2.10) must run over $k!$ summands. This is seen as follows: With the obvious relations

$$\sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} 1 = \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\substack{\kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_\ell = k \\ \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_\ell \geq 1}} \frac{k!}{\kappa_1! \cdots \kappa_\ell!} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\})} 1 = (\kappa_j - 1)! \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, \ell$$

we have show that $\sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{\ell!} a_{k\ell} = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$, where $a_{k\ell} := \sum_{\kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_\ell = k} \frac{1}{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_\ell}$. This is seen together with $\log \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{x^k}{k}$ by comparison of coefficients from the identity

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} x^k = \frac{x}{1-x} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \left(\log \frac{1}{1-x} \right)^\ell \frac{1}{\ell!} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k \geq \ell} x^k a_{k\ell} = \sum_{k \geq 1} x^k \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{a_{k\ell}}{\ell!}.$$

Further, for $\alpha = -1$, we obtain by combining (2.3) and (2.10) an alternative definition of determinants without using the sign of permutations:

$$\det(C_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \sum_{\substack{K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_\ell \\ = \{1, \dots, k\}}} (-1)^{k-\ell} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(K_j \setminus \{k_{j1}\})} C_{k_{j1}\pi_j(k_{j2})} C_{\pi_j(k_{j2})\pi_j(k_{j3})} \cdots C_{\pi_j(k_{j\kappa_j})k_{j1}}.$$

Remark 2.2 Let C be a covariance function and $m \geq 2$ some integer such that the DPP(C/m) Ψ_{-1} with covariance function C/m exists. According to (2.5) its k -th cumulant density $c_{-1}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ satisfies the relation

$$m c_{-1}^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right)^{k-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{2, \dots, k\})} C(x_1, x_{\pi(2)}) C(x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)}) \cdots C(x_{\pi(k)}, x_1)$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, the expression on r.h.s. is exactly the k -th cumulant density of an α -DPP(C) with $\alpha = -1/m$. This PP can be obtained by superposition of m independent copies of the DPP(C/m) Ψ_{-1} . A similar construction holds for $\alpha = 1/m$ using a PPP(C/m) Ψ_1 .

3 Strong Brillinger-mixing property of stationary α -DPPs with applications to shot-noise processes

An α -DPP(C) $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ (with admissible $\alpha \geq -1$ and covariance function C) turns out to be (strictly) *stationary* if and only if $C(x, y) = C(o, y - x) = C_0(y - x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $C_0(x)$ is a complex-valued, non-negative definite function on \mathbb{R}^d , i.e. $\sum_{i,j=1}^n z_i C_0(x_i - x_j) \bar{z}_j \geq 0$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \geq 1$ implying that $C_0(o) \geq 0$, $C_0(-x) = \overline{C_0(x)}$ and $|C_0(x)| \leq C_0(o)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For stationary α -DPPs with covariance function $C_0(x)$ we write α -DPP(C_0) for short. We assume in addition that $C_0(x)$ is continuous in the origin o (and hence everywhere) such that $C_0(o) > 0$ and $\|C_0\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C_0(x)| dx < \infty$ implying $\|C_0\|_2^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C_0(x)|^2 dx \leq C_0(o) \|C_0\|_1$.

Under these conditions a famous result by S. Bochner & A.Ya. Khintchine, see [16] for references, ensures that there exists a finite (spectral) measure μ on $[\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}^d]$ having even a bounded, continuous and square integrable Lebesgue density φ on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$C_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle} \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle} C_0(x) dx,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in \mathbb{R}^d . These Fourier representations shows immediately that $C_0(o) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) dy$ and $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \leq \|C_0\|_1$. A remarkable result proved in [16] for DPPs is as follows: Provided that the covariance function C_0 is continuous and square integrable on \mathbb{R}^d , then there exists a stationary DPP(C_0) if the corresponding spectral density φ is integrable with $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. A suitable way to find pairs (C_0, φ) is to consider the characteristic function C_0 of a random vector having a bounded (symmetric or unimodal) probability density φ , see e.g. [20].

