

Spin-lattice coupling in the ferrimagnetic semiconductor FeCr_2S_4 probed by surface acoustic waves

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 023906 (2006); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2164529>

Submitted: 28 June 2005 • Accepted: 13 December 2005 • Published Online: 27 January 2006

C. Müller, V. Zestrea, V. Tsurkan, et al.



View Online



Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Magnetic Structures in FeCr_2S_4 and FeCr_2O_4

Journal of Applied Physics **35**, 954 (1964); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1713556>

Ac susceptibility studies of ferrimagnetic FeCr_2S_4 single crystals

Journal of Applied Physics **90**, 4639 (2001); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1405827>

Giant magnetostriction in amorphous $(\text{Tb}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x)(\text{Fe}_{0.45}\text{Co}_{0.55})_y$ films

Journal of Applied Physics **79**, 973 (1996); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.360881>



Applied Physics
Reviews

Read. Cite. Publish. Repeat.

19.162
2020 IMPACT FACTOR*

Spin-lattice coupling in the ferrimagnetic semiconductor FeCr_2S_4 probed by surface acoustic waves

C. Müller

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany

V. Zestrea

Institute of Applied Physics, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, MD-2028 Chisinau, Republic of Moldova

V. Tsurkan^{a)}

Institute of Applied Physics, Academy of Sciences of Moldova, MD-2028 Chisinau, Republic of Moldova and Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany

S. Horn

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany

R. Tidecks

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany

A. Wixforth

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, D-86159 Augsburg, Germany

(Received 28 June 2005; accepted 13 December 2005; published online 27 January 2006)

Using surface acoustic waves, the elastomagnetic coupling could be studied in thin single-crystalline plates of the ferrimagnetic semiconductor FeCr_2S_4 by measuring the attenuation and the frequency tracking in the temperature range of 4.2–200 K. The data clearly display the anomalies found in low-field magnetization measurements. © 2006 American Institute of Physics.

[DOI: [10.1063/1.2164529](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2164529)]

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin-lattice coupling in correlated magnetic systems strongly influences the electronic transport and plays an essential role in the formation of the magnetic ground state. For example, electron-phonon interaction and lattice polarons contribute substantially to the colossal magnetoresistance (CMR) effect and the magnetic-field-induced metal-insulator transition in manganite perovskites.^{1–3}

Here, we report on the study of spin-lattice correlations by surface acoustic waves⁴ (SAW) in the ternary ferrimagnet FeCr_2S_4 with a cubic spinel-type crystal structure at high temperatures, in which the CMR effect was also recently discovered.⁵ In this structure the chromium ions occupying the octahedral sites are in a $3d^3$ state with three d electrons in a lower t_{2g} triplet and an orbital moment quenched by a crystal field. The Fe^{2+} ions occupying the tetrahedral sites are in a $3d^6$ configuration with a hole in a lower e doublet and thus are Jahn-Teller (JT) active. Strong on-site interaction of the ferrous ions allows a distortion of the FeS_4 tetrahedrons, experimentally detected as local lattice correlation or long-range orbital ordering. The presence of local structural distortions in this compound was originally deduced from the Mössbauer experiments, e.g., the appearance of a quadrupole splitting and anomaly of the electric-field gradient induced at the Fe ion sites at a temperature of 10 K.^{6,7} These features were explained in the framework of static and dynamic JT effects.⁸ An alternative explanation suggested an orbital ordering due to hybridization of Cr and excited Fe states.⁹ The

interpretation of the Mössbauer data, however, was in conflict with x-ray- and neutron-scattering diffraction investigations, which state that polycrystalline FeCr_2S_4 remains a cubic spinel down to 4.2 K.^{10,11} In powdered single crystals, the symmetry was also found to be unchanged, although a broadening of the x-ray-diffraction lines was observed. It was attributed to inhomogeneous lattice distortions that develop below the Curie temperature and persist down to ~ 4.2 K.¹²

