

# Tunneling exponents in realistic quantum wires using the mean field approximation

Wolfgang HÄUSLER<sup>1,\*</sup> and A.H. MACDONALD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, 78712, U.S.A.*

Interacting carriers in one dimension (1D) are non-Fermi liquids with power laws for many correlations functions such as the tunneling density of states. Evidence for this behavior has been found in carbon nanotubes<sup>1)</sup> and, somewhat less convincingly, in semiconducting quantum wires<sup>2)</sup> in the form of non-trivial temperature and transport voltage dependences. Non-universal exponents are expressed through one parameter  $K_\rho$  within the Tomonaga-Luttinger (TL) liquid theory.

In Fermi-liquids  $K_\rho$  equals unity. This quantity is commonly expected to decrease with increasing repulsion  $V_0$  between the carriers, according to

$$K_\rho = [1 + 2V_0/\pi v_F]^{-1/2}. \quad (1)$$

Eq. (1) results also from the RPA-approximation for the 1D-plasmon velocity<sup>3)</sup> which has shown to be exact for spinless carriers and for strictly linear kinetic energy dispersion.<sup>4)</sup> Eq. (1) implies that  $K_\rho \rightarrow 0$  with decreasing carrier density  $n = 2k_F/\pi = 2mv_F/\pi$  for quadratic kinetic energy dispersion  $\sim k^2/2m$  when  $v_F = k_F/m$ .<sup>5)</sup>

For given microscopic interaction potential  $V(x)$ , where the Coulomb form in any realistic sample layout will be screened by the nearest metals at a distance  $R$ , does  $K_\rho$  depend on the carrier density in a non-monotonous fashion, passing through a minimum before reaching the asymptotic value which was conjectured to be  $K_\rho(n \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 1/2$ .<sup>6)</sup> Observing this minimum could give direct experimental access to the range of the microscopic interaction. We shall argue that the value of  $K_\rho$  can be obtained quite accurately by the Hartree-Fock approximation when augmenting selfconsistency (SCHF).

As a realistic form modeling the microscopic interaction in a quantum wire of width  $d$  at particle separation  $|x - x'|$  we use

$$V(|x|) = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2 + 4R^2}} \right) \quad (2)$$

with  $V(|x - x'| \gg R) \sim |x - x'|^{-3}$ , describing dipolar screening. We have solved the Hartree-Fock equations for a system of length  $L$ , accounting for the quadratic kinetic energy dispersion and for spin  $s = \pm$

$$0 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 2j - \frac{k}{k_F} \right)^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_{ks}}{k_F v_F} \right] u_{j,k,s} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{L}{2k_F \pi} \sum_{j'j''} u_{j'',k,s} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk' \left\{ \hat{V}(2(j - j'')) \right. \\ & \times \sum_{s'} u_{-j+j'+j'',k',s'}^* u_{j',k',s'} \\ & \left. - \hat{V} \left( 2(j - j') - \frac{k}{k_F} + \frac{k'}{k_F} \right) u_{-j+j'+j'',k',s}^* u_{j',k',s} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

selfconsistently in  $k$ -space for the coefficients  $u_{j,k,s}$  ( $-k_F \leq k \leq k_F$ ) that expand the HF-orbitals (index  $j$ ) as Bloch waves

$$\psi_{ks}(x) = e^{ikx} \sum_j u_{j,k,s} e^{ij2k_F x}. \quad (4)$$

Resulting total ground state energy densities  $E_0^{\text{HF}}/L$  are differentiated twice w.r.t.  $n$  to obtain the HF-estimate to the compressibility  $\kappa = [\partial^2(E_0/L)/\partial n^2]^{-1}$ . Using the exact thermodynamic relationship

$$K_\rho = \sqrt{\pi v_F \kappa / 2} \quad (5)$$

from TL-theory<sup>7)</sup> yields  $1/K_\rho^{\text{HF}}$ , shown in Fig. 1. Also included in Fig. 1 are quantum Monte Carlo data taken from Ref.<sup>8)</sup> that, within symbol size, can be regarded as exact. It is seen that  $K_\rho^{\text{HF}}$  does reproduce all of the available QMC-data points amazingly well. In view of the pronounced correlations of interacting one-dimensional Fermions, which prohibit for example to express the ground state wave function analytically, such a quite satisfying mean-field approach might seem unexpected.