We give some examples: Let S be a positive definite $d \times d$ -matrix with $\det(S) > 0$.

$$\text{Gaussian distribution: } C_0(x) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle x, Sx \rangle \right\}, \quad \varphi(y) = \frac{\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle y, S^{-1}y \rangle \right\}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det(S)}}$$

$$\text{Cauchy distribution: } C_0(x) = \exp \left\{ -\sqrt{\langle x, Sx \rangle} \right\}, \quad \varphi(y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2}) \pi^{-(d+1)/2}}{\det(S) (1 + \langle y, S^{-1}y \rangle)}$$

The conditions on $\det(S)$ to ensure the existence of a DPP(C_0) can be easily found.

$$\text{Euclid's hat: } C_0(x) = \frac{2\omega_{d-1}}{\omega_d} \int_{\|x\|}^1 (1-t^2)^{(d-1)/2} dt \mathbf{1}_{B_d(1)}(x), \quad \varphi(y) = \frac{J_{d/2}^2(\|x\|/2)}{\omega_d \|x\|^d}$$

$$\text{Schoenberg's characteristic function: } C_0(x) = \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{J_{(d-2)/2}(t\|x\|)}{(t\|x\|/2)^{(d-2)/2}} dF(t),$$

where F is a distribution function on $[0, \infty)$, J_m a Bessel function and $\omega_d = |B_d(1)|$.

Below we give the essential second-order characteristics for a stationary α -DPP(C_0) with intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$:

(i) (reduced) second product density $\varrho_\alpha(x)$:

$$\varrho_\alpha(x) := \lambda^{-1} \varrho_\alpha^{(2)}(x, o) = C_0(o) + \alpha \frac{|C_0(x)|^2}{C_0(o)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \alpha \geq -1, \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) pair correlation function $g_\alpha(r)$: If the α -DPP(C_0) is motion-invariant, i.e. $c(\|x\|) = C_0(x)$ for some function $c(\cdot)$ on $[0, \infty)$, we define

$$g_\alpha(r) := \lambda^{-2} \varrho_\alpha^{(2)}(x, o) = 1 + \alpha \frac{|c(r)|^2}{C_0(o)^2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } r = \|x\| \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq -1, \quad (3.2)$$

(iii) Ripley's and multiparameter K -function : $K(r) := \mathcal{K}(B_d(r))$ and $K(\mathbf{r}) := \mathcal{K}(B_d(\mathbf{r}))$, where

$$\mathcal{K}(B) := \lambda^{-1} \alpha_{red}^{(2)}(B) = |B| + \frac{\alpha}{C_0(o)^2} \int_B |C_0(x)|^2 dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d, \quad \alpha \geq -1. \quad (3.3)$$

Theorem 2 For an admissible $\alpha \geq -1$, let $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ be a stationary α -DPP(C_0) defined by the product densities (2.2) with an absolutely integrable covariance function C_0 and intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$. Then $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ is strongly Brillinger-mixing. More precisely, the corresponding total variations (1.4) expressed by the cumulant densities (2.5) possesses the following bounds:

$$\|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV} \leq \frac{|\alpha|^{k-1}}{C_0(o)} (k-1)! \|C_0\|_1^{k-2} \|C_0\|_2^2 \leq (k-1)! (|\alpha| \|C_0\|_1)^{k-1} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. From (2.6) with $j = k$, $x_k = o$ and $C(x, y) = C_0(y - x)$ we see that $c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, o)$ takes the form

$$\alpha^{k-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\{1, \dots, k-1\})} C_0(x_{\pi(1)}) C_0(x_{\pi(2)} - x_{\pi(1)}) \cdots C_0(x_{\pi(k-1)} - x_{\pi(k-2)}) C_0(-x_{\pi(k-1)}),$$

whence together with $|C_0(x_1) C_0(-x_{k-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2} |C_0(x_1)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |C_0(x_{k-1})|^2$ and $\|C_0\|_2^2 \leq \lambda \|C_0\|_1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|\gamma_{red}^{(k)}\|_{TV} &= \int_{(R^d)^{k-1}} |c_\alpha^{(k)}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, o)| d(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\alpha|^{k-1} (k-1)! \int_{(R^d)^{k-1}} (|C_0(x_1)|^2 + |C_0(x_{k-1})|^2) \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} |C_0(x_j - x_{j-1})| d(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \\ &= |\alpha|^{k-1} (k-1)! \int_{R^d} |C_0(x)|^2 dx \left(\int_{R^d} |C_0(x)| dx \right)^{k-2} \leq \lambda (k-1)! |\alpha|^{k-1} \|C_0\|_1^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The last line is equivalent to the asserted estimate (3.4). Thus, Theorem 2 is proved. \square