Several recent experimental investigations on FeCr_2S_4 single crystals pointed out the importance of a spin-lattice coupling. A cusplike anomaly in the temperature dependence of the magnetization at $T_m \sim 60$ K and a splitting of zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetizations below this temperature was observed, which is unexpected for a structurally well-ordered ferrimagnet.¹³ Hydrostatic pressure investigations¹⁴ show that the magnetic anomaly at T_m in FeCr_2S_4 is highly sensitive to lattice contraction. A non-cubic magnetocrystalline anisotropy associated with tetragonal distortions was revealed. AC susceptibility¹⁵ and magnetoresistance studies¹⁴ attributed the spin-glass-like features to the changes in the magnetic domain structure due to additional pinning centers below T_m as a result of a structural lattice transformation. Later on, ultrasonic measurements of FeCr_2S_4 single crystals gave additional evidence for a structural transformation at $T_m \sim 60$ K. The elastic moduli manifest a steplike feature around this temperature indicating a structural phase transition of first-order type. Below 60 K a pronounced softening of the elastic moduli was detected. The experimental data, however, indicate the appearance of a trigonal distortion, which was explained in terms of an or-

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: vladimir.tsurkan@physik.uni-augsburg.de

bit order with coupling of the orbitals of Fe ions along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction.¹⁶ Very recently, high-resolution electron-microscopy studies of FeCr_2S_4 single crystals¹⁷ have revealed a peculiar structural transformation below 60 K indicating a cubic-to-triclinic symmetry reduction within crystallographic domains. The overall crystal symmetry was found to be reduced from $Fd3m$ to $F43m$. The triclinic distortions were suggested to result from the combined actions of tetragonal distortions due to the JT active Fe^{2+} ions and trigonal distortions due to a displacement of the Cr^{3+} ions in the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction.

Surface acoustic waves propagating on a piezoelectric substrate are a sensitive tool to probe the properties of the lattice and the electronic system of an attached material simultaneously.^{4,18–20} If conductivity studies are considered in comparison, it further allows to identify pure structural and electrical phenomena as well as a mixture of both.²⁰ Together with magnetization measurements it is, therefore, a promising technique to investigate the elastomagnetic coupling of single-crystalline plates of a ferrimagnetic semiconductor such as FeCr_2S_4 .

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The FeCr_2S_4 single crystals were grown by the chemical transport reaction method,²¹ using chlorine as a transport agent. The single phase spinel structure was confirmed by x-ray-diffraction analysis of the powdered single crystals. The sample composition was determined by electron-probe microanalysis that found nearly stoichiometric (within 1%) composition and a small amount (1%) of chlorine that substitutes the sulfur ions. Samples for the SAW study were cut from the octahedron crystals in the form of thin optically polished plates (thickness $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$, area $\sim 1.1 \times 1.2 \text{ mm}^2$) with different plane orientations, (111) and (100). In a cubic system (like a spinel) the plane normals point into the directions with the same Miller indices.

The samples were coupled to a LiNbO_3 delay line.¹⁸ Their plane normals show perpendicular to the surface of the substrate. For an intense mechanical coupling we used diluted GE varnish. Thus, the SAW propagates in the planes of the FeCr_2S_4 plates, which extend across the whole width of the sound path. The measurements were done in a cryostat with variable-temperature insert (VTI), working in the temperature range from room temperature to 4.2 K.

To generate SAWs, microfinger structures made of aluminium deposited onto a piezoelectric substrate (LiNbO_3 with 128° rotated YX cut) were used,^{4,19} as shown in Fig. 1. At the contact pads of these so-called interdigital transducers (IDTs) a radio frequency voltage with fundamental frequency f_0 is applied, which generates by the inverse piezoelectric effect a deformation propagating with sound velocity ν over the delay line. We used “split-1-finger electrodes” so that the distance b between two fingers connected to the same pad is equal to λ_{IDT} , the wavelength of the SAW generated by the IDT. The velocity ν of the SAW, its wavelength λ , and the fundamental frequency f_0 are connected via the relation,