The following general trends are seen in Fig. 1:

(i) The high density region  $k_F d \gtrsim 0.25$ , corresponding to  $r_s \lesssim 1.6$ , may be regarded as the perturbational or RPA regime. Here Eq. (1) may be improved slightly by accounting for the exchange contribution  $\sim -\hat{V}(2k_F)$ . Despite of the quite small values of  $K_\rho$  estimated<sup>9)</sup> and observed<sup>1)</sup> in carbon they nanotubes belong typically to this regime, since mean carrier separations exceed by far the interaction range (which can reach the order of the tube length).

(ii) Between  $0.1 \lesssim k_F d \lesssim 0.25$  the perturbational expression still allows to guess  $K_\rho$ . Here, particularly the SCHF but also the QMC-data indicate slightly enhanced  $K_\rho^{-1}$ -values, relative to Eq. (1). By virtue of (5) this suggests a *reduced* compressibility which can be interpreted as precursor to a Wigner crystal phase transition (that cannot be completed in 1D). There,  $K_\rho$  has been esti-

\* haeusler@physnet.uni-hamburg.de




Fig. 1.  $K_\rho^{-1}$  versus carrier density. The units can be translated into the  $r_s$ -Fermi liquid parameter using  $r_s = \pi/8k_F d$ . The range of the microscopic interaction (2) is  $R/d = 14.1$ . Solid: self consistent HF approximation, dashed: Eq. (1). QMC-data are taken from Ref.<sup>8)</sup>

mated first in.<sup>10)</sup>

(iii) Finally, for the interaction range  $R/d = 14.1$  shown in Fig. 1, a maximum is seen below  $k_F d \lesssim 0.1$  ( $r_s \gtrsim 4$ ) in both, the SCHF and the QMC results, in qualitative difference to the monotonous increase of the perturbative expression (1). This maximum occurs roughly when  $k_F R \approx \pi/8$  ( $R$  has to be significantly smaller than the mean carrier spacing due to quantum fluctuations). In semiconducting quantum wires this regime (iii) should be feasible.

It has been conjectured that  $1/K_\rho$  would approach 2

when  $k_F \rightarrow 0$ .<sup>6)</sup> This limit is not confirmed by the SCHF which, when carried out carefully to account for the pronounced  $4k_F$ -periodic oscillations of the SCHF-density (resembling a Wigner crystal), yields  $1/K_\rho^{\text{HF}} \rightarrow 3.3$  with a weak dependence on  $R/d$ , ranging from  $1/K_\rho^{\text{HF}} \rightarrow 3.0$  at  $R/d = 5.7$  to  $1/K_\rho^{\text{HF}} \rightarrow 3.5$  at  $R/d = 35$ . Unfortunately, the QMC-data cannot discriminate between  $1/K_\rho \rightarrow 2$  and  $1/K_\rho \rightarrow 3.5$ . This discrepancy might indicate the failure of the mean field approximation to estimate  $K_\rho$  in the strongly correlated regime of very small carrier densities.

We would like to acknowledge useful discussions with Charles Creffield and with Hermann Grabert.

- 1) M. Bockrath, *et al.*, Nature **397** (1999) 598.
- 2) S. Tarucha, T. Honda, and T. Saku, Solid State Comm. **94** (1995) 413; A. Yacoby, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 4612; O.M. Auslaender, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 1764; M. Rother, *et al.*, Physica E **6** (2000) 551.
- 3) Q.P. Li, S. Das Sarma, and R. Joynt, Phys. Rev. B **45** (1992) 13713.
- 4) I.E. Dzyaloshinskii and A.I. Larkin, Sov. Phys. JETP, **38** (1974) 202.
- 5) In systems with strict linear dispersion, such as carbon nanotubes, the value of the  $K_\rho$ -parameter cannot be regulated by varying the carrier density. This case is not investigated here.
- 6) W. Häusler, L. Kecke, and A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B **65** (2002) 085104.
- 7) F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C **14** (1981) 2585.
- 8) C.E. Creffield, W. Häusler, and A.H. MacDonald, Europhys. Lett. **53** (2001) 221.
- 9) R. Egger and A.O. Gogolin, Eur. Phys. J. B **3** (1998) 281.
- 10) L. I. Glazman, I. M. Ruzin, and B. I. Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. B **45** (1992) 8454.