Corollary 1 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 the stationary α -DPP(C_0) $\Psi_\alpha \sim P_\alpha$ has a trivial tail- σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}_\infty^{\Psi_\alpha} := \bigcap_{r>0} \mathcal{F}_r^{\Psi_\alpha}$, where $\mathcal{F}_r^{\Psi_\alpha} := \sigma(\{\Psi_\alpha(B \cap B_d(r)^c) = n\} : n \in \mathbb{R}, B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d)$.*

The proof of Corollary 1 which holds true for any strongly Brillinger-mixing PP can be found in [10].

To the best of the author's knowledge strongly Brillinger-mixing PPs (without using this name) has been first considered in [4] in order to study rates of convergence in the central limit theorem (CLT for short) for so-called shot-noise processes driven by stationary independently marked Brillinger-mixing PPs on \mathbb{R}^d .

Let $\Psi_\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i} \sim P_\alpha$ be a stationary α -DPP(C_0) with intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2. Further, let $\{M_i : i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence (being independent of Ψ_α) on $[\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}]$ of independent copies of a generic (or typical) random mark M_0 taking values in a Polish mark space $[\mathbb{M}, \mathcal{M}]$ having the mark distribution Q on \mathcal{M} . A *shot-noise process* (SNP for short) driven by the α -DPP(C_0) and mark distribution Q with measurable *response function* $f_n | \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{M} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^1$ is defined by random sum

$$S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) := \sum_{i \geq 1} f_n(X_i, M_i) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, the mark M_i can be interpreted as random effect, e.g. the strength of a shot, connected by the response function f_n with the location X_i . (3.5) expresses the total effect generated by the atoms X_i of Ψ_α in some window set $W_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For example, M_i could be the radius of the ball centered at X_i and $f_n(X_i, M_i) = |(X_i + B_d(M_i)) \cap W_n|$, where the sequence $(W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{R}}$ consists of increasing, convex and compact sets in \mathbb{R}^d with inball radius $r(W_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty$, see e.g. [9].

The cumulants $\text{Cum}_k(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha)) := i^{-k} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \log \mathbb{E} \exp\{itS(f_n, \Psi_\alpha)\}$ of any order $k \geq 1$ can be calculated by means of generalized versions of the Campbell theorem, see [3], and expressed and estimated in terms of the cumulant densities (2.5) (with C replaced by C_0) and the moment quantities $u_k^{(n)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{M}} f_n(x, m)^k Q(dm)$ and $v_k^{(n)}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{M}} |f_n(x, m)|^k Q(dm)$ for $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

For example, the mean $\mathbb{E}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))$ equals $C_0(o) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_1^{(n)}(x) dx$ and the variance of (3.5) takes the form

$$\text{Var}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha)) = C_0(o) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_2^{(n)}(x) dx + \alpha \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^2} u_1^{(n)}(x) u_1^{(n)}(x+y) |C_0(y)|^2 d(x, y).$$

Now we are able to formulate the results on rates of convergence in the CLT for the standardized SNP $Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) := (S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) - \mathbb{E}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))) / \sqrt{\text{Var}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))}$ driven by an α -DPP(C_0).

Theorem 3 Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{R}}$ be an unboundedly increasing sequence as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that, for $a, b > 0$ and all $k \geq 2$,

$$\text{Var}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))/A_n \geq a \quad , \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} v_k^{(n)}(x) \leq b^k k! \quad , \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} v_k^{(n)}(x) dx \leq A_n b^k k!. \quad (3.6)$$