FIG. 1. Surface acoustic wave (SAW) delay line with glued FeCr_2S_4 plate on the sound path in the middle between the interdigital transducers (IDTs). On the right side one IDT is sketched showing the distance b of the two finger electrodes with the same electric potential. NWA: vector network analyzer.

$$\lambda f_0 = \nu. \quad (1)$$

The IDT emits the SAW in a superimposed way, because after propagating to the next finger pair the deformation is enhanced. The electrical field of the SAW propagating over the delay line (length $\sim 5.8 \text{ mm}$ midpoint to midpoint of the IDTs) is detected using a second IDT being identical to the first one. For the radio frequency generation and detection a vector network analyzer (NWA, ZVC Rohde und Schwarz) was used. As the finger distances and the sound velocity in LiNbO_3 slightly change with temperature, the fundamental frequency which is transmitted with the minimal attenuation varies and, therefore, has to be tracked. This eliminates the attenuation fraction arising from the filter characteristic of the IDT. The tracking was done by measuring the attenuation of the SAW in a frequency range spanned around the fundamental frequency of the SAW device. Then, the frequency with the lowest attenuation is taken as the fundamental frequency f_0 and its attenuation is read out.

The distance $b = \lambda_{\text{IDT}}$ of the IDT used was $19.25 \mu\text{m}$. The frequency f_0 was tracked in the range from $\sim 201 \text{ MHz}$ (at room temperature) to $\sim 204.5 \text{ MHz}$ at low temperatures. The sound velocity of 128° rotated YX cut (i.e., propagation of the SAW in the x direction) LiNbO_3 is $\nu_0 = 3978.2 \text{ m/s}$ at room temperature.²² The split-1-finger electrodes used consist of relatively broad metal lines with a small distance between, yielding a metallizedlike behavior of the LiNbO_3 in the region of the IDTs. Calculating the velocity from the geometry of the IDT ($b = \lambda_{\text{IDT}}$) and the frequency ($f_0 = 201 \text{ MHz}$) according to Eq. (1), therefore, gives $\nu_{0,\text{IDT}} = 3869.3 \text{ m/s}$, which is the value of electrically shorted 128° rotated YX cut LiNbO_3 , obtained by metallizing the substrate by an aluminium film.²²

Since the frequency f_0 of the voltage is impressed to the IDT, the wavelength with which the SAW propagates along the LiNbO_3 not covered by the IDT according to Eq. (1) is given by $\lambda_0 = \nu_0 / 201 \text{ MHz} = 19.79 \mu\text{m}$ at room temperature.

As the measured attenuation does not only contain the signal of the SAW, but also a contribution from a direct electromagnetic coupling of the two IDTs, a special method had to be applied to distinguish between the direct electrical crosstalk received by the second IDT and the SAW signal.




FIG. 2. SAW attenuation for a single-crystalline thin plate of FeCr_2S_4 on LiNbO_3 as a function of the temperature. The full broad line is the average over several measurements for decreasing temperature. The open squares represent an attenuation measurement for increasing temperature. For comparison the low-field magnetization (measured as described in Ref. 13) of a single crystal of the same batch is shown (FC: field cooled, measured at decreasing temperature; ZFC: zero field cooled, measured at increasing temperature). The thin line is a guide to the eye.

This procedure is described in Ref. 20, which, moreover, contains additional valuable information about the measuring method.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The temperature dependence of the attenuation for the sample with the normal of the (100) plane perpendicular to the substrate and the SAW propagating in the $\langle 110 \rangle$ crystallographic direction is presented in Fig. 2. It shows a non-monotonic behavior with pronounced anomalies at around 170 and 60 K. These features correlate well with the changes of the low-field magnetization presented in the same figure. At the Curie temperature T_C , the attenuation manifests a maximum followed by a pronounced decrease down to approximately 40 K. At the temperature T_m of the spin-glass-like magnetization anomaly, the attenuation shows a sharp peak and irreversible behavior, resembling that of the hysteretic behavior of the magnetization. Finally, below 40 K, the attenuation starts to increase again but flattens below ~ 20 K.