Then,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\text{P}(Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq \text{const}(a, b, \alpha, C_0) A_n^{-1/2}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\Phi(x) := (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} dy$ denotes the standard normal distribution function. Further, large deviations relations in the sense of H. Cramér hold: There is a positive constant ε depending on a, b, α, C_0 such that in the interval $0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{A_n}$ the asymptotic relation

$$\frac{\text{P}(Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) \geq x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \Lambda_k^{(n)} x^k \right\} \left(1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{A_n}} \right) \right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.8)$$

hold, where the so-called Cramér series in the exponent converges absolutely for $x \in [0, \varepsilon \sqrt{A_n}]$ with coefficients $\Lambda_k^{(n)}$ depending on the cumulants $\text{Cum}_j(Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))$, $j = 3, \dots, k$, see [4, p. 724] for an explicit formula. A corresponding relation is also valid for the ratio $\text{P}(Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) \leq -x)/\Phi(-x)$.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof of (3.7) and (3.8) is based on sharp bounds of the cumulants $\text{Cum}_k(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))$. For obtaining these we have only to mimic the proof of Theorem 5 in [4]. From (3.6) we immediately find that $a A_n \leq \text{Var}(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha)) \leq 2b^2 (C_0(o) + |\alpha| \|C_0\|_2^2) A_n$. Further, we find a constant B depending on a, b, α, C_0 such that $|\text{Cum}_k(S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))| \leq A_n B^k k!$ for $k \geq 2$ which implies $|\text{Cum}_k(Z(f_n, \Psi_\alpha))| \leq a B^2 k! / \Delta_n^{k-2}$ with $\Delta_n = a \sqrt{A_n} / B$. Now we are in a position to apply a famous lemma due to V. Statulevičius, see [23], which provides the optimal Berry-Esseen bound (3.7) as well as the large deviations relation (3.8) completing the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Corollary 2 In the particular case of an unmarked stationary α -DPP(C_0) satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2 with response function $f_n(x, m) = \mathbf{1}_{W_n}(x)$, the assumptions (3.6) are fulfilled (due to (3.4)) for $A_n = |W_n|$. Hence, the Berry-Esseen bound (3.7) and (3.8) are valid for the total number of atoms $S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) = \Psi_\alpha(W_n)$ in an increasing domain W_n as defined above.

An analogous result can be obtained for an integrated SNP $S(f_n, \Psi_\alpha) = \int_{W_n} \sum_{i \geq 1} g(y - X_i, M_i) dy$ with response function $f_n(x, m) = \int_{W_n} g(y - x, m) dy$ for some weight function $g: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{M} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^1$ satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{M}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g(x, m)| dx \right)^k Q(dm) \leq b^k k!$ for $k \geq 2$.

4 Some applications to statistical second-order analysis of stationary α -DPPs

At the beginning of this final section we generalize a CLT proved in [9] for stationary Poisson processes on \mathbb{R}^d with the aim to establish a goodness-of-fit tests for the K -functions of stationary α -DPPs defined by (3.3). For this purpose, let $\Psi_\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i} \sim P_\alpha$ be a stationary α -DPP(C_0) with intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2. We assume that Ψ_α can be observed in an expanding observation window W_n as defined in Sect. 3. We introduce the empirical set-function

$$(\widehat{\lambda^2 \mathcal{K}})_n(B) := \sum_{i,j \geq 1}^{\neq} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_i) \mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_j) \mathbf{1}_B(X_j - X_i)}{|(W_n - X_i) \cap (W_n - X_j)|} \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}_b^d \cap [-R, R]^d, \quad (4.1)$$

where the sum \sum^{\neq} runs over pairwise distinct indices. It turns out that (4.1) is unbiased and strongly consistent estimator (since Ψ_α is ergodic thanks to Corollary 1) for $\lambda^2 \mathcal{K}(B) := \lambda \alpha_{red}^{(2)}(B) = C_0(o)^2 |B| + \alpha \int_B |C_0(x)|^2 dx$, see (3.3). Estimators of this type are known as *edge-corrected*, *Horvitz-Thompson* or *Ohser-Stoyan* estimators. For a detailed discussion of such estimators and K -functions we refer to the monograph [12, Chapt. 4.3].

In what follows we consider the estimator (4.1) only on the family $\mathcal{B}_{sym}^d(R)$ of centrally symmetric sets B , i.e. $B = -B$ contained in $[-R, R]^d$, in particular d -balls $B_d(r)$ for $r \in [0, R]$ and cuboids $B_d(\mathbf{r}) = \times_{i=1}^d [-r_i, r_i]$ for $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in [0, R]^d$.

Next we formulate a multivariate CLT for the sequence random vectors $(X_n(B_1), \dots, X_n(B_k))$ for $B_1, \dots, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_{sym}^d(R)$, where

$$X_n(B_i) = \sqrt{|W_n|} \left((\widehat{\lambda^2 \mathcal{K}})_n(B_i) - \lambda^2 \mathcal{K}(B_i) \right) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k. \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 4 *Let $\Psi_\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i} \sim P_\alpha$ be a stationary α -DPP(C_0) with intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2. Then, the sequence $(X_n(B_1), \dots, X_n(B_k))$ converges in distribution to mean zero Gaussian vector $(X(B_1), \dots, X(B_k))$ with covariance matrix $(\mathbf{E}(X(B_i)X(B_j)))_{i,j=1}^k$, where, for any $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_{sym}^d(R)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(X(B)X(B')) &= 2\lambda^2 \mathcal{K}(B \cap B') + 4\alpha \lambda \int_B \int_{B'} (|C_0(x)|^2 + |C_0(y)|^2 + |C_0(x-y)|^2) dx dy \\ &+ 4\alpha \lambda^2 |B||B'| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C_0(u)|^2 du + 8\alpha^2 \int_B \int_{B'} \operatorname{Re}(C_0(x) C_0(y) \overline{C_0(x+y)}) dx dy \\ &+ 4\lambda^3 |B \cap B'| + 2\alpha^2 \int_B \int_{B'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C_0(u)|^2 |C_0(u+x+y)|^2 du dx dy \\ &+ 4\alpha^2 \lambda \int_B \int_{B'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re}(C_0(x) C_0(u) \overline{C_0(x+u)} + C_0(y) C_0(u) \overline{C_0(y+u)}) du dx dy \\ &+ 4\alpha^3 \int_B \int_{B'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re}(C_0(x) C_0(y) C_0(u) \overline{C_0(x+y+u)}) du dx dy \\ &+ 2\alpha^3 \int_B \int_{B'} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{Re}(C_0(u) C_0(u+x+y) \overline{C_0(u+x) C_0(u+y)}) du dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is based on the Brillinger-mixing property for α -DPP(C_0) and a CLT for empirical higher-order moment measures of stationary (not necessarily strongly) Brillinger-mixing PPs first proved by E. Jolivet in [13], see also [14],[15] for similar results. The expression (4.3) could be derived from a general formula for the covariance of two second-order statistics in [5, p. 97]. Theorem 4 can be extended to a functional CLT for the random processes $Y_n(\mathbf{r}) := X_n(B_d(\mathbf{r}))$ in the Skorokhod-space $\mathbf{D}[0, R]^d$ of càdlàg-function on the cube $[0, R]^d$. It is a hard and tedious job to verify the tightness conditions in detail but the continuity of $C_0(x)$ on $[0, R]^d$ is sufficient. The limiting Gaussian process $Y(\mathbf{r}) := X(B_d(\mathbf{r}))$ is indeed (\mathbf{P} -a.s.) continuous with a covariance function $\gamma(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) := \mathbf{E}(Y(\mathbf{s})Y(\mathbf{t}))$ completely determined by α and C_0 . A simulation of $Y(\mathbf{r})$ on $[0, R]^d$ seems to be possible and would provide the quantiles of sup- and L^2 -norms for testing the goodness-of-fit in analogy to Poisson processes, see [9]. This could be a challenge for future research.

To conclude with we mention of two possible goodness-of-fit tests for stationary (and isotropic) α -DPPs(C_0) $\Psi_\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 1} \delta_{X_i}$ with intensity $\lambda = C_0(o)$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2. For this purpose we define kernel-type estimators for $\lambda \varrho_\alpha(x)$ and $\lambda^2 g_\alpha(r)$, see (3.1) resp. (3.2),

$$(\widehat{\lambda \varrho_\alpha})_n(x) := \frac{1}{b_n^d} \sum_{i, j \geq 1}^{\neq} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_i) \mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_j) K_d((X_j - X_i - x)/b_n)}{|(W_n - X_i) \cap (W_n - X_j)|}, \quad x \neq o, \quad (4.3)$$