Figure 3 depicts the temperature dependence of the fundamental frequency f_0 for the same sample. It exhibits well-resolved features at the characteristic temperatures of the system, namely, at T_C and T_m . Additionally, a less pronounced anomaly in f_0 can be also noted at a temperature of 130 K. This temperature corresponds to a minimum of the electrical resistivity of such samples.¹⁴

Thus, the frequency tracking mirrors the behavior of the attenuation. To understand this, one has to consider the propagation conditions for the SAW along the sound path from the sending to the receiving IDT. Once emitted by the sending IDT, the SAW propagates according to $\lambda_0 f_0 = v_0$ towards the region of the FeCr_2S_4 plate. The plated region represents a “sandwich system” with a sound velocity v_p which is different from the free surface velocity v_0 , because some other material is put on top of the surface.^{4,22–25} In the region of the FeCr_2S_4 plate the wavelength of the SAW ad-




FIG. 3. Frequency f_0 of the exciting voltage as a function of temperature for the same FeCr_2S_4 single-crystalline plate as in Fig. 2, measured at the same run with the attenuation. The symbols represent measured values. The full line is a guide to the eye.

justs according to $\lambda_p f_0 = v_p$. When propagating into LiNbO_3 with a free surface again, the wavelength has again the value $\lambda_0 = v_0 / f_0$.

By tracking the frequency to get a best transmitted signal with minimal attenuation, f_0 should be adjusted so that the wavelength λ_{IDT} fits to the periodicity of the finger electrodes of the IDTs. However, an adjustment of f_0 yields also a change of λ_0 and λ_p , so that the tracking procedure optimizes at the same time all of the three wavelengths to get a minimal attenuation. Therefore, changes of the physical properties of the FeCr_2S_4 plate which influence v_p and, thus, λ_p are displayed in the frequency tracking diagram (Fig. 3).

The attenuation in Fig. 2 is in qualitative agreement with the attenuation observed in bulk ultrasound velocity measurements in FeCr_2S_4 single crystals, as plotted in Fig. 1(b) of Ref. 16. Different is that the attenuation above the Curie temperature T_C in Fig. 2 does not exhibit the same low values as in the low-temperature region. The reason could be that in the measurements of Ref. 16 only elastic contributions to the damping are detected. Since the SAW in the present work is a wave on a piezoelectric substrate, the conductivity of the plate may be also important for the damping.^{20,26,27} Above T_C , the conductivity in FeCr_2S_4 is higher than at low temperatures,¹⁴ but still only of order of $1 \Omega^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, from which one may expect a considerable contribution to the damping.

A coincidence of changes of the sheet conductance of the FeCr_2S_4 plate with the shape of the attenuation curve and frequency tracking diagram, respectively, was, however, not found, except for the structure at 130 K in Fig. 3. To see this, we used the sheet conductance $\sigma_0 = d/\rho$ obtained from Fig. 6 of Ref. 14, where the conductivity ρ of a typical FeCr_2S_4 single-crystalline specimen with thickness $d = 0.02$ cm is shown. In the temperature region in which the anomalies at T_m and T_C are observed, the sheet conductance ranges between 0.005 and $0.045 \Omega^{-1}$.

The frequency tracking curve in Fig. 3 looks very similar to the temperature dependence of the elastic moduli of

FeCr_2S_4 given in Ref. 16 in the absence of a magnetic field. Since these moduli are connected to the sound velocity v_{si} via $c_i = \rho v_{\text{si}}^2$ with $\rho = 3.84 \text{ g/cm}^3$ the density of FeCr_2S_4 , Fig. 3 of the present work seems to be a mirror of the sound velocity changes in the FeCr_2S_4 plate and the elastic constant changes, respectively.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, the anomalies observed in the magnetic behavior of FeCr_2S_4 are found in attenuation and frequency tracking curves of SAW measurements. This indicates a strong coupling between spin and lattice degrees of freedom in this compound. Especially, the fact that the magnetic anomaly at $T_m = 60 \text{ K}$, where FeCr_2S_4 shows no remarkable change in the conductivity,¹⁴ is detected by SAW experiments, indicates that it is related to a structural transformation.