$$(\widehat{\lambda^2 g_\alpha})_n(r) := \frac{1}{b_n d \omega_d} \sum_{i, j \geq 1}^{\neq} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_i) \mathbf{1}_{W_n}(X_j) K_1((\|X_j - X_i\| - r)/b_n)}{\|X_j - X_i\|^{d-1} |(W_n - X_i) \cap (W_n - X_j)|}, \quad r > 0, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\omega_d := |B_d(1)|$, W_n is expanding as defined in Sect. 3, K_s is a symmetric, bounded and boundedly supported function such that $\int_{R^s} K_s(x) dx = 1$ and $b_n \downarrow 0$ such that $b_n^s |W_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty$ for $s = 1, d$.

For Brillinger-mixing PPs various CLTs have been proved in [7], [8] for both estimators (4.3) and (4.4). These results permits us construct asymptotic χ^2 -tests to check hypotheses about second product densities and pair correlation functions. In our situation these tests can be reformulated to check hypotheses about the function $|C_0(x)|$ or its isotropic counterpart $|c(r)| = |C_0(x)|$ for $r = \|x\|$. The following two limit theorems (proved in [8] in a more general setting, see [1] for a thorough check of all conditions) provide the basis for these tests.

Theorem 5 *In addition to the above assumptions, let $b_n^{d+2} |W_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ and let $|C_0(\cdot)|^2$ be Lipschitz-continuous at $x_1, \dots, x_q \neq o$ ($x_i \neq \pm x_j, i \neq j$) and in the isotropic case, let $b_n^3 |W_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ and let $|c(\cdot)|^2$ be Lipschitz-continuous at $r_1, \dots, r_q > 0$ ($r_i \neq r_j, i \neq j$). Further, let $\|K_s\|_2^2 = \int_{R^s} K_s^2(x) dx$ for $s = 1, d$. Then each of the test statistics*

$$\frac{4 b_n^d |W_n|}{\|K_d\|_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^q \left(\sqrt{(\widehat{\lambda \varrho_\alpha})_n(x_i)} - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \alpha |C_0(x_i)|^2} \right)^2$$

and

$$\frac{2 d \omega_d b_n |W_n|}{\|K_1\|_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^q r_i^{d-1} \left(\sqrt{(\widehat{\lambda^2 g_\alpha})_n(r_i)} - \sqrt{\lambda^2 + \alpha |c(r_i)|^2} \right)^2$$

converges in distribution to a chi-square-distributed random variable χ_q^2 with q degrees of freedom.

Furthermore, it holds that $\widehat{\lambda}_n := \Psi_\alpha(W_n)/|W_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P\text{-a.s.}} \lambda = C_0(o)$ (by virtue of Corollary 1) and $\sqrt{|W_n|}(\widehat{\lambda}_n - C_0(o))$ turns out to be asymptotically normally distributed with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2 = C_0(o) + \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |C_0(x)|^2 dx$ (by applying Corollary 2). σ^2 can be consistently estimated by $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2$ from an observation of Ψ_α in W_n , see [6] for details and proof, so that hypothetical values of $\lambda = C_0(o)$ can be checked by an asymptotically standard normally distributed test statistic.

Remark 4.1 *Essential parts of this paper has been presented at the 18th SGSIA-Workshop in Lingen, March 22 - 27, 2015. Meanwhile the arXiv-paper [1] appeared concerning the usual (not strong) Brillinger-mixing property of DPPs ($\alpha = -1$) with a variety of statistical applications. A precise comparison of both papers reveals that there is only a minor overlap due the different methods, generality and aims. In conclusion both papers supplement each other.*

References

- [1] C.A.N. BISCIO, F. LAVANCIER (2015) Brillinger mixing of determinantal point processes and statistical applications. arXiv: 1507.06506v1 [math ST] (23 July 2015), 24 pages.
- [2] I. CAMILIER, L. DECREUSEFOND (2010) Quasi-invariance and integration by parts for determinantal and permanental processes. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259**, no. 1, 268–300. Zbl 1203.60050, MR2610378
- [3] D. J. DALEY, D. VERE-JONES (2003/2008) An introduction to the theory of point processes I/II. 2nd ed., Springer, New York. Zbl 1026.60061, MR1950431/ Zbl 1159.60003, MR2371524
- [4] L. HEINRICH, V. SCHMIDT (1985) Normal convergence of the multidimensional shot noise and rates of this convergence. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **17**, no. 4, 709–730. Zbl 0609.60036, MR0809427
- [5] L. HEINRICH (1988) Asymptotic Gaussianity of some estimators for reduced factorial moment measures and product densities of stationary Poisson cluster processes. *Statistics* **19**, no. 1, 87–106. Zbl 0666.62032, MR0921628
- [6] L. HEINRICH, M. PROKEŠOVÁ (2010) On estimating the asymptotic variance of stationary point processes. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.* **12**, no. 3, 451 - 471. Zbl 1197.62122, MR2665270
- [7] L. HEINRICH, S. KLEIN (2011) Central limit theorem for the integrated squared error of the empirical second-order product density and goodness-of-fit tests for stationary point processes. *Stat. & Risk Model.* **28**, no. 4, 359–387. Zbl 1277.60085, MR2877571
- [8] L. HEINRICH, S. KLEIN (2014) Central limit theorems for empirical product densities of stationary point processes. *Stat. Inference Stoch. Process* **17**, no. 2, 121–138. Zbl 1306.60008, MR3219525
- [9] L. HEINRICH (2015) Gaussian limits for empirical multiparameter K-functions of homogeneous Poisson processes and tests for complete spatial randomness. *Lithuanian Math. J.* **55**, no. 1, 72 - 90. Zbl 1319.60068, MR3323282

- [10] L. HEINRICH (2015) On the Brillinger-mixing property of stationary point processes. submitted, 12 pages.
- [11] H.-O. GEORGII, H. J. YOO (2005) Conditional intensity and Gibbsianness of determinantal point processes. *J. Stat. Phys.* **118**, no. 1-2, 55–84. Zbl 1130.82016, MR2035816
- [12] J. ILLIAN, A. PENTTINEN, H. STOYAN, D. STOYAN (2008) *Statistical analysis and modelling of spatial point patterns*. Wiley, Chichester. Zbl 1197.62135, MR2384630
- [13] E. JOLIVET (1981) Central limit theorem and convergence of empirical processes for stationary point processes. In *Point processes and queueing problems*. (Keszthely, 1978, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 24) P. Bartfai, J. Tomko (eds), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 117–161. Zbl 0474.600040, MR0617406
- [14] A. K. KARR: Estimation of Palm measures of stationary point processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **74** (1987), no. 1, 55–69. Zbl 0586.60043, MR0863718
- [15] A. K. KARR (1991) *Point processes and their statistical inference*. 2nd ed., Marcel Dekker, New York. Zbl 0733.62088, MR0851982
- [16] F. LAVANCIER, J. MØLLER, E. RUBAK (2015) Determinantal point process models and statistical inference. *J. Royal Statist. Soc.: Ser. B* **77**, no. 4, 853–877. arXiv: 1205.4818v1-v5[math.ST] (2012-2014)
- [17] A. LENARD (1975) States of classical mechanical systems of infinitely many Particles. II. Characterization of correlation measures. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **59**, no. 3, 241–256. MR0391830
- [18] V. P. LEONOV, A. N. SHIRYAEV (1959) On a method of calculation of semi-invariants. *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **4**, no. 3, 319–329. Zbl 0087.33701, MR0114234
- [19] O. MACCHI (1975) The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **7**, no. 1, 83–122. Zbl 0366.60081, MR0380979
- [20] S. J. PRESS (1982) *Applied multivariate analysis*. 2nd ed., Krieger Publ. Co., Malabar. Zbl 1191.62093, MR0420970
- [21] A. RAMACHANDRA. RAO, P. BHIMASANKARAM (2000) *Linear algebra*. 2nd ed., Hindustan Book Agency, New Dehli. Zbl 0982.1501, MR1781860
- [22] A. B. SOSHIKOV (2000) Determinantal random fields. *Russian Math. Surveys* **55**, no.5, 923–975. Zbl 0991.60038, MR1799012
- [23] V. A. STATULEVIČIUS (1966) On large deviations. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. verw. Geb.* **6**, no. 2, 133–144. Zbl 0158.36207, MR0221560

Postal address: Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

E-mail address: heinrich@math.uni-augsburg.de