The results are in qualitative agreement with our former ultrasonic studies on this compound. Therefore, we demonstrated that surface acoustic waves are a suitable powerful instrument for the investigation of spin-lattice correlation in the magnetic semiconductors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors want to thank J. Ebbecke for supplying the 128° rotated YX cut LiNbO_3 substrate with split-finger electrodes. The authors acknowledge the support of the SFB 484 by the VTI American Magnetics cryostat and support of US CRDF-MRDA for crystal growth experiments.

¹A. J. Millis, P. B. Littlewood, and B. I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 5144 (1995).

²A. J. Millis, B. I. Shraiman, and R. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 175 (1996).

³A. P. Ramirez *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3188 (1996).

⁴S. Datta, *Surface Acoustic Wave Devices* (Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1986).

⁵A. P. Ramirez, R. J. Cava, and J. Krajewski, Nature (London) **386**, 156 (1997).

⁶M. Eibschutz, S. Shtrikman, and Y. Tenenbaum, Phys. Lett. **24A**, 563 (1967).

⁷M. R. Spender and A. H. Morrish, Solid State Commun. **11**, 1417 (1972).

⁸L. F. Feiner, J. Phys. C **15**, 1515 (1982).

⁹L. Brossard, J. L. Dormann, L. Goldstein, P. Gibart, and P. Renaudin, Phys. Rev. B **20**, 2933 (1979).

¹⁰G. Shirane, D. E. Cox, and S. J. Pickard, J. Appl. Phys. **35**, 954 (1964).

¹¹C. Broquetas Colominas, R. Ballestracci, and G. Roullet, J. Phys. (Paris) **25**, 526 (1964).

¹²H. Göbel, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **3**, 143 (1976).

¹³V. Tsurkan, M. Baran, R. Szymczak, H. Szymczak, and R. Tidecks, Physica B **296**, 301 (2001).

¹⁴V. Tsurkan *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 875 (2001).

¹⁵V. Tsurkan, J. Hemberger, M. Klemm, S. Klimm, A. Loidl, S. Horn, and R. Tidecks, J. Appl. Phys. **90**, 4639 (2001).

¹⁶D. Maurer, V. Tsurkan, S. Horn, and R. Tidecks, J. Appl. Phys. **93**, 9173 (2003).

¹⁷M. Mertinat, V. Tsurkan, D. Samusi, R. Tidecks, and F. Haider, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 100408 (2005).

¹⁸A. Wixforth, J. Scriba, M. Wassermeier, J. P. Kotthaus, G. Weimann, and W. Schlapp, J. Appl. Phys. **64**, 2213 (1988).

¹⁹R. M. White and F. W. Voltmer, Appl. Phys. Lett. **7**, 314 (1965).

²⁰C. Müller, A. A. Nateprov, G. Obermeier, M. Klemm, R. Tidecks, A. Wixforth, and S. Horn, J. Appl. Phys. **98**, 084111 (2005).

²¹H. Schäfer, *Chemische Transportreaktionen* (Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1962).

²²G. Kovacs, M. Anhorn, H. E. Engan, G. Visintini, and C. C. W. Ruppel, Ultrasonics Symposium Proceedings (The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, 1990), pp. 435–438.

²³M. Rotter, Thesis, Universität München, 1999.

²⁴J. Meier, Thesis, Universität Heidelberg, 2001.

²⁵K. A. Ingebrigtsen, J. Appl. Phys. **40**, 2681 (1969).

²⁶K. A. Ingebrigtsen, J. Appl. Phys. **41**, 454 (1970).

²⁷A. Wixforth, J. Scriba, M. Wassermeier, J. P. Kotthaus, G. Weimann, and W. Schlapp, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 7874 (1